

SPACE-TIME QUANTIFICATION

F. M. Sanchez¹ and C. Bizouard²

¹20 Avenue d'Ivry, 75013 Paris, France, hol137@yahoo.fr

²Observatoire de Paris / SYRTE, PSL, France

May 22, 2022

Abstract

The quantification of Length and Time in Kepler's laws implies an angular momentum quantum, identified with the reduced Planck's constant, showing a mass-symmetry with the Newtonian constant G . This leads to the Diophantine Coherence Theorem which generalizes the synthetic resolution of the Hydrogen spectrum by Arthur Haas, three years before Bohr. The Length quantum breaks the Planck wall by a factor 10^{61} , and the associated Holographic Cosmos is identified as the source of the Background Radiation in the Steady-State Cosmology. An Electricity-Gravitation symmetry, connected with the Combinatorial Hierarchy, defines the steady-state Universe with an invariant Hubble radius 13.812 milliard light-year, corresponding to 70.793 (km/s)/Mpc, a value deposited (1998) in a Closed Draft at the Paris Academy, confirmed by the WMAP value and the recent Carnegie-Chicago Hubble Program, and associated with the Eddington number and the Kotov-Lyuty non-local oscillation. This confirms definitely the Anthropic Principle and the Diophantine Holographic Topological Axis rehabilitating the tachyonic bosonic string theory. This specifies G , compatible with the BIPM measurements, but at 6 σ from the official value, defined by merging discordant measurements.

Mai 2022

1 The Diophantine Coherence Theorem (DCT)

For connecting different physical measurements, Physics uses multiplication while addition is forbidden. But multiplication is a generalization of addition [26]. This paradox may be suppressed by considering only numerical ratios of the same physical quantity, as in the third Kepler law, *introducing Space and Time quanta* L_1 and T_1 [37]. Considered as a Diophantine Equation, which uses only natural numbers n , it resolves directly :

$$(T_n/T_1)^2 = (L_n/L_1)^3 \equiv n^6 \Rightarrow T_n = n^3 T_1 ; L_n = n^2 L_1 . \quad (1)$$

This proceeds from the Holic Principle [32], a Diophantine form of the Holographic Principle, which states that the nature of a physical ratio is related to its exponent identified with its topological dimensions : 3 for Space, 2 for a 2D Time [3], 5 for Mass, and 7 for Field. The Simplest Diophantine Equation

37 $Y = X^2$ is the basis of the Topological Axis, the skeleton of the cosmic mass
 38 spectrum [36].

39 The n -invariant L_n^3/T_n^2 is homogeneous to Gm_G , where G is Newton's grav-
 40 itational constant, and m_G is a mass (here the usual central mass is divided by
 41 the factor $4\pi^2$). The other Kepler's law states that the orbital angular momen-
 42 tum per unit mass is an orbital invariant. Since the corresponding term L_n^2/T_n
 43 is proportional to n , this implies an orbital momentum quantum, identified to
 44 the reduced Planck constant, or *action quantum* \hbar , privileged by the the spin
 45 concept in particle physics. While the ratio of the kinematic parts of G and \hbar
 46 are homogeneous to a speed, these two universal constants presents a symmetry
 47 by respect to the mass concept, implying the association of \hbar with a mass m_{\hbar} :

$$L_n^3/T_n^2 = Gm_G \quad ; \quad L_n^2/T_n = n \hbar/m_{\hbar} . \quad (2)$$

48 Any mass pair (m_G, m_{\hbar}) is associated to a series of Keplerian orbits (L_n, T_n) :

$$L_n = \frac{(n \hbar)^2}{Gm_G m_{\hbar}^2} \quad ; \quad T_n = \frac{(n \hbar)^3}{G^2 m_G^2 m_{\hbar}^3} . \quad (3)$$

49 For $n = 1$ and $m_G = m_{\hbar} = m$, the Special Non-Local Length and Time are:

$$L_{SNL}(m) = \frac{\hbar^2}{Gm^3} \quad ; \quad T_{SNL}(m) = \frac{\hbar^3}{G^2 m^5} . \quad (4)$$

50 Introducing the *formal* velocity $V_n = L_n/T_n$, this connects the reduced
 51 Planck energy $n \hbar/T_n$ with the gravitational potential energy between the to
 52 couple (m_G, m_{\hbar}) and with the energy $m_{\hbar} V_n^2$:

$$V_n = L_n/T_n = Gm_G m_{\hbar}/n \hbar \quad \Rightarrow \quad n \hbar/T_n = Gm_G m_{\hbar}/L_n = m_{\hbar} V_n^2 . \quad (5)$$

53 With the Planck mass $m_P = \sqrt{\hbar c/G}$, where the light speed c is the third
 54 universal constant, this reads

$$\frac{n \hbar}{T_n} = \frac{Gm_G m_{\hbar}}{L_n} = m_{\hbar} V_n^2 \equiv m_{\hbar} \left(\frac{c}{nA} \right)^2 \quad ; \quad A = \frac{m_P^2}{m_G m_{\hbar}} . \quad (6)$$

55 This is called the *Diophantine Coherence Theorem* (DCT).

56 2 The Atom H and the Holographic Cosmos

57 Three years before Bohr, Arthur Haas [15] considered *the electron orbital period*
 58 in the Rutherford model, and the corresponding Planck energy $nh\nu = nh/T_n =$
 59 $n \hbar v_n/L_n$ where $v_n = 2\pi V_n$ is the orbital velocity. The correct Hydrogen
 60 spectrum is obtained by equalizing it with the electric potential energy $\hbar c/aL_n$,
 61 where $a \approx 137.0359991$ is the electric constant, and the double (virial) kinetic
 62 electron energy $m_e v_n^2$ (the useful physical constants are listed in Table 1):

$$n \hbar \frac{v_n}{L_n} = \frac{\hbar c}{aL_n} = m_e v_n^2 \equiv m_e \left(\frac{c}{na} \right)^2 . \quad (7)$$

63 Note that the so-called "properties of vacuum" ϵ_0 and μ_0 are unnecessary :
 64 they are only introduced for historical reasons, leading to the cumbersome, but

65 official, choice of electrical units, hiding the true "electrical constant" a , whose
66 inverse α , called "the fine structure constant" is of minor importance. For
67 $n = 1$, this gives the bare Haas-Bohr radius: $r_{HB} = a\lambda_e$, where $\lambda_e \equiv \hbar/(m_e c)$ is
68 the Reduced Electron wavelength (the effective electron mass effect defines the
69 Bohr radius $r_B = r_{HB} \times (1 + 1/p)$). This double equation shows up the same
70 form that the above DCT (6), where additional 2π factors are integrated in the
71 definitions of m_G and m_{\hbar} . The identification of potential energy terms implies
72 $m_G m_{\hbar} = m_P^2/a$, thus in this case $A = a$. The simplest choice $m_{\hbar} = m_e$ implies
73 the following m_G , where $m_N = am_e$ is the Nambu mass, a quasi-quantum in
74 *Particle Physics* [23]:

$$m_{\hbar} = m_e \quad ; \quad m_G = \frac{m_P^2}{m_N} \quad ; \quad A = a . \quad (8)$$

75 This last mass is $m_G \approx 3.7939 \times 10^{12}$ kg, whose corresponding Special Non
76 Local Length (4) is :

$$d_0 = L_{SNL}(m_P^2/m_N) \approx 3.051 \times 10^{-96} \text{ meter} . \quad (9)$$

77 This is the Cosmic Space Quantum breaking the "Planck Wall" by a factor 10^{61}
78 which has been associated to the Cosmos holographic radius R_{hol} , defined by
79 the Bekenstein-Hawking Entropy formula [7], where the *Planck Length* $l_P \equiv$
80 $(G\hbar/c^3)^{1/2} \equiv L_{SNL}(m_P)$ is a basic holographic length [36]:

$$\pi \left(\frac{R_{hol}}{l_P} \right)^2 = 2\pi \frac{R_{hol}}{d_0} \Rightarrow R_{hol} = 2L_{SNL}(m_N) \approx 18.105 \text{ Giga light-year (Glyr)}, \quad (10)$$

81 which is a typical cosmic length. The Cosmos radius R_C has been defined by
82 the natural *mono-chromatic* holographic extension :

$$\pi \left(\frac{R_{hol}}{l_P} \right)^2 = 2\pi \frac{R_{hol}}{d_0} = 2\pi \frac{R_C}{l_P} \Rightarrow R_C = 2L_{SNL}(m_N^2/m_P) \approx 9.075 \times 10^{86} \text{ m}, \quad (11)$$

83 which is related to the above Haas-Bohr radius r_{HB} by the 10 ppm quasi
84 holographic formula :

$$\frac{4\pi^2}{3} \left(\frac{p}{n_t} \right)^2 \left(\frac{R_C}{r_{HB}} \right)^3 = a^a , \quad (12)$$

85 showing a significative role of the Electric constant a , implying it is a *calculation*
86 *basis* [39], in concordance with the quantification of *Space-Time* [13].

