

# Definitive Proof of Beal's Conjecture

Abdelmajid Ben Hadj Salem

*To my wife Wahida,  
my daughter Sinda and my son Mohamed Mazen*

## ABSTRACT

In 1997, Andrew Beal announced the following conjecture: *Let  $A, B, C, m, n$ , and  $l$  be positive integers with  $m, n, l > 2$ . If  $A^m + B^n = C^l$  then  $A, B$ , and  $C$  have a common factor.* We begin to construct the polynomial  $P(x) = (x - A^m)(x - B^n)(x + C^l) = x^3 - px + q$  with  $p, q$  integers depending of  $A^m, B^n$  and  $C^l$ . We resolve  $x^3 - px + q = 0$  and we obtain the three roots  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  as functions of  $p, q$  and a parameter  $\theta$ . Since  $A^m, B^n, -C^l$  are the only roots of  $x^3 - px + q = 0$ , we discuss the conditions that  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  are integers and have or not a common factor. Three numerical examples are given.

## Contents

(A table of contents should normally not be included)

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Trivial Case . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Expressions of the roots . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Preamble of the Proof of the Main Theorem</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	Case $\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{1}{b}$ . . . . .	6
3.2	Case $a > 1, \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{a}{b}$ . . . . .	7
<b>4</b>	<b>Hypothesis : <math>\{3 a \text{ and } b 4p\}</math></b>	<b>7</b>
4.1	Case $b = 2$ and $3 a$ : . . . . .	8
4.2	Case $b = 4$ and $3 a$ : . . . . .	8
4.3	Case $b = p$ and $3 a$ : . . . . .	8
4.4	Case $b p \Rightarrow p = b.p', p' > 1, b \neq 2, b \neq 4$ and $3 a$ : . . . . .	11
4.5	Case $b = 2p$ and $3 a$ : . . . . .	14
4.6	Case $b = 4p$ and $3 a$ : . . . . .	14
4.7	Case $3 a$ and $b = 2p' b \neq 2$ with $p' p$ : . . . . .	18
4.8	Case $3 a$ and $b = 4p' b \neq 2$ with $p' p$ : . . . . .	21
4.9	Case $3 a$ and $b 4p$ : . . . . .	24
<b>5</b>	<b>Hypothèse: <math>\{3 p \text{ and } b 4p\}</math></b>	<b>27</b>
5.1	Case $b = 2$ and $3 p$ : . . . . .	27

5.2	Case $b = 4$ and $3 p$ :	27
5.3	Case: $b \neq 2, b \neq 4, b \neq 3, b p$ and $3 p$ :	27
5.4	Case $b = 3$ and $3 p$ :	30
5.5	Case $3 p$ and $b = p$ :	31
5.6	Case $3 p$ and $b = 4p$ :	31
5.7	Case $3 p$ and $b = 2p$ :	32
5.8	Case $3 p$ and $b \neq 3$ a divisor of $p$ :	32
5.9	Case $3 p$ and $b 4p$ :	39
<b>6</b>	<b>Numerical Examples</b>	<b>50</b>
6.1	Example 1:	50
6.2	Example 2:	51
6.3	Example 3:	51
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>51</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>52</b>

## 1. Introduction

In 1997, Andrew Beal [1] announced the following conjecture :

**Conjecture 1.1.** *Let  $A, B, C, m, n,$  and  $l$  be positive integers with  $m, n, l > 2$ . If:*

$$A^m + B^n = C^l \tag{1}$$

*then  $A, B,$  and  $C$  have a common factor.*

In this paper, we give a complete proof of the Beal Conjecture. Our idea is to construct a polynomial  $P(x)$  of three order having as roots  $A^m, B^n$  and  $-C^l$  with the condition (1). The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we begin with the trivial case where  $A^m = B^n$ . In Section 2, we consider the polynomial  $P(x) = (x - A^m)(x - B^n)(x + C^l) = x^3 - px + q$ . We express the three roots of  $P(x) = x^3 - px + q = 0$  in function of two parameters  $\rho, \theta$  that depend of  $A^m, B^n, C^l$ . The Sections 3,4 and 5 are the main parts of the paper. We write that  $A^{2m} = \frac{4p}{3} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3}$ . As  $A^{2m}$  is a natural integer, it follows that  $\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3}$  must be written as  $\frac{a}{b}$  where  $a, b$  are two positive coprime integers. We discuss the conditions of divisibility of  $p, a, b$  so that the expression of  $A^{2m}$  is a natural integer. Depending of each individual case, we obtain that  $A, B, C$  have or not a common factor. We present three numerical examples in section 6 and we give the conclusion in the last Section.

### 1.1 Trivial Case

We consider the trivial case when  $A^m = B^n$ . The equation (1) becomes:

$$2A^m = C^l \tag{2}$$

then  $2|C^l \implies 2|C \implies \exists c \in \mathbb{N}^* / C = 2c$ , it follows  $2A^m = 2^l c^l \implies A^m = 2^{l-1} c^l$ . As  $l > 2$ , then  $2|A^m \implies 2|A \implies 2|B^n \implies 2|B$ . The conjecture (1.1) is verified.

We suppose in the following that  $A^m > B^n$ .

**2. Preliminaries**

Let  $m, n, l \in \mathbb{N}^*$   $> 2$  and  $A, B, C \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such:

$$A^m + B^n = C^l \quad (3)$$

We call:

$$P(x) = (x - A^m)(x - B^n)(x + C^l) = x^3 - x^2(A^m + B^n - C^l) + x[A^m B^n - C^l(A^m + B^n)] + C^l A^m B^n \quad (4)$$

Using the equation (3),  $P(x)$  can be written:

$$\boxed{P(x) = x^3 + x[A^m B^n - (A^m + B^n)^2] + A^m B^n(A^m + B^n)} \quad (5)$$

We introduce the notations:

$$p = (A^m + B^n)^2 - A^m B^n \quad (6)$$

$$q = A^m B^n(A^m + B^n) \quad (7)$$

As  $A^m \neq B^n$ , we have :

$$p > (A^m - B^n)^2 > 0 \quad (8)$$

Equation (5) becomes:

$$P(x) = x^3 - px + q \quad (9)$$

Using the equation (4),  $P(x) = 0$  has three different real roots :  $A^m, B^n$  and  $-C^l$ .

Now, let us resolve the equation:

$$P(x) = x^3 - px + q = 0 \quad (10)$$

To resolve (10) let:

$$x = u + v \quad (11)$$

Then  $P(x) = 0$  gives:

$$P(x) = P(u + v) = (u + v)^3 - p(u + v) + q = 0 \implies u^3 + v^3 + (u + v)(3uv - p) + q = 0 \quad (12)$$

To determine  $u$  and  $v$ , we obtain the conditions:

$$u^3 + v^3 = -q \quad (13)$$

$$uv = p/3 > 0 \quad (14)$$

Then  $u^3$  and  $v^3$  are solutions of the second order equation:

$$X^2 + qX + p^3/27 = 0 \quad (15)$$

Its discriminant  $\Delta$  is written as :

$$\Delta = q^2 - 4p^3/27 = \frac{27q^2 - 4p^3}{27} = \frac{\bar{\Delta}}{27} \quad (16)$$

Let:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Delta} &= 27q^2 - 4p^3 = 27(A^m B^n(A^m + B^n))^2 - 4[(A^m + B^n)^2 - A^m B^n]^3 \\ &= 27A^{2m} B^{2n}(A^m + B^n)^2 - 4[(A^m + B^n)^2 - A^m B^n]^3 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Noting :

$$\alpha = A^m B^n > 0 \quad (18)$$

$$\beta = (A^m + B^n)^2 \quad (19)$$

we can write (17) as:

$$\bar{\Delta} = 27\alpha^2\beta - 4(\beta - \alpha)^3 \quad (20)$$

As  $\alpha \neq 0$ , we can also rewrite (20) as :

$$\bar{\Delta} = \alpha^3 \left( 27\frac{\beta}{\alpha} - 4\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} - 1\right)^3 \right) \quad (21)$$

