

The Proofs of Legendre's Conjecture and Three Related Conjectures

Wing K. Yu

Abstracts

In this paper, we are going to prove Legendre's Conjecture: There is a prime number between n^2 and $(n + 1)^2$ for every positive integer n . We will also prove three related conjectures. The method that we use is to analyze a binomial coefficient. It has been developed from the method of analyzing a central binomial coefficient that was used by Paul Erdős to prove Bertrand's postulate - Chebyshev's theorem.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Lemmas	3
3. A Prime Number between $(\lambda - 1)n$ and λn when $n \geq (\lambda - 2) \geq 9$	5
4. The Proof of Legendre's Conjecture	7
5. The Proofs of Three Related Conjectures	9
6. References	10

1. Introduction

Legendre's Conjecture was proposed by Andrien-Marie Legendre [1]. The conjecture is one of Legendre's problems (1912) on prime numbers. It states that there is a prime number between n^2 and $(n + 1)^2$ for every positive integer n .

In this paper, we will prove Legendre's Conjecture by analyzing the binomial coefficient $\binom{\lambda n}{n}$ where λ is an integer and $\lambda \geq 3$. It is developed from the method that was used by Paul Erdős [2] to prove Bertrand's postulate - Chebyshev's theorem [3].

In Section 1, we will define the prime number factorization operator and clarify some terms and concepts. In Section 2, we will derive some lemmas. In Section 3, we will develop a theorem to be used in the proofs of the conjectures in the later sections. In Section 4, we will prove Legendre's conjecture, and in Section 5, we will prove Oppermann's conjecture [4], Brocard's conjecture [5], and Andrica's conjecture [6].

Definition: $\Gamma_{a \geq p > b} \left\{ \binom{\lambda n}{n} \right\}$ denotes the prime factorization operator of $\binom{\lambda n}{n}$. It is the product of the prime numbers in the decomposition of $\binom{\lambda n}{n}$ in the range of $a \geq p > b$. In this operator, p is a prime number, a and b are real numbers, and $\lambda n \geq a \geq p > b \geq 1$.

It has some properties:

$$\text{It is always true that } \Gamma_{a \geq p > b} \left\{ \binom{\lambda n}{n} \right\} \geq 1 \quad \text{--- (1.1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{If there is no prime number in } \Gamma_{a \geq p > b} \left\{ \binom{\lambda n}{n} \right\}, \text{ then } \Gamma_{a \geq p > b} \left\{ \binom{\lambda n}{n} \right\} = 1, \text{ or vice versa,} \\ &\text{if } \Gamma_{a \geq p > b} \left\{ \binom{\lambda n}{n} \right\} = 1, \text{ then there is no prime number in } \Gamma_{a \geq p > b} \left\{ \binom{\lambda n}{n} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad \text{--- (1.2)}$$

For example, when $\lambda = 5$ and $n = 4$, $\Gamma_{16 \geq p > 10} \left\{ \binom{20}{4} \right\} = 13^0 \cdot 11^0 = 1$. No prime number 13 or 11 is in $\binom{20}{4}$ in the range of $16 \geq p > 10$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{If there is at least one prime number in } \Gamma_{a \geq p > b} \left\{ \binom{\lambda n}{n} \right\}, \text{ then } \Gamma_{a \geq p > b} \left\{ \binom{\lambda n}{n} \right\} > 1, \text{ or vice versa,} \\ &\text{if } \Gamma_{a \geq p > b} \left\{ \binom{\lambda n}{n} \right\} > 1, \text{ then there is at least one prime number in } \Gamma_{a \geq p > b} \left\{ \binom{\lambda n}{n} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad \text{--- (1.3)}$$

For example, when $\lambda = 5$ and $n = 4$, $\Gamma_{20 \geq p > 16} \left\{ \binom{20}{4} \right\} = 19 \cdot 17 > 1$. Prime numbers 19 and 17 are in $\binom{20}{4}$ in the range of $20 \geq p > 16$.

Let $v_p(n)$ be the p -adic valuation of n , the exponent of the highest power of p that divides n . Similar to Paul Erdős' paper [2], we define $R(p)$ by the inequalities $p^{R(p)} \leq \lambda n < p^{R(p)+1}$, and determine the p -adic valuation of $\binom{\lambda n}{n}$.

$$v_p \left(\binom{\lambda n}{n} \right) = v_p((\lambda n)!) - v_p(((\lambda - 1)n)!) - v_p(n!) = \sum_{i=1}^{R(p)} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{\lambda n}{p^i} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{(\lambda - 1)n}{p^i} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^i} \right\rfloor \right) \leq R(p)$$

because for any real numbers a and b , the expression of $[a + b] - [a] - [b]$ is 0 or 1.