87 Introducing $\lambda_{hol} = \sqrt{l_P L_{SNL}(m_N)} \equiv L_{SNL}(\sqrt{m_N m_P})$, it enters an exten-
88 sion of the Holographic Principle:

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_{hol}}{l_P} \right)^2 = \frac{l_P}{d_0} , \quad (13)$$

89 which is the above basic holic form at the basis of the Topological Axis [34].
90 This wavelength λ_{hol} enters also the following dramatic holographic relations
91 involving the wavelengths of the Electron, the Hydrogen, and the Weak Bosons
92 W (0.3 ppm):

$$4\pi\sqrt{\beta} \frac{\lambda_{hol}\lambda_W}{\lambda_H^2} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \left(\frac{\lambda_e}{\lambda_H} \right)^3 \quad (14)$$

93 where $\pi\sqrt{\beta} \approx 3+(7+1/\sqrt{2 \times 137})^{-1}$. The involved term $HW \approx Ze^{e^2}(\pi_q/\pi)\sqrt{(p/p_W)}$
 94 (30 ppb), implying the corrected π_q value defined by the adimensional electric
 95 charge $q = (4\pi_q/a)^{1/2} = W \sin\theta/H^{(0)}$, with $\cos\theta = W/Z$. This means that *the*
 96 *heart of Physics is the following holographic relations:*

$$\frac{a+1}{a} 4\pi (e^\pi)^2 \approx \frac{4\pi}{3} e^{e^2} \approx \frac{4\pi}{3} a^{3/2} \frac{d_e(a+1)}{137} \approx \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{aa_w}{H^0}} \approx F \frac{F}{10a_s} \approx \frac{9\mu\sqrt{aa_w}}{W\sqrt{137}}, \quad (15)$$

97 where the tau mass appears in the relations $9\mu/\tau \approx g_1/g_2 \equiv \tan\theta$ and $W^2 \approx$
 98 $2\tau Z \sin\theta (H^0/a)^{1/2}$.

99 These formula leads to the following ppb relation, showing a role of the
 100 geometrical factor 4π :

$$\left(\frac{P}{a_w}\right)^3 \approx \left(\frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{a}}\right)^8 \frac{(pH\beta^2)^5}{2} \approx \frac{aW}{137Z} (pH)^5 \quad (16 \text{ ppm}), \quad (16)$$

101 The Table 2 shows the symmetry between the Nambu mass m_N and the Planck
 102 mass m_P , whose large value is the source of the "Hierarchical Problem" [31].
 103 Now $\lambda_{hol} \approx \lambda_{CMB}/2a_s^2$, where $2a_s^2 \approx a$, tying to 0.3 % the strong coupling a_s
 104 and the nominal wavelength hc/kT_{CMB} of the Cosmic Micro-onde Background
 105 (CMB), whose source is lacking in the steady-state cosmology [6], [16]. The
 106 simplest hypothesis is that the above Cosmos is this source. Indeed, the Wien
 107 CMB wavelength λ_{Wn} enters :

$$4\pi \left(\frac{R_{hol}}{\lambda_{Wn}}\right)^2 \approx e^a \quad (0.1\%). \quad (17)$$

108 This perfect holographic formula suggests that *the CMB would be coherent,*
 109 *meaning it brings information.* This could be the real signification of the CMB
 110 Anisotropy Statistics [1].

111 3 The Gravitational Dihydrogen

112 The Haas method was already applied to the *special three-body* gravitational
 113 dihydrogen [34, p.391]:

$$n \frac{\hbar v_n}{L_n} = \frac{Gm_p m_H}{L_n} = m_e v_n^2, \quad (18)$$

114 The comparison with the above Haas equation implies the substitution : $a \rightarrow$
 115 $a_G = m_P^2/m_p m_H$, corresponding to the following m_G value :

$$m_{\hbar} = m_e \quad ; \quad m_G = m_{bc} \quad ; \quad A = a_G \quad (19)$$

116 where $m_{bc} = m_p m_H/m_e$ is close to the DNA bi-codon mass [34], (DNA =
 117 Desoxyribo Nucleic Acid), which shows a central position in the Topological Axis
 118 [34], corresponding to the dimension 16. Indeed the corresponding topological
 119 term e^{16} is close to pHR_{hol}/R_{H_2} , and, more precisely, to $2n_t^4/a^3$ (0.04 %).

120 For $n = 1$, this Haas-Sanchez radius R_{H_2} shows a direct Electricity-Gravitation
 121 symmetry, by respect to the Reduced Electron wavelength $\lambda_e = \hbar/m_e c$, where

122 $m_0 = (m_e m_p m_H)^{1/3}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_{HB} &= a\lambda_e = a \frac{\hbar}{m_e c} \\
 R_{H_2} &= a_G \lambda_e = \frac{\hbar^2}{G m_e m_p m_H} \equiv L_{SNL}(m_0) \approx 6.906 \text{ Glyr.}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{20}$$

123 Note that a and a_G are very close to the last two terms of the Combinatorial
 124 Hierarchy 137 and $N_L + 137$, with $N_L = 2^{127} - 1$, the Lucas Number [4]. It
 125 was noted that the implied Mersenne numbers 3,7,127 relates the gravitational
 126 main large number $P = m_P/m_e$ with the weak parameter $a_w = (m_F/m_e)^2$ and
 127 \sqrt{a} in the following relation, and the rearranging of the exponents shows the
 128 above neutron ratio:

$$P^{3+7} \approx a_w^7 \sqrt{a}^{7+127} \quad (57ppm) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{P}{a_w} \sqrt{a} \approx (\sqrt{a}^{127}/P^3)^{1/7} \approx n_t^3. \tag{21}$$

129 In the gravitational dihydrogen radius formula R_{H_2} , the speed c is elimi-
 130 nated: for this reason, a precise approximation was immediately guessed by the
 131 c -free "dimensional analysis", the so-called *Three Minutes Formula*, from the
 132 ternary symmetry Electron-Proton-Neutron (Closed Letter to the Paris Science
 133 Academy, March 1998) [33] (Table 2). Indeed, the speed c is far too small a
 134 speed to explain the cosmic coherence manifested by the Foucault pendulum
 135 (Mach Principle).

136 The Special Non-Local Time $T_{SNL}(m_0)$ is very close (0.9 %) to the time
 137 given by the triplet : (\hbar, G_F, ρ_{cr}) , with the Fermi constant G_F and the critical
 138 steady-state density $\rho_{cr} = 3c^2/8\pi GR^2$ with horizon radius $R = 2R_{H_2}$: it
 139 is $\hbar^4/G_F^{5/2} \rho_{cr}^{3/2}$, introducing the steady-state Universe of radius $R = 2R_{H_2}$
 140 discussed now.

