

# Sense Theory

(Part 3)

Sense Derivative

[P-S Standard]

Egger Mielberg

egger.mielberg@gmail.com

07.02.2020

## Abstract.

In an attempt to create a theory for describing such a human phenomenon as a possibility to self-learning, we need to create an instrument for dynamic modeling of **sense-to-sense** (S2S) [3] associations between heterogeneous objects. This instrument would help understand the nature of the cause-to-effect relationship [4] and the creation of new knowledge.

In this article, we describe one of the instruments, *sense derivative*, that sheds light on the nature of forming new knowledge in the field of Artificial Intelligence.

## 1. Introduction

In traditional mathematics, the derivative of a function of a single variable (multiple variables) measures sensitivity to changes of one variable (many variables) towards another one. In Sense Theory, the derivative of a sense function [2] measures sensitivity to property-changes of one No-Sense Set of the object towards sense changes of this object. Also, it clearly shows sense associations between objects of different nature.

Compared with trillions of synaptic connections in the human brain, the sense derivative allows a researcher to analyze trillions of possible sense connections. So a No-Sense Set of  $n$ -measurement may include  $n^n$  possible sense objects.

## 2. Problem

Like in traditional differential calculus, in Sense Theory we need to formulate a mechanism of changing  $S_f$  (sense constituents) on No-Sense

Set  $\mathcal{S}_N$ . In other words, we need to be able to define sets (subsets) on which the sense limit is:

1. always constant

$$\lim_S S_f(\{A_i\}) = \text{const}, \text{ for any subset } B_j \text{ where } B_j \subseteq A_i$$

2. absent

$$\lim_S S_f(\{A_i\}) = \{A_i\} = \emptyset_S$$

3. divergent

$$\lim_S S_f(\{A_i\}) = \odot_A = \odot_B \text{ where } \lim_S A_i \neq \lim_S B_i$$

In practice, a set on which the function  $S_f$  is defined may as increase as decrease. For these situations, we need to describe a derivative on union (set increasing) and a derivative on disunion (set decreasing). Both derivatives form a new knowledge.

Also, each object has a series of key properties that define it uniquely. For this case, we will describe a derivative on property.

## 3. Solution

Derivative on union.

Let's  $S_f$  to be defined on the set of  $\mathcal{S}_K$  or  $\mathcal{S}_{O(K)}$ . Then for any  $S_f(\mathcal{S}_L)$  defined on  $\mathcal{S}_L$  ( $\mathcal{S}_{O(L)}$ ), semantic derivative  $S_f(\mathcal{S}_K)$  on union is

$$S_f(\mathcal{S}_K) \cup S_f(\mathcal{S}_L) = S_f(\mathcal{S}_M)$$

or

$$S_f^{diff}(\mathcal{S}_K) = [S_f(\mathcal{S}_K) \cup S_f(\mathcal{S}_L)] = S_f(\mathcal{S}_M)$$

where  $K < M$ ,  $M > L$ .

The equivalent form is

$$\text{diff} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_K)]_L = S_f(\mathcal{S}_K \cup \mathcal{S}_L) = S_f(\mathcal{S}_M)$$

Unlike semantic derivative on disunion, No-Sense Set of  $S_f^{(diff)}$  on union can be put as on the left side as on the right side from the operator of semantic union as

$$\mathcal{S}_K \cup \mathcal{S}_L = \mathcal{S}_L \cup \mathcal{S}_K$$

**Axiom (Sense Limit of Derivative):**

“The semantic derivative on union has two cases:

1. the sense limit is defined:

$$\lim_S \mathcal{S}_M = \odot \text{ for } \text{diff} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_K)]_L$$

2. the sense limit is undefined:

$$\lim_S \mathcal{S}_M \neq \odot \text{ or } \lim_S \mathcal{S}_M = \mathcal{S}_M$$