$$\text{Thus, if } p \text{ divides } \binom{\lambda n}{n}, \text{ then } v_p \left(\binom{\lambda n}{n} \right) \leq R(p) \leq \log_p(\lambda n), \text{ or } p^{v_p \left(\binom{\lambda n}{n} \right)} \leq p^{R(p)} \leq \lambda n \quad \text{--- (1.4)}$$

$$\text{And if } \lambda n \geq p > \lfloor \sqrt{\lambda n} \rfloor, \text{ then } 0 \leq v_p \left(\binom{\lambda n}{n} \right) \leq R(p) \leq 1 \quad \text{--- (1.5)}$$

Let $\pi(n)$ be the number of distinct prime numbers less than or equal to n . Among the first six consecutive natural numbers are three prime numbers 2, 3 and 5. Then, for each additional six consecutive natural numbers, at most one can add two prime numbers, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ and $p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$. Thus, $\pi(n) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor + 2 \leq \frac{n}{3} + 2$. — (1.6)

From the prime number decomposition,

$$\text{when } n > \lfloor \sqrt{\lambda n} \rfloor, \binom{\lambda n}{n} = \Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{n! \cdot ((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \cdot \Gamma_{n \geq p > \lfloor \sqrt{\lambda n} \rfloor} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{n! \cdot ((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \cdot \Gamma_{\lfloor \sqrt{\lambda n} \rfloor \geq p} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{n! \cdot ((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\}$$

$$\text{when } n \leq \lfloor \sqrt{\lambda n} \rfloor, \binom{\lambda n}{n} \leq \Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{n! \cdot ((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \cdot \Gamma_{\lfloor \sqrt{\lambda n} \rfloor \geq p} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{n! \cdot ((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\}$$

$$\text{Thus, } \binom{\lambda n}{n} \leq \Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{n! \cdot ((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \cdot \Gamma_{n \geq p > \lfloor \sqrt{\lambda n} \rfloor} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{n! \cdot ((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \cdot \Gamma_{\lfloor \sqrt{\lambda n} \rfloor \geq p} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{n! \cdot ((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\}$$

$\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{n! \cdot ((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} = \Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\}$ since all prime numbers in $n!$ do not appear in the range of $\lambda n \geq p > n$.

Referring to (1.5), $\Gamma_{n \geq p > \lfloor \sqrt{\lambda n} \rfloor} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{n! \cdot ((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \leq \prod_{n \geq p} p$. It has been proved [7] that for $n \geq 3$,

$$\prod_{n \geq p} p < 2^{2n-3}. \text{ Thus, for } n \geq 3, \Gamma_{n \geq p > \lfloor \sqrt{\lambda n} \rfloor} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{n! \cdot ((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \leq \prod_{n \geq p} p < 2^{2n-3}.$$

Referred to (1.4) and (1.6), $\Gamma_{\lfloor \sqrt{\lambda n} \rfloor \geq p} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{n! \cdot ((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \leq (\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3} + 2}$.

$$\text{Thus, for } n \geq 3, \binom{\lambda n}{n} < \Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \cdot 2^{2n-3} \cdot (\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3} + 2} \quad \text{— (1.7)}$$

2. Lemmas

Lemma 1: If a real number $x \geq 3$, then $\frac{2(2x-1)}{x-1} > \left(\frac{x}{x-1}\right)^x$ — (2.1)

Proof:

$$\text{Let } f_1(x) = \frac{2(2x-1)}{x-1}, \text{ then } f_1'(x) = \frac{2(x-1)(2x-1)' - 2(2x-1)(x-1)'}{(x-1)^2} = \frac{-2}{(x-1)^2} < 0.$$

Thus, $f_1(x)$ is a strictly decreasing function for $x > 1$.

Since $f_1(3) = 5$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f_1(x) = 4$, for $x \geq 3$, we have $5 \geq f_1(x) = \frac{2(2x-1)}{x-1} \geq 4$.

$$\text{Let } f_2(x) = \left(\frac{x}{x-1}\right)^x, \text{ then } f_2'(x) = \left(\left(\frac{x}{x-1}\right)^x\right)' = \left(e^{x \cdot \ln \frac{x}{x-1}}\right)' = e^{x \cdot \ln \frac{x}{x-1}} \cdot \left(x \cdot \ln \frac{x}{x-1}\right)'$$

$$f_2'(x) = \left(\frac{x}{x-1}\right)^x \cdot \left(\ln \frac{x}{x-1} + x \cdot \left(\ln \frac{x}{x-1}\right)'\right) = \left(\frac{x}{x-1}\right)^x \cdot \left(\ln \frac{x}{x-1} + x \cdot \frac{x-1}{x} \cdot \frac{x-1-x}{(x-1)^2}\right)$$

$$f_2'(x) = \left(\frac{x}{x-1}\right)^x \cdot \left(\ln \frac{x}{x-1} - \frac{1}{x-1}\right) \quad \text{— (2.1.1)}$$

$$\text{In (2.1.1), } \frac{1}{x-1} = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1}{x^3} + \frac{1}{x^4} + \frac{1}{x^5} + \frac{1}{x^6} + \dots$$