141 4 The Steady-State Universe re-established

142 A salient feature of the observed Universe is its critical character, relating its
 143 horizon radius R with its mass by $R = 2GM/c^2$. However, in the initial "flat
 144 universe" model [12], the total mass M is only matter, while in the present
 145 Λ CDM standard model, it is separated between a material part, with relative
 146 density Ω_m , and a so-called "dark energy" part with relative density $1 - \Omega_m$ [1].
 147 We have noted that Ω_m is compatible with 3/10, which is both the relative den-
 148 sity of the classical gravitational energy of a critical homogeneous ball and the
 149 relative density of the steady-state *non-relativist* recession kinetic energy [36].
 150 While the standard cosmology uses an ad-hoc inflation to justify this observed
 151 critical condition, we consider rather the Universe as a particle (Topon) in the
 152 above Cosmos, with the Topon wavelength $\lambda_M \equiv \hbar/Mc = 2\hbar G/Rc^3 \equiv 2l_P^2/R$.
 153 Then, the critical condition results from the Bekeinstein-Hawking entropy holo-
 154 graphic relation, as above (Eq. 10), where the Topon appears as a Length
 155 Quantum, since the wavelength λ_m associated for any particle of mass m is a
 156 whole multiple n_m of the Topon, in conformity with the Field Quantum Theory.
 157 The geometrical interpretation is clear : *it is a sphere area described by a whole*

158 number of sweeping circles, illustrating the fact that multiplication is a series of
 159 additions, an approximation supporting the vastness of the world [36]:

$$4\pi \left(\frac{R_{HB}}{l_P} \right)^2 = \pi \left(\frac{R}{l_P} \right)^2 = 2\pi \frac{R}{\lambda_M} \equiv 2\pi n_m \frac{R}{\lambda_m} \Rightarrow M = \frac{Rc^2}{2G} \equiv \frac{R_{H_2}c^2}{G}, \quad (22)$$

160 identifying twice the above Haas-Sanchez's gravitational radius R_{H_2} with R ,
 161 the steady-state Universe horizon radius, which is also *the limit of a theoretical*
 162 *star radius when its number of atoms shrinks to one [10], a central length in*
 163 *astrophysics which induces a coefficient-free Universe Mass Relation:*

$$R = 2 \frac{\hbar^2}{Gm_e m_p m_H} \approx 13.812 \text{ Glyr} \Rightarrow M = \frac{m_P^4}{m_e m_p m_H}. \quad (23)$$

164 This is called the *Machian Formula*. Recall that the standard General Relativity
 165 is unable to explain the Mach Principle. With the effective electron mass $m'_e =$
 166 $m_e m_p / (m_p + m_e) \equiv M/n_e$, this introduces n_e , the *Universe Electron Quantum*
 167 *Number*, canonical in Quantum Field Theory. The Eddington's Electron-Proton
 168 symmetry shows up in the following *resolution of the so-called Large Number*
 169 *Problem*, where λ_{pH} is the geometrical mean of the reduced wavelengths of the
 170 proton and Hydrogen:

$$\frac{m_P^2}{m_p m_e} \equiv \sqrt{n_e} \equiv \frac{R}{2\lambda_{pH}}, \quad (24)$$

171 which is extended by very precise dramatic expressions involving the symmetry
 172 between the weak bosons of masses $m_W = Wm_e$ and $m_Z = Zm_e$, specifying
 173 the known relation $a_G \approx W^8$ [9]:

$$\sqrt{n_e} \approx \frac{(WZ)^4}{2} \approx \left(\frac{m_F^2}{m_p m_H} \right)^7 \left(\frac{aZ}{W} \right)^3, \quad (25)$$

174 where appears as well a Planck-Fermi symmetry, enlighting the "Hierarchical
 175 problem" [31].

176 In the Topological Axis, the above Topon corresponds to the orbital number
 177 $k = 7$, while the gauge bosons corresponds to $k = 3$ (weak bosons W,Z) and
 178 $k = 5$ (strong GUT boson X), letting a single place $k = 1$ for a *non-standard*
 179 *massive Gluon* [36].

180 The particular values of the topological function $f(k) = e^{2^{k+1/2}}$ for $k = 7$
 181 and 6 show up in (0.06%):

$$\sqrt{n_e}/153 \approx \sqrt{f(7)} \equiv f(6) \approx 6R/\lambda_e. \quad (26)$$

182 implying that $m_p/m_e \approx 1836 \equiv 6 \times 2 \times 153$, the Diophantine approximation
 183 of the Wyler formula $p_W = 6\pi^5$ [43]. This is a dramatic confirmation of the
 184 Topological Axis pertinence. The spectroscopic number associated to k is $2(2k +$
 185 $1)$, where 2 is the spin degeneracy and $2k + 1$ the number of magnetic states
 186 [37]. For $k = 6$, this is 26, the canonical dimension in the bosonic string theory
 187 [31].

188 This *invariable* Universe radius $R \approx 13.812$ Giga light-year (Glyr) of Eq.
 189 (23) is close to c times the variable standard Universe age. So the standard
 190 theoretical approach is correct, but not its Big Bang interpretation : a confusion

191 is made between Time and Length, which readily occurs by putting $c = 1$.
 192 Moreover, the corresponding Hubble constant c/R is 70.793 (km/s)/Mpc, which
 193 is compatible with both the WMAP and the Carnegie-Chicago Hubble Program
 194 recent direct measurements (Table 3).

195 The above Universe gravitational potential energy $(3/10)Mc^2$ shows a Neu-
 196 tron Quantum Number (the number of neutron masses) very close (0.05 %) to
 197 the large Eddington Number [36]. So it has nearly anticipated the correct
 198 Hubble Constant value (Table 3).

199 The theoretical prediction [9] that a is the order of $\ln a_G$ was specified in
 200 the Single Electron Cosmical Radius R_1 , [38], leading to the 0.4 ppm connec-
 201 tion : $R_1 \approx (RR_{hol}\beta)^{1/2}p_G/p_W$, with $p_W = 6\pi^5$ and $p_G = m_P/\sqrt{N_L}m_e \approx$
 202 1831.530 547, which shows the following ppb relation, a symmetric extension of
 203 $a^2 \approx 137^2 + \pi^2$:

$$p_G^2 \approx pH - 137^2 - \pi^2 - e^2. \quad (27)$$

204 The cosmos radius R_C and the holographic mass $M_{hol} = R_{hol}c^2/2G$ connect
 205 with the ratio $R/\lambda_e \equiv T/t_e$ through the Cosmos-Universe couple MLT Formula
 206 (1%) [37]:

$$\left[\ln \left(\frac{R_C}{\lambda_e} \right) \right]^2 \approx \left[\ln \left(\frac{M_{hol}}{m_e} \right) \right]^2 + \left[\ln \left(\frac{R}{\lambda_e} \right) \right]^2 + \left[\ln \left(\frac{T}{t_e} \right) \right]^2. \quad (28)$$

207 Moreover, the Cosmos radius connects with the above radius R_{hol} and R by (-
 208 0.7 ppm and 0.6 ppm), with the deviant forms (Archimède) $\pi_{Arc} = 22/7$ and
 209 Ptolémée, wher 17 is replaced by τ/μ : $\pi_{\tau/\mu} = 3 + (7 + \mu/\tau)^{-1}$:

$$\beta R_C \left(\frac{m_e}{m_P} \right)^2 \approx R_{hol} \left(W \frac{H}{3} \right)^2 \approx R(2F Z^2/3) \frac{(4\pi_{Arc}/3)}{\pi_{\tau/\mu}}. \quad (29)$$

210 implying the following confirmation of the Holographic Principle, syronger than
 211 the analytic π , where $4\pi_{Arc}/3 \approx \sqrt{an_t}/4\pi p_W (\sin \theta)^2$ (0.15 ppm) :

$$u = \frac{R_{hol}}{R} = \frac{pK}{a^3} \approx \frac{(4\pi_{Arc}/3)\lambda_e^3/\lambda_F\lambda_Z^2}{2\pi_{\tau/\mu}(\lambda_{hol}/\lambda_e)^2} \quad (30)$$