We call  $t$  the parameter :

$$t = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \quad (22)$$

$\bar{\Delta}$  becomes :

$$\bar{\Delta} = \alpha^3(27t - 4(t - 1)^3) \quad (23)$$

Let us calling :

$$y = y(t) = 27t - 4(t - 1)^3 \quad (24)$$

Since  $\alpha > 0$ , the sign of  $\bar{\Delta}$  is also the sign of  $y(t)$ . Let us study the sign of  $y$ . We obtain  $y'(t)$ :

$$y'(t) = y' = 3(1 + 2t)(5 - 2t) \quad (25)$$

$y' = 0 \implies t_1 = -1/2$  and  $t_2 = 5/2$ , then the table of variations of  $y$  is given below:

t	$-\infty$	$-1/2$	$5/2$	$4$	$+\infty$	
$1+2t$	-	0	+		+	
$5-2t$	+		+	0	-	
$y'(t)$	-	0	+	0	-	
$y(t)$	$+\infty$			54	0	$-\infty$

FIGURE 1. The table of variation

The table of the variations of the function  $y$  shows that  $y < 0$  for  $t > 4$ . In our case, we are interested for  $t > 0$ . For  $t = 4$  we obtain  $y(4) = 0$  and for  $t \in ]0, 4[ \implies y > 0$ . As we have  $t = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} > 4$  because as  $A^m \neq B^n$ :

$$(A^m - B^n)^2 > 0 \implies \beta = (A^m + B^n)^2 > 4\alpha = 4A^m B^n \quad (26)$$

Then  $y < 0 \implies \bar{\Delta} < 0 \implies \Delta < 0$ . Then, the equation (15) does not have real solutions  $u^3$  and  $v^3$ . Let us find the solutions  $u$  and  $v$  with  $x = u + v$  is a positive or a negative real and  $u.v = p/3$ .

## 2.1 Expressions of the roots

*Proof.* The solutions of (15) are:

$$X_1 = \frac{-q + i\sqrt{-\Delta}}{2} \quad (27)$$

$$X_2 = \overline{X_1} = \frac{-q - i\sqrt{-\Delta}}{2} \quad (28)$$

We may resolve:

$$u^3 = \frac{-q + i\sqrt{-\Delta}}{2} \quad (29)$$

$$v^3 = \frac{-q - i\sqrt{-\Delta}}{2} \quad (30)$$

Writing  $X_1$  in the form:

$$X_1 = \rho e^{i\theta} \quad (31)$$

with:

$$\rho = \frac{\sqrt{q^2 - \Delta}}{2} = \frac{p\sqrt{p}}{3\sqrt{3}} \quad (32)$$

$$\text{and } \sin\theta = \frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}}{2\rho} > 0 \quad (33)$$

$$\cos\theta = -\frac{q}{2\rho} < 0 \quad (34)$$

Then  $\theta [2\pi] \in ] + \frac{\pi}{2}, +\pi[$ , let:

$$\boxed{\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < +\pi \Rightarrow \frac{\pi}{6} < \frac{\theta}{3} < \frac{\pi}{3} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} < \cos\frac{\theta}{3} < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}} \quad (35)$$

and:

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{4} < \cos^2\frac{\theta}{3} < \frac{3}{4}} \quad (36)$$

hence the expression of  $X_2$ :

$$X_2 = \rho e^{-i\theta} \quad (37)$$

Let:

$$u = r e^{i\psi} \quad (38)$$

$$\text{and } j = \frac{-1 + i\sqrt{3}}{2} = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}} \quad (39)$$

$$j^2 = e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}} = -\frac{1 + i\sqrt{3}}{2} = \bar{j} \quad (40)$$

$j$  is a complex cubic root of the unity  $\iff j^3 = 1$ . Then, the solutions  $u$  and  $v$  are:

$$u_1 = r e^{i\psi_1} = \sqrt[3]{\rho} e^{i\frac{\theta}{3}} \quad (41)$$

$$u_2 = r e^{i\psi_2} = \sqrt[3]{\rho} j e^{i\frac{\theta}{3}} = \sqrt[3]{\rho} e^{i\frac{\theta+2\pi}{3}} \quad (42)$$

$$u_3 = r e^{i\psi_3} = \sqrt[3]{\rho} j^2 e^{i\frac{\theta}{3}} = \sqrt[3]{\rho} e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}} e^{i\frac{\theta}{3}} = \sqrt[3]{\rho} e^{i\frac{\theta+4\pi}{3}} \quad (43)$$

and similarly:

$$v_1 = re^{-i\psi_1} = \sqrt[3]{\rho}e^{-i\frac{\theta}{3}} \quad (44)$$

$$v_2 = re^{-i\psi_2} = \sqrt[3]{\rho}j^2e^{-i\frac{\theta}{3}} = \sqrt[3]{\rho}e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}e^{-i\frac{\theta}{3}} = \sqrt[3]{\rho}e^{i\frac{4\pi-\theta}{3}} \quad (45)$$

$$v_3 = re^{-i\psi_3} = \sqrt[3]{\rho}je^{-i\frac{\theta}{3}} = \sqrt[3]{\rho}e^{i\frac{2\pi-\theta}{3}} \quad (46)$$

We may now choose  $u_k$  and  $v_h$  so that  $u_k + v_h$  will be real. In this case, we have necessary :

$$v_1 = \overline{u_1} \quad (47)$$

$$v_2 = \overline{u_2} \quad (48)$$

$$v_3 = \overline{u_3} \quad (49)$$

We obtain as real solutions of the equation (12):

$$x_1 = u_1 + v_1 = 2\sqrt[3]{\rho}\cos\frac{\theta}{3} > 0 \quad (50)$$

$$x_2 = u_2 + v_2 = 2\sqrt[3]{\rho}\cos\frac{\theta+2\pi}{3} = -\sqrt[3]{\rho}\left(\cos\frac{\theta}{3} + \sqrt{3}\sin\frac{\theta}{3}\right) < 0 \quad (51)$$

$$x_3 = u_3 + v_3 = 2\sqrt[3]{\rho}\cos\frac{\theta+4\pi}{3} = \sqrt[3]{\rho}\left(-\cos\frac{\theta}{3} + \sqrt{3}\sin\frac{\theta}{3}\right) > 0 \quad (52)$$

We compare the expressions of  $x_1$  and  $x_3$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sqrt[3]{\rho}\cos\frac{\theta}{3} &\stackrel{?}{>} \sqrt[3]{\rho}\left(-\cos\frac{\theta}{3} + \sqrt{3}\sin\frac{\theta}{3}\right) \\ 3\cos\frac{\theta}{3} &\stackrel{?}{>} \sqrt{3}\sin\frac{\theta}{3} \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

As  $\frac{\theta}{3} \in ] + \frac{\pi}{6}, + \frac{\pi}{3}[$ , then  $\sin\frac{\theta}{3}$  and  $\cos\frac{\theta}{3}$  are  $> 0$ . Taking the square of the two members of the last equation, we get:

$$\frac{1}{4} < \cos^2\frac{\theta}{3} \quad (54)$$

which is true since  $\frac{\theta}{3} \in ] + \frac{\pi}{6}, + \frac{\pi}{3}[$  then  $x_1 > x_3$ . As  $A^m, B^n$  and  $-C^l$  are the only real solutions of (10), we consider, as  $A^m$  is supposed great than  $B^n$ , the expressions:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A^m = x_1 = u_1 + v_1 = 2\sqrt[3]{\rho}\cos\frac{\theta}{3} \\ B^n = x_3 = u_3 + v_3 = 2\sqrt[3]{\rho}\cos\frac{\theta+4\pi}{3} = \sqrt[3]{\rho}\left(-\cos\frac{\theta}{3} + \sqrt{3}\sin\frac{\theta}{3}\right) \\ -C^l = x_2 = u_2 + v_2 = 2\sqrt[3]{\rho}\cos\frac{\theta+2\pi}{3} = -\sqrt[3]{\rho}\left(\cos\frac{\theta}{3} + \sqrt{3}\sin\frac{\theta}{3}\right) \end{array} \right. \quad (55)$$

□

### 3. Preamble of the Proof of the Main Theorem

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $A, B, C, m, n,$  and  $l$  be positive integers with  $m, n, l > 2$ . If:

$$A^m + B^n = C^l \quad (56)$$

then  $A, B,$  and  $C$  have a common factor.

