

Mars Immigration Theory (Part II) (Questions & Answers)

The Author

Mr. Gerges Francis Tawdrous

A Student–Physics Department- Physics & Mathematics Faculty –

Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University) - **Moscow – Russia**

Phone +201022532292

E-Mail: mrwaheid@gmail.com

Curriculum Vitae <http://vixra.org/abs/1902.0044>

Authorized To Be Used By

Dr. Budochkina, Svetlana Aleksandrovna

Associate Professor (Mathematical Analysis and Theory of Functions Department)

Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University) - **Moscow – Russia**

Phone +7 (495) 952-35-83

E-Mail: budochkina-sa@rudn.ru, sbudotchkina@yandex.ru

Website

<http://web-local.rudn.ru/web-local/prep/rj/index.php?id=2944&p=19024>

The Assumption Of S. Virgin Mary -Written in Cairo – Egypt – 2nd April March 2020

Abstract

We discuss here many questions concerning "Mars Immigration Theory"

Let's write the questions in the following order:

(Question No. 1)

How To Prove That Mars Original Orbital Distance Was 84 Mkm And Mars Had Immigrated To Its New Orbital Distance Point 227.9 Mkm

(Question No. 2)

If Mars Diameter Is Changed Through The History, How Can To Prove That?

(Question No. 3)

Why Mars had immigrated from its original point (84 mkm)? And Why specifically to the point 227.9 mkm? Why not to 200 mkm or 250 mkm?

Please Note

Mars Immigration Theory Is Supported By The Giant –Impact Hypothesis

Where This Hypothesis provides Many Geological Proves For Mars Immigration

And

Mars Immigration Theory solves many important questions to improve the Giant-Impact Hypothesis...

1 **1- Introduction**

2 Let's start with the first question –

3
4 **(Question No. 1)**

5 **How to prove that Mars Original orbital distance was 84 mkm and Mars had**
6 **immigrated to its new orbital distance point 227.9 mkm?**

7
8 This question answer we have discussed in the previous paper.. let's summarize its
9 answer in following...

10
11 (The Answer of Question No. 1)

12
13 **Mars Immigration Theory Proves:**

14
15 **(1st proof)** The Giant –Impact Hypothesis (The Geological Proves)

16 **(2nd proof)** Planet diameter & orbital distance relationship (Planets Order Analysis)

17 **(3rd proof)** Planet effect on its neighbor

18 **(4th proof)** Mars Immigration Motion Direction

19 **(5th proof)** Mars Immigration results (Mars Diameter decreasing – Asteroid belt Creation)

20 These proves we had discussed in the previous paper - please review

21
22 **Mars Immigration Theory**

23 <https://vixra.org/abs/2003.0689>

24 or

25 https://www.academia.edu/42453661/Mars_Immigration_Theory

26
27 We can here only remember the proof No. 1 (the Geological proves)

28
29
30 **The Geological Proves**

31 ***The Giant-impact hypothesis supports Mars Immigration Theory***

32
33 **Let's analyze how the hypothesis supports Mars Immigration theory:**

- 34 - Mars original orbital distance was 84 mkm but Mars was immigrated from it to its
35 current orbital distance 227.9 mkm
- 36 - Through Mars Motion from the point 84 mkm to the point 227.9 mkm – Mars had
37 collided with Venus (at first) and then collided also with Earth (at second)
- 38 - From these collisions debris Earth Moon was created and Mars created its moons
39 and the rest debris were attracted by Jupiter and created the asteroid belt

40
41 Based on that

- 42 - Mars was the planet which collided with Earth and Not Theia (Theia impact) as
43 the Giant-Impact Hypothesis suggests

44
45 The theory answers many questions to improve the Giant –impact hypothesis:

1 **(1st Question)** Why Venus has no moon spite it had a similar collision?
2 Because Mars was moving from the point (84 mkm) to the point (227.9 mkm) – So
3 Mars pushed all debris to move with it in the same motion direction – Mars had
4 created a wave to push all debris far from Venues toward Mars current orbital
5 distance (227.9 mkm)- for that reason **Venus Couldn't Create A Moon** – but Earth
6 has **A Greater Mass** and the **Debris Lost Their Motion High Momentum** at Earth
7 – so Earth could created its moon from these debris

8
9 **(2nd Question)** The Lunar Magma Ocean (LMO) Origin?!
10 **The Origin Of This Ocean Is Venus** and by that – the mystery is solved easily – the
11 moon rocks are consisted of 3 planets rocks which are Venus – Earth - Mars – and
12 because of that the 3 planets rocks are seen among the moon rocks.