**Properties:**

$$1. \text{diff} [S_f(\emptyset_S)]_L = S_f(\emptyset_S \cup \mathcal{S}_L) = S_f(\mathcal{S}_M)$$

where for  $S_f(\mathcal{S}_M)$  we have 2 cases:

a.  $\lim_S \mathcal{S}_M = \mathcal{S}_M$

b.  $\lim_S \mathcal{S}_M = \odot$

$$2. \quad \underset{\cup}{\text{diff}} [\underset{\cup}{\text{diff}} [S_f(A_K)]_L]_L = \odot_A^S = \text{const} \quad ,$$

where

$$\underset{\cup}{\text{diff}} [S_f(A_K)]_L = \odot_A$$

or

$$\underset{\cup}{\text{diff}} [S_f(A_K)]_L \neq \odot_A$$

$$3. \quad \underset{\cup}{\text{diff}} [\underset{\cup}{\text{diff}} [S_f(A_K)]_L]_{L+1} = S_f(A_M) \quad ,$$

where

$$\underset{\cup}{\text{diff}} [S_f(A_K)]_L = \lim_S A_M$$

or

$$\underset{\cup}{\text{diff}} [S_f(A_K)]_L \neq \lim_S A_M$$

$$4. \quad \underset{\cup}{\text{diff}} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_K)]_L \stackrel{S}{\cong} \underset{\cup}{\text{diff}} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_{K'})]_{L'} \quad ,$$

if

$$\lim_S \mathcal{S}_K = \lim_S \mathcal{S}_{K'}$$

$$5. \quad \underset{\cup}{\text{diff}} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_K) \cup S_f(\mathcal{S}_{K'})]_L = \underset{\cup}{\text{diff}} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_K)]_{L1} \cup \underset{\cup}{\text{diff}} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_{K'})]_{L2}$$

where

$$\lim_S \mathcal{S}_K = \lim_S \mathcal{S}_{K'}$$

$$6. \quad \text{diff}_{\downarrow} [S_F^o]_L = S_f(A_K), \text{ where } A_L \subseteq A_K$$

$$7. \quad \text{diff}_{\downarrow} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_K) \cap S_f(\mathcal{S}_{K'})]_L = \text{diff}_{\downarrow} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_K)]_{L1} \cap \text{diff}_{\downarrow} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_{K'})]_{L2}$$

where

$$\text{diff}_{\downarrow} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_K)]_{L1} \cong \text{diff}_{\downarrow} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_{K'})]_{L2}$$

Derivative on disunion.

Let's  $S_f$  to be defined on the set of  $\mathcal{S}_N$  or  $\mathcal{S}_{o(N)}$ . Then for any  $S_f(\mathcal{S}_M)$  defined on  $\mathcal{S}_M$  ( $\mathcal{S}_{o(M)}$ ), where  $M < N$ , *semantic derivative*  $S_f(\mathcal{S}_N)$  on *disunion* is

$$S_f(\mathcal{S}_N) \downarrow S_f(\mathcal{S}_M) = S_f(\mathcal{S}_K)$$

or

$$S_f^{diff}(\mathcal{S}_N) = [S_f(\mathcal{S}_N) \downarrow S_f(\mathcal{S}_M)] = S_f(\mathcal{S}_K)$$

where  $N > K$ .

The equivalent form is

$$\text{diff}_{\downarrow} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_N)]_M = S_f(\mathcal{S}_N^{(diff)} \downarrow \mathcal{S}_M) = S_f(\mathcal{S}_K)$$

It is important to remember that No-Sense Set of  $S_f^{(diff)}$  is always put on the left side from the operator of semantic disunion as

$$\mathcal{S}_N \cup \mathcal{S}_M \neq \mathcal{S}_M \cup \mathcal{S}_N$$

**Axiom (Constancy of Sense Limit):**

“The semantic derivative on disunion of function  $S_f$  defined on set of  $\mathcal{S}_N$  has always a limit if and only if the derivative on object of  $S_f$  is defined for

each element of  $\mathcal{S}_N$ , then:

$$\lim_S \mathcal{S}_k = \odot \text{ for } \text{diff}_{\cup} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_N)]_M \text{ ,”}$$

**Properties:**

$$1. \text{diff}_{\cup} [S_f(\emptyset_S)]_M = S_f(\emptyset_S \cup \mathcal{S}_M) = S_f(\mathcal{S}_k) \text{ ,}$$

where for  $S_f(\mathcal{S}_k)$  we have 2 cases:

$$a. \lim_S \mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{S}_k$$

$$b. \lim_S \mathcal{S}_k = \odot$$

$$2. \text{diff}_{\cup} [\text{diff}_{\cup} [S_f(A_N)]_M]_M = \odot_A = \text{const}^S \text{ ,}$$

where

$$\text{diff}_{\cup} [S_f(A_N)]_M = \odot_A$$

or

$$\text{diff}_{\cup} [S_f(A_N)]_M \neq \odot_A$$

$$3. \quad \text{diff}_{\ominus} [\text{diff}_{\ominus} [S_f(A_N)]_M]_{M+1} = S_f(A_K) ,$$