$A^m = 2\sqrt[3]{\rho}\cos\frac{\theta}{3}$  is an integer  $\Rightarrow A^{2m} = 4\sqrt[3]{\rho^2}\cos^2\frac{\theta}{3}$  is also an integer. But :

$$\sqrt[3]{\rho^2} = \frac{p}{3} \quad (57)$$

Then:

$$A^{2m} = 4\sqrt[3]{\rho^2}\cos^2\frac{\theta}{3} = 4\frac{p}{3}.\cos^2\frac{\theta}{3} = p.\frac{4}{3}.\cos^2\frac{\theta}{3} \quad (58)$$

As  $A^{2m}$  is an integer and  $p$  is an integer, then  $\cos^2\frac{\theta}{3}$  must be written under the form:

$$\boxed{\cos^2\frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{1}{b} \quad \text{or} \quad \cos^2\frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{a}{b}} \quad (59)$$

with  $b \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ; for the last condition  $a \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $a, b$  coprime.

**Notations:** In the following of the paper, the scalars  $a, b, \dots, z, \alpha, \beta, \dots, A, B, C, \dots$  and  $\Delta, \Phi, \dots$  represent positive integers except the parameters  $\theta, \rho,$  or others cited in the text, are reals.

#### 3.1 Case $\cos^2\frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{1}{b}$

We obtain:

$$A^{2m} = p.\frac{4}{3}.\cos^2\frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{4.p}{3.b} \quad (60)$$

As  $\frac{1}{4} < \cos^2\frac{\theta}{3} < \frac{3}{4} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{b} < \frac{3}{4} \Rightarrow b < 4 < 3b \Rightarrow b = 1, 2, 3.$

3.1.1  $b = 1$   $b = 1 \Rightarrow 4 < 3$  which is impossible.

3.1.2  $b = 2$   $b = 2 \Rightarrow A^{2m} = p.\frac{4}{3}.\frac{1}{2} = \frac{2.p}{3} \Rightarrow 3|p \Rightarrow p = 3p'$  with  $p' \neq 1$  because  $3 \ll p,$  we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{2m} &= (A^m)^2 = \frac{2p}{3} = 2.p' \implies 2|p' \implies p' = 2^\alpha p_1^2 \\ &\text{with } 2 \nmid p_1, \quad \alpha + 1 = 2\beta \\ A^m &= 2^\beta p_1 \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

$$B^n C^l = \sqrt[3]{\rho^2} \left( 3 - 4\cos^2\frac{\theta}{3} \right) = p' = 2^\alpha p_1^2 \quad (62)$$

From the equation (61), it follows that  $2|A^m \implies A = 2^i A_1, i \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid A_1$ . Then, we have  $\beta = i.m = im$ . The equation (62) implies that  $2|(B^n C^l) \implies 2|B^n$  or  $2|C^l$ .

Case  $2|B^n$  : If  $2|B^n \implies 2|B \implies B = 2^j B_1$  with  $2 \nmid B_1$ . The expression of  $B^n C^l$  becomes:

$$B_1^n C^l = 2^{2im-1-jn} p_1^2$$

- If  $2im - 1 - jn \geq 1$ ,  $2|C^l \implies 2|C$  according to  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2im - 1 - jn \leq 0 \implies 2 \nmid C^l$ , then the contradiction with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$ .

Case  $2|C^l$  : If  $2|C^l$ : with the same method used above, we obtain the identical results.

3.1.3  $b = 3$   $b = 3 \implies A^{2m} = p \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} = \frac{4p}{9} \implies 9|p \implies p = 9p'$  with  $p' \neq 1$ , as  $9 \ll p$  then  $A^{2m} = 4p'$ . If  $p'$  is prime, it is impossible. We suppose that  $p'$  is not a prime, as  $m \geq 3$ , it follows that  $2|p'$ , then  $2|A^m$ . But  $B^n C^l = 5p'$  and  $2|(B^n C^l)$ . Using the same method for the case  $b = 2$ , we obtain the identical results.

**3.2 Case**  $a > 1$ ,  $\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{a}{b}$

We have:

$$\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{a}{b}; \quad A^{2m} = p \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{4 \cdot p \cdot a}{3 \cdot b} \quad (63)$$

where  $a, b$  verify one of the two conditions:

$$\boxed{\{3|a \text{ and } b|4p\}} \text{ or } \boxed{\{3|p \text{ and } b|4p\}} \quad (64)$$

and using the equation (36), we obtain a third condition:

$$\boxed{b < 4a < 3b} \quad (65)$$

For these conditions,  $A^{2m} = 4 \sqrt[3]{\rho^2} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = 4 \frac{p}{3} \cdot \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3}$  is an integer.

Let us study the conditions given by the equation (64) in the following two sections.

#### 4. Hypothesis : $\{3|a \text{ and } b|4p\}$

We obtain :

$$3|a \implies \exists a' \in \mathbb{N}^* / a = 3a' \quad (66)$$

##### 4.1 Case $b = 2$ and $3|a$ :

$A^{2m}$  is written as:

$$A^{2m} = \frac{4p}{3} \cdot \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{4p}{3} \cdot \frac{a}{b} = \frac{4p}{3} \cdot \frac{a}{2} = \frac{2 \cdot p \cdot a}{3} \quad (67)$$

Using the equation (66),  $A^{2m}$  becomes :

$$A^{2m} = \frac{2 \cdot p \cdot 3a'}{3} = 2 \cdot p \cdot a' \quad (68)$$

but  $\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{a}{b} = \frac{3a'}{2} > 1$  which is impossible, then  $b \neq 2$ .

**4.2 Case  $b = 4$  and  $3|a$  :**

$A^{2m}$  is written :

$$A^{2m} = \frac{4.p}{3} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{4.p}{3} \cdot \frac{a}{b} = \frac{4.p}{3} \cdot \frac{a}{4} = \frac{p.a}{3} = \frac{p.3a'}{3} = p.a' \quad (69)$$

$$\text{and } \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{a}{b} = \frac{3.a'}{4} < \left( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{3}{4} \implies a' < 1 \quad (70)$$

which is impossible. Then the case  $b = 4$  is impossible.

**4.3 Case  $b = p$  and  $3|a$  :**

We have :

$$\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{a}{b} = \frac{3a'}{p} \quad (71)$$

and:

$$A^{2m} = \frac{4p}{3} \cdot \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{4p}{3} \cdot \frac{3a'}{p} = 4a' = (A^m)^2 \quad (72)$$

$$\exists a'' / a' = a''^2 \quad (73)$$

$$\text{and } B^n C^l = p - A^{2m} = b - 4a' = b - 4a''^2 \quad (74)$$

The calculation of  $A^m B^n$  gives :

$$\begin{aligned} A^m B^n &= p \cdot \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3} - 2a' \\ \text{or } A^m B^n + 2a' &= p \cdot \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3} \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

The left member of (75) is an integer and  $p$  also, then  $2 \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3}$  is written under the form :

$$2 \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3} = \frac{k_1}{k_2} \quad (76)$$

where  $k_1, k_2$  are two coprime integers and  $k_2|p \implies p = b = k_2.k_3, k_3 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

\*\* A-1- We suppose that  $k_3 \neq 1$ , we obtain :

$$A^m(A^m + 2B^n) = k_1.k_3 \quad (77)$$

Let  $\mu$  a prime with  $\mu|k_3$ , then  $\mu|b$  and  $\mu|A^m(A^m + 2B^n) \implies \mu|A^m$  or  $\mu|(A^m + 2B^n)$ .