212 With Eq (13), the elimination of Z/W implies a new 0.3 ppm formula for R
 213 (Table 3). Taking accpunt of the above relation $WH \approx Ze^{e^2}$, this leads to the
 214 0.6 ppm relation :

$$\frac{6F}{(e^2)^{e^2}} \approx \frac{(H+1)^2}{a^3} \quad (31)$$

215 The ratio $u = R_{hol}/R \equiv pH/a^3 \approx 1.310\ 841\ 007$ shows high correlation with
 216 Particle Physics:

$$u \approx \frac{\pi Z}{eW} \approx \left(\frac{F \sin \theta}{W} \right)^{1/2} \approx \frac{a_s Z}{2F} \approx \frac{qd_e^4 \sqrt{a}}{e} \approx \frac{3 \times 137 \times H^{(0)}}{\pi a Z} \approx \frac{4\pi g_3}{\sqrt{a}}. \quad (32)$$

217 where $g_3 = g_1 g_2 / g_0$, with $g_0 = pp_G/2a^3$, confirming the holistic character of
 218 Quantum Cosmology.

219 5 The Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB)

220 This Universe radius $R = 2R_{H_2}$ enters a 1D-2D holographic relation: $2\pi R/\lambda_e =$
 221 $4\pi\lambda_p\lambda_H/l_P^2$. The extension to the 3D holographic relation using λ_{H_2} , the re-
 222 duced wavelength of the dihydrogen molecule H_2 , involves the reduced wave-
 223 length of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) $\lambda_{CMB} = \hbar c/kT_{CMB}$:

$$2\pi \frac{R}{\lambda_e} = 4\pi \frac{\lambda_p\lambda_H}{l_P^2} \approx \frac{4\pi}{3} \left(\frac{\lambda_{CMB}}{\lambda_{H_2}} \right)^3, \quad (33)$$

224 leading to $T_{CMB} \approx (8G \hbar^4/3\lambda_p^5)^{1/3}/k \approx 2.729$ Kelvin, which is once more,
 225 apart the holographic factor $8/3$, a c -free three-fold (Mass, Length, Time) di-
 226 mensional analysis, giving the energy kT_{CMB} from the constants G, \hbar, λ_p . More-
 227 over, by substituting $a_G = R/2\lambda_e$ with the above Lucas Number N_L , this leads
 228 to a new holographic expression (analog to the area of a 4D sphere), which
 229 gives T_{CMB} , compatible with the measured value $2.7255(6)$ Kelvin, which de-
 230 fines a mammal temperature $T_{mm} = jT_{CMB} \approx 310.50 K = 37.350^\circ C$, with
 231 $j = 8\pi^2/\ln 2$ [34], with dramatic connections involving T_{H_2O}, λ_W and λ_Z :

$$\begin{aligned} N_L \approx 2\pi^2 \lambda_{CMB}^3 / \lambda_e \lambda_H^2 &\Rightarrow T_{CMB} = \hbar c/k \lambda_{CMB} \approx 2.7258205 \text{ Kelvin} \\ \sqrt{R_{hol} l_P} \approx \lambda_{H_2O} &\quad ; \quad \sqrt{R l_P} \approx (p/H) \lambda_{CMB} / (j+1) \quad (20 \text{ ppm}) \\ R \approx (32\beta^2/\pi^3) \lambda_{CMB}^3 / \lambda_Z^2 &\quad (1.5 \text{ ppm}) \\ \lambda_{CMB}^3 / \lambda_W \lambda_Z \approx (R_{hol}/2\beta^2)^2 / N_L \lambda_e &\quad (0.6 \text{ ppm}) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

232 The standard Cosmology predicts a Neutrino background with temperature
 233 $T_{CNB} = T_{CMB}/(4/11)^{1/3} \approx 1.946$ Kelvin. The total CMB photon number
 234 is $n_{ph} = (\xi(3)/\pi)(R/\lambda_{CMB})^3$, exceeding the total Hydrogen number $n_H =$
 235 $M/m_H = R\lambda_H/2l_P^2$. But, in term of energy, the matter dominates. So one must
 236 consider also the ratio between the critical energy density $u_{cr} = 3c^4/8\pi G R^2$
 237 and the total background energy density $u_{cmb+cnb} = y u_{cmb}$, with $y = 1 +$
 238 $(21/8)(4/11)^{4/3} \approx 1.681322$ [44] and $u_{cmb} = (\pi^2/15) \hbar c/\lambda_{CMB}^4$. We observed
 239 that these ratios are tied by an Eddington's type relation [38]:

$$(2n_{ph}/n_H)^{1/2} \approx u_{cr}/u_{cmb+cnb} \Rightarrow T_{CMB} \approx 2.724 \text{ Kelvin}. \quad (35)$$

240 This confirms the existence of the Neutrino background. Now assuming that
 241 the total background Photon + Neutrino is the result of an on-going Hydrogen-
 242 Helium transformation, producing $e_{He} = 6.40 \times 10^{14}$ Joule by kilogram of He-
 243 lium, i.e. an efficiency $\epsilon_{He} = e_{He}/c^2 \approx 1/140$. The Helium mass density is
 244 $Y \times \rho_{bar}$; with the standard evaluation of baryonic density $\epsilon_{bar} = \rho_{bar}/\rho_{cr} \approx$
 245 0.045 and $Y \approx 0.25$ [1], this leads to:

$$(\lambda_{CMB}^2/l_P R)^2 \approx 8\pi^3 y/45 Y \epsilon_{bar} \epsilon_{He} \approx 1.15 \times 10^5 \Rightarrow T_{CMB} \approx 2.70 \text{ Kelvin}. \quad (36)$$

246 In the standard model, the Universe age is far too small to explain a large
 247 Helium large density resulting from stellar activities [8]. Thus, it is not a real
 248 problem in the steady-state model.

6 The Electron and the Kotov Non-Local Period

This study confirms the central role of λ_e , the unit length in the Topological Axis and in the Single Electron Universe [34]. So we look for a Diophantine series giving it for $n = 1$. This means:

$$\lambda_e \equiv \hbar/m_e c = \hbar^2/Gm_G m_{\hbar}^2 \Rightarrow A \equiv m_P^2/m_G m_{\hbar} = m_{\hbar}/m_e \quad (37)$$

so that the fundamental ($n = 1$) energy is: $E \equiv m_{\hbar} c^2/A^2 = m_e c^2/A$. There is an *elimination of c* by considering the term A^2 as the product of the above gravitational constant $a_G = \hbar c/Gm_P m_H$ and the electro-weak one $a_w = \hbar^3/cG_F m_e^2$ [9], where G_F is the Fermi constant:

$$A^2 = a_G a_w \Rightarrow E = m_e c^2 / \sqrt{a_G a_w} \quad (38)$$

with $t_e \equiv \hbar/m_e c^2$ the electron period, this corresponds to the time:

$$t_e \sqrt{a_G a_w} \approx 9600.60 \text{ s} . \quad (39)$$

The identification with the Kotov P_0 period $t_K \approx 9600.606(12)$ s [19], [20] corresponds to $G \approx 6.6754527$ SI, specified to 10^{-8} by the above Single-Electron Radius $R_1 \approx (4\pi p/p_W)^2 a_w c t_K$ [36] and consistent with the BIPM measurements [29], but at 6σ from the official value, an unusual mean between *discordant* measurements. With the Fermi mass $m_F = m_e \sqrt{a_w}$, close to the mean nucleotide mass [34], the Lepton Mu mass m_{μ} , $u = R_{hol}/R$, the critical density $\rho_{cr} = 3c^2/8\pi G R^2$, and $m_{GF} = (m_P m_F)^{1/2}$, this defines our optimal strong coupling a_s , in the natural process of optimal correlations [39], where $\pi_{\tau/\mu} = 3 + (7 + \mu/\tau)^{-1}$, $1/g_0 = 1 + g_1^2 + g_2^2 \approx pp_G/2a^3$, with $g_1 = Z \sin\theta/H^{(0)}$, $g_2 = W/H^{(0)}$ [36]:

