Dimensional Regularization as Gateway to Complex Dynamics

Ervin Goldfain

Global Institute for Research, Education and Scholarship (GIRES).

Email: ervingoldfain@gmail.com

Abstract

A while ago, a couple of readers asked me to write a user-friendly essay on the path leading from Dimensional Regularization (DR) of Quantum Field Theory to the far-from-equilibrium regime of ultraviolet phenomena. Below is my answer to their request. The takeaway point of this essay is that DR provides a natural route to fractal spacetime and complex behavior, without introducing unnecessary assumptions or extra degrees of freedom.

1. Introduction and Motivation

It is known that Quantum Field Theory (QFT) is a highly constrained conceptual framework built upon several premises. To be consistent, QFT requires [5],

1. *Integer-dimensional and smooth spacetime support,*
2. *Perturbative control around Gaussian fixed points,*
3. *Decoupling between ultraviolet (UV) and infrared (IR) sectors.*
4. *Lagrangian description complying with locality, unitarity, causality, gauge invariance, renormalizability, and freedom from quantum anomalies.*

Dimensional Regularization (DR) goes beyond the first assumption by analytically continuing spacetime dimension to non-integer values. Although DR has traditionally been viewed as a purely formal tool, recent mathematical research [1, 7] proves that such continuation is consistent *only if* loop integrals are interpreted as integrals over *fractal measures*, rather than smooth manifolds.

Independently, we've argued over the years that the onset of a spacetime with evolving fractality is formally equivalent to critical behavior in continuous dimensions, where scale separation mandated by the Decoupling Theorem fails, nonlinear dynamics becomes inevitable and complexity takes over. The purpose of this brief writeup is to unify these insights and propose that:

Dimensional Regularization, the emergence of fractal spacetime above the Standard Model scale, and the onset of chaotic behavior are complementary manifestations of complex dynamics. All three reflect the unavoidable instability of QFT upon reaching a certain energy scale or a certain critical dimension.

A natural question along these lines is: *How does DR dovetail into the modern view of emerging complexity in Foundational Physics*? Iterating the points spelled out in [2], we begin by recalling that, under certain conditions, the evolution of large systems of nonlinearly interacting components is prone to become *non-integrable* and *self-organizing* in the long run. Reliable modeling of such systems requires new concepts and methods inspired by chaos theory, multifractal geometry, non-extensive statistical physics, critical behavior and self-organized criticality, and fractional calculus. Today, these non-conventional tools of analysis form the mathematical basis of *complex dynamics.*

It is well known that variational principles underline both Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formulations of dynamics and are the key paradigm of classical and quantum physics. What has been only recently realized is that Hamilton's equations of motion cannot properly describe complex phenomena. The reason is that standard variational principles fail when applied to processes having *long-range memory effects* and/or *nonlocal spatial correlations*. These observations go hand in hand with the known limitations of effective field theory (EFT) in explaining the many open questions of the Standard Model of particle physics (SM) and of far-from-equilibrium gravitational phenomena.

Despite decades of advanced research, the challenges of EFT and field unification are still outstanding. What aggravates the situation is the steady avalanche of unmotivated models, claiming to resolve some unsatisfactory aspects of the theory at the expense of postulating ad-hoc variables, constraints, or exotic symmetry groups.

To make progress, one clearly needs to take a step back and revisit the fundamental principles which have guided theory development for the last couple of centuries. Given the proven benefits of complex dynamics as both framework and modeling tool, several foundational questions need to be scrutinized "from scratch". For example,

1) Is Nature universally described by Lagrangian field theory, specifically, by local effective Lagrangians compatible with the consistency requirements set by QFT?

2) Are symmetries, dualities, and mathematical concepts derived from them universal and applicable to the dynamics of nonintegrable phenomena?

3) Do abstract mathematical objects (like spinors, quaternions, octonions, twistors, knots, strings) *or* exotic algebras offer robust explanations for the challenges of field unification and/or of the SM?

4) Is Nature governed by deterministic laws, or is it fundamentally sensitive to initial conditions at *all levels of observation*?

5) How justified is the belief that the behavior of few-body systems follows a local, unitary, integrable, time-reversible, and analytic evolution *outside* the boundaries of EFT's?