where

$$\lim_S A_K = \odot_A$$

$$4. \quad \text{diff}_{\ominus} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_N)]_M \stackrel{\cong}{=} \text{diff}_{\ominus} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_{N'})]_{M'} ,$$

if

$$\lim_S \mathcal{S}_N = \lim_S \mathcal{S}_{N'}$$

$$5. \quad \text{diff}_{\ominus} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_N) \uplus S_f(\mathcal{S}_N)]_M = \text{diff}_{\ominus} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_N)]_{M1} \uplus \text{diff}_{\ominus} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_{N'})]_{M2}$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_N \stackrel{E}{\rightleftarrows} \mathcal{S}_{N'}$$

$$6. \quad \text{diff}_{\ominus} [S_F^{\ominus}]_M = S_f(A_N), \text{ where } A_M \subseteq A_N$$

$$7. \quad \text{diff}_{\ominus} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_N) \oslash S_f(\mathcal{S}_N)]_M = \text{diff}_{\ominus} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_N)]_{M1} \oslash \text{diff}_{\ominus} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_{N'})]_{M2}$$

where

$$\text{diff}_{\ominus} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_N)]_{M1} \stackrel{\cong}{=} \text{diff}_{\ominus} [S_f(\mathcal{S}_{N'})]_{M2}$$

Derivative on property (disunion).

Let's  $S_f$  to be defined on the set of  $\mathcal{S}_N$  or  $\mathcal{S}_{o(N)}$ . Then for any  $S_f(\mathcal{S}_M)$  defined on  $\mathcal{S}_M$  ( $\mathcal{S}_{o(M)}$ ), where  $M < N$  and  $M \subseteq N$ , semantic derivative  $S_f(\mathcal{S}_N)$  on  $p_i$  on disunion is

$$S_f^{diff}(p_i)(\mathcal{S}_N) = [S_f(\mathcal{S}_N) \ominus S_f(\mathcal{S}_M)]$$

where  $p_i$  – i-property of  $\mathcal{S}_N$ ,

$p_i \notin \mathcal{S}_M$ .

The equivalent form is

$$\text{diff}_{\ominus}(p_i)[S_f(\mathcal{S}_N)]_M = S_f(\mathcal{S}_N \ominus \mathcal{S}_M)$$

Properties:

Items 1, 2 and 3 is identical to the derivative on disunion if the following requirements are met:

$$p_i \notin A_M, A_{M+1}, \mathcal{S}_M$$

$$4. \quad p_i \in \mathcal{S}_N, \mathcal{S}_{N'} \quad p_i \notin \mathcal{S}_M, \mathcal{S}_{M'}$$

$$5,7. \quad p_i \in \mathcal{S}_N, \mathcal{S}_{N'} \quad p_i \notin \mathcal{S}_M, \mathcal{S}_{M1}, \mathcal{S}_{M2}$$

$$6. \quad p_i \notin A_M$$

Derivative on property (union).

Let's  $S_f$  to be defined on the set of  $\mathcal{S}_K$  or  $\mathcal{S}_{o(K)}$ . Then for any  $S_f(\mathcal{S}_L)$  defined on  $\mathcal{S}_L$  ( $\mathcal{S}_{o(L)}$ ), semantic derivative  $S_f(\mathcal{S}_N)$  on  $p_i$  on union is

$$S_f^{diff}(p_i)(\mathcal{S}_K) = [S_f(\mathcal{S}_K) \cup S_f(\mathcal{S}_L)]$$

where  $p_i$  – i-property of  $\mathcal{S}_K$ ,

$\mathcal{S}_L$  –  $PN_S(\mathcal{S}_L(p_i))$ , where  $PN_S()$  – **sense punctured neighborhood**.

The equivalent form is

$$diff(p_i)[S_f(\mathcal{S}_K)]_L = S_f(\mathcal{S}_K \cup \mathcal{S}_L)$$

Properties:

1, 2, 3:  $\mathcal{S}_L : PN_S(\mathcal{S}_L(p_i))$ ,  $A_L : PN_S(A_L(p_i))$ ,  $A_{L+1} : PN_S(A_{L+1}(p_i))$

4.  $p_i \in \mathcal{S}_K, \mathcal{S}_{K'}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_L : PN_S(\mathcal{S}_L(p_i))$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{L'} : PN_S(\mathcal{S}_{L'}(p_i))$ .