\*\* A-1-1- If  $\mu|A^m \implies \mu|A$  and  $\mu|A^{2m}$ , but  $A^{2m} = 4a' \implies \mu|4a' \implies (\mu = 2, \text{ but } 2|a')$  or  $(\mu|a')$ . Then  $\mu|a$  it follows the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* A-1-2- If  $\mu|(A^m + 2B^n) \implies \mu \nmid A^m$  and  $\mu \nmid 2B^n$  then  $\mu \neq 2$  and  $\mu \nmid B^n$ . We write  $\mu|(A^m + 2B^n)$  as:

$$A^m + 2B^n = \mu.t' \quad (78)$$

It follows :

$$A^m + B^n = \mu t' - B^n \implies A^{2m} + B^{2n} + 2A^m B^n = \mu^2 t'^2 - 2t' \mu B^n + B^{2n}$$

Using the expression of  $p$ :

$$p = t'^2 \mu^2 - 2t' B^n \mu + B^n (B^n - A^m) \quad (79)$$

As  $p = b = k_2.k_3$  and  $\mu|k_3$  then  $\mu|b \implies \exists \mu'$  and  $b = \mu\mu'$ , so we can write:

$$\mu' \mu = \mu(\mu t'^2 - 2t' B^n) + B^n (B^n - A^m) \quad (80)$$

From the last equation, we obtain  $\mu|B^n(B^n - A^m) \implies \mu|B^n$  or  $\mu|(B^n - A^m)$ .

\*\* A-1-2-1- If  $\mu|B^n$  which is in contradiction with  $\mu \nmid B^n$ .

\*\* A-1-2-2- If  $\mu|(B^n - A^m)$  and using that  $\mu|(A^m + 2B^n)$ , we arrive to :

$$\mu|3B^n \begin{cases} \mu|B^n \\ or \\ \mu = 3 \end{cases} \quad (81)$$

\*\* A-1-2-2-1- If  $\mu|B^n \implies \mu|B$ , it is the contradiction with  $\mu \nmid B$  cited above.

\*\* A-1-2-2-2- If  $\mu = 3$ , then  $3|b$ , but  $3|a$  then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* A-2- We assume now  $k_3 = 1$ , then :

$$A^{2m} + 2A^m B^n = k_1 \quad (82)$$

$$b = k_2 \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3} = \frac{k_1}{b} \quad (84)$$

Taking the square of the last equation, we obtain:

$$\frac{4}{3} \sin^2 \frac{2\theta}{3} = \frac{k_1^2}{b^2}$$

$$\frac{16}{3} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{k_1^2}{b^2}$$

$$\frac{16}{3} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \cdot \frac{3a'}{b} = \frac{k_1^2}{b^2}$$

Finally:

$$4^2 a' (p - a) = k_1^2 \quad (85)$$

but  $a' = a''^2$ , then  $p - a$  is a square. Let:

$$\lambda^2 = p - a = b - a = b - 3a''^2 \implies \lambda^2 + 3a''^2 = b \quad (86)$$

The equation (85) becomes:

$$4^2 a''^2 \lambda^2 = k_1^2 \implies k_1 = 4a'' \lambda \quad (87)$$

taking the positive root, but  $k_1 = A^m(A^m + 2B^n) = 2a''(A^m + 2B^n)$ , then :

$$A^m + 2B^n = 2\lambda \implies \lambda = a'' + B^n \quad (88)$$

\*\* A-2-1- As  $A^m = 2a'' \implies 2|A^m \implies 2|A \implies A = 2^i A_1$ , with  $i \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid A_1$ , then  $A^m = 2a'' = 2^{im} A_1^m \implies a'' = 2^{im-1} A_1^m$ , but  $im \geq 3 \implies 4|a''$ . As  $p = b = A^{2m} + A^m B^n + B^{2n} =$

DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

$\lambda = 2^{im-1}A_1^m + B^n$ . Taking its square, then :

$$\lambda^2 = 2^{2im-2}A_1^{2m} + 2^{im}A_1^mB^n + B^{2n}$$

As  $im \geq 3$ , we can write  $\lambda^2 = 4\lambda_1 + B^{2n} \implies \lambda^2 \equiv B^{2n} \pmod{4} \implies \lambda^2 \equiv B^{2n} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  or  $\lambda^2 \equiv B^{2n} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

\*\* A-2-1-1- We suppose that  $\lambda^2 \equiv B^{2n} \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \implies 4|\lambda^2 \implies 2|(b-a)$ . But  $2|a$  because  $a = 3a' = 3a''^2 = 3 \times 2^{2(im-1)}A_1^{2m}$  and  $im \geq 3$ . Then  $2|b$ , it follows the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* A-2-1-2- We suppose now that  $\lambda^2 \equiv B^{2n} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . As  $A^m = 2^{im-1}A_1^m$  and  $im - 1 \geq 2$ , then  $A^m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . As  $B^{2n} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $B^n$  verifies  $B^n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or  $B^n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  which gives for the two cases  $B^n C^l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

We have also  $p = b = A^{2m} + A^m B^n + B^{2n} = 4a' + B^n.C^l = 4a''^2 + B^n C^l \implies B^n C^l = \lambda^2 - a''^2 = B^n.C^l$ , then  $\lambda, a'' \in \mathbb{N}^*$  are solutions of the Diophantine equation :

$$x^2 - y^2 = N \tag{89}$$

with  $N = B^n C^l > 0$ . Let  $Q(N)$  the number of the solutions of (89) and  $\tau(N)$  is the number of suitable factorization of  $N$ , then we announce the following result concerning the solutions of the equation (89) (see theorem 27.3 in [2]):

- If  $N \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then  $Q(N) = 0$ ;
- If  $N \equiv 1$  or  $N \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $Q(N) = [\tau(N)/2]$ ;
- If  $N \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then  $Q(N) = [\tau(N/4)/2]$ .

$[x]$  is the integral part of  $x$  for which  $[x] \leq x < [x] + 1$ .

Let  $(u, v)$ ,  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}^*$  another pair, solution of the equation (89), then  $u^2 - v^2 = x^2 - y^2 = N = B^n C^l$ , but  $\lambda = x$  and  $a'' = y$  verify the equation (88) given by  $x - y = B^n$ , it follows  $u, v$  verify also  $u - v = B^n$ , that gives  $u + v = C^l$ , then  $u = x = \lambda = a'' + B^n$  and  $v = a''$ . We have given a proof of the uniqueness of the solutions of the equation (89) with the condition  $x - y = B^n$ . As  $N = B^n C^l \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \implies Q(N) = [\tau(N)/2] > 1$ . But  $Q(N) = 1$ , then the contradiction.

Hence, the case  $k_3 = 1$  is impossible.

Let us verify the condition (65) given by  $b < 4a < 3b$ . In our case, the condition becomes :

$$p < 3A^{2m} < 3p \quad \text{with} \quad p = A^{2m} + B^{2n} + A^m B^n \tag{90}$$

and  $3A^{2m} < 3p \implies A^{2m} < p$  that is verified. If :

$$p < 3A^{2m} \implies 2A^{2m} - A^m B^n - B^{2n} \overset{?}{>} 0$$

Studying the sign of the polynomial  $Q(Y) = 2Y^2 - B^n Y - B^{2n}$  and taking  $Y = A^m > B^n$ , the condition  $2A^{2m} - A^m B^n - B^{2n} > 0$  is verified, then the condition  $b < 4a < 3b$  is true.

In the following of the paper, we verify easily that the condition  $b < 4a < 3b$  implies to verify that  $A^m > B^n$  which is true.

**4.4 Case**  $b|p \Rightarrow p = b.p', p' > 1, b \neq 2, b \neq 4$  **and**  $3|a$  :

$$A^{2m} = \frac{4.p}{3} \cdot \frac{a}{b} = \frac{4.b.p'.3.a'}{3.b} = 4.p'a' \quad (91)$$

We calculate  $B^n C^l$ :

$$B^n C^l = \sqrt[3]{\rho^2} \left( 3 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{3} - \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \right) = \sqrt[3]{\rho^2} \left( 3 - 4 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \right) \quad (92)$$

but  $\sqrt[3]{\rho^2} = \frac{p}{3}$ , using  $\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{3.a'}{b}$  we obtain:

$$B^n C^l = \sqrt[3]{\rho^2} \left( 3 - 4 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \right) = \frac{p}{3} \left( 3 - 4 \frac{3.a'}{b} \right) = p \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{4.a'}{b} \right) = p'(b - 4a') \quad (93)$$

As  $p = b.p'$ , and  $p' > 1$ , so we have :

$$B^n C^l = p'(b - 4a') \quad (94)$$

$$\text{and } A^{2m} = 4.p'.a' \quad (95)$$

\*\* B-1- We suppose that  $p'$  is prime, then  $A^{2m} = 4ap' = (A^m)^2 \Rightarrow p'|a$ . But  $B^n C^l = p'(b - 4a') \Rightarrow p'|B^n$  or  $p'|C^l$ .