13
14 **(3rd Question)** why The Iron Oxide (Feo) of the Moon= (13%)?
15 The rate (13%) is a middle between Mars rate (18%) and the terrestrial mantle (8%)
16 because the moon is created by all of them.

17
18 This is a revision for the proof no. 1 only...

19
20 The 5 proves were discussed in details in the previous paper. –

21
22 The 5th proof provides decisive help for the theory ...

23
24 Because this proof shows Mars Immigration results...let's summarize it:

25
26 **Mars Immigration Results are:**

- 27
28 1- Earth Moon Creation
29 2- Mars Diameter Decreasing
30 3- The Asteroid Belt Creation
31

32 Let's provide the paper content

33
34 **2- Methodology**

35
36
37 **3- The Answers Of The 2nd & 3rd Question**

38 **(Question No. 2)**

39 **If Mars diameter is changed through the history, how can to prove that?**

40
41 **(Question No. 3)**

42 Why Mars had immigrated from its original point (84 mkm)? And Why specifically
43 to the point 227.9 mkm? Why not to 200 mkm or 250 mkm?
44

1 **2- Methodology**

2 I use the planets data analysis to discover the geometrical rules on which this data
3 was created – so a question as Why Earth-Moon diameter =3475 km? is one of my
4 research usual questions...

5 **Example No. 1**

6 **Equation No.(a)**

7 10921 km (Earth moon circumference) x 8640 seconds (solar day period) =940 mkm
8 940 mkm= Earth orbital circumference

9 *This equation tells us that*

10 If The Earth revolves around the sun a complete revolution in one solar day only – So
11 Its Moon circumference will be = a distance of Earth motion for **1 second** period

12 **Equation No.(b)**

13 10921 km (Earth moon circumference) x 27.3 solar days = 300000km

14 *This equation tells us that*

15 If The Moon rotates around its axis once per solar day, so during its orbital period the
16 moon will move a distance = light (0.3mkm/sec) travel for **1 second**
17

18 Simply the previous equations are considered as happy coincidences!

19 That's what I try to disprove here – the question is still behind – Why the moon
20 diameter =3475 km? because this value 3475 km produced many effective equations

21 **More Data**

22 (The sun diameter/ the moon diameter)=(Earth orbital distance / earth Moon distance)

23 The sun diameter x the moon diameter = Jupiter orbital circumference

24 Jupiter circumference x the moon circumference = Jupiter orbital circumference

25 The moon diameter x 1.9 = Mars diameter (where 1.9 deg = Mars orbital inclination)

26 The moon diameter x3.4= Venus diameter (where3.4 deg =Venus orbital inclination)

27 (Mars diameter / mercury diameter) = (Mercury diameter / the moon diameter)

28 **Discussion**

29 The planets data analysis basic objectives are:

30 (1) to compare the planets data with our physics theories to see if both are in
31 consistency with each other

32 (2) to analyze the different planets data to see if they are in consistency with each
33 other – for example the planets order (Mercury – Venus – Earth) shows that greater
34 diameter and mass needs greater orbital distance in clear sequence – but Mars is
35 exceptional for this rule! Why? the outer planets also provide a good order (Jupiter –
36 Saturn – Uranus – Neptune – Pluto) –this sequence tells greater diameter (but not
37 mass) needs shorter orbital distance – so this order is reversed to the previous one –
38 in both cases Mars is exceptional! But is Mars orbital distance was 84 mkm – the
39 inner planets will be so perfect as following (Mercury - Mars – Venus – Earth)
40 greater diameter (and mass) needs greater orbital distance..

41 **Note Please**, I want to say that – spite we accept that the planet diameter (matter) is
42 created by the historical records – but – the planet data value is defined for

1 geometrical reasons – The Gravity forces which Newton defined is more complex
2 geometrically than what we understand from our physics book...

3 i.e.

4 the moon matter is created by the collisions between Mars with Venus and Mars with
5 Earth – but the diameter value 3475 km is created by the solar system geometry effect
6 (as I call) or by the masses gravity forces (as Newton call)

7 but the masses gravity force is more complex geometrically than what we understood
8 currently – because the gravity forces effect geometrically to create specific diameter
9 for the planet – so what happened for the moon is done for all planets

10 that because

11 the solar system is one machine and each planet is a gear in it

12 or

13 the solar system is one building and each planet is a part of this same building

14 an because of that- the planet creation is a collaboration process – so the planet birth
15 is done based on this collaboration

16 shortly

17 the Earth Moon is created from the collisions debris between Mars and Venus and
18 Mars & earth – but the Moon data is created to be in consistency with other planets
19 data – that's why the planets data record Mars immigration occurrence and because
20 the planets recorded the event we can conclude that Mars orbital distance was
21 changed through the history