Using the formula: $\ln(1+x) = x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{x^4}{4} + \frac{x^5}{5} - \frac{x^6}{6} + \dots$, we have

$$\ln \frac{x}{x-1} = \ln \frac{1}{1+\frac{-1}{x}} = -\ln \left(1 + \frac{-1}{x}\right) = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2x^2} + \frac{1}{3x^3} + \frac{1}{4x^4} + \frac{1}{5x^5} + \frac{1}{6x^6} + \dots$$

Thus for $x \geq 3$, $\ln \frac{x}{x-1} - \frac{1}{x-1} < 0$

Since $\left(\frac{x}{x-1}\right)^x$ is a positive number for $x \geq 3$, $f_2'(x) = \left(\frac{x}{x-1}\right)^x \cdot \left(\ln \frac{x}{x-1} - \frac{1}{x-1}\right) < 0$.

Thus $f_2(x)$ is a strictly decreasing function for $x \geq 3$.

Since $f_2(3) = 3.375$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f_2(x) = e \approx 2.718$, for $x \geq 3$, $3.375 \geq f_2(x) = \left(\frac{x}{x-1}\right)^x \geq e$ — (2.1.2)

Since for $x \geq 3$, $f_1(x)$ has a lower bound of 4 and $f_2(x)$ has an upper bound of 3.375,

$f_1(x) = \frac{2(2x-1)}{x-1} > f_2(x) = \left(\frac{x}{x-1}\right)^x$ is proven. — (2.1.3)

Lemma 2: For $n \geq 2$ and $\lambda \geq 3$, $\binom{\lambda n}{n} > \frac{\lambda^{\lambda n - \lambda + 1}}{n(\lambda-1)^{(\lambda-1)n - \lambda + 1}}$ — (2.2)

Proof:

When $\lambda \geq 3$ and $n = 2$, $\binom{\lambda n}{n} = \binom{2\lambda}{2} = \frac{2\lambda(2\lambda-1)(2\lambda-2)!}{2(2\lambda-2)!} = \lambda(2\lambda-1)$ — (2.2.1)

$\frac{\lambda^{\lambda n - \lambda + 1}}{n(\lambda-1)^{(\lambda-1)n - \lambda + 1}} = \frac{\lambda^{2\lambda - \lambda + 1}}{2(\lambda-1)^{2(\lambda-1) - \lambda + 1}} = \frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^\lambda$ — (2.2.2)

In (2.1) when $x = \lambda \geq 3$, we have $\frac{2(2\lambda-1)}{\lambda-1} > \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^\lambda$ — (2.2.3)

Since $\frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{2}$ is a positive number for $\lambda \geq 3$, referring to (2.2.1) and (2.2.2), when $\frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{2}$

multiplies to both sides of (2.2.3), we have

$\left(\frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2(2\lambda-1)}{\lambda-1}\right) = \lambda(2\lambda-1) = \binom{\lambda n}{n} > \left(\frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^\lambda = \frac{\lambda^{\lambda n - \lambda + 1}}{n(\lambda-1)^{(\lambda-1)n - \lambda + 1}}$

Thus, $\binom{\lambda n}{n} > \frac{\lambda^{\lambda n - \lambda + 1}}{n(\lambda-1)^{(\lambda-1)n - \lambda + 1}}$ when $\lambda \geq 3$ and $n = 2$. — (2.2.4)

By induction on n , when $\lambda \geq 3$, if $\binom{\lambda n}{n} > \frac{\lambda^{\lambda n - \lambda + 1}}{n(\lambda-1)^{(\lambda-1)n - \lambda + 1}}$ is true for n , then for $n+1$, we have

$\binom{\lambda(n+1)}{n+1} = \binom{\lambda n + \lambda}{n+1} = \frac{(\lambda n + \lambda)(\lambda n + \lambda - 1) \cdots (\lambda n + 2)(\lambda n + 1)}{(\lambda n + \lambda - n - 1)(\lambda n + \lambda - n - 2) \cdots (\lambda n - n + 1)(n+1)} \cdot \binom{\lambda n}{n}$

$\binom{\lambda(n+1)}{n+1} > \frac{(\lambda n + \lambda)(\lambda n + \lambda - 1) \cdots (\lambda n + 2)(\lambda n + 1)}{(\lambda n + \lambda - n - 1)(\lambda n + \lambda - n - 2) \cdots (\lambda n - n + 1)(n+1)} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{\lambda n - \lambda + 1}}{n(\lambda-1)^{(\lambda-1)n - \lambda + 1}}$

$\binom{\lambda(n+1)}{n+1} > \frac{(\lambda n + \lambda)(\lambda n + \lambda - 1) \cdots (\lambda n + 2)}{(\lambda n + \lambda - n - 1)(\lambda n + \lambda - n - 2) \cdots (\lambda n - n + 1)} \cdot \frac{\lambda n + 1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{(n+1)} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{\lambda n - \lambda + 1}}{(\lambda-1)^{(\lambda-1)n - \lambda + 1}}$

