

Proof of Riemann Hypothesis Using Schwarz Reflection Principle

Shekhar Suman

Email: shekharsuman068@gmail.com

October 13, 2020

Abstract: Riemann's Xi function is defined as ,

$$\xi(s) = \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s)$$

$\xi(s)$ is an entire function whose zeroes are the non trivial zeroes of $\zeta(s)$
All the non trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function lie inside the critical strip
 $0 < \Re(s) < 1$. In this paper we use product representation of Riemann Xi function and
Schwarz Reflection principle to conclude that the Riemann Hypothesis is true

Keywords: Riemann zeta function, Riemann Xi function, critical strip, critical line.

1 Statement of the Riemann Hypothesis

The Riemann Hypothesis states that all the non trivial zeroes of the Riemann Zeta function lie on the critical line $\Re(s) = 1/2$

2 Proof

The Riemann Xi function [2, p.37, Theorem 2.11] is defined as ,

$$\xi(s) = \xi(0) \prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right) \dots \quad (1)$$

where ρ ranges over all the roots ρ of $\xi(\rho) = 0$ and if we combine the factors

$\left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right)$ and $\left(1 - \frac{s}{1-\rho}\right)$, the product converges absolutely and uniformly on

compact subsets of \mathbb{C}

Also, $\xi(0) = 1/2$, [2, p.37]

Claim : $\xi(\rho_0) = 0 \Rightarrow \Re(\rho_0) = 1/2$

Enough to prove : $\Re(\rho_0) \neq 1/2 \Rightarrow \xi(\rho_0) \neq 0$

Let, $\Re(\rho_0) \neq 1/2$

Case 1 : $0 < \Re(\rho_0) < 1/2$ and $\Im(\rho_0) > 0$

Assume on the contrary that $\xi(\rho_0) = 0$, $0 < \Re(\rho_0) < 1/2$ and $\Im(\rho_0) > 0$

Hadamard product of the Riemann Xi function is, [4, p.42 , section 2.5]

$$\xi(s) = \xi(0) \prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0} (1 - \frac{s}{\rho})(1 - \frac{s}{1-\rho}) \quad (1)$$

$$\xi(\rho_0) = 0$$

$$\prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0} (1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\rho}) = 0, \text{ since } \xi(0) = 1/2$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) < 1/2} (1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\rho}) \prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) > 1/2} (1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\rho}) = 0$$

Since both the above products are convergent [proved later in this paper], so ,

$$\prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) < 1/2} (1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\rho}) = 0 \text{ or } \prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) > 1/2} (1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\rho}) = 0$$

$$\text{Case 1A : } \prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) > 1/2} (1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\rho}) = 0$$

$$\prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) > 1/2} (1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\rho}) = \prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) > 1/2} [1 - \frac{\rho_0(1-\rho_0)}{\rho(1-\rho)}]$$

This converges absolutely provided $\sum_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) > 1/2} \frac{1}{|\rho(1-\rho)|} < \infty$

$$\sum_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) > 1/2} \frac{1}{|\rho(1-\rho)|} < \sum_{\Im(\rho) > 0} \frac{1}{|\rho(1-\rho)|} < \sum_{\Im(\rho) > 0} \frac{1}{|(\rho - \frac{1}{2})^2 - \frac{1}{4}|} < \sum \frac{1}{|\rho - \frac{1}{2}|^2}$$

it suffices to prove the convergence of the sum $\sum \frac{1}{|\rho - \frac{1}{2}|^2}$; here the sum can be considered either as a sum over roots ρ such that $\Im(\rho) > 0$ or as a sum over all roots since first of these is twice the second [4, p. 42]

$$\sum \frac{1}{|\rho - \frac{1}{2}|^2} < \infty \text{ [4, p.42, Theorem]}$$

Product $\prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) > 1/2} (1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\rho})$ is absolutely convergent and hence convergent .