22

23 Many other discussions are provided in my previous paper

24

25 The Giant-impact hypothesis supports "Mars Immigration Theory" (III)

26 <https://vixra.org/abs/2003.0521>

27

or

28

https://www.academia.edu/42301145/The_Giant-impact_hypothesis_supports_Mars_Immigration_Theory_III

29

or

30

<https://www.slideshare.net/Gergesfrancis/the-giantimpact-hypothesis-supports-mars-immigration-theory-iii>

31

32

1 **3- The Answers Of The 2nd & 3rd Questions**

2 **(Question No. 2)**

3 **If Mars Diameter Is Changed Through The History, How Can To Prove That?**

4
5 This question answer is somehow complex for that we will move with it point after
6 pointlet's start

7
8 **(Point No. I)**

9
10 Why I claim that Mars diameter is decreased? I claim Mars diameter was 7070 km
11 when Mars was in its original orbital distance (84 mkm)

12
13 I concluded that bas on Mercury and Earth Data .. as following:

- 14
15 - Earth orbital distance 149.6 mkm = Earth diameter 12756 km x 10⁹
16 - Mercury orbital distance 57.9 mkm = Mercury diameter 4879 km x 10⁹

17
18 So if Mars orbital distance =84 mkmso

- 19
20 - Mars orbital distance 84 mkm = Mars diameter 7070 km x 10⁹
21 (The difference =4.1% with Mars registered diameter 6792 km)

22
23 But – Why Mars original orbital distance was 84 mkm? Why not 75 mkm? If Mars be
24 in 75 mkm the planets order will be in perfect also – so why the value 84 mkm?
25 This question will be discussed in the last point...

26
27 **(Point No. II)**

28 Now

29 Earth diameter 12756 km / Mars ancient diameter 7070 km = 1.8

30
31 Earth diameter 12756 km / Mars current diameter 6792 km = 1.9

32 But

33 1.8 degrees = Neptune orbital inclination

34 **1.9 degrees = Mars orbital inclination**

35 Why the orbital inclination is used as a rate! I don't know? I try to explain that – the
36 gravity forces as Newton defined is more complex geometrically as we study in our
37 books

38 The gravity force has geometrical effect - which we don't discover yet!

39
40 The gravity force effect on the matter creation process and define the matter data
41 Any way

42 What does that tell to us?

43 Mars orbital inclination 1.9 degrees is increased than Neptune orbital inclination 1.8
44 degrees with 0.1 degree! Can we prove that? but before let's see the rate 1.9

45

1 **(Point No. III)**

2 **Data (1)**

3 687 days (Mars orbital period) = 365.25 days (Earth orbital period) x 1.9

4 687 days (Mars orbital period) = 27.3 days (the moon orbital period) x 25.2

5 (1.9 degrees Mars orbital inclination and 25.2 degrees = Mars Axial Tilt)

6 **But**

7 **25.2 deg. = 1.9 deg x 13.18 deg. (Moon motion per solar day =13.18 degrees)**

8 **And**

9 **Mars motion per solar day = 0.524 degrees = (1/1.9)**

10 (Note please – the previous data tells that Mars orbital inclination is created based on
11 the solar day as a period of time – as similar to the moon value 13.18 degrees)

12

13 **Note**

14 **687 days (Mars orbital period) x2 π= 4331 days (Jupiter orbital period)**

15 (the previous data shows the effect of any planet on its neighbor)

16

17 **Data (2)**

18

19 149.6 mkm (Earth Orbital Distance) =1.9 x 78.3 mkm (Earth Mars Distance)

20

21 227.9 mkm (Mars Orbital Distance) =1.9 x 119.7 mkm (Venus Mars Distance)

22

23 78.3 mkm (Earth Mars distance) =1.9 x41.4 mkm (Venus Earth Distance)

24

25 Mars Mass = 1.9 x Mercury Mass (error 2.7)

26 Mars Diameter = 1.9 x Earth Moon Diameter (error 2.8)

27

28 **Data (3)**

29 (Mars Mass / Mercury Mass) = Mars diameter / Mercury Diameter

30

31 (Mars orbital period/ Mercury day period) = (Mars orbital distance / Mercury orbital
32 distance)

33

34 (Mars day period / Earth day period) = (365.25 days/ 354.39 days)

35

36 **Data (4)**

37 **687 days (Mars orbital period) +6.2 days = 2 x 346.6 days (the Nodal year)**

38 This equation is so important because it has no error – the numbers are as written
39 here – no any error (even no 1 of 10⁶)

40 6.2 = 2 x 3.1 but 3.1 degrees = Jupiter axial tilt – I try to show that the data is created
41 depending on each other accurately.....!