5,7.  $p_i \in \mathcal{S}_K, \mathcal{S}_{K'}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_L : PN_S(\mathcal{S}_L(p_i))$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{L1} : PN_S(\mathcal{S}_{L1}(p_i))$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{L2} :$

$PN_S(\mathcal{S}_{L2}(p_i))$ .

6.  $A_L : PN_S(A_L(p_i))$ .

Derivative on object (disunion).

Let's  $S_f$  to be defined on the set of  $\mathcal{S}_N$  or  $\mathcal{S}_{o(N)}$ . Then for any  $S_f(\mathcal{S}_M)$  defined on  $\mathcal{S}_M$  ( $\mathcal{S}_{o(M)}$ ), where  $M < N$  and  $M \subseteq N$ , semantic derivative  $S_f(\mathcal{S}_N)$  on object  $O_N$  on disunion is

$$S_f^{diff}(O_N)(\mathcal{S}_N) = [S_f(\mathcal{S}_N) \cup S_f(\mathcal{S}_M)]_{\odot} = \text{const}$$

where  $O_N = \lim_S \mathcal{S}_N$ .

The equivalent form is

$$\text{diff}_{\cup}(O_N)[S_f(\mathcal{S}_N)]_M = S_f(\mathcal{S}_N \cup \mathcal{S}_M) = \odot = \text{const}$$

Properties:

1.  $\text{diff}_{\cup}(O_N)[S_f(\emptyset_S)]_M$  - undefined as  $\lim_S \emptyset_S \neq O_N$ .

2.  $\text{diff}_{\cup}(O_N)[\text{diff}_{\cup}(O_N)[S_f(A_N)]_M]_M = \odot_A = \text{const}^S$ ,

where

$$\text{diff}_{\cup}(O_N)[S_f(A_N)]_M = \text{const}^S$$

3.  $\text{diff}_{\cup}(O_N)[\text{diff}_{\cup}(O_N)[S_f(A_N)]_M]_{M+1} = S_f(A_K)$ ,

where

$$\lim_S A_K = \odot_A$$

4, 5, 6, 7. Evident, based on derivative on disunion.

Derivative on object (union).

1-7 properties is being derived by the rules for the derivative on object (disunion).

## **4. Conclusion**

In this article, we presented the instrument for dynamic modeling of sense-to-sense (S2S) [3] associations between heterogeneous objects. It will help better understand the nature of the sense constituent of the object.

We hope that our decent work will help other AI researchers in their life endeavors.

**To be continued.**

## References

- [1] E. Mielberg, "Sense Theory, Part 1", 2018, <https://vixra.org/pdf/1905.0105v1.pdf>
- [2] E. Mielberg, "Sense Theory. Sense Function, Part 2", 2018, <https://vixra.org/pdf/1907.0527v1.pdf>
- [3] E. Mielberg, "Arlelecta: A Decentralized Sense-To-Sense Network", 2019, <https://osf.io/5aq8d/>
- [4] E. Mielberg, "Decentralized Chain of Transactions", 2018, <https://vixra.org/pdf/1904.0064v1.pdf>
- [5] G. Stang, "Calculus", MIT, <https://ocw.mit.edu/ans7870/resources/Strang/Edited/Calculus/Calculus.pdf>
- [6] L.H. Loomis, S. Sternberg, "Advanced Calculus", 1990, [http://people.math.harvard.edu/~shlomo/docs/Advanced\\_Calculus.pdf](http://people.math.harvard.edu/~shlomo/docs/Advanced_Calculus.pdf)
- [7] G. Osborne, "Differential and Integral Calculus", 1906, <http://library.umac.mo/ebooks/b31290735.pdf>
- [8] V. Jungic, P. Menz, R. Pyke, "A Collection of Problems in Differential Calculus", 2011, <https://faculty.ung.edu/jallagan/Courses%20materials/Math%201450%20Calculus%201/Syllabus%20and%20ebook/problems%20and%20solutions%20for%20calculus%201.pdf>
- [9] M. Bittinger, D. Ellenbogen, S. Surgent, "Calculus and Its Applications", 2012, [https://www.sac.edu/FacultyStaff/HomePages/MajidKashi/PDF/MATH\\_150/Bus\\_Calculus.pdf](https://www.sac.edu/FacultyStaff/HomePages/MajidKashi/PDF/MATH_150/Bus_Calculus.pdf)
- [10] J. Erdman, "Exercises and Problems in Calculus", 2013, [http://web.pdx.edu/~erdman/CALCULUS/CALCULUS\\_pdf.pdf](http://web.pdx.edu/~erdman/CALCULUS/CALCULUS_pdf.pdf)