Notice $\frac{\lambda n + 1}{n} > \lambda$, and $\frac{(\lambda n + \lambda)(\lambda n + \lambda - 1) \cdots (\lambda n + 2)}{(\lambda n + \lambda - n - 1)(\lambda n + \lambda - n - 2) \cdots (\lambda n - n + 1)} > \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^{(\lambda-1)}$

because $\frac{\lambda n + \lambda}{\lambda n + \lambda - n - 1} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}$; $\frac{\lambda n + \lambda - 1}{\lambda n + \lambda - n - 2} > \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}$; \cdots ; $\frac{\lambda n + 2}{\lambda n - n + 1} > \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}$.

Thus $\binom{\lambda(n+1)}{n+1} > \frac{\lambda^{\lambda-1}}{(\lambda-1)^{(\lambda-1)}} \cdot \frac{\lambda}{1} \cdot \frac{1}{(n+1)} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{\lambda n - \lambda + 1}}{(\lambda-1)^{(\lambda-1)n - \lambda + 1}} = \frac{\lambda^{\lambda(n+1) - \lambda + 1}}{(n+1)(\lambda-1)^{(\lambda-1)(n+1) - \lambda + 1}}$ — (2.2.5)

From (2.2.4) and (2.2.5), we have for $n \geq 2$ and $\lambda \geq 3$, $\binom{\lambda n}{n} > \frac{\lambda^{\lambda n - \lambda + 1}}{n(\lambda - 1)^{(\lambda - 1)n - \lambda + 1}}$

Thus, Lemma 2 is proven.

3. A Prime Number between $(\lambda - 1)n$ and λn when $n \geq (\lambda - 2) \geq 9$

Proposition:

For $n \geq (\lambda - 2) \geq 9$, there exists at least a prime number p such that $(\lambda - 1)n < p \leq \lambda n$. — (3.1)

Proof:

When $n \geq (\lambda - 2) \geq 3$, if there is a prime number p in $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda - 1)n)!} \right\}$, then

$$p \geq n + 1 = \sqrt{(n + 2)n + 1} > \sqrt{\lambda n}. \text{ Referring to (1.5), } 0 \leq v_p \left(\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda - 1)n)!} \right\} \right) \leq R(p) \leq 1.$$

Then every prime number in $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda - 1)n)!} \right\}$ has the power of 0 or 1. — (3.2)

Referring to (1.7), $\binom{\lambda n}{n} < \Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda - 1)n)!} \right\} \cdot 2^{2n-3} \cdot (\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3} + 2}$. Applying this inequality to (2.2), when $n \geq (\lambda - 2) \geq 3$, we have

$$\frac{\lambda^{\lambda n - \lambda + 1}}{n(\lambda - 1)^{(\lambda - 1)n - \lambda + 1}} < \binom{\lambda n}{n} < \Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda - 1)n)!} \right\} \cdot 2^{2n-3} \cdot (\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3} + 2}.$$

$$\frac{\lambda^{\lambda n - \lambda + 1}}{n(\lambda - 1)^{(\lambda - 1)n - \lambda + 1}} < \Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda - 1)n)!} \right\} \cdot 2^{2n-3} \cdot (\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3} + 2}. \text{ Since } (\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3} + 2} > 1 \text{ and } 2^{2n-3} > 1,$$

$$\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda - 1)n)!} \right\} > \frac{\lambda^{\lambda n - \lambda + 1}}{(\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3} + 2} \cdot 2^{2n-3} \cdot n(\lambda - 1)^{(\lambda - 1)n - \lambda + 1}} = \frac{2\lambda^2 \cdot \left(\left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{4} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1} \right)^\lambda \right)^{(n-1)}}{(\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3} + 3}}$$

Referring to (2.1.2), when $\lambda \geq 3$, $\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1} \right)^\lambda \geq e$. Thus, when $n \geq (\lambda - 2) \geq 3$,

$$\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda - 1)n)!} \right\} > \frac{2\lambda^2 \cdot \left(\left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{4} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1} \right)^\lambda \right)^{(n-1)}}{(\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3} + 3}} \geq \frac{2\lambda^2 \cdot \left(\left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{4} \right) \cdot e \right)^{(n-1)}}{(\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3} + 3}} = f_3(n, \lambda) \quad \text{— (3.3)}$$

Let $x \geq 3$ and $y \geq 5$ are both real numbers.