\*\* B-1-1- If  $p'|B^n \Rightarrow p'|B \Rightarrow B = p'B_1$  with  $B_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Hence :  $p'^{n-1}B_1^n C^l = b - 4a'$ . But  $n > 2 \Rightarrow (n-1) > 1$  and  $p'|a'$ , then  $p'|b \Rightarrow a$  and  $b$  are not coprime, then the contradiction.

\*\* B-1-2- If  $p'|C^l \Rightarrow p'|C$ . The same method used above, we obtain the same results.

\*\* B-2- We consider that  $p'$  is not a prime.

\*\* B-2-1-  $p', a$  are supposed coprime:  $A^{2m} = 4ap' \Rightarrow A^m = 2a'.p_1$  with  $a = a'^2$  and  $p' = p_1^2$ , then  $a', p_1$  are also coprime. As  $A^m = 2a'.p_1$  then  $2|a'$  or  $2|p_1$ .

\*\* B-2-1-1-  $2|a'$ , then  $2|a' \Rightarrow 2 \nmid p_1$ . But  $p' = p_1^2$ .

\*\* B-2-1-1-1- If  $p_1$  is prime, it is impossible with  $A^m = 2a'.p_1$ .

\*\* B-2-1-1-2- We suppose that  $p_1$  is not prime, we can write it as  $p_1 = \omega^m \Rightarrow p' = \omega^{2m}$ , then:  $B^n C^l = \omega^{2m}(b - 4a')$ .

\*\* B-2-1-1-2-1- If  $\omega$  is prime, it is different of 2, then  $\omega|(B^n C^l) \Rightarrow \omega|B^n$  or  $\omega|C^l$ .

\*\* B-2-1-1-2-1-1- If  $\omega|B^n \Rightarrow \omega|B \Rightarrow B = \omega^j B_1$  with  $\omega \nmid B_1$ , then  $B_1^n . C^l = \omega^{2m-nj}(b - 4a')$ .

\*\* B-2-1-1-2-1-1-1- If  $2m - n.j = 0$ , we obtain  $B_1^n . C^l = b - 4a'$ . As  $C^l = A^m + B^n \Rightarrow \omega|C^l \Rightarrow \omega|C$ , and  $\omega|(b - 4a')$ . But  $\omega \neq 2$  and  $\omega$  is coprime with  $a'$  then coprime with  $a$ , then  $\omega \nmid b$ . The conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* B-2-1-1-2-1-1-2- If  $2m - n.j \geq 1$ , in this case with the same method, we obtain  $\omega|C^l \Rightarrow \omega|C$  and  $\omega|(b - 4a')$  and  $\omega \nmid a$  and  $\omega \nmid b$ . The conjecture (1.1) is verified.

DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

\*\* B-2-1-1-2-1-1-3- If  $2m - nj < 0 \implies \omega^{n.j-2m} B_1^n . C^l = b - 4a'$ . As  $\omega|C$  using  $C^l = A^m + B^n$  then  $C = \omega^h . C_1 \implies \omega^{n.j-2m+h.l} B_1^n . C_1^l = b - 4a'$ . If  $n.j - 2m + h.l < 0 \implies \omega|B_1^n C_1^l$ , it follows the contradiction that  $\omega \nmid B_1$  or  $\omega \nmid C_1$ . Then if  $n.j - 2m + h.l > 0$  and  $\omega|(b - 4a')$  with  $\omega, a, b$  coprime and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* B-2-1-1-2-1-2- We obtain the same results if  $\omega|C^l$ .

\*\* B-2-1-1-2-2- Now,  $p' = \omega^{2m}$  and  $\omega$  not a prime, we write  $\omega = \omega_1^f . \Omega$  with  $\omega_1$  prime  $\nmid \Omega$  and  $f \geq 1$  an integer, and  $\omega_1|A$ . Then  $B^n C^l = \omega_1^{2f.m} \Omega^{2m} (b - 4a') \implies \omega_1|(B^n C^l) \implies \omega_1|B^n$  or  $\omega_1|C^l$ .

\*\* B-2-1-1-2-2-1- If  $\omega_1|B^n \implies \omega_1|B \implies B = \omega_1^j B_1$  with  $\omega_1 \nmid B_1$ , then  $B_1^n . C^l = \omega_1^{2mf-nj} \Omega^{2m} (b - 4a')$ :

\*\* B-2-1-1-2-2-1-1- If  $2f.m - n.j = 0$ , we obtain  $B_1^n . C^l = \Omega^{2m} (b - 4a')$ . As  $C^l = A^m + B^n \implies \omega_1|C^l \implies \omega_1|C \implies \omega_1|(b - 4a')$ . But  $\omega_1 \neq 2$  and  $\omega_1$  is coprime with  $a'$ , then coprime with  $a$ , we deduce  $\omega_1 \nmid b$ . Then the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* B-2-1-1-2-2-1-2- If  $2f.m - n.j \geq 1$ , we have  $\omega_1|C^l \implies \omega_1|C \implies \omega_1|(b - 4a')$  and  $\omega_1 \nmid a$  and  $\omega_1 \nmid b$ . The conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* B-2-1-1-2-2-1-3- If  $2f.m - n.j < 0 \implies \omega_1^{n.j-2m.f} B_1^n . C^l = \Omega^{2m} (b - 4a')$ . As  $\omega_1|C$  using  $C^l = A^m + B^n$ , then  $C = \omega_1^h . C_1 \implies \omega^{n.j-2m.f+h.l} B_1^n . C_1^l = \Omega^{2m} (b - 4a')$ . If  $n.j - 2m.f + h.l < 0 \implies \omega_1|B_1^n C_1^l$ , it follows the contradiction with  $\omega_1 \nmid B_1$  and  $\omega_1 \nmid C_1$ . Then if  $n.j - 2m.f + h.l > 0$  and  $\omega_1|(b - 4a')$  with  $\omega_1, a, b$  coprime and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* B-2-1-1-2-2-2- We obtain the same results if  $\omega_1|C^l$ .

\*\* B-2-1-2- If  $2|p_1$ , then  $2|p_1 \implies 2 \nmid a' \implies 2 \nmid a$ . But  $p' = p_1^2$ .

\*\* B-2-1-2-1- If  $p_1 = 2$ , we obtain  $A^m = 4a' \implies 2|a'$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* B-2-1-2-2- We suppose that  $p_1$  is not a prime and  $2|p_1$ , as  $A^m = 2a'p_1$ ,  $p_1$  is written as  $p_1 = 2^{m-1}\omega^m \implies p' = 2^{2m-2}\omega^{2m}$ . It follows  $B^n C^l = 2^{2m-2}\omega^{2m}(b - 4a') \implies 2|B^n$  or  $2|C^l$ .

\*\* B-2-1-2-2-1- If  $2|B^n \implies 2|B$ , as  $2|A$ , then  $2|C$ . From  $B^n C^l = 2^{2m-2}\omega^{2m}(b - 4a')$ , it follows if  $2|(b - 4a') \implies 2|b$  but as  $2 \nmid a$ , there is no contradictions with  $a, b$  coprime and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* B-2-1-2-2-2- If  $2|C^l$ , using the same method as above, we obtain the identical results.

\*\* B-2-2-  $p', a$  are supposed not coprime. Let  $\omega$  be a prime so that  $\omega|a$  and  $\omega|p'$ .

