

# The Riemann Hypothesis Is True: The End of The Mystery (V5)

Abdelmajid Ben Hadj Salem

*To my wife Wahida, my daughter Sinda and my son Mohamed Mazen*

**Abstract.** In 1859, Georg Friedrich Bernhard Riemann had announced the following conjecture, called Riemann Hypothesis : *The nontrivial roots (zeros)  $s = \sigma + it$  of the zeta function, defined by:*

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \text{ for } \Re(s) > 1$$

*have real part  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ . In this note, I give the proof that  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$  using an equivalent statement of the Riemann Hypothesis concerning the Dirichlet  $\eta$  function.*

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010).** Primary 11AXX; Secondary 11M26.

**Keywords.** Zeta function, non trivial zeros of eta function, equivalence statements, definition of limits of real sequences, real functions, zero-free region.

## 1. Introduction

In 1859, G.F.B. Riemann had announced the following conjecture [1]:

**Conjecture 1.1.** Let  $\zeta(s)$  be the complex function of the complex variable  $s = \sigma + it$  defined by the analytic continuation of the function:

$$\zeta_1(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \text{ for } \Re(s) = \sigma > 1$$

over the whole complex plane, with the exception of  $s = 1$ . Then the non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s) = 0$  are written as :

$$s = \frac{1}{2} + it$$

In this paper, our idea is to start from an equivalent statement of the Riemann Hypothesis, namely the one concerning the Dirichlet  $\eta$  function. The latter is related to Riemann's  $\zeta$  function where we do not need to manipulate any expression of  $\zeta(s)$  in the critical band  $0 < \Re(s) < 1$ . In our calculations, we will use the definition of the limit of real sequences. We arrive to give the proof that  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ .

### 1.1. The function zeta(s)

We denote  $s = \sigma + it$  the complex variable of  $\mathbb{C}$ . For  $\Re(s) = \sigma > 1$ , let  $\zeta_1$  be the function defined by :

$$\zeta_1(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \text{ for } \Re(s) = \sigma > 1$$

We know that with the previous definition, the function  $\zeta_1$  is an analytical function of  $s$ . Denote by  $\zeta(s)$  the function obtained by the analytic continuation of  $\zeta_1(s)$  to the whole complex plane, minus the point  $s = 1$ , then we recall the following theorem [2]:

**Theorem 1.2.** *The function  $\zeta(s)$  satisfies the following :*

1.  $\zeta(s)$  has no zero for  $\Re(s) > 1$ ;
2. the only pole of  $\zeta(s)$  is at  $s = 1$ ; it has residue 1 and is simple;
3.  $\zeta(s)$  has trivial zeros at  $s = -2, -4, \dots$ ;
4. the nontrivial zeros lie inside the region  $0 \leq \Re(s) \leq 1$  (called the critical strip) and are symmetric about both the vertical line  $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$  and the real axis  $\Im(s) = 0$ .

The vertical line  $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$  is called the critical line.

The Riemann Hypothesis is formulated as:

**Conjecture 1.3.** (The Riemann Hypothesis,[2]) All nontrivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  lie on the critical line  $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

In addition to the properties cited by the theorem 1.2 above, the function  $\zeta(s)$  satisfies the functional relation [2] called also the reflection functional equation for  $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  :

$$\zeta(1-s) = 2^{1-s} \pi^{-s} \cos \frac{s\pi}{2} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s) \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Gamma(s)$  is the *gamma function* defined only for  $\Re(s) > 0$ , given by the formula :

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt, \quad \Re(s) > 0$$

So, instead of using the functional given by (1.1), we will use the one presented by G.H. Hardy [3] namely Dirichlet's eta function [2]:

$$\eta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^s} = (1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s)$$

The function eta is convergent for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(s) > 0$  [2].

We have also the theorem (see page 16, [3]):

**Theorem 1.4.** *For all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\zeta(1 + it) \neq 0$ .*

So, we take the critical strip as the region defined as  $0 < \Re(s) < 1$ .

## 1.2. A Equivalent statement to the Riemann Hypothesis

Among the equivalent statements to the Riemann Hypothesis is that of the Dirichlet function eta which is stated as follows [2]:

**Equivalence 1.5.** *The Riemann Hypothesis is equivalent to the statement that all zeros of the Dirichlet eta function :*

$$\eta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^s} = (1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s), \quad \sigma > 1 \quad (1.2)$$

that fall in the critical strip  $0 < \Re(s) < 1$  lie on the critical line  $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

The series (1.2) is convergent, and represents  $(1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s)$  for  $\Re(s) = \sigma > 0$  ([3], pages 20-21). We can rewrite:

$$\eta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^s} = (1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s), \quad \Re(s) = \sigma > 0 \quad (1.3)$$

$\eta(s)$  is a complex number, it can be written as :

$$\eta(s) = \rho.e^{i\alpha} \implies \rho^2 = \eta(s).\overline{\eta(s)} \quad (1.4)$$

and  $\eta(s) = 0 \iff \rho = 0$ .