42 Some objection will tell that – how you make 3.1 days = 3.1 degrees! It's the moon
43 orbit does – because the moon orbit regresses 19 degrees yearly and that changes the
44 eclipse calendar with 19 days i.e. 1 solar day = 1 degree...

45 I wish the strong relationship between Mars and the Earth Moon is just clear before
46 us...

1 **(Point No. IV)**

2 How to prove that Mars orbital inclination 1.9 degrees is created based on Neptune
3 orbital inclination 1.8 degrees with change 0.1 degrees which is found because of the
4 change of the rate between Earth diameter and mars diameter?!

5 Let's remember that

6 Earth diameter 12756 km/ Mars ancient diameter 7070 km =1.8

7 Earth diameter 12756 km/ Mars current diameter 6792 km =1.9

8 So, Mars diameter change caused Mars orbital inclination to be changed from
9 Neptune with 0.1....

10

11 The direct question is – what's the relationship between Neptune Orbital Inclination
12 and Mars Orbital Inclination?

13

14 This is our basic hypothesis let's remember it in following:

15

16 - The solar system is one machine and each planet is a gear in it.

17

18 - The solar system is one Building and each planet is a part in it.

19

20 - The solar system is one Trajectory of energy and each planet is a point on it.

21

22 - The solar system is a similar to a puppets theater- all planets connected together
23 with one thread

24

25 - The solar planets move together in one unified motion as a train carriages move
26 together one unified motion...

27

28 - And even if the planets motions velocities are different that can't disprove the
29 previous theory because the machine of gears has many gears with different
30 velocities but all of them move in on unified motion..

31

32 - That tells us – if there's a change = 0.1 degree is done to create Mars orbital
33 inclination – so this value 0.1 degree should be seen in other planets data – the
34 value 0.1 degree can't be perished because the solar system in full balance and
35 harmony –

36

37 So **where this value 0.1 degree went? In next point we should answer –**

38

39 to remember the one machine theory please review

40

41 My Research Basic Arguments (III) <https://vixra.org/abs/2002.0138>

42

43 My Research Hypotheses <https://vixra.org/abs/1909.0406>

44

45 Solar Planets Move Together In One Unified Motion (As A Train Motion)

46

47 <https://vixra.org/abs/1909.0135>

1 **(Point No. V)**
2 Please remember – we search for a value = 0.1
3
4 We have it simply
5
6 $177.4 \text{ deg. (Venus Axial Tilt)} + 2.5 \text{ deg. (Saturn orbital inclination)} = 179.9 \text{ deg.}$
7
8 $179.9 \text{ deg} \times 7 \text{ deg (Mercury orbital inclination)} = 1259.3 \text{ degrees}$
9
10 But
11
12 **1259.3 seconds x 1.16 mkm/sec = 1461 mkm**
13
14 I suppose there's a light velocity = 1.16 mkm/sec
15
16 Regardless – the proves for the light velocity – we have use it frequently before – but
17 the value 1461 mkm is produced based on the value 179.9 degrees
18 And because we deal with light motion (1.16 mkm/ec) – the distance value 1461
19 mkm we see as a time period = 365 days+365 days+ 365 days +366 days =1461 days
20
21 The previous explanation shows that the value 0.1 which is added to 1.8 deg to create
22 1.9 deg (Mars orbital inclination) is taken from the value 180 degrees
23 The rest 179.9 degrees is found by a cooperation between Venus and Saturn
24
25 First let's ask why Venus and Saturn?
26 Venus should be Mars neighbor if Mars orbital distance was 84 mkm
27 And
28 Saturn orbital distance = Mars orbital circumference
29 And also
30 $(\text{Mars velocity/ Saturn velocity}) = 2.5$ (where 2.5 deg = Saturn orbital inclination)
31
32 Still that not clear Let's see its depth in the following point
33
34 **Note Please**
35 Light with known velocity (0.3 mkm/sec) travels during a solar day (86400 seconds)
36 a distance = 25920 mkm
37 But we see this value as 25920 years (the Precession Cycle) because it's a light
38 motion –
39 This data is important because
40 All solar planets together moves a distance 17.75 mkm per solar day – and by this
41 velocity the planets travel 25920 mkm during the period 1461 days (that explain the
42 deep effect of the value 1461 days on the solar system geometry)
43