6) How strong is the cosmological principle, and is it valid over an arbitrarily large range of cosmological scales? Do unexplained ultra-massive galactic structures provide evidence against the cosmological principle and in favor of a nontrivial spacetime topology?

7) If the Universe has a large-scale multifractal topology, where does this topology come from? How likely is it that the root cause is the onset of a spacetime endowed with continuous dimensions well above the SM scale [3, 4]?

8) What are the implications of *criticality in continuous dimensions* in the ultraviolet sector of physics and primordial cosmology [8]?

Based on these inputs, we're now ready to start developing the actual narrative of the essay.

2. Dimensional Regularization and the Concept of Fractal Measure

2.1 Brief Survey of Dimensional Regularization

In DR, loop integrals in momentum space are formally continued from

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^D} d^D\, k \longrightarrow \int d^{D_c-\varepsilon} k,$$

where D_c is the critical integer dimension and $\varepsilon \ll 1$ [1, 5, 7]. The procedure is typically justified via analytic continuation of Gamma functions. However, DR lacks a clear mathematical interpretation unless the integration measure itself is redefined [1].

2.2 Fractal Measure is Dictated by Consistency

Ref. [1] shows that DR cannot be consistently interpreted as integration over a smooth D-dimensional manifold when $D \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Instead, consistency demands extrapolating the smooth Lebesgue measure to

$$d^D k \quad \to \quad d\mu_D(k),$$

where μ_D is a *fractal (Hausdorff-type) measure* satisfying [6]:

$$\mu_D(B_r) \sim r^D,$$

for balls B_r of radius r. In this context, DR implicitly leads to:

- loss of translational, rotational and mirror invariance at arbitrarily small length scales,
- scale-dependent support of integration,
- arbitrary non-integer Hausdorff dimensions.

This result elevates DR from a formal computational trick to a statement about *non-trivial geometry,* which graciously defaults to classical spacetime when $D = 4$.

2.3 Physical implications

Once the measure is fractal [11]:

- locality becomes an approximation,
- correlation functions are long-range and reflect anomalous scaling behavior,
- Gaussian statistics is no longer universally valid.

3. Continuous Dimension as a Critical Control Parameter

3.1 Critical Dimension in Field Theory

A critical dimension D_c is defined by the condition:

$$[g] = 0,$$

where g is a representative coupling constant. At $D = D_c$:

- scale invariance is typically marginal,

- logarithmic divergences appear,
- Renormalization Group flows become structurally unstable.

The Appendix section includes a detailed discussion of marginal critical dimensions, the onset of the bifurcations in Renormalization Group theory and the Higgs sector.

3.2 Fractional Dimension and Anomalous Scaling

On a support endowed with a fractal measure μ_D, correlation functions scale as:

$$\langle \phi(x)\phi(0) \rangle \sim |\, x \,|^{-(D-2+\eta(D))}$$

where the exponent $\eta(D) \neq 0$ in general [11].

Anomalous dimensions arise once $D \neq D_c$, indicating:

- The emergence of non-Gaussian fixed points (Wilson-Fisher nontrivial fixed point on the Landau-Ginzburg theory being a primary example [2, 3, 11]),

- Breakdown of the mean-field theory,
- Possible onset of non-conventional collective behavior (self-organized criticality being a primary example).

3.3 Continuous Dimensions as Signature of Far-from-Equilibrium Physics

In a field theoretical context, scale-dependent continuous dimensions signal the approach to *far-from-equilibrium conditions*, to some extent analogous to the route to criticality in condensed matter systems. Spacetime itself becomes an underlying structure capable of supporting:

- multifractal scaling,
- long-range space correlations and memory effects,
- irreversible (non-unitary) dynamics.

4. From Critical Geometry to Chaotic Field Dynamics

4.1 Loss of integrability of the Renormalization Group flow

Renormalization Group (RG) equations near critical dimension take the generic form:

$$\frac{dg_i}{d\ln\ \mu} = \beta_i(\{g\}, D),$$

where μ is the observation scale and the dependence on D renders the system generically *nonintegrable*. Nonintegrability implies:

- sensitivity to initial conditions,
- proliferation of relevant operators,
- breakdown of finite truncations.

4.2 Bifurcation Theory and Chaos

In nonlinear dynamics:

- loss of hyperbolicity leads to bifurcations (see the Appendix section).
- cascades of bifurcations lead to chaos.