## 2. Preliminaries of the proof that the zeros of the function eta(s) are on the critical line $\Re(s) = 1/2$

We begin by recalling some definitions:

- Let  $a_n$  be a sequence of real or complex numbers. A necessary and sufficient condition for the sequence to converge is that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an integer  $n_0 > 0$  such that:

$$|a_p - a_q| < \epsilon$$

holds for all integers  $p$  and  $q$  greater than  $n_0$ . This is the Cauchy criterion.

- An infinite series  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n$  converges if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an integer  $n_0 > 0$  satisfying  $|a_q + \dots + a_p| < \epsilon$  for all integers  $p$  and  $q$  greater than  $n_0$ . The last condition can also be written as :

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{n=q-1} a_n \right| < \epsilon$$

- An infinite series  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n$  is said to converge absolutely if  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |a_n|$  converges.

*Proof.* . We denote  $s = \sigma + it$  with  $0 < \sigma < 1$ . We consider one zero of  $\eta(s)$  that falls in critical strip and we write it as  $s = \sigma + it$ , then we obtain  $0 < \sigma < 1$  and  $\eta(s) = 0 \iff (1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s) = 0$ . We verify easily the two propositions:

$$\boxed{s, \text{ is one zero of } \eta(s) \text{ that falls in the critical strip, is also one zero of } \zeta(s)} \quad (2.1)$$

Conversely, if  $s$  is a zero of  $\zeta(s)$  in the critical strip, let  $\zeta(s) = 0 \implies \eta(s) = (1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s) = 0$ , then  $s$  is also one zero of  $\eta(s)$  in the critical strip. We can write:

$$\boxed{s, \text{ is one zero of } \zeta(s) \text{ that falls in the critical strip, is also one zero of } \eta(s)} \quad (2.2)$$

Let us write the function  $\eta$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^{n-1} e^{-s \text{Log} n} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^{n-1} e^{-(\sigma+it) \text{Log} n} = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^{n-1} e^{-\sigma \text{Log} n} \cdot e^{-it \text{Log} n} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^{n-1} e^{-\sigma \text{Log} n} (\cos(t \text{Log} n) - i \sin(t \text{Log} n)) \end{aligned}$$

The function  $\eta$  is convergent for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(s) > 0$ , but not absolutely convergent. Let  $s$  be one zero of the function eta, then :

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^s} = 0$$

or:

$$\forall \epsilon' > 0 \quad \exists n_0, \forall N > n_0, \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^s} \right| < \epsilon'$$

We define the sequence of functions  $((\eta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}(s))$  as:

$$\eta_n(s) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^s} = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \frac{\cos(t \text{Log} k)}{k^\sigma} - i \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \frac{\sin(t \text{Log} k)}{k^\sigma}$$

with  $s = \sigma + it$  and  $t \neq 0$ .

Let  $s$  be one zero of  $\eta$  that lies in the critical strip, then  $\eta(s) = 0$ , with  $0 < \sigma < 1$ . It follows that we can write  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \eta_n(s) = 0 = \eta(s)$ . We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \frac{\cos(t \text{Log} k)}{k^\sigma} &= 0 \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \frac{\sin(t \text{Log} k)}{k^\sigma} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of the limit of a sequence, we can write:

$$\forall \epsilon_1 > 0 \exists n_r, \forall N > n_r, |\Re(\eta(s)_N)| < \epsilon_1 \implies \Re(\eta(s)_N)^2 < \epsilon_1^2 \quad (2.3)$$

$$\forall \epsilon_2 > 0 \exists n_i, \forall N > n_i, |\Im(\eta(s)_N)| < \epsilon_2 \implies \Im(\eta(s)_N)^2 < \epsilon_2^2 \quad (2.4)$$

Then:

$$0 < \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\cos^2(t \text{Log} k)}{k^{2\sigma}} + 2 \sum_{k,k'=1; k < k'}^N \frac{(-1)^{k+k'} \cos(t \text{Log} k) \cdot \cos(t \text{Log} k')}{k^\sigma k'^\sigma} < \epsilon_1^2$$

$$0 < \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\sin^2(t \text{Log} k)}{k^{2\sigma}} + 2 \sum_{k,k'=1; k < k'}^N \frac{(-1)^{k+k'} \sin(t \text{Log} k) \cdot \sin(t \text{Log} k')}{k^\sigma k'^\sigma} < \epsilon_2^2$$

Taking  $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$  and  $N > \max(n_r, n_i)$ , we get by making the sum member to member of the last two inequalities:

$$0 < \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k^{2\sigma}} + 2 \sum_{k,k'=1; k < k'}^N (-1)^{k+k'} \frac{\cos(t \text{Log}(k/k'))}{k^\sigma k'^\sigma} < 2\epsilon^2 \quad (2.5)$$

We can write the above equation as :

$$0 < \rho_N^2 < 2\epsilon^2 \quad (2.6)$$

or  $\rho(s) = 0$ .