$$\begin{aligned} m_G &= m_e m_P m_H / m_F^2 \quad ; \quad \sqrt{GG_F} \equiv (\hbar/m_{GF})^2 = (\hbar/\sqrt{m_P m_H})(\lambda_e^2/t_K) \\ m_{\hbar}/m_P &= m_F / \sqrt{m_P m_H} \equiv m_{\mu}^2 / m_e m_N \equiv 2\pi a_s m_P m_H / m_e m_F \\ G_F/Gm_P^2 l_P^2 &\approx a^4 m_P m_{\mu} / m_e^2 \quad (0.2\%) \quad ; \quad \sqrt{p_W/n_t} \lambda_e^5 / l_P^3 (2\pi)^2 R c t_K \quad (0.8 \text{ ppm}) \\ \hbar/(G_F \rho_{cr})^{1/2} &\approx \lambda_e^2 / u^{1/16} l_P \quad (0.01\%) \quad ; \quad (4\pi_q/3)(a a_w)^3 \approx 4\pi_{\tau/\mu} (r_e/l_P)^2 \\ \frac{(Z/H^{(0)})^2}{1/g_0 - 1} &\approx \frac{(a/137\sqrt{\beta})^4}{\pi_q/\pi} \approx 0.4 \text{ ppm} \quad (3 \text{ ppb}) \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

exhibiting a symmetry between canonical area speeds. Note that $2ct_K \approx L_{SNL}(m_{bc})$, confirming once more the bi-codon mass, which enters also a relation involving the Cosmos, the Photon and Graviton masses [36] (Table 3). Moreover, with the precise variant (0.14 ppm) of the Golden Number: $\Phi_0 = P/(a_w H)^3 \approx ((4\pi/3)(H/p)^2)^{1/3}$, one observes (15 and 74 ppm) :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L_{SNL}(m_{GF})}{r_{HB}} &\equiv \left(\frac{P}{F^3}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{a} \approx \Phi_0^2 \\ cT_{SNL}(m_{GF}) &\equiv l_P \left(\frac{P}{F}\right)^{5/2} \approx \left(\frac{R_{hol} \lambda_e}{2}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{d_e^2} \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

273 This specifies the holographic relations $a^2 \approx (4\pi/3)p^{3/2}$ and $F^5/Pa^3 \approx \eta$, with
 274 $\eta = 1 + 2/(3 \times 139)$ (ppb precision) [37], where 139 is the complete Atiyah form
 275 [2], adding the dimensions of the four algebra (octonion, quaternion, complex,
 276 real): $139 = 137 + 2 = 2^7 + 2^3 + 2^1 + 2^0 \approx i^{-i\pi}$, and $3 \times 139 + 2 = 419$, the
 277 positive crystallographic number [41] in the superstring dimensions 10D and
 278 11D [31], see Table 7 in [37]. Moreover, $T_{SNL}(m_{GF}) \approx 19.14$ ms, typical of
 279 the Human nervous system, and the third octave down the flat La tone (Lab)
 280 for $La_3(A_4) = 442.9$ Hz, an anthropic argument far more pertinent and precise
 281 than the rough standard ones, principally based on a *cosmic Big Bang scenario*
 282 [9].

283 7 Discussion

284 The Pythagoras Principle stating that all is ruled by whole numbers has been
 285 forgotten during centuries. This resulted in the failure of Poincaré to resolve the
 286 apparently most difficult problem of modern physics, the apparition of quanta
 287 [27]. He ought to have remember that the more difficult is a problem, the more
 288 basic feature must be revisited, in particular the Kepler laws, leading to an
 289 *elementary* Diophantine equation, of trivial resolution, which implies directly
 290 an angular momentum quantum, identifiable with the reduced Planck's constant
 291 \hbar . Interestingly enough, in the same London conference (p. 102-103), Poincare
 292 explained that cosmology cannot be entirely founded on differential equations.
 293 Since the main scientific criteria is the repeatability of experiments, this implies
 294 the Perfect Cosmological Principle founding the steady-state model [6], and
 295 Poincaré could have concluded that cosmology, hence the whole physics, must
 296 be tied to the Number Theory [37].

297 This approach leads to the Diophantine Coherence Theorem (DCT) which
 298 has the same structure than the Hass formulation for the Hydrogen atom spec-
 299 trum problem. This shows that the real invariant quantity is the Frequency,
 300 so that the Energy conservation would mean a Frequency Accordance, or "Co-
 301 herence Principle", mandatory in Practical Holography, and conform with the
 302 Harmony Principle of Pythagoras, the father of Natural Philosophy, the very
 303 root of Science. This confirms the pertinence of the Quantum Field Theory,
 304 where any Particle Field is defined by a whole number, entering the Holographic
 305 principle in the revisited critical steady-state Universe. In particular, both the
 306 Electron Quantum Number n_e and the Neutron Quantum Number n_t play a
 307 central role. The Universe Length Quantum (Topon) is associated to a Universe
 308 Time quantum ("Chronon" $t_M = \lambda_M/c$), which may be looked as the period of
 309 the *Permanent Bang matter-antimatter oscillation* [35].

310 Among the two main cosmological models, the steady-state one is by far
 311 the most easily refutable, so the most scientific, in the Popper sense [28]. It
 312 is why it was thought as being refuted by hasty observations, however these
 313 so-called refutations were much debated [21]. *This article firmly re-establishes*
 314 *the steady-state cosmology. It is now mandatory to measure more precisely the*
 315 *Universe Temperature at any distance, in order to compare it with the microwave*
 316 *background one.* The galaxy recession means not at all an Universe expansion
 317 : it has been shown that the repulsive force explains at last the acceleration
 318 of the galaxy recession, the so-called "dark energy" being a trivial problem,
 319 resolved by simply considering the no-relativistic potential and kinetic galaxy

320 population energy. This repulsive force exceeds the Newtonian attraction for
321 larger distances than a million years, typical of a galaxy group, which shows no
322 internal recession [36], and the renewal of matter inside such a group could be
323 attributed to the giant black holes.

324 The Cosmic Length Quantum breaks the "Planck wall" by the factor 10^{-61} .
325 The DCT shows that the Haas-Bohr radius is a *secondary* length quantum,
326 while the Universe itself appears as a ternary length quantum in the Cosmos,
327 defined by the Holographic Principle where the Planck length is an intermediate
328 holographic length, instead of the standard Length quantum. The unifying
329 length quantum is the reduced Electron Wavelength which shows up in the
330 DCT, the Single Electron Model and the Cosmos-Universe MLT Formula.

331 The Kotov non-local period induces a symmetry between gravitation and
332 electroweak interaction. The Kotov-Lyuty *Non-Doppler oscillation* plays a cen-
333 tral role, but was overlooked : it is however a clear sign of the non-local char-
334 acter of Quantum Cosmology which is patent in the Foucault pendulum. *It is*
335 *mandatory to check the Lyuty Non-Doppler Quasar measurements* [19]. The
336 standard speed limit c excludes any explanation of the wave packet reduction
337 phenomena, which requires a non-local or tachyonic Physics. So, it is logical
338 that the bosonic string theory, which introduces tachyon, is confirmed by the
339 Diophantine Topological Axis. Indeed, the central bosonic dimension $d = 26$
340 corresponds unambiguously to the non local universe whose radius is given by
341 the Machian Formula (23).

342 The Planck mass enters naturally in the DCT, while incompatible with the
343 standard in Particle Physics. However, the standard spin formulation rejoins
344 our conclusion that the reduced Planck constant \hbar plays a more fundamental
345 role than h .