\*\* B-2-2-1- We suppose firstly  $\omega = 3$ . As  $A^{2m} = 4ap' \implies 3|A$ , but  $3|p' \implies 3|p$ , as  $p = A^{2m} + B^{2n} + A^m B^n \implies 3|B^{2n} \implies 3|B$ , then  $3|C^l \implies 3|C$ . We write  $A = 3^i A_1$ ,  $B = 3^j B_1$ ,

$C = 3^h C_1$  and 3 coprime with  $A_1, B_1$  and  $C_1$  and  $p = 3^{2im} A_1^{2m} + 3^{2jn} B_1^{2n} + 3^{im+jn} A_1^m B_1^n = 3^k .g$  with  $k = \min(2im, 2jn, im + jn)$  and  $3 \nmid g$ . We have also  $(\omega = 3)|a$  and  $(\omega = 3)|p'$  that gives  $a = 3^\alpha a_1 = 3a' \implies a' = 3^{\alpha-1} a_1$ ,  $3 \nmid a_1$  and  $p' = 3^\mu p_1$ ,  $3 \nmid p_1$  with  $A^{2m} = 4a'p' = 3^{2im} A_1^{2m} = 4 \times 3^{\alpha-1+\mu} .a_1 .p_1 \implies \alpha + \mu - 1 = 2im$ . As  $p = bp' = b.3^\mu p_1 = 3^\mu .b.p_1$ . The exponent of the term 3 of  $p$  is  $k$ , the exponent of the term 3 of the left member of the last equation is  $\mu$ . If  $3|b$  it is a contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime. Then, we suppose that  $3 \nmid b$ , and the equality of the exponents:  $\min(2im, 2jn, im + jn) = \mu$ , recall that  $\alpha + \mu - 1 = 2im$ . But  $B^n C^l = p'(b - 4a')$  that gives  $3^{(nj+hl)} B_1^n C_1^l = 3^\mu p_1 (b - 4 \times 3^{(\alpha-1)} a_1)$ . We have also  $A^m + B^n = C^l$  gives  $3^{im} A_1^m + 3^{jn} B_1^n = 3^{hl} C_1^l$ . Let  $\epsilon = \min(im, jn)$ , we have  $\epsilon = hl = \min(im, jn)$ . Then, we obtain the conditions:

$$k = \min(2im, 2jn, im + jn) = \mu \quad (96)$$

$$\alpha + \mu - 1 = 2im \quad (97)$$

$$\epsilon = hl = \min(im, jn) \quad (98)$$

$$3^{(nj+hl)} B_1^n C_1^l = 3^\mu p_1 (b - 4 \times 3^{(\alpha-1)} a_1) \quad (99)$$

\*\* B-2-2-1-1-  $\alpha = 1 \implies a = 3a_1 = 3a'$  and  $3 \nmid a_1$ , the equation (97) becomes:

$$\mu = 2im$$

and the first equation (96) is written as:

$$k = \min(2im, 2jn, im + jn) = 2im$$

- If  $k = 2im$ , then  $2im \leq 2jn \implies im \leq jn \implies hl = im$ , and (99) gives  $\mu = 2im = nj + hl = im + nj \implies im = jn = hl$ . Hence  $3|A, 3|B$  and  $3|C$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $k = 2jn \implies 2jn = 2im \implies im = jn = hl$ . Hence  $3|A, 3|B$  and  $3|C$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $k = im + jn = 2im \implies im = jn \implies \epsilon = hl = im = jn$  case that is seen above and we deduce that  $3|A, 3|B$  and  $3|C$ , and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* B-2-2-1-2-  $\alpha > 1 \implies \alpha \geq 2$  and  $a' = 3^{\alpha-1} a_1$ .

- If  $k = 2im \implies 2im = \mu$ , but  $\mu = 2im + 1 - \alpha$  that is impossible.

- If  $k = 2jn = \mu \implies 2jn = 2im + 1 - \alpha$ . We obtain  $2jn < 2im \implies jn < im \implies 2jn < im + jn$ ,  $k = 2jn$  is just the minimum of  $(2im, 2jn, im + jn)$ . We obtain  $jn = hl < im$  and the equation (99) becomes:

$$B_1^n C_1^l = p_1 (b - 4 \times 3^{(\alpha-1)} a_1)$$

The conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $k = im + jn \leq 2im \implies jn \leq im$  and  $k = im + jn \leq 2jn \implies im \leq jn \implies im = jn \implies k = im + jn = 2im = \mu$  but  $\mu = 2im + 1 - \alpha$  that is impossible.

- If  $k = im + jn < 2im \implies jn < im$  and  $2jn < im + jn = k$  that is a contradiction with  $k = \min(2im, 2jn, im + jn)$ .

\*\* B-2-2-2- We suppose that  $\omega \neq 3$ . We write  $a = \omega^\alpha a_1$  with  $\omega \nmid a_1$  and  $p' = \omega^\mu p_1$  with  $\omega \nmid p_1$ . As  $A^{2m} = 4ap' = 4\omega^{\alpha+\mu} .a_1 .p_1 \implies \omega|A \implies A = \omega^i A_1$ ,  $\omega \nmid A_1$ . But  $B^n C^l = p'(b - 4a') = \omega^\mu p_1 (b - 4a') \implies \omega|B^n C^l \implies \omega|B^n$  or  $\omega|C^l$ .

\*\* B-2-2-2-1-  $\omega|B^n \implies \omega|B \implies B = \omega^j B_1$  and  $\omega \nmid B_1$ . From  $A^m + B^n = C^l \implies \omega|C^l \implies \omega|C$ . As  $p = bp' = \omega^\mu b p_1 = \omega^k (\omega^{2im-k} A_1^{2m} + \omega^{2jn-k} B_1^{2n} + \omega^{im+jn-k} A_1^m B_1^n)$  with  $k = \min(2im, 2jn, im +$

$jn$ ). Then :

- If  $\mu = k$ , then  $\omega \nmid b$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.
- If  $k > \mu$ , then  $\omega|b$ , but  $\omega|a$  we deduce the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.
- If  $k < \mu$ , it follows from :

$$\omega^\mu b p_1 = \omega^k (\omega^{2im-k} A_1^{2m} + \omega^{2jn-k} B_1^{2n} + \omega^{im+jn-k} A_1^m B_1^n)$$

that  $\omega|A_1$  or  $\omega|B_1$  that is a contradiction with the hypothesis.

\*\* B-2-2-2-2- If  $\omega|C^l \implies \omega|C \implies C = \omega^h C_1$  with  $\omega \nmid C_1$ . From  $A^m + B^n = C^l \implies \omega|(C^l - A^m) \implies \omega|B$ . Then, we obtain the same results as B-2-2-2-1- above.

#### 4.5 Case $b = 2p$ and $3|a$ :

We have :

$$\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{a}{b} = \frac{3a'}{2p} \implies A^{2m} = \frac{4p \cdot a}{3b} = \frac{4p}{3} \cdot \frac{3a'}{2p} = 2a' = (A^m)^2 \implies 2|a' \implies 2|a$$

Then  $2|a$  and  $2|b$  that is a contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

#### 4.6 Case $b = 4p$ and $3|a$ :

We have :

$$\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{a}{b} = \frac{3a'}{4p} \implies A^{2m} = \frac{4p \cdot a}{3b} = \frac{4p}{3} \cdot \frac{3a'}{4p} = a' = (A^m)^2 = a'^2$$

with  $A^m = a'$

Let us calculate  $A^m B^n$ , we obtain:

$$A^m B^n = \frac{p\sqrt{3}}{3} \cdot \sin \frac{2\theta}{3} - \frac{2p}{3} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{p\sqrt{3}}{3} \cdot \sin \frac{2\theta}{3} - \frac{a'}{2} \implies$$

$$A^m B^n + \frac{A^{2m}}{2} = \frac{p\sqrt{3}}{3} \cdot \sin \frac{2\theta}{3}$$

Let:

$$A^{2m} + 2A^m B^n = \frac{2p\sqrt{3}}{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3} \tag{100}$$

The left member of (100) is an integer and  $p$  is an integer, then  $\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3}$  will be written as :

$$\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3} = \frac{k_1}{k_2}$$

where  $k_1, k_2$  are two integers coprime and  $k_2|p \implies p = k_2 \cdot k_3$ .

\*\* C-1- Firstly, we suppose that  $k_3 \neq 1$ . Then :

$$A^{2m} + 2A^m B^n = k_3 \cdot k_1$$

Let  $\mu$  be a prime and  $\mu|k_3$ , then  $\mu|A^m(A^m + 2B^n) \implies \mu|A^m$  or  $\mu|(A^m + 2B^n)$ .