$$\text{When } x = y - 2, f_3(x, y) = \frac{2(x+2)^2 \cdot \left(\left(\frac{x+1}{4} \right) \cdot e \right)^{(x-1)}}{((x+2) \cdot x)^{\frac{\sqrt{x \cdot (x+2)}}{3} + 3}} > f_4(x) = \frac{2(x+2)^2 \cdot \left(\left(\frac{x+1}{4} \right) \cdot e \right)^{(x-1)}}{((x+2) \cdot x)^{\frac{x+1}{3} + 3}} > 0 \quad \text{— (3.4)}$$

$$f_4'(x) = f_4(x) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{x+2} + \ln \left(\frac{x+1}{4} \right) + \frac{4}{3} - \frac{2}{x+1} - \frac{1}{3} \ln((x+2) \cdot x) - \frac{10}{3x} - \frac{8}{3(x+2)} \right) = f_4(x) \cdot f_5(x)$$

$$\text{where } f_5(x) = \frac{2}{x+2} + \ln \left(\frac{x+1}{4} \right) + \frac{4}{3} - \frac{2}{x+1} - \frac{1}{3} \ln((x+2) \cdot x) - \frac{10}{3x} - \frac{8}{3(x+2)}$$

$$f_5'(x) = \frac{4x+6}{(x+1)^2 \cdot (x+2)^2} + \frac{x^2+2x-2}{3x(x+1)(x+2)} + \frac{10}{3x^2} + \frac{8}{3(x+2)^2} > 0 \text{ when } x \geq 3.$$

Thus, $f_5(x)$ is a strictly increasing function for $x \geq 3$.

When $x = 9$, $f_5(x) = \frac{2}{9+2} + \ln\left(\frac{9+1}{4}\right) + \frac{4}{3} - \frac{2}{9+1} - \frac{1}{3}\ln(9) - \frac{1}{3}\ln(9+2) - \frac{10}{27} - \frac{8}{33} > 0$. Thus,

for $x \geq 9$, $f_5(x) > 0$. Then, $f_4'(x) = f_4(x) \cdot f_5(x) > 0$.

Thus, $f_4(x)$ is a strictly increasing function for $x \geq 9$.

Let $x_1 = 9$ and $y_1 = 11$. From **(3.4)**, when $x = y - 2$, $f_3(x, y) > f_4(x) > 0$. Thus, when $x = y - 2 \geq 9$,

then $xy \geq x_1y_1 = 99$, $f_3(x, y)$ is an increasing function respect to the product of xy . — **(3.5)**

$$\frac{\partial f_3(x, y)}{\partial x} = f_3(x, y) \cdot \left(\ln\left(\frac{y-1}{4}\right) + 1 - \frac{\sqrt{y}}{6\sqrt{x}} \cdot \ln(yx) - \frac{\sqrt{y}}{3\sqrt{x}} - \frac{3}{x} \right) = f_3(x, y) \cdot f_6(x, y) \quad \text{— (3.6)}$$

$$\text{where } f_6(x, y) = \ln\left(\frac{y-1}{4}\right) + 1 - \frac{\sqrt{y}}{6\sqrt{x}} \cdot \ln(yx) - \frac{\sqrt{y}}{3\sqrt{x}} - \frac{3}{x}$$

$$\text{When } x = y - 2, \text{ then } f_6(x, y) = f_7(x) = \ln\left(\frac{x+1}{4}\right) + 1 - \frac{\sqrt{x+2}}{6\sqrt{x}} \cdot (\ln(x+2) + \ln(x) + 2) - \frac{3}{x}$$

$$\text{When } x \geq 3, f_7'(x) = \frac{1}{x+1} - \frac{\sqrt{x+2}}{6\sqrt{x}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{x+2} + \frac{1}{x}\right) + \frac{\ln(x+2) + \ln(x) + 2}{6x\sqrt{x(x+2)}} + \frac{3}{x^2}$$

$$f_7'(x) = \left(\frac{1}{x+1} - \frac{1}{3\sqrt{x(x+2)}}\right) + \frac{\ln(x+2) + \ln(x)}{6x\sqrt{x(x+2)}} + \frac{3}{x^2} > 0. \text{ Thus, } f_7(x) \text{ is a strictly increasing function.}$$

When $x = y - 2 \geq 3$, $f_6(x, y) = f_7(x)$. Thus, $f_6(x, y)$ is an increasing function respect to xy .

$$\text{When } x = y - 2 = 9, f_6(x, y) = \ln\left(\frac{11-1}{4}\right) + 1 - \frac{\sqrt{11}}{6\sqrt{9}} \cdot \ln(99) - \frac{\sqrt{11}}{3\sqrt{9}} - \frac{3}{9} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial f_6(x, y)}{\partial x} = \frac{\sqrt{y}}{12x\sqrt{x}} \cdot \ln(y) + \frac{\sqrt{y}}{12x\sqrt{x}} \cdot \ln(x) + \frac{\sqrt{y}}{6x\sqrt{x}} + \frac{\sqrt{y}}{6x\sqrt{x}} + \frac{3}{x^2} > 0 \text{ when } x \geq (y-2) \geq 3.$$

Thus, when $x \geq (y-2) \geq 9$, $f_6(x, y) > 0$ and it is an increasing function with respect to x and to

the product of xy , then, $\frac{\partial f_3(x, y)}{\partial x} = f_3(x, y) \cdot f_6(x, y) > 0$.