346 8 Conclusions

347 On the basis of the invariance of physical laws, the Mach Principle cannot enter
348 an evolutionary Universe, so only the steady-state model is really compatible
349 with scientific cosmology [6].

350 The invariant Universe radius is tied to 33 formula in the Table 3, whose 22
351 are in the 10^{-4} precision defining $R \approx 13.812$ Glyr, in the same way that Jean
352 Perrin [24] collected 14 formula to demonstrate definitely the atom existence.
353 This is *an historical parallel between the quantification of matter and the quan-*
354 *tification of space-time*, a complete rehabilitation of the historical numerical
355 empirical method, which has been greatly overlooked by an excess of formalism.

356 The International System must come back the three basic units (instead of
357 seven) : Mass, Length, Time. Also, it must define electronic units by using
358 only the electrical constant a . In particular, Particle Physics must suppress the
359 use of eV unit, and Astrophysics suppress the Parsec unit. Also the two inter-
360 correlated measures, the non-local Kotov-Lyuty period and G , *whose standard*
361 *value is now erroneous by 6σ* must be revisited.

362 The Quantum Physics is not separated from Cosmology, which gets definitely
363 the status of a real science. The object "Universe" is well defined : inside an
364 external Cosmos, it is both a mono-atomic star, a quasi-homogeneous black
365 hole, a gravitational molecule, a nuclear fusion reactor, a thermal machine and a
366 particle, the ultimate gauge boson, as shown by the Topological Axis. The latter

367 rehabilitates the bosonic string theory, but *the connection with the Periodic*
368 *Table* must be explained [37].

369 This is a decisive confirmation of the Holographic Principle, including canon-
370 ical deviations from the mathematical π , including the one associated to the
371 electrical charge. Also the DNA bi-codon mass is central, so the DNA could be
372 an helix-hologram, opening the way towards bio-computing [25].

373 The c -free Elementary Non-Local Three Minutes Formula giving the Uni-
374 verse half-radius is now fully established: *this means a tight harmony between*
375 *the Universe and Human Consciousness, a special and decisive manifestation*
376 *of the real Permanent Anthropic Principle.*
377

378 Acknowledgements

379 The authors are indebted to the philosophers Dominique Tassot and Nadia
380 Guemidi, the computer scientists Laurent Gueroult, Denis Gayral and Joël
381 Croissant, and the mathematicians Jacques Bailhache, Jacques de Wiele and
382 Alain Molinier for useful discussions.

383 Submitted on April 16, 2022 / Accepted on ...

384 Bibliography

- 385 [1] Aghanim *et al.*, A. Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological Parameters. *A.A.*,
386 641(A6):1–73, 2020.
- 387 [2] M. Atiyah. The Fine Structure Constant. *Heidelberg Laureate Forum*, 2018.
- 388 [3] I. Bars. Noncommutative Gauge Theory As the Found. of 2T-Physics.
389 *Phys. Rev. D*, 64, 2001.
- 390 [4] T. Bastin and C.W. Kilmister. Combinatorial Physics. *World Scientific*,
391 33(3):327, 1995.
- 392 [5] C. L. Bennet *et al.* Nine Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropie Probe
393 (WMAP), Final Maps and Results. *Astrophysical Journal Supplement*,
394 208(2):20, 2013.
- 395 [6] H. Bondi and T. Gold. The steady-state theory of the exp. universe. *M.*
396 *N. R. A. S.*, 108(252), 1948.
- 397 [7] R. Bousso. The Holographic Principle. *Reviews of Modern Physics*,
398 74(3):825–874, 2002.
- 399 [8] G. Burbidge. *Current Issues in Cosmology. The state of cosmology.* Pecker
400 and Narlikar, Cambridge U.P., p. 257-260, 2006, 2006.
- 401 [9] B.J. Carr and M. J. Rees. The anthr. pr. and the str. of the phys. world.
402 *Nature*, 278:605–612, 1979.
- 403 [10] P. Davies. *The Accidental Universe.* Cambridge U.P., page 50, 1993.
- 404 [11] A.S. Eddington. *The Fundamental Theory.* Cambridge, 1946.

- 405 [12] A. Einstein and W. de Sitter. On the relation between the expansion and
406 the mean density of the universe. *Proc. Nat. Acad. USA*, 8(2):213–14, 1932.
- 407 [13] R. Feynman. Simulating Physics with Computers. *Int. J. Th. Phys.*, 21:469,
408 1982.
- 409 [14] W.L. Freedman. Measurements of the Hubble Constant; Tensions in Per-
410 spective. *A.J.*, 919(1):16–38, 2021.
- 411 [15] A. Hermann. *Claude W. Nash (tr.) The Genesis of Quantum Theory (1899-*
412 *1913), Ch. 5.* The MIT Press Cambridge, Massachusetts, and London,
413 England, 1974.
- 414 [16] F. Hoyle. A new model for the expanding Universe. *M. N. R. A. S.*,
415 108:372–382, 1948.
- 416 [17] E.P. Hubble. A Relation between distance and radial velocity among extra-
417 galactic nebulae. *Proc. Nat. Acad. USA*, 15:163–73, 1929.
- 418 [18] M.L. Humason, N.U. Mayal, and A.R. Sandage. A Relation between dis-
419 tance and radial velocity among extra-galactic nebulae. *A. J.*, 61:97–162,
420 1956.
- 421 [19] V. A. Kotov and V. M. Lyuty. The 160-min. Periodicity in the optical and
422 X-ray observations of extragal. objects. *C.R.A.S.*, 310(2):743–748, 1990.
- 423 [20] V.A. Kotov and V.I. Haneychuk. Oscillations of solar photosphere : 45
424 years of observations. *Astronomische Nachrichten*, 341:6–7, 2020.
- 425 [21] H. Kragh. *Cosmology and Controversy.* Princeton U.P., 1996.
- 426 [22] G. Lemaître. Un Univers homogène de masse constante et de rayon crois-
427 sant rendant compte de la vitesse radiale des nébuleuses extra-galactiques.
428 *Ann. Soc.Sc. Bruxelles*, pages 47–49, 1927.
- 429 [23] H. Nambu. An Empirical Mass Spectrum of Elem. Particles. *Prog. Theor.*
430 *Phys.*, 7(5):595–6, 1952.
- 431 [24] J. Perrin. *Les atomes.* . Gallimard, 1970.
- 432 [25] Petoukhov. The genetic code, 8-dimensional hyper-complex numbers and
433 dyadic shifts. *arxiv:1102.3596*, pages 1–108, 2015.
- 434 [26] H. Poincaré. *La Science et l’Hypothèse, ch.1.* Flammarion, Paris, 1968.
- 435 [27] H. Poincaré. L’hypothèse des quanta. *Conférence à Londres, Revue scien-*
436 *tifique*, 1:225–232, 1912.
- 437 [28] K.R. Popper. *Conjectures and refutations.* . Basic Books, New York, 1962.
- 438 [29] T. Quinn, C. Speake, H. Parks, and R. Davis. Improve Determination of
439 G using Two methods . *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 111:101–102, 2014.
- 440 [30] A.G. Riess *et al.* Large Magellanic Cloud Cepheid Standards Provide a 1%
441 Found. for the Det. of the Hubble Cst and Stronger Evidence for Physics
442 beyond Λ CDM. *Astr. J.*, 876:85, 2019.