\*\* C-1-1- If  $\mu|(A^m = a'^2) \implies \mu|(a'^2 = a') \implies \mu|(3a' = a)$ . As  $\mu|k_3 \implies \mu|p \implies \mu|(4p = b)$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* C-1-2- If  $\mu|(A^m + 2B^n) \implies \mu \nmid A^m$  and  $\mu \nmid 2B^n$ , then:

$$\mu \neq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu \nmid B^n \tag{101}$$

$\mu|(A^m + 2B^n)$ , we write:

$$A^m + 2B^n = \mu.t'$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} A^m + B^n = \mu t' - B^n &\implies A^{2m} + B^{2n} + 2A^m B^n = \mu^2 t'^2 - 2t' \mu B^n + B^{2n} \\ &\implies p = t'^2 \mu^2 - 2t' B^n \mu + B^n (B^n - A^m) \end{aligned}$$

As  $b = 4p = 4k_2.k_3$  and  $\mu|k_3$  then  $\mu|b \implies \exists \mu'$  so that  $b = \mu.\mu'$ , we obtain:

$$\mu'.\mu = \mu(4\mu t'^2 - 8t' B^n) + 4B^n (B^n - A^m)$$

The last equation implies  $\mu|4B^n(B^n - A^m)$ , but  $\mu \neq 2$  then  $\mu|B^n$  or  $\mu|(B^n - A^m)$ .

\*\* C-1-1-1- If  $\mu|B^n \implies$  then the contradiction with (101).

\*\* C-1-1-2- If  $\mu|(B^n - A^m)$  and using  $\mu|(A^m + 2B^n)$ , we have :

$$\mu|3B^n \implies \begin{cases} \mu|B^n \\ \text{or} \\ \mu = 3 \end{cases}$$

\*\* C-1-1-2-1- If  $\mu|B^n$  then the contradiction with (101).

\*\* C-1-1-2-2- If  $\mu = 3$ , then  $3|b$ , but  $3|a$  then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* C-2- We assume now that  $k_3 = 1$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{2m} + 2A^m B^n &= k_1 \\ p &= k_2 \\ \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3} &= \frac{k_1}{p} \end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

We take the square of the last equation, we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4}{3} \sin^2 \frac{2\theta}{3} &= \frac{k_1^2}{p^2} \\ \frac{16}{3} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} &= \frac{k_1^2}{p^2} \\ \frac{16}{3} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \cdot \frac{3a'}{b} &= \frac{k_1^2}{p^2} \end{aligned}$$

Finally:

$$a'(4p - 3a') = k_1^2 \tag{103}$$

but  $a' = a'^2$ , then  $4p - 3a'$  is a square. Let :

$$\lambda^2 = 4p - 3a' = 4p - a = b - a$$

DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

The equation (103) becomes :

$$a''^2 \lambda^2 = k_1^2 \implies k_1 = a'' \lambda \quad (104)$$

taking the positive root. Using (102), we have:

$$k_1 = A^m(A^m + 2B^n) = a''(A^m + 2B^n)$$

Then :

$$A^m + 2B^n = \lambda$$

Now, we consider that  $b - a = \lambda^2 \implies \lambda^2 + 3a''^2 = b$ , then the pair  $(\lambda, a'')$  is a solution of the Diophantine equation:

$$X^2 + 3Y^2 = b \quad (105)$$

with  $X = \lambda$  and  $Y = a''$ . But using one theorem on the solutions of the equation given by (105),  $b$  is written under the form (see theorem 37.4 in [3]):

$$b = 2^{2s} \times 3^t \cdot p_1^{t_1} \cdots p_g^{t_g} q_1^{2s_1} \cdots q_r^{2s_r}$$

where  $p_i$  are prime integers so that  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ , the  $q_j$  are also prime integers so that  $q_j \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ . Then, since  $b = 4p$  :

- If  $t \geq 1 \implies 3|b$ , but  $3 \nmid a$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* C-2-2-1- Hence, we suppose that  $p$  is written under the form:

$$p = p_1^{t_1} \cdots p_g^{t_g} q_1^{2s_1} \cdots q_r^{2s_r}$$

with  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$  and  $q_j \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ . Finally, we obtain that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ . We will verify if this condition does not give contradictions.

We will present the table of the value modulo 6 of  $p = A^{2m} + A^m B^n + B^{2n}$  in function of the value of  $A^m, B^n \pmod{6}$ . We obtain the table below:

$A^m, B^n$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	1	4	3	4	1
1	1	3	1	1	3	1
2	4	1	0	1	4	3
3	3	1	1	3	1	1
4	4	3	4	1	0	1
5	1	1	3	1	1	3

TABLE 1. Table of  $p \pmod{6}$

\*\* C-2-2-1-1- Case  $A^m \equiv 0 \pmod{6} \implies 2|(A^m = a'') \implies 2|(a' = a''^2) \implies 2|a$ , but  $2 \nmid b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime. All the cases of the first line of the table 1 are to reject.

\*\* C-2-2-1-2- Case  $A^m \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$  and  $B^n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ , then  $2|B^n \implies B^n = 2B'$  and  $p$  is written as  $p = (A^m + B')^2 + 3B'^2$  with  $(p, 3) = 1$ , if not  $3|p$ , then  $3|b$ , but  $3 \nmid a$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime. Hence, the pair  $(A^m + B', B')$  is solution of the Diophantine equation:

$$x^2 + 3y^2 = p \quad (106)$$

The solution  $x = A^m + B', y = B'$  is unique because  $x - y$  verify  $x - y = A^m$ . If  $(u, v)$  another pair solution of (106), with  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , then we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} u^2 + 3v^2 &= p \\ u - v &= A^m \end{aligned}$$

Then  $u = v + A^m$  and we obtain the equation of second degree  $4v^2 + 2vA^m - 2B'(A^m + 2B') = 0$  that gives as positive root  $v_1 = B' = y$ , then  $u = A^m + B' = x$ . It follows that  $p$  in (106) has an unique representation under the form  $X^2 + 3Y^2$  with  $X, 3Y$  coprime. As  $p$  is an odd integer number, we applique one of Euler's theorems on convenient numbers "numerus idoneus" (see [4],[5]) : *Let  $m$  be an odd number relatively prime to  $n$  which is properly represented by  $x^2 + ny^2$ . If the equation  $m = x^2 + ny^2$  has only one solution with  $x, y > 0$ , then  $m$  is a prime number.* Then  $p$  is prime and  $4p$  has an unique representation (we put  $U = 2u, V = 2v$ , with  $U^2 + 3V^2 = 4p$  and  $U - V = 2A^m$ ). But  $b = 4p \implies \lambda^2 + 3a''^2 = (2(A^m + B'))^2 + 3(2B')^2$  the representation of  $4p$  is unique gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= 2(A^m + B') = 2a'' + B^n = 2a'' + B^n \\ \text{and } a'' &= 2B' = B^n = A^m \end{aligned}$$

But  $A^m > B^n$ , then the contradiction.

\*\* C-2-2-1-3- Case  $A^m \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$  and  $B^n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ , then  $B^n$  is even, see C-2-2-1-2-.

\*\* C-2-2-1-4- Case  $A^m \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$  and  $B^n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ , then  $3|B^n \implies B^n = 3B'$ . We can write  $b = 4p = (2A^m + 3B')^2 + 3(3B')^2 = \lambda^2 + 3a''^2$ . The unique representation of  $b$  as  $x^2 + 3y^2 = \lambda^2 + 3a''^2 \implies a'' = A^m = 3B' = B^n$ , then the contradiction with  $A^m > B^n$ .

\*\* C-2-2-1-5- Case  $A^m \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$  and  $B^n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ , then  $C^l \equiv 0 \pmod{6} \implies 2|C^l$ , see C-2-2-1-2-.

\*\* C-2-2-1-6- Case  $A^m \equiv 2 \pmod{6} \implies 2|a'' \implies 2|a$ , but  $2 \nmid b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* C-2-2-1-7- Case  $A^m \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$  and  $B^n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ , then  $C^l \equiv 4 \pmod{6} \implies 2|C^l \implies C^l = 2C'$ , we can write that  $p = (C' - B^n)^2 + 3C'^2$ , see C-2-2-1-2-.