Value of a convergent infinite product is 0 if and only if atleast one of the factors is 0 [5, p.287]

$$(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_1})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\rho_1}) = 0, \text{ where } \Im(\rho_1) > 0, \Re(\rho_1) > 1/2$$

$$\rho_0 = \rho_1 \text{ or } \rho_0 = 1 - \rho_1$$

$$\Re(\rho_0) = \Re(\rho_1) > 1/2 \text{ or } \Im(\rho_0) = \Im(1 - \rho_1) < 0$$

$$\Re(\rho_0) > 1/2 \text{ or } \Im(\rho_0) < 0$$

which contradicts $\Re(\rho_0) < 1/2$ and $\Im(\rho_0) > 0$ in Case 1

$$\text{Case 1B : } \prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) < 1/2} (1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\rho}) = 0$$

$$\text{Let, } I(s) = \prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) < 1/2} (1 - \frac{s}{\rho})(1 - \frac{s}{1-\rho})$$

$$I(\rho_0) = 0$$

$$\xi(s) = \xi(0) \prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0} (1 - \frac{s}{\rho})(1 - \frac{s}{1-\rho})$$

$$\xi(\rho_0) = 0$$

$$\xi(\rho_0) = \prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) < 1/2} (1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\rho}) \prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) > 1/2} (1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\rho})$$

$$\text{Since, } \xi(\rho) = 0 \iff \xi(1 - \rho) = 0 \iff \xi(\bar{\rho}) = 0 \iff \xi(1 - \bar{\rho}) = 0$$

$$\xi(\rho_0) = \prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) < 1/2} (1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\bar{\rho}})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\bar{\rho}}) \prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) > 1/2} (1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\bar{\rho}})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\bar{\rho}})$$

$$I(s) = \prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) < 1/2} (1 - \frac{s}{\rho})(1 - \frac{s}{1-\rho})(1 - \frac{s}{\bar{\rho}})(1 - \frac{s}{1-\bar{\rho}})$$

$$I(\rho_0) = \prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) < 1/2} (1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\bar{\rho}})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\bar{\rho}}) = 0$$

$$I(\bar{\rho}_0) = \prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) < 1/2} (1 - \frac{\bar{\rho}_0}{\rho})(1 - \frac{\bar{\rho}_0}{1-\rho})(1 - \frac{\bar{\rho}_0}{\bar{\rho}})(1 - \frac{\bar{\rho}_0}{1-\bar{\rho}})$$

$$I(\bar{\rho}_0) = \overline{\prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) < 1/2} (1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\rho})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\bar{\rho}})(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1-\bar{\rho}})}$$

$$I(\bar{\rho}_0) = \overline{I(\rho_0)} = 0$$

Since from Case 1B , $I(\rho_0) = 0 \Rightarrow I(\bar{\rho}_0) = 0$

$I(s)$ is Holomorphic on the upper half plane $\{I(z) \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im(z) > 0\}$ and real on the real axis

Also, by Schwarz's reflection principle ,

$$I(\bar{\rho}_0) = \overline{I(\rho_0)} = 0$$

$$I(\overline{\rho_0}) = 0$$

$$\prod_{\Im(\rho) > 0, \Re(\rho) < 1/2} \left(1 - \frac{\overline{\rho_0}}{\rho}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\overline{\rho_0}}{1-\rho}\right) = 0 \quad (2)$$

Since proceeding similarly in case 1A, (2) is also a convergent infinite product.

Value of a convergent infinite product is 0 if and only if at least one of the factors is 0 [5, p.287]

$$\left(1 - \frac{\overline{\rho_0}}{\rho_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\overline{\rho_0}}{1-\rho_1}\right) = 0, \text{ where } \Re(\rho_1) < 1/2 \text{ and } \Im(\rho_1) > 0$$

$$\overline{\rho_0} = \rho_1 \text{ or } \overline{\rho_0} = 1 - \rho_1$$

$$\Im(\overline{\rho_0}) = \Im(\rho_1) > 0 \text{ or } \Re(\overline{\rho_0}) = \Re(1 - \rho_1) > 1/2$$

$$\Im(\rho_0) < 0 \text{ or } \Re(\rho_0) > 1/2$$

contradicts $\Im(\rho_0) > 0$ or contradicts $\Re(\rho_0) < 1/2$ in Case 1

So we get a contradiction.

