Lambert W function 8/10/23, 12:21

New series and concise algorithm for "Lambert W function"

Warren D. Smith, Aug. 2023

ABSTRACT: We give a new series expression, and code up a concise algorithm, for the "Lambert W function" W(X) such that $We^W = X$ with $W \ge -1$.

By the "Lambert W function" W(X), I mean the greatest solution of We^W=X. A real solution exists if and only if $X \ge -1/e \approx -0.367879$. An equivalent equation (if we regard W instead as a function of L=InX) when X>0 is W+InW=L.

Some special values: W(-1/e)=-1, W(0)=0, W(e)=1, $W(e^{1+e})=e$.

Derivatives: W'(x)=W/(x+xW) if $x\neq 0$, 1 if x=0; W''(x)=-(W+2)W²x⁻²/(W+1)³ if $x\neq 0$, -2 if x=0; dW/dL=W/(W+1); $d^2W/dL^2=W/(W+1)^3$.

W(x) monotonically increases from -1 to ∞ .

Indefinite integrals: $\int W(x) dx = [W(x)-1+1/W(x)]x+C$, $\int W(x)/x dx = [W(x)/2+1] W(x) + C$,

Large-X asymptotic: $W(X)=L-lnL+G/L+O(G/L)^2$, where L=lnX and G=lnL.

Euler's series, convergent for $|z| \le 1/e$: $W(z) = \sum_{n \ge 1} -n^{n-1} (-z)^n / n!$.

My new series, also convergent for $|z| \le 1/e$: $[1+W(z)]^2 = 1-2\sum_{n\ge 1} n^{n-3} (-z)^n / (n-1)!$.

The new series is superior to Euler's in the sense that on the circle |z|=1/e, my nth |summand| falls asymptotically proportionally to $n^{-5/2}$, while Euler's falls asymptotically proportionally to $n^{-3/2}$. Also the function $[1+W(z)]^2$ has better numerical behavior than W(z) in the sense that W(z) has infinite "condition number," i.e. derivative, at z=-1/e, causing accurate evaluation of W(z) to be impossible when $z\approx-1/e$ using standard approximate-real arithmetic; but $(d/dz)[1+W(z)]^2=2W(z)/z$ is a member of the interval [0,2e] for all $z\geq-1/e$ so no obstacle inherently prevents precisely evaluating $[1+W(z)]^2$ anywhere.

Proof sketch: The new series may be shown to follow from the old one by a known combinatorial argument: -W(-z) is the exponential generating function $\sum_{n\geq 1} t_n z^n/n!$ for the number $t_n=n^{n-1}$ of rooted trees with n labeled vertices (and demand $t_0=0$). (One of the vertices is special and is called "root." This formula for t_n usually has been attributed to A.Cayley in 1889, but others also derived it in other ways, including some before Cayley, with one <u>nice</u> derivation being by Heinz Prüfer in 1918.) Then $[1+W(-z)]^2$ is the exponential generating function for *twice* the number $u_n=n^{n-2}$ of *un*rooted trees with n

Lambert W function 8/10/23, 12:21

labeled vertices, *except* we insist the constant term of this series be 1, i.e. artificially insist on regarding the zero-vertex tree as "half a tree." Essentially, the equivalence of Euler's and my new generating function identities then is simply expressing the fact that all n-vertex unrooted trees with labeled vertices, can be got by gluing A-vertex and B-vertex trees (A+B=n+1) at their common root – provided we do the right things to the constant terms of the series (i.e. insist on the right arbitrary artificial conventions about how to count "zero-vertex trees") to make it work. Q.E.D.

Asymptotics for X near -1/e: These are best expressed in terms of $Q=(-2[1+ln(-X)])^{1/2}$. Then Q=0 when X=-1/e, and Q>0 if -1/e<X<0, and Q= ∞ when X=0-, and X=-exp(-Q²/2-1). Then

$$[1+W(X)]^2 = Q^2 - 2Q^3/3 + Q^4/6 - Q^5/90 - Q^6/810 - Q^7/15120 + Q^8/68040 + 139Q^9/24494400 + Q^{10}/1020600 \pm O(Q^{11})$$

and

$$W(X) = -1 + Q - Q^2/3 + Q^3/36 + Q^4/270 + Q^5/4320 - Q^6/17010 - 139Q^7/5443200 - Q^8/204120 - 571Q^9/2351462400 + 281Q^{10}/1515591000 \pm O(Q^{11})$$

Algorithm: C code, "real" means 64-bit IEEE 754 floating point reals. Tested by, for W=-1..100 in steps of 0.001, computing X=We^W then computing V=LambertW(X) and assessing the error V-W. The maximum |error| found was 3.39×10⁻¹⁴ at W=-0.996 and X≈-0.36787649027603347:

References

NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, F.W.J.Olver, A.B. Olde Daalhuis, D.W. Lozier, B.I.Schneider, R.F.Boisvert, C.W.Clark, B.R.Miller, B.V.Saunders, H.S.Cohl, and M.A.McClain, eds, 2023 release.

Heinz Prüfer: Neuer Beweis eines Satzes über Permutationen, Arch. Math. Phys. 27 (1918) 742-744.