- 443 [31] A. Salam. Overview of particle physics. *The New Physics*, pages 481–491,
444 1992.
- 445 [32] F. M. Sanchez. The Holic Principle. *ANPA 16 Proc., Camb., 1994.*, pages
446 324–344, 1995.
- 447 [33] F.M. Sanchez. *Current Issues in Cosmology*. Pecker and Narlikar, C.U.P.,
448 p. 257-260, 2006, 2006.
- 449 [34] F.M. Sanchez. A Coherent Resonant Cosmology Approach and its Im-
450 plications in Microphysics and Biophysics. *Quantum Systems in Physics,*
451 *Chemistry and Biology, PTCP*, 23:375–407, 2017.
- 452 [35] F.M. Sanchez, A.V. Kotov, and C. Bizouard. Towards a synthesis of two
453 cosmologies : the steady-state flickering Universe. *Journal of Cosmology,*
454 17:7225–7237, 2011.
- 455 [36] F.M. Sanchez, V. Kotov, M. Grosmann, D. Weigel, R. Veysseyre, C. Bi-
456 zouard, N. Flawisky, D. Gayral, and L. Gueroult. Back to Cosmos. *Progress*
457 *in Physics*, 15(2):327, 2019.
- 458 [37] F.M. Sanchez, V. Kotov, M. Grosmann, D. Weigel, R. Veysseyre, C. Bi-
459 zouard, N. Flawisky, D. Gayral, and L. Gueroult. Towards Sc. Unific.
460 through Numb. Th. *A. P. Math*, 11(1):27–62, 2021.
- 461 [38] V. Sanchez, F.M.and Kotov and C. Bizouard. Evidence for a steady-state,
462 holographic, tachyonic and super-symmetric cosmology. *Galilean Electro-*
463 *dynamics*, 20(Special Issue):45–53, 2009.
- 464 [39] V. Sanchez, F.M.and Kotov and C. Bizouard. Towards Coherent Cosmol-
465 ogy. *Galilean Electrodynamics*, 24(SI 4):63–80, 2013.
- 466 [40] A.R. Sandage. Current Problems in the Extragalactic Distance Scale. *As-*
467 *tronomical Journal*, 127:513, 1958.
- 468 [41] R. Veysseyre, H. Veysseyre, and D. Weigel. Counting, Types and Symbols
469 of Crystallographic Point Symmetry Operations of Space En. *A.A.E.C.C.*,
470 5:53–70, 1992.
- 471 [42] K.C. Wong *et al.* HOLICOW-XIII. A 2.4 per cent measurement of H_0 from
472 lensed quasars : 5.3σ between early and late Universe probes. *Monthly*
473 *Notices of the Royal Ast.Soc.*, 498(1), 2020.
- 474 [43] A. Wyler. Les groupes des potentiels de Coulomb et de Yukawa. *C. R.A.*
475 *S.*, A(271):186–8, 1971.
- 476 [44] P.A. Zyla *et al.* Neutrinos in cosmology. *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. (Part.*
477 *Data Gr.)*, 083C01, 2020.

Table 1: Physical constants

Physical Quantity	Symbol and Value	Unit	ppb (10^{-9})
Optimal Gravitation Constant [36]	$G \approx 6.67545272 \times 10^{-11}$	$\text{kg}^{-1}\text{m}^3\text{s}^{-2}$	
BIPM Gravitation Constant [29]	$6.67545(18) \times 10^{-11}$	$\text{kg}^{-1}\text{m}^3\text{s}^{-2}$	2.7×10^3
Official Gravitation Constant	$6.67430(15) \times 10^{-11}$	$\text{kg}^{-1}\text{m}^3\text{s}^{-2}$	1.7×10^6
Planck constant $h = 2\pi \hbar$	$h \approx 6.62607015 \times 10^{-34}$	J s	exact
Optimal Fermi Constant	$G_F \approx 1.435850991 \times 10^{-62}$	J m^3	
Speed of light in vacuum	$c = 299792458$	m s^{-1}	exact
Electrical Constant	$a \approx 137.035999084(21)$	-	0.15
Single Electron Universe radius	$R_1 \approx 1.492365473 \times 10^{26}$	m	
Electron Excess Magnetic moment	$d_e \approx 1.00115965218096$	-	0.26
Electron mass	$m_e \approx 9.1093837015 \times 10^{-31}$	kg	0.3
Electron Classical Radius $r_e = \lambda_e/a$	$r_e \approx 2.817940322 \times 10^{-15}$	m	0.3
Planck/Electron mass ratio $P = m_p/m_e$	$P \approx 2.38901508 \times 10^{22}$	-	
Reduced Electron Wavelength $\lambda_e = \hbar/m_e c$	$\lambda_e \approx 3.861592675 \times 10^{-13}$	m	0.3
Proton/Electron mass ratio $p = m_p/m_e$	$p \approx 1836.15267343$	-	0.06
Wyler Proton/Electron mass ratio $p_W = 6\pi^5$ [43]	$p_W \approx 1836.118019$	-	exact
Neutron/Electron mass ratio $n_t = m_n/m_e$	$n_t \approx 1838.6836617$	-	0.5
Hydrogen/Electron mass ratio $H = m_H/m_e$	$H \approx 1837.15266014$	-	0.06
Hydrogen correction factor $\beta = 1/(H - p)$	$\beta \approx 1.0000266$	-	
Opt. Weak Coupl. Ct. $a_w = F^2 = \hbar^3/cG_F m_e^2$ [37]	$a_w \approx 3.283374406 \times 10^{11}$	-	
Official Strong Coupling constant	$a_s \approx 8.48(7) (8)$	-	7.6×10^6
Optimal Strong Coupling Constant [37]	$a_s \approx 8.434502914$	-	
Optimal Muon/Electron mass rat. $\mu = m_\mu/m_e$ [36]	$\mu \approx 206.7682869$	-	
Optimal Tau/Electron mass rat. $\tau = m_\tau/m_e$ [36]	$\tau \approx 3477.441701$	-	
Opt. Higgs Boson mass ratio $H^{(0)} = m_{Hgs}/m_e$ [37]	$H^{(0)} \approx 495^2$	-	
W-boson mass ratio $W = m_W/m_e$	$W \approx 157340.1093$	-	
Z-boson mass ratio $Z = m_Z/m_e$	$Z \approx 178451.7529$	-	
Adimens. El. Charge $q = W \sin\theta/H^{(0)} = \sqrt{4\pi q/a}$	$q \approx 0.3029732863$	-	
Boltzmann Constant (conversion factor)	$k = 1.38064910^{-23}$	J K^{-1}	exact
Measured CMB temperature	$T_{CMB} \approx 2.7255(6)$	Kelvin	
Optimal CMB Temperature	$T_{CMB} \approx 2.725820138$	K	
Optimal CMB Wien wavelength	$\lambda_{Wn} \approx 1.063082472 \times 10^{-3}$	m	
Optimal CMB reduced wavel. $\lambda_{CMB} = \hbar c/kT_{CMB}$	$\lambda_{CMB} \approx 8400716617 \times 10^{-4}$	m	
Optimal CNB Temp. $T_{CNB} \equiv T_{CMB}(11/4)^{-1/3}$	$T_{CNB} \approx 1945597$	K	
Water Triple Point Temperature	$T_{H_2O} \approx 273.16$	K	
Optimal critical density $\rho_{cr} = 3c^2/8\pi GR^2$	$\rho_{cr} \approx 9.41197989 \times 10^{-27}$	$\text{kg m}^{-1/3}$	
Kotov P_0 period t_K	$t_K \approx 9600.606(12)$ [20]	s	1200

Table 2: Values of the DCT Fundamental ($n = 1$) Radius $\hbar^2/Gm_Gm_h^2$ for specific values of m_G and m_h . Holographic ratio $u = R_{hol}/R$ approx 14.310 841 007. Nambu mass : $m_N = am_e$. Bicodon mass $m_{bc} = m_p m_H/m_e$. Photon mass $m_{ph} = \hbar/c^2 t_K \approx 1.2222 \times 10^{-55}$ kg. Graviton mass : $m_{gr} = m_{ph}/a_w \approx 3.7223 \times 10^{-67}$ kg [36].