A key insight here is that RG flow in continuous dimensions exhibits the same structure as the approach to chaos, namely,

- marginal operators act as bifurcation parameters,
- continuous D drives transitions between universality classes,
- chaotic RG trajectories behave as generic flows in nonlinear dynamics.

4.3 Physical consequences

Chaotic dynamics of fields implies features that are absent in the conventional Standard Model framework.

- loss of strict UV–IR decoupling (meaning that their mixing is enabled),
- emergent rather than fundamentally assumed locality,
- entropy production intrinsic to field evolution.

5. Implications for Physics Beyond the Standard Models

5.1 Particle physics

The Standard Model (SM) assumes perturbative renormalizability around integer dimension. However:

- scalar sector instability,
- hierarchy problem,
- sensitivity to UV completion,

can be naturally regarded as effects of suppressed critical dynamics when spacetime dimension is *artificially fixed*. In this context, an attractive solution to the hierarchy problem is offered by the bifurcation model of particle physics, where the unstable Higgs scalar does not survive the first bifurcation vertex [12, 13].

5.2 Cosmology

ΛCDM assumes smooth geometry and near-equilibrium evolution. In contrast, the dynamics of fractal spacetime naturally generates:

- effective Dark Matter properties and behavior as topological condensate of continuous dimensions [9, 10],
- anomalous clustering,
- entropy growth without exotic particles or modified force laws.

6. Discussion and Outlook

Dimensional Regularization, when properly interpreted through fractal measures – as required by mathematical consistency – opens a gateway to the complex dynamics of ultraviolet phenomena. Due to *sustained decoherence* induced by high energy conditions, continuous spacetime dimensionality acts as a control parameter driving fields from quantum to classical and from Gaussian equilibrium towards far-from-equilibrium/complex behavior.

Once integer-dimensional assumptions are relaxed, the emergence of complexity is *not optional* but dictated by the underlying phenomenology. The framework discussed here offers a unified explanation for several persistent anomalies in particle physics and cosmology, while remaining conservative in its assumptions.

By the same token, this framework leads to a tantalizing conclusion. According to [9, 10], the concept of fractal measure,

1) is organically tied to dimensional fluctuations,

2) it is the source of gravitational mass in continuous dimensions,

Combining this essay with the above observations shows that primordial dimensional fluctuations of spacetime offer an unexpected perspective on *field unification* near the Planck scale.

With reference to Appendix section, it's vitally important to recognize a couple of key results derived from the bifurcation scenario of the Higgs sector, namely,

1) the gradient map derived from the Higgs potential exhibits a universal period-doubling cascade to chaos which recovers the *full flavor structure of SM* [12, 13].

2) Higgs bifurcations naturally solve the three major challenges associated with the Higgs phenomenology (fine-tuning, triviality, and the tachyonic mass term) [13].

APPENDIX A: Bifurcations in RG theory and SM Flavor Structure

A.1 Marginal Couplings and Critical Dimension

In a QFT defined in spacetime dimension D, the canonical (engineering) mass dimension of a coupling g is denoted by $[g]$. It is fixed by the requirement that the action be *dimensionless*. A coupling is said to be:

- **Relevant** if $[g] > 0$,
- **Irrelevant** if $[g] < 0$,
- **Marginal** if $[g] = 0$.

The *critical dimension* D_c of an interaction is defined by the condition

$$[g](D_c) = 0.$$

For the Higgs (Landau–Ginzburg) quartic interaction,

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4,$$

the quartic coupling satisfies

$$[\lambda] = 4 - D,$$

so that

$$D_c = 4.$$

At $D = D_c$, the interaction is marginal and the theory sits at the boundary between relevance and irrelevance.

A.2 Marginality and Loss of Hyperbolicity in the RG Flow

The RG equations define a dynamical system in coupling space:

$$\frac{dg_i}{dt} = \beta_i(\mathbf{g}; D), \qquad t = \ln\, \mu.$$

A fixed point $\mathbf{g}_*$ is said to be *hyperbolic* if the Jacobian

$$J_{ij} = \frac{\partial \beta_i}{\partial g_j} |_{\mathbf{g}_*}$$

has no eigenvalues with zero real part. Near the Gaussian fixed point, one has

$$\beta(g; D) = [g](D)\, g + \mathcal{O}(g^2).$$

At the critical dimension $D = D_c$,

$$[g] = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = 0,$$

where λ is the linearized RG eigenvalue. Hence the fixed point becomes *nonhyperbolic*, A standard result from dynamical systems theory is that *nonhyperbolic fixed points are structurally unstable*: arbitrarily small perturbations of parameters qualitatively alter the phase-space portrait. In the RG context, this implies that small deviations in dimension or geometry can induce bifurcations of fixed points and RG trajectories.