### 3. Case $\Re(s) = 1/2$

We suppose that  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ . Let's start by recalling Hardy's theorem (1914) ([2], page 24):

**Theorem 3.1.** *There are infinitely many zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  on the critical line.*

From the propositions (2.1-2.2), it follows the proposition :

**Proposition 3.2.** *There are infinitely many zeros of  $\eta(s)$  on the critical line.*

Let  $s_j = \frac{1}{2} + it_j$  one of the zeros of the function  $\eta(s)$  on the critical line, so  $\eta(s_j) = 0$ . The equation (2.5) is written for  $s_j$ :

$$0 < \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k} + 2 \sum_{k,k'=1;k < k'}^N (-1)^{k+k'} \frac{\cos(t_j \text{Log}(k/k'))}{\sqrt{k}\sqrt{k'}} < 2\epsilon^2$$

or:

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k} < 2\epsilon^2 - 2 \sum_{k,k'=1;k < k'}^N (-1)^{k+k'} \frac{\cos(t_j \text{Log}(k/k'))}{\sqrt{k}\sqrt{k'}}$$

If  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ , the series  $\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k}$  is divergent and becomes infinite. then:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k} \leq 2\epsilon^2 - 2 \sum_{k,k'=1;k < k'}^{+\infty} (-1)^{k+k'} \frac{\cos(t_j \text{Log}(k/k'))}{\sqrt{k}\sqrt{k'}}$$

Hence, we obtain the following result:

$$\boxed{\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k,k'=1;k < k'}^N (-1)^{k+k'} \frac{\cos(t_j \text{Log}(k/k'))}{\sqrt{k}\sqrt{k'}} = -\infty} \quad (3.1)$$

if not, we will have a contradiction with the fact that :

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^N (-1)^{k-1} \frac{1}{k^{s_j}} = 0 \iff \eta(s) \text{ is convergent for } s_j = \frac{1}{2} + it_j$$

#### 4. Case $0 < \Re(s) < 1/2$

##### 4.1. Case where there are zeros of $\eta(s)$ with $s = \sigma + it$ and $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ .

Suppose that there exists  $s = \sigma + it$  one zero of  $\eta(s)$  or  $\eta(s) = 0 \implies \rho^2(s) = 0$  with  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2} \implies s$  lies inside the critical band. We write the equation (2.5):

$$0 < \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k^{2\sigma}} + 2 \sum_{k,k'=1;k < k'}^N (-1)^{k+k'} \frac{\cos(t \text{Log}(k/k'))}{k^\sigma k'^\sigma} < 2\epsilon^2$$

or:

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k^{2\sigma}} < 2\epsilon^2 - 2 \sum_{k,k'=1;k < k'}^N (-1)^{k+k'} \frac{\cos(t \text{Log}(k/k'))}{k^\sigma k'^\sigma}$$

But  $2\sigma < 1$ , it follows that  $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k^{2\sigma}} \rightarrow +\infty$  and then, we obtain

:

$$\boxed{\sum_{k,k'=1;k < k'}^{+\infty} (-1)^{k+k'} \frac{\cos(t \text{Log}(k/k'))}{k^\sigma k'^\sigma} = -\infty} \quad (4.1)$$

### 5. Case $1/2 < \Re(s) < 1$

Let  $s = \sigma + it$  be the zero of  $\eta(s)$  in  $0 < \Re(s) < \frac{1}{2}$ , object of the previous paragraph. From the proposition (2.1),  $\zeta(s) = 0$ . According to point 4 of theorem 1.2, the complex number  $s' = 1 - \sigma + it = \sigma' + it'$  with  $\sigma' = 1 - \sigma$ ,  $t' = t$  and  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma' < 1$  verifies  $\zeta(s') = 0$ , so  $s'$  is also a zero of the function  $\zeta(s)$  in the band  $\frac{1}{2} < \Re(s) < 1$ , it follows from the proposition (2.2) that  $\eta(s') = 0 \implies \rho(s') = 0$ . By applying (2.5), we get:

$$0 < \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k^{2\sigma'}} + 2 \sum_{k,k'=1;k < k'}^N (-1)^{k+k'} \frac{\cos(t' \text{Log}(k/k'))}{k^{\sigma'} k'^{\sigma'}} < 2\epsilon^2 \quad (5.1)$$