Thus, when $x \geq y - 2 \geq 9$, $f_3(x, y)$ is an increasing function with respect to x . — **(3.7)**

Referring to **(3.5)** and **(3.7)**, when $x \geq y - 2 \geq 9$, then $xy \geq x_1y_1 = 99$, $f_3(x, y)$ is an increasing function respect to the product of xy . — **(3.8)**

Let $x = n$, and $y = \lambda$. Referring to **(3.3)**, when $n \geq (\lambda - 2) \geq 3$, $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} > f_3(n, \lambda)$.

Thus, when $n \geq \lambda - 2 \geq 9$, then $\lambda n \geq x_1y_1 = 99$. Referring to **(3.7)** and **(3.8)**, $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\}$

is an increasing function respect to the product of λn and respect to n . — **(3.9)**

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} = \\ & = \Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > (\lambda-1)n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{i=\lambda-2} \left(\Gamma_{\frac{(\lambda-1)n}{i} \geq p > \frac{\lambda n}{i+1}} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \cdot \Gamma_{\frac{\lambda n}{i+1} \geq p > \frac{(\lambda-1)n}{i+1}} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$\ln \prod_{i=1}^{\lambda-2} \left(\Gamma_{\frac{(\lambda-1)n}{i} \geq p > \frac{\lambda n}{i+1}} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \right)$, for every distinct prime number p in these ranges, the numerator $(\lambda n)!$ has the product of $p \cdot 2p \cdot 3p \dots ip = (i)! \cdot p^i$. The denominator $((\lambda - 1)n)!$ also has the same product of $(i)! \cdot p^i$. Thus, they cancel to each other in $\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!}$.

$$\text{Referring to (1.2), } \prod_{i=1}^{\lambda-2} \left(\Gamma_{\frac{(\lambda-1)n}{i} \geq p > \frac{\lambda n}{i+1}} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \right) = 1.$$

$$\text{Thus, } \Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} = \Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > (\lambda-1)n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{i=\lambda-2} \left(\Gamma_{\frac{\lambda n}{i+1} \geq p > \frac{(\lambda-1)n}{i+1}} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \right)$$

$$\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} = \prod_{i=1}^{i=\lambda-1} \left(\Gamma_{\frac{\lambda n}{i} \geq p > \frac{(\lambda-1)n}{i}} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \right).$$

$\prod_{i=1}^{i=\lambda-1} \left(\Gamma_{\frac{\lambda n}{i} \geq p > \frac{(\lambda-1)n}{i}} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \right)$ is the product of $(\lambda - 1)$ sectors from $i = 1$ to $i = (\lambda - 1)$. Each of these sectors is the prime number factorization of the product of the consecutive positive integers between $\frac{(\lambda-1)n}{i}$ and $\frac{\lambda n}{i}$. Referring to **(3.9)**, when $n \geq \lambda - 2 \geq 9$, then $\lambda n \geq 99$,

$\prod_{i=1}^{i=\lambda-1} \left(\Gamma_{\frac{\lambda n}{i} \geq p > \frac{(\lambda-1)n}{i}} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \right)$ is an increasing function to the product of λn and respect to n . Thus, at least one sector in $\prod_{i=1}^{i=\lambda-1} \left(\Gamma_{\frac{\lambda n}{i} \geq p > \frac{(\lambda-1)n}{i}} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} \right)$ is an increasing function respect to the product of λn and respect to n . Let $\Gamma_{\frac{\lambda n}{i} \geq p > \frac{(\lambda-1)n}{i}} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\}$ be such a sector, then when increasing n by multiplying it with i , $\Gamma_{\frac{\lambda ni}{i} \geq p > \frac{(\lambda-1)ni}{i}} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda ni)!}{((\lambda-1)ni)!} \right\} = \Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > (\lambda-1)n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda ni)!}{((\lambda-1)ni)!} \right\}$ where $\frac{(\lambda ni)!}{((\lambda-1)ni)!} = \frac{(\lambda ni)(\lambda ni-1) \cdots (\lambda ni-ni+1) \cdot ((\lambda-1)ni)!}{((\lambda-1)ni)!}$. Since i or any p in the range of $\lambda ni \geq p > \lambda n$ is not in the range between $(\lambda - 1)n$ and λn , $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > (\lambda-1)n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda ni)!}{((\lambda-1)ni)!} \right\} = \Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > (\lambda-1)n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\}$.

Thus, $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > (\lambda-1)n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\}$ is an increasing function respect to the product of λn and respect to n .