A.3 Continuous Dimension as Control Parameter

Introduce the deviation from critical dimension

$$\varepsilon \equiv D - D_c.$$

Near marginality, the RG equation for a coupling takes the normal form

$$\frac{dg}{dt} = \varepsilon g + a g^2 + \mathcal{O}(g^3).$$

Here, ε plays the role of a control parameter in the sense of bifurcation theory. At $\varepsilon = 0$, the system is nonhyperbolic; for $\varepsilon \neq 0$, the flow undergoes a qualitative change, typically a transcritical or pitchfork-type bifurcation depending on the underlying symmetry of the system.

A.4 Bifurcation Scenario of the Higgs Potential

The Higgs potential can be treated as a gradient dynamical system [12, 13]:

$$\dot{\phi} = -\frac{dV}{d\phi} = -(m^2\phi + \lambda\phi^3).$$

Stationary points satisfy the cubic equation,

$$m^2\phi + \lambda\phi^3 = 0.$$

which defines the equilibrium structure of the system.

A.5 Primary Bifurcation: Pitchfork at $m^2 = 0$

For fixed $\lambda > 0$:

- If $m^2 > 0$:

$$\phi = 0 \quad \text{(stable).}$$

- If $m^2 < 0$:

$$\phi = \pm\sqrt{-\frac{m^2}{\lambda}}\,\text{(stable)}, \qquad \phi = 0 \quad \text{(unstable)}.$$

This is a *supercritical pitchfork bifurcation*, protected by the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry $\phi \to -\phi$. It corresponds to the spontaneous symmetry breaking in the electroweak sector of SM.

A.6 Dependence of the Mass Parameter on Dimension

Radiative corrections make the mass parameter both scale- and dimension-dependent:

$$m^2(D) = m_0^2 + c\,\varepsilon \ln\left(\frac{\mu}{\mu_0}\right) + \cdots, \qquad \varepsilon = D - 4.$$

Thus:

- continuous flow of dimension induces continuous variation of m^2,
- the control parameter of the pitchfork bifurcation is ultimately a function of ε.

In this sense, spacetime dimension indirectly controls symmetry breaking, a process also known as *criticality in continuous dimensions.*

A.7 Successive Bifurcations and Unfolding

When higher-order operators or symmetry-breaking perturbations are included (as expected near marginality), one has

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} m^2(\varepsilon)\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4 + \frac{g_6(\varepsilon)}{6}\phi^6 + \cdots ,$$

the pitchfork bifurcation becomes unstable and unfolds into sequences of:

- saddle-node bifurcations,
- imperfect pitchforks,
- exchange of stability between vacua.

As ε flows with the observation scale, these unfoldings occur in succession, reflecting the loss of hyperbolicity induced by marginality at $D = D_c$.

A.8 From Successive Bifurcations to Instability and Chaos

In the static Higgs potential, dynamics remains gradient-like and nonchaotic. However, when embedded into:

- Renormalization Group flow,
- spacetime-dependent field dynamics,
- multi-field or fractal-measure extensions,

the presence of:

- multiple marginal directions,
- successive bifurcations controlled by ε,

generates so-called high-dimensional center manifolds. Their interaction is a necessary condition for:

- sensitive dependence on initial conditions,
- chaotic RG trajectories,
- far-from-equilibrium field dynamics.

A.9 Summary of Appendix A

1. Marginal couplings $[g] = 0$ imply zero RG eigenvalues and loss of hyperbolicity.
2. The continuous dimension $\varepsilon = D - D_c$ acts as a bifurcation control parameter.
3. The Higgs potential exhibits a pitchfork bifurcation controlled by the mass squared.
4. Radiative and geometric dependence of m^2 on ε induces successive bifurcations.
5. In extended dynamical settings, these bifurcations provide the structural route to instability and chaos.