As  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2} \implies 2 > 2\sigma' = 2(1 - \sigma) > 1$ , then the series  $\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k^{2\sigma'}}$  is convergent to a positive constant not null  $C(\sigma')$ . As  $1/k^2 < 1/k^{2\sigma'}$  for all  $k > 0$ , then :

$$0 < \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} < \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2\sigma'}} = C(\sigma') = \zeta_1(2\sigma') = \zeta(2\sigma')$$

From the equation (5.1), it follows that :

$$\boxed{\sum_{k,k'=1;k < k'}^{+\infty} (-1)^{k+k'} \frac{\cos(t' \text{Log}(k/k'))}{k^{\sigma'} k'^{\sigma'}} = -\frac{C(\sigma')}{2} = -\frac{\zeta(2\sigma')}{2} > -\infty} \quad (5.2)$$

**5.0.1. Case  $t = 0$ .** We suppose that  $t = 0 \implies t' = 0$ . The equation (5.2) becomes:

$$\sum_{k,k'=1;k < k'}^{+\infty} (-1)^{k+k'} \frac{1}{k^{\sigma'} k'^{\sigma'}} = -\frac{C(\sigma')}{2} = -\frac{\zeta(2\sigma')}{2} > -\infty \quad (5.3)$$

Then  $s' = \sigma' > 1/2$  is a zero of  $\eta(s)$ , we obtain :

$$\eta(s') = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{s'}} = 0 \quad (5.4)$$

Let us define the sequence  $S_m$  as:

$$S_m(s') = \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{s'}} = \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{\sigma'}} = S_m(\sigma') \quad (5.5)$$

From the definition of  $S_m$ , we obtain :

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} S_m(s') = \eta(s') = \eta(\sigma') \quad (5.6)$$

We have also:

$$S_1(\sigma') = 1 > 0 \quad (5.7)$$

$$S_2(\sigma') = 1 - \frac{1}{2^{\sigma'}} > 0 \quad \text{because } 2^{\sigma'} > 1 \quad (5.8)$$

$$S_3(\sigma') = S_2(\sigma') + \frac{1}{3^{\sigma'}} > 0 \quad (5.9)$$

We proceed by recurrence, we suppose that  $S_m(\sigma') > 0$ .

$$1. m = 2q \implies S_{m+1}(\sigma') = \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{\sigma'}} = S_m(\sigma') + \frac{(-1)^{m+1-1}}{(m+1)^{\sigma'}}, \text{ it gives:}$$

$$S_{m+1}(\sigma') = S_m(\sigma') + \frac{(-1)^{2q}}{(m+1)^{\sigma'}} = S_m(\sigma') + \frac{1}{(m+1)^{\sigma'}} > 0 \implies S_{m+1}(\sigma') > 0$$

2.  $m = 2q + 1$ , we can write  $S_{m+1}(\sigma')$  as:

$$S_{m+1}(\sigma') = S_{m-1}(\sigma') + \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m^{\sigma'}} + \frac{(-1)^{m+1-1}}{(m+1)^{\sigma'}}$$

We have  $S_{m-1}(\sigma') > 0$ , let  $T = \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m^{\sigma'}} + \frac{(-1)^m}{(m+1)^{\sigma'}}$ , we obtain:

$$T = \frac{(-1)^{2q}}{(2q+1)^{\sigma'}} + \frac{(-1)^{2q+1}}{(2q+2)^{\sigma'}} = \frac{1}{(2q+1)^{\sigma'}} - \frac{1}{(2q+2)^{\sigma'}} > 0 \quad (5.10)$$

and  $S_{m+1}(\sigma') > 0$ .