When $n = \lambda - 2 = 9$, $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > (\lambda-1)n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} = \Gamma_{99 \geq p > 90} \left\{ \frac{(99)!}{(90)!} \right\} = 97 > 1$. Since it is an increasing function respect to the product of λn , when $n = \lambda - 2 \geq 9$, $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > (\lambda-1)n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} > 1$. Since it is also an increasing function respect to n , when $n \geq \lambda - 2 \geq 9$, $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p > (\lambda-1)n} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1)n)!} \right\} > 1$.

Referring to **(1.3)**, there exists at least a prime number p such that $(\lambda - 1)n < p \leq \lambda n$.

Thus, **Proposition (3.1)** is proven. It becomes a theorem: **Theorem (3.1)**.

4. The Proof of Legendre's Conjecture

Legendre's Conjecture states that there is a prime number between n^2 and $(n + 1)^2$ for every positive integer n . — **(4.1)**

Proof:

Referring to **Theorem (3.1)**, for integers $j \geq k - 2 \geq 9$, there exists at least a prime number p such that $j(k - 1) < p \leq jk$. — **(4.2)**

When $k = j + 1 \geq 11$, then $j = k - 1 \geq 10$

Applying $k = j + 1$ into (4.2), then $j^2 < p \leq j(j+1) < (j+1)^2$

Let $n = j \geq 10$, then we have $n^2 < p < (n+1)^2$. — (4.3)

For $1 \leq n \leq 9$, we have a table, **Table 1**, that shows Legendre's conjecture valid. — (4.4)

Table 1: For $1 \leq n \leq 9$, there is a prime number between n^2 and $(n+1)^2$.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
n^2	1	4	9	16	25	36	49	64	81
p	3	5	11	19	29	41	53	67	83
$(n+1)^2$	4	9	16	25	36	49	64	81	100

Combining (4.3) and (4.4), we have proven Legendre's conjecture.

Extension of Legendre's conjecture

There are at least two prime numbers, p_n and p_m , between j^2 and $(j+1)^2$ for every positive integer j such that $j^2 < p_n \leq j(j+1)$ and $j(j+1) < p_m < (j+1)^2$ where p_n is the n^{th} prime number, p_m is the m^{th} prime number, and $m \geq n+1$. — (4.5)

Proof:

Referring to **Theorem (3.1)**, for integers $j \geq k-2 \geq 9$, there exists at least a prime number p such that $j(k-1) < p \leq jk$.

When $k-1 = j \geq 10$, then $j(k-1) = j^2 < p_n \leq jk = j(j+1)$. Thus, there is at least a prime number p_n such that $j^2 < p_n \leq j(j+1)$ when $j = k-1 \geq 10$.

When $j = k-2 \geq 10$, then $k = j+2$. Thus, $j(k-1) = j(j+1) < p_m \leq jk = j(j+2) < (j+1)^2$. Thus, there is at least another prime number p_m such that $j(j+1) < p_m < (j+1)^2$ when $j = k-2 \geq 10$.

Thus, when $j \geq 10$, there are at least two prime numbers p_n and p_m between j^2 and $(j+1)^2$ such that $j^2 < p_n \leq j(j+1) < p_m < (j+1)^2$ where $m \geq n+1$ for $p_m > p_n$. — (4.6)

For $1 \leq j \leq 9$, we have a table, **Table 2**, that shows (4.5) valid. — (4.7)

Table 2: For $1 \leq j \leq 9$, there are 2 prime numbers such that $j^2 < p_n \leq j(j+1) < p_m < (j+1)^2$.

j	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
j^2	1	4	9	16	25	36	49	64	81
p_n	2	5	11	19	29	41	53	67	83
$j(j+1)$	2	6	12	20	30	42	56	72	90
p_m	3	7	13	23	31	43	59	73	97
$(j+1)^2$	4	9	16	25	36	49	64	81	100

Combining (4.6) and (4.7), we have proven (4.5). It becomes a theorem: **Theorem (4.5)**.

5. The Proofs of Three Related Conjectures

Oppermann's conjecture was proposed by Ludvig Oppermann [4] in March 1877. It states that for every integer $x > 1$, there is at least one prime number between $x(x - 1)$ and x^2 , and at least another prime between x^2 and $x(x + 1)$. — (5.1)

Proof:

Theorem (4.5) states there are at least two prime numbers, p_n and p_m , between j^2 and $(j + 1)^2$ for every positive integer j such that $j^2 < p_n \leq j(j+1)$ and $j(j+1) < p_m < (j + 1)^2$ where $m \geq n + 1$ for $p_m > p_n$.

$j(j+1)$ is a composite number except $j = 1$. Since $j^2 < p_n \leq j(j+1)$ is valid for every positive integer j , when we replace j with $j+1$, we have $(j + 1)^2 < p_v < (j+1)(j+2)$.