Hence our assumption that $\xi(\rho_0) = 0$, $0 < \Re(\rho_0) < 1/2$ and

$\Im(\rho_0) > 0$ is wrong

Thus, $\xi(\rho_0) \neq 0$ when $0 < \Re(\rho_0) < 1/2$ and $\Im(\rho_0) > 0$

Case 2 : $0 < \Re(\rho_0) < 1/2$ and $\Im(\rho_0) < 0$

$$\xi(\rho_0) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \xi(\overline{\rho_0}) = 0$$

$$\Im(\rho_0) < 0 \Rightarrow \Im(\overline{\rho_0}) > 0$$

$$\text{Also } 0 < \Re(\overline{\rho_0}) < 1/2$$

By, Case 1, $\xi(\overline{\rho_0}) \neq 0$, $\Im(\overline{\rho_0}) > 0$ and $0 < \Re(\overline{\rho_0}) < 1/2$

Since, $\xi(s)$ is holomorphic and is real on the real axis so, by Schwarz's reflection principle [1, p.30],

$$\overline{\xi(\rho_0)} = \xi(\overline{\rho_0}) \neq 0, \Im(\overline{\rho_0}) > 0 \text{ and } 0 < \Re(\overline{\rho_0}) < 1/2$$

$$\xi(\rho_0) \neq 0, 0 < \Re(\rho_0) < 1/2 \text{ and } \Im(\rho_0) < 0$$

Thus in both the above cases 1 and 2, we get a contradiction

So, our assumption that $\xi(\rho_0) = 0$ when $0 < \Re(\rho_0) < 1/2$ is wrong

Thus, $\xi(\rho_0) \neq 0$ when $0 < \Re(\rho_0) < 1/2$

Case 3 : $1/2 < \Re(\rho_0) < 1, \Im(\rho_0) \in \mathbb{R}$

ρ_0 is a zero of $\xi(s)$ then $1 - \rho_0$ is also a zero due to the functional equation

$\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$ and by Schwarz's reflection principle $1 - \overline{\rho_0}$ is also a zero [1, p.30]

$$\rho_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0, 1/2 < \sigma_0 < 1$$

$$1 - \overline{\rho_0} = 1 - \sigma_0 + it_0$$

$$1 - \sigma_0 = \sigma'_0, 0 < \sigma'_0 < 1/2$$

By cases 1 and 2, $\xi(\sigma'_0 + it_0) \neq 0, 0 < \sigma'_0 < 1/2$

$$\xi(1 - \sigma_0 + it_0) \neq 0$$

By functional equation,

$$\xi(\sigma_0 - it_0) \neq 0$$

By Schwarz's Reflection principle,

$$\xi(\sigma_0 + it_0) \neq 0, 1/2 < \sigma_0 < 1$$

$$\xi(\rho_0) \neq 0 \text{ when } 1/2 < \Re(\rho_0) < 1$$

Cases 1, 2 and 3 gives,

$$\text{If } \Re(\rho_0) \neq 1/2 \text{ then } \xi(\rho_0) \neq 0$$

$$\text{or if } \xi(\rho_0) = 0 \text{ then } \Re(\rho_0) = 1/2$$

This proves the Riemann Hypothesis

3 References:-

1. E. C. Titchmarsh, D. R. Heath-Brown - The theory of the Riemann Zeta function [2nd ed] Clarendon Press; Oxford University Press (1986).
2. Kevin Broughan - Equivalents of the Riemann Hypothesis : Arithmetic Equivalents Cambridge University Press (2017) .
3. A Monotonicity of Riemann's Xi function and a reformulation of the Riemann Hypothesis, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica - May 2010.
4. H.M Edwards - Riemann's Zeta function- Academic Press (1974).
5. Analytic Functions, 3rd Edition Hardcover – 1971 by Stanislaw Saks, Antoni Zygmund .

Email- shekharsuman068@gmail.com