In a nutshell,

At the critical dimension, marginal couplings render the Higgs sector nonhyperbolic; continuous flow of spacetime dimension shifts the effective mass *parameter, generating successive bifurcations that destabilize Gaussian dynamics and open the route to complex, far-from-equilibrium behavior.*

A.10 Explicit RG Equations near the Critical Dimension

Consider the Euclidean scalar field theory

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi^4$$

in spacetime dimension

$$D = 4 + \varepsilon, \qquad |\varepsilon| \ll 1.$$

Define the RG scale parameter

$$t = \ln\ \mu.$$

A.10.1 Quartic coupling

The canonical dimension of the quartic coupling is [11]

$$[\lambda] = 4 - D = -\varepsilon.$$

At one loop, the RG equation is

$$\boxed{\frac{d\lambda}{dt} = -\varepsilon\,\lambda + \frac{3}{16\pi^2}\lambda^2 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3)} \qquad \text{(A.1)}$$

which has the following fixed points:

$$\lambda_0 = 0, \qquad \lambda_* = \frac{16\pi^2}{3}\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2).$$

For $\varepsilon < 0$ (i.e. $D < 4$), the Wilson–Fisher fixed point λ_* emerges via bifurcation from the Gaussian fixed point [2, 11].

A.10.2 Mass Parameter

The mass term is relevant in all dimensions, but its flow is strongly affected by the marginality of λ. The one-loop RG equation reads

$$\boxed{\frac{dm^2}{dt} = \left(2 - \frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2}\right) m^2 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2 m^2)} \qquad \text{(A.2)}$$

Substituting the fixed-point value $\lambda = \lambda_*(\varepsilon)$, we obtain

$$\frac{dm^2}{dt} = \left[2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)\right] m^2.$$

Thus:

$$m^2(\varepsilon) \sim \mu^{2-\varepsilon/3}.$$

A.10.3 Control Parameter Interpretation

Equations (A.1) – (A.2) show that:

1. Dimension enters explicitly as a running control parameter through ε.
2. Marginality at $\varepsilon = 0$ removes hyperbolicity in the λ-direction.
3. Small changes in ε induce qualitative changes in the coupled (m^2, λ) flow.

In particular, the sign of the *effective mass squared* $m^2_{\text{eff}}(\varepsilon, \mu)$ is controlled indirectly by ε, providing the bifurcation parameter for the Higgs potential.

A.11 Successive Bifurcations in the Higgs Potential

The Higgs potential depends parametrically on ε via the RG flow:

$$V(\phi;\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2}m^2(\varepsilon)\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda(\varepsilon)}{4}\phi^4 + \cdots$$

As ε flows

1. At $\varepsilon = 0$, λ is marginal → loss of hyperbolicity.
2. For $\varepsilon < 0$, λ flows to a non-Gaussian fixed point → stabilization of symmetry-broken vacua.
3. As $m^2(\varepsilon)$ crosses zero the system undergoes a *supercritical pitchfork bifurcation.*
4. Including higher operators ϕ^6, ϕ^8, ... successive imperfect pitchfork and saddle-node bifurcations are generated.

References

[1] Y. Tao, *The validity of dimensional regularization method on fractal spacetime*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. **20**, 321 (2013).

[2] E. Goldfain, preprint https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.24338.43207/1 (2024)

[3] E. Goldfain, preprint https://doi.org/10.32388/DW6ZZS (2023)

[4] E. Goldfain, preprint https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.21554.99524/2 (2023)

[5] A. Duncan, “The Conceptual Framework of Quantum Field Theory”, Oxford Univ. Press, (2012).

[6] K. J. Falconer, “The Geometry of Fractal Sets”, Cambridge Univ. Press, (1988).

[7] Svozil K., “*Quantum field theory on fractal spacetime: a new regularisation method*”, Journal of Physics A, (1987) **20**, no. 12, pp. 3861–3875.

[8] E. Goldfain, preprint https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.21233.54880/6 (2026).

[9] E. Goldfain, preprint https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.16252.40321 (2025).

[10] E. Goldfain, preprint https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.10864.52488/2 (2026).

[11] R. J. Creswick, H. A. Farach and C. P Poole, “Introduction to Renormalization Group Methods in Physics”, John Wiley & Sons, (1992).

[12] E. Goldfain, preprint https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.14675.39209/1 (2024)

[13] E. Goldfain, preprint https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.31079.93608/1 (2022)