m_G	m_h	Length	Symbol	Precision/offset
m_P^2/m_N	m_P^2/m_N	Cosmic Space Quantum	d_0	exact
m_P^2/m_0	m_P^2/m_0	Universe Space Quantum (Topon)	λ_M	exact
m_{bc}/a_w	$m_e \sqrt{a_w a_G}$	Reduced Electron Wavelength	λ_e	exact
m_P^2/m_N	m_e	Hass-Bohr radius $r_{HB} = a\lambda_e = r_B/(1 + 1/p)$	r_{HB}	exact
$a^3 m_P$	$\sqrt{m_p m_H}$	Background Wien Wavelength	λ_W	3.2×10^{-4}
m_{bc}	m_{bc}	Twice Kotov Length	$2l_K$	6.3×10^{-3}
m_{Hg}	m_{Hg}	$R\lambda_e/4\lambda_{CMB}$ RF/WZ^2		- 0.23 % + 0.25 %
m_{bc}	m_e	Half Universe Radius	$R_{H_2} \equiv R/2$	exact
m_N	m_N	Half Holographic Cosmos radius	$R_{hol}/2$	exact
m_N^2/m_P	m_N^2/m_P	Half Cosmos Radius	$R_C/2$	exact
$u \times m_{bc}$	$\sqrt{m_{ph} m_{gr}}$	Cosmos radius	R_C	1.7×10^{-3}

Table 3: Implication of Eddington Number ($N_E = 136 \times 2^{256}$) and Holography formula for the *invariant* Hubble radius $R \approx 13.812$ Giga light-year (Gly = 1 billion light- Julian year, 365.25 days) and the corresponding Hubble constant $H_0 = c/R$, which uses the length unit Megaparsec, compared to the main measurements. Lucas Number $N_L = 2^{127} - 1$. Topological Function $f(k) \equiv e^{2^{k+1/2}}$. Holographic ratio $u = R_{hol}/R$. Mammal temperature $T_{mm} \equiv hc/k\lambda_{mm} = jT_{CMB}$, with $j = 8\pi^2/\ln 2$ [34]. The optimal WZ value (Table 1) is defined from the identification to the Central Formula $R = 2 \times$ gravitational H_2 radius, which is also $2 \times$ the "Three Minutes Formula" (closed draft 1998) where the neutron mass is replaced by the hydrogen mass. The last Euler idoneal number is $s_{65} = 1848$. For comparison, the so-called standard "Universe Age" is also presented, with unit in the c ratio (Gyr = 1 billion year).

Date	Source $R = 2GM/c^2$	Hubble radius Gly	Hubble Cst. km s ⁻¹ /Mpc	Univ. "Age" Gyr
1945	Eddington Nb. N_E [11] ; $N_E \approx (3/10)Mm_p/m_Hm_n$	13.812	70.793	
1927	Lemaître [22]	1.6	620	
1929	Hubble [17]	1.8	540	
1956	Humason, Maydal and Sandage [18]	5.4	180	
1958	Sandage [40]	13	75	
1998	$2 \hbar^2/Gm_em_pm_n$ TWICE "3 MN FORMULA"	13.800	70.852	
2006	$2 \hbar^2/Gm_em_pm_n$ [33]	13.800	70.852	
2006	$2 N_L \lambda_e$ [33]	13.889	70.400	
2017	$(WZ)^4 (\lambda_p \lambda_H)^{1/2}$ [9] [34]	13.812	70.793	
2017	$\lambda_e f(6)/6$ [34]	13.821	70.747	
2017	$(2 \lambda_e/3) (\lambda_{CMB}/\lambda_{H_2})^3$ [34] Holography Eq. (30)	13.897	70.360	
2017	$\lambda_e (3^3)^{3^3}/u$ [34] From $R_{hol}/\lambda_e \approx (3^3)^{3^3}$	13.812	70.796	
2017	$2 \hbar^2/Gm_em_pm_H$ [34] MACHIAN FORMULA	13.812	70.793	
2017	$(32\beta^2/pi^3) \lambda_{CMB}^3/\lambda_Z^2$ From Eq. (31)	13.812	70.793	
2017	$2(\beta^2/u) (N_L \lambda_e \lambda_{CMB}^3/\lambda_W \lambda_Z)^{1/2}$ From Eq. (31)	13.812	70.793	
2017	$(ct_K)^2/a_w \lambda_e$ [34] Non-Local Oscillation	13.812	70.793	
2017	$\lambda_e (H/p_W) (2\pi^2 a^3)^5$ [34] Holic Principle	13.812	70.793	
2017	$(hc/kT_{H_2O})^2/ul_P$ [34] From $\sqrt{R_{hol}l_P} \approx \lambda_{H_2O}$	13.840	70.650	
2017	$((H/p)(1+1/j)\lambda_{mm})^2/l_P$ From Eq. (31)	13.812	70.793	
2017	$\sqrt{n_t/p_W} \lambda_e^5/a_l^3 ct_K$ From Eq. (37)	13.812	70.793	
2019	$\lambda_e (2/u)^{2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7}$ [36] Complete Holic Principle	13.856	70.568	
2021	$\lambda_e (6/\pi)^{r_B/\lambda_e}$ [37]	13.776	70.978	
2021	$\lambda_e (n_t/p)^{1/2} \pi^{5 \times 31/2}$ [37]	13.812	70.796	
2021	$\lambda_p (d_e/2)(pH)^{3a_s/4}$ [37]	13.812	70.793	
2021	$2\lambda_e((1837 + s_{65})/2 + 1)^{\sqrt{a}}$ [37] $s_{65} = 1848$	13.812	70.793	
2021	Cosmos-Universe Couple MLT Formula (28) [37]	13.726	71.276	
2022	$(3R_{hol}\lambda_{CNB}^4/\lambda_e^3)^{1/2}$	13.832	70.772	
2022	$\lambda_e (a - 136)^{1/2} (e^{e^e})^{\sqrt{a}/2}$	13.814	70.783	
2022	$(2\pi/3)(H/p)\lambda_e p^{\sqrt{a}}$	13.812	70.793	
2022	$(1 + 1/a)^6 \lambda_e^5/18a_w N_L l_P^4$ From Eqs. (13, 29)	13.812	70.793	
2022	$R_1^2 N_L l_P^2 p_W^2/R_{hol} \lambda_e^2 \beta$ From $R_1 \approx (RR_{hol})^{1/2}$	13.812	70.793	
2022	$2R_1^2/a_w^3 (4\pi p/p_W)^4$ From $R_1 \approx ct_K a_w (4\pi p/p_W)^4$	13.812	70.793	
2022	$N_L (4\pi)^4 a_w^3 (137/a)^2/R_{hol}$	13.812	70.793	
2022	$(N_L/u)(2^7/3)a_s/(a+1)$	13.812	70.793	
2022	$(N_L/u)(2^7/3)a_s/(a+1)$	13.812	70.793	
2022	$(2H/p)\lambda_e Z^7$	13.812	70.793	
2022	$\lambda_p (\lambda_p/\lambda_n)(32)^a$	13.812	70.793	
2022	$2N_L \lambda_e (1 - (137^2 + \pi^2 + e^2)/pH)$ From ppb Eq. (27)	13.812	70.793	
1998	PDG (Particle Data Group)	14 ± 2	70 ± 10	11.5 ± 1.5
2002	PDG	13.7 ± 0.3	71 ± 3	15 ± 3
2005	Hubble Space Telescope	13.6 ± 1.5	72 ± 8	13.7 ± 0.2
2012	WMAP [5]	14.1 ± 0.2	69.3 ± 0.8	13.77 ± 0.06
2019	Riess group [30]	13.2 ± 0.3	74.2 ± 1.4	
2020	Planck mission [1]	14.5 ± 0.1	67.4 ± 0.5	13.82 ± 0.04
2020	HOLICOW [42]	13.4 ± 0.3	73.3 ± 1.8	
2021	Carnegie-Chicago Hubble Program [14]	14.0 ± 0.3	69.8 ± 1.6	