Then all the terms  $S_m(\sigma')$  of the sequence  $S_m$  are great then 0, it follows that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} S_m(\sigma') = \eta(\sigma') = \eta(\sigma') > 0$  and  $\eta(\sigma') < +\infty$  because  $\Re(\sigma') = \sigma' > 0$  and  $\eta(\sigma')$  is convergent. We deduce the contradiction with the hypothesis  $\sigma'$  is a zero of  $\eta(s)$  and:

$$\boxed{\text{The equation (5.3) is false for the case } t' = t = 0.} \quad (5.11)$$

**5.0.2. Case  $t \neq 0$ .** Great effort has been put to find regions inside the critical strip where there are no zeros of the function  $\zeta(s)$ . The classical zero-free region is of the form  $\sigma > 1 - 1/(R_0 \log|t|)$ , where  $R_0$  is a positive constant. The best known result of this form is due to H. Kadiri [4]:

**Theorem 5.1. (Kadiri, 2005)**  $\zeta(s)$  does not vanish in the region:

$$\Re(s) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{R_0 \log|\Im(s)|}, |\Im(s)| \geq 2 \quad \text{with } R_0 = 5.69693 \quad (5.12)$$

In the equation (5.2), we have used  $s' = \sigma' + it'$  where we can consider that  $t' > 2$ , with  $2 > 2\sigma' > 1$  and  $\sigma' \in ]1/2, 1[$ . The same equation expresses that  $\eta(s') = 0 \implies \zeta(s') = 0$ , but it does not give any obstruction that  $s' = \sigma' + it'$  could be in the zero-free region of the function  $\zeta$  defined by the last theorem above so that:

$$\sigma' \geq 1 - \frac{1}{R_0 \log|t'|} > 1 - \frac{1}{R_0 \log 2} \approx 0.74 \implies 2 > 2\sigma' > 1, \quad t' > 2$$

Then the contradiction, it follows that the equation (5.2) is false and  $\eta(s')$  does not vanish for  $\sigma' \in ]1/2, 1[$  and:

$$\boxed{\text{The equation (5.2) is false for the case } t' = t \neq 0.} \quad (5.13)$$

From (5.11) and the equation above, we conclude that the function  $\eta(s)$  has no zeros for all  $s' = \sigma' + it'$  with  $\sigma' \in ]1/2, 1[$ , it follows that the case of the

paragraph (4) above concerning the case  $0 < \Re(s) < \frac{1}{2}$  is false too. Then, the function  $\eta(s)$  has all its zeros on the critical line  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ . From the equivalent statement (1.5), it follows that **the Riemann hypothesis is verified**.  $\square$

From the calculations above, we can verify easily the following known proposition:

**Proposition 5.2.** *For all  $s = \sigma$  real with  $0 < \sigma < 1$ ,  $\eta(s) > 0$  and  $\zeta(s) < 0$ .*

## 6. Conclusion

In summary: for our proofs, we made use of Dirichlet's  $\eta(s)$  function:

$$\eta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^s} = (1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s), \quad s = \sigma + it$$

on the critical band  $0 < \Re(s) < 1$ , in obtaining:

- $\eta(s)$  vanishes for  $0 < \sigma = \Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ ;
- $\eta(s)$  does not vanish for  $0 < \sigma = \Re(s) < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma = \Re(s) < 1$ .

Consequently, all the zeros of  $\eta(s)$  inside the critical band  $0 < \Re(s) < 1$  are on the critical line  $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Applying the equivalent proposition to the Riemann Hypothesis (1.5), we conclude that **the Riemann hypothesis is verified** and all the nontrivial zeros of the function  $\zeta(s)$  lie on the critical line  $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ . The proof of the Riemann Hypothesis is thus completed.

We therefore announce the important theorem as follows:

**Theorem 6.1.** *The Riemann Hypothesis is true:*

*All nontrivial zeros of the function  $\zeta(s)$  with  $s = \sigma + it$  lie on the vertical line  $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ .*

### Statements and Declarations:

- The author declares no conflicts of interest.
- No funds, grants, or other support was received.
- The author declares he has no financial interests.
- ORCID - ID:0000-0002-9633-3330.

## References

1. Bombieri E. . 2006. The Riemann Hypothesis. In The millennium prize problems, edited by J. Carlson, A. Jaffe and A. Wiles, pp. 107–124, Clay Math. Institute, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.

2. Borwein P., Choi S., Rooney B. and Weirathmueller A. . 2008. The Riemann hypothesis - a resource for the aficionado and virtuoso alike. 1st Ed. *CMS Books in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, New-York. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-72126-2>
3. Titchmarsh E.C., Heath-Brown D.R. . 1986. The theory of the Riemann zeta-function. 2sd Ed. revised by D.R. Heath-Brown. *Oxford University Press*, New-York.
4. H. Kadiri. 2005. Une région explicite sans zéros pour la fonction  $\zeta$  de Riemann. *Acta Arithmetica* **117.4**, pp. 303–339.

Abdelmajid Ben Hadj Salem  
Residence Bousten 8, Bloc B, Av. Mosquee Raoudha,  
Soukra, 1181 Soukra Raoudha,  
Tunisia  
e-mail: [abenhadjsale@gmail.com](mailto:abenhadjsale@gmail.com)