Thus, we have $j(j+1) < p_m < (j + 1)^2 < p_v < (j+1)(j+2)$. — (5.2)

When $x > 1$, then $(x - 1) \geq 1$. Substitute j with $(x - 1)$ in (5.2), we have

$x(x - 1) < p_m < x^2 < p_v < x(x + 1)$ — (5.3)

Thus, we have proven Oppermann's conjecture.

Brocard's conjecture is after Henri Brocard [5]. It states that there are at least 4 prime numbers between $(p_n)^2$ and $(p_{n+1})^2$, where p_n is the n^{th} prime number, for every $n > 1$. — (5.4)

Proof:

Theorem (4.5) states there are at least two prime numbers, p_n and p_m , between j^2 and $(j + 1)^2$ for every positive integer j such that $j^2 < p_n \leq j(j+1)$ and $j(j+1) < p_m < (j + 1)^2$ where $m \geq n + 1$ for $p_m > p_n$. When $j > 1$, $j(j+1)$ is a composite number. Then **Theorem (4.5)** can be written as $j^2 < p_n < j(j+1)$ and $j(j+1) < p_m < (j + 1)^2$.

In the series of prime numbers: $p_1=2, p_2=3, p_3=5, p_4=7, p_5=11...$ all prime numbers except p_1 are odd numbers. Their gaps are two or more. Thus when $n > 1$, $(p_{n+1} - p_n) \geq 2$.

Thus, we have $p_n < (p_n + 1) < p_{n+1}$ when $n > 1$. — (5.5)

Applying **Theorem (4.5)** to (5.5), when $n > 1$, we have at least two prime numbers p_{m1}, p_{m2} in between $(p_n)^2$ and $(p_n + 1)^2$ such that $(p_n)^2 < p_{m1} < p_n(p_n+1) < p_{m2} < (p_n + 1)^2$, and at least

two more prime numbers p_{m3}, p_{m4} in between $(p_n + 1)^2$ and $(p_{n+1})^2$ such that $(p_n + 1)^2 < p_{m3} < p_{n+1}(p_n+1) < p_{m4} < (p_{n+1})^2$.

Thus, there are at least 4 prime numbers between $(p_n)^2$ and $(p_{n+1})^2$ for $n > 1$ such that

$(p_n)^2 < p_{m1} < p_n(p_n+1) < p_{m2} < (p_n + 1)^2 < p_{m3} < p_{n+1}(p_n+1) < p_{m4} < (p_{n+1})^2$ — (5.6)

Thus, Brocard's conjecture is proven.

Andrica's conjecture is named after Dorin Andrica [6]. It is a conjecture regarding the gaps between prime numbers. The conjecture states that the inequality $\sqrt{p_{n+1}} - \sqrt{p_n} < 1$ holds for all n where p_n is the n^{th} prime number. If $g_n = p_{n+1} - p_n$ denotes the n^{th} prime gap, then Andrica's conjecture can also be rewritten as $g_n < 2\sqrt{p_n} + 1$. — (5.7)

Proof:

From **Theorem (4.5)**, for every positive integer j , there are at least two prime numbers p_n and p_m between j^2 and $(j + 1)^2$ such that $j^2 < p_n \leq j(j+1) < p_m < (j + 1)^2$ where $m \geq n + 1$ for $p_m > p_n$.

Since $m \geq n + 1$, we have $p_m \geq p_{n+1}$.

Thus, we have $j^2 < p_n$. — (5.8)

And $p_{n+1} \leq p_m < (j + 1)^2$. — (5.9)

Since j, p_n, p_{n+1} and $(j + 1)$ are positive integers,

$j < \sqrt{p_n}$ — (5.10)

And $\sqrt{p_{n+1}} < j + 1$ — (5.11)

Applying (5.10) to (5.11), we have $\sqrt{p_{n+1}} < \sqrt{p_n} + 1$. — (5.12)

Thus, $\sqrt{p_{n+1}} - \sqrt{p_n} < 1$ holds for all n since in **Theorem (4.5)**, j holds for all positive integers.

Using the prime gap to prove the conjecture, from (5.8) and (5.9), we have

$g_n = p_{n+1} - p_n < (j + 1)^2 - j^2 = 2j + 1$. From (5.10), $j < \sqrt{p_n}$.

Thus, $g_n = p_{n+1} - p_n < 2\sqrt{p_n} + 1$. — (5.13)

Thus, Andrica's conjecture is proven.

6. References

- [1] *Wikipedia*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Legendre%27s_conjecture
- [2] P. Erdős, *Beweis eines Satzes von Tschebyschef*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **5** (1930-1932), 194-198
- [3] M. Aigner and G. M. Ziegler, *Proofs from THE BOOK (4th ed.)*, Chapter 2, Springer, 2010.
- [4] *Wikipedia*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oppermann%27s_conjecture
- [5] *Wikipedia*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brocard%27s_conjecture
- [6] *Wikipedia*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Andrica%27s_conjecture
- [7] *Wikipedia*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Proof_of_Bertrand%27s_postulate, Lemma 4.