1 **(Point No. VI)**

2 Mars Current diameter 6792 km Venus Diameter =12104 km

3

4 **$(\text{Mars current})^2 \times \pi = (\text{Venus Diameter})^2$**

5 **That means**

6 **Mars diameter $\times \pi^{1/2} = \text{Venus Diameter} \dots$**

7

8 Note Please $\pi^{1/2} \times 100 = 177.4$ (where 177.4 degrees = Venus Axial Tilt)

9

10 We interest for the result **$(\text{Mars current})^2 \times \pi = (\text{Venus Diameter})^2 = 144 \text{ mkm}$**

11

12 **That means**

13 Mars Circumference \times Mars diameter = $(\text{Venus diameter})^2 = 144 \text{ mkm}$

14

15 **It's Mars displacement (from 84 mkm to 227.9 mkm =144 mkm)**

16

17 So the value **144 mkm** was defined in Mars and Venus Diameters

18

19 We remember the question No.3

20

21 **Why Mars had immigrated from its original point (84 mkm)? And Why specifically to the point 227.9 mkm? Why not to 200 mkm or 250 mkm?**

22

23 How I knew the point 84 mkm? I just discounted the value **144 mkm** from Mars current orbital distance 227.9 mkm

24

25 **Surely...** There's A Relationship Between The Planet Diameter And Orbital Distance

26

27 For that reason –

28

29 Mars Displacement was defined inside Mars & Venus Diameters – and for that the data is just in harmony

30

31 **$144 \text{ mkm} \times \pi = 2 \times 227.9 \text{ mkm}$ (Mars Current Orbital Distance)**

32

33 **Remember Please**

34 - Earth orbital distance 149.6 mkm = Earth diameter 12756 km $\times 10^9$

35 - Mercury orbital distance 57.9 mkm = Mercury diameter 4879 km $\times 10^9$

36 - Mars orbital distance 84 mkm = Mars diameter 7070 km $\times 10^9$

37 - **144 mkm** = Venus diameter 12104 km $\times 10^9$

38

39 The planets data are created depending on each other – because the solar planets is one machine and each planet is a gear in it – and based on that – each change in the solar system history is recorded in the planets data ...

40 That provides a decisive advantage for the planets data analysis as astrophysics research method.

41

1 **4- References**

2 1- Mars Immigration Theory

3 <https://vixra.org/abs/2003.0689> (English Text)

4 or

5 <https://vixra.org/abs/2003.0685> (German Text)

6 2-The Giant-impact hypothesis supports "Mars Immigration Theory" (III)

7 <https://vixra.org/abs/2003.0521>

8 or

9 https://www.academia.edu/42301145/The_Giant-impact_hypothesis_supports_Mars_Immigration_Theory_III

10 3- The Giant-impact hypothesis supports "Mars Immigration Theory" (II)

11 <https://vixra.org/abs/2003.0451>

12 or

13 https://www.academia.edu/42282112/The_Giant-impact_hypothesis_supports_Mars_Immigration_Theory_II

14 ***Dr. Budochkina, Svetlana Aleksandrovna***

15 ***Associate professor - Candidate of physico-mathematical sciences (2005)***

Math-Net.Ru

<http://www.mathnet.ru/eng/person22119>

**Google
Scholar**

[List of publications on Google Scholar](#)

ZentralMATH

[List of publications on ZentralBlatt](#)

MathSciNet

<https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet/MRAuthorID/757317>

eLIBRARY.RU

http://elibrary.ru/author_items.asp?spin=6087-3245

ORCID

<http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3447-0425>

RESEARCHERID

<http://www.researcherid.com/rid/G-7453-2014>

Scopus

<http://www.scopus.com/authid/detail.url?authorId=6507007003>

ResearchGate

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Svetlana_Budochkina

Full list of publications:

<http://web-local.rudn.ru/web-local/prep/rj/index.php?id=2944&p=15209>

16

17 ***Mr.Gerges Francis Tawdrous +201022532292***

18 ***Physics Department- Physics & Mathematics Faculty***

19

20

21 **Gerges Francis Tawdrous +201022532292**

22 Curriculum Vitae <http://vixra.org/abs/1902.0044>

23 E-mail mrwaheid@gmail.com

24 LinkedIn <https://eg.linkedin.com/in/gerges-francis-86a351a1>

25 Facebook <https://www.facebook.com>

26 twitter https://twitter.com/tawdrous_f

27 Researcherid <https://publons.com/researcher/3510834/gerges-tawadrous/>

28 ORCID <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1041-7147>

29 Quora <https://www.quora.com/profile/Gerges-F-Tawdrous>

30 Google <https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=2Y4ZdTUAAAAJ&hl=en>

31 Academia <https://rudn.academia.edu/GergesTawadrous>

32 List of publications http://vixra.org/author/gerges_francis_tawdrous