\*\* C-2-2-1-8- Case  $A^m \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$  and  $B^n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ , then  $B^n$  is even, see C-2-2-1-2-.

\*\* C-2-2-1-9- Case  $A^m \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$  and  $B^n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ , then  $B^n$  is even, see C-2-2-1-2-.

\*\* C-2-2-1-10- Case  $A^m \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$  and  $B^n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ , then  $C^l \equiv 2 \pmod{6} \implies 2|C^l$ , see C-2-2-1-2-.

\*\* C-2-2-1-11- Case  $A^m \equiv 4 \pmod{6} \implies 2|a'' \implies 2|a$ , but  $2 \nmid b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* C-2-2-1-12- Case  $A^m \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  and  $B^n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ , then  $B^n$  is even, see C-2-2-1-2-.

DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

\*\* C-2-2-1-13- Case  $A^m \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  and  $B^n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ , then  $C^l \equiv 0 \pmod{6} \implies 2|C^l$ , see C-2-2-1-2-.

\*\* C-2-2-1-14- Case  $A^m \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  and  $B^n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ , then  $C^l \equiv 2 \pmod{6} \implies 2|C^l \implies C^l = 2C'$ ,  $p$  is written as  $p = (C' - B^n)^2 + 3C'^2$ , see C-2-2-1-2-.

\*\* C-2-2-1-15- Case  $A^m \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  and  $B^n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ , then  $B^n$  is even, see C-2-2-1-2-.

We have achieved the study all the cases of the table 1 giving contradictions.

Then the case  $k_3 = 1$  is impossible.

**4.7 Case  $3|a$  and  $b = 2p'$   $b \neq 2$  with  $p'|p$  :**

$3|a \implies a = 3a'$ ,  $b = 2p'$  with  $p = k.p'$ , then:

$$A^{2m} = \frac{4.p}{3} \cdot \frac{a}{b} = \frac{4.k.p'.3.a'}{6p'} = 2.k.a'$$

We calculate  $B^n C^l$ :

$$B^n C^l = \sqrt[3]{\rho^2} \left( 3 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{3} - \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \right) = \sqrt[3]{\rho^2} \left( 3 - 4 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \right)$$

but  $\sqrt[3]{\rho^2} = \frac{p}{3}$ , then using  $\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{3.a'}{b}$ :

$$B^n C^l = \sqrt[3]{\rho^2} \left( 3 - 4 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \right) = \frac{p}{3} \left( 3 - 4 \frac{3.a'}{b} \right) = p \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{4.a'}{b} \right) = k(p' - 2a')$$

As  $p = b.p'$ , and  $p' > 1$ , then we have:

$$B^n C^l = k(p' - 2a') \tag{107}$$

$$\text{and } A^{2m} = 2k.a' \tag{108}$$

\*\* D-1- We suppose that  $k$  is prime.

\*\* D-1-1- If  $k = 2$ , then we have  $p = 2p' = b \implies 2|b$ , but  $A^{2m} = 4a' = (A^m)^2 \implies A^m = 2a''$  with  $a' = a''^2$ , then  $2|a'' \implies 2|(a = 3a''^2)$ , it follows the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* D-1-2- We suppose  $k \neq 2$ . From  $A^{2m} = 2k.a' = (A^m)^2 \implies k|a'$  and  $2|a' \implies a' = 2.k.a''^2 \implies A^m = 2.k.a''$ . Then  $k|A^m \implies k|A \implies A = k^i.A_1$  with  $i \geq 1$  and  $k \nmid A_1$ .  $k^{im}A_1^m = 2ka'' \implies 2a'' = k^{im-1}A_1^m$ . From  $B^n C^l = k(p' - 2a') \implies k|(B^n C^l) \implies k|B^n$  or  $k|C^l$ .

\*\* D-1-2-1- We suppose that  $k|B^n \implies k|B \implies B = k^j.B_1$  with  $j \geq 1$  and  $k \nmid B_1$ . It follows  $k^{nj-1}B_1^n C^l = p' - 2a' = p' - 4ka''^2$ . As  $n \geq 3 \implies nj - 1 \geq 2$ , then  $k|p'$  but  $k \neq 2 \implies k|(2p' = b)$ , but  $k|a' \implies k|(3a' = a)$ . It follows the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* D-1-2-2- If  $k|C^l$  we obtain the identical results.

\*\* D-2- We suppose that  $k$  is not prime. Let  $\omega$  be a prime so that  $k = \omega^s .k_1$ , with  $s \geq 1$ ,  $\omega \nmid k_1$ . The equations (107-108) become:

$$\begin{aligned} B^n C^l &= \omega^s .k_1 (p' - 2a') \\ \text{and } A^{2m} &= 2\omega^s .k_1 .a' \end{aligned}$$

\*\* D-2-1- We suppose that  $\omega = 2$ , then we have the equations:

$$A^{2m} = 2^{s+1} .k_1 .a' \quad (109)$$

$$B^n C^l = 2^s .k_1 (p' - 2a') \quad (110)$$

\*\* D-2-1-1- Case:  $2|a' \implies 2|a$ , but  $2 \nmid b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* D-2-1-2- Case:  $2 \nmid a'$ . As  $2 \nmid k_1$ , the equation (109) gives  $2|A^{2m} \implies A = 2^i A_1$ , with  $i \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid A_1$ . It follows that  $2im = s + 1$ .

\*\* D-2-1-2-1- We suppose that  $2 \nmid (p' - 2a') \implies 2 \nmid p'$ . From the equation (110), we obtain that  $2|B^n C^l \implies 2|B^n$  or  $2|C^l$ :

\*\* D-2-1-2-1-1- We suppose that  $2|B^n \implies 2|B \implies B = 2^j B_1$  with  $2 \nmid B_1$  and  $j \geq 1$ , then  $B_1^n C^l = 2^{s-jn} k_1 (p' - 2a')$ :

- If  $s - jn \geq 1$ , then  $2|C^l \implies 2|C$ , and no contradictions with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$ , and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $s - jn \leq 0$ , from  $B_1^n C^l = 2^{s-jn} k_1 (p' - 2a') \implies 2 \nmid C^l$ , then the contradiction with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n \implies 2|C^l$ .

\*\* D-2-1-2-1-2- Using the same method of the proof above, we obtain the identical results if  $2|C^l$ .

\*\* D-2-1-2-2- We suppose now that  $2|(p' - 2a') \implies p' - 2a' = 2^\mu .\Omega$ , with  $\mu \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid \Omega$ . We recall that  $2 \nmid a'$ . The equation (110) is written as:

$$B^n C^l = 2^{s+\mu} .k_1 .\Omega$$

This last equation implies that  $2|(B^n C^l) \implies 2|B^n$  or  $2|C^l$ .

\*\* D-2-1-2-2-1- We suppose that  $2|B^n \implies 2|B \implies B = 2^j B_1$  with  $j \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid B_1$ . Then  $B_1^n C^l = 2^{s+\mu-jn} .k_1 .\Omega$ :

- If  $s + \mu - jn \geq 1$ , then  $2|C^l \implies 2|C$ , no contradictions with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$ , and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $s + \mu - jn \leq 0$ , from  $B_1^n C^l = 2^{s+\mu-jn} k_1 .\Omega \implies 2 \nmid C^l$ , then contradiction with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n \implies 2|C^l$ .

\*\* D-2-1-2-2-2- We obtain the identical results If  $2|C^l$ .

\*\* D-2-2- We suppose that  $\omega \neq 2$ . We have then the equations:

$$A^{2m} = 2\omega^s .k_1 .a' \quad (111)$$

$$B^n C^l = \omega^s \cdot k_1 \cdot (p' - 2a') \quad (112)$$

As  $\omega \neq 2$ , from the equation (111), we have  $2|(k_1 \cdot a')$ . If  $2|a' \implies 2|a$ , but  $2 \nmid b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* D-2-2-1- Case:  $2 \nmid a'$  and  $2|k_1 \implies k_1 = 2^\mu \cdot \Omega$  with  $\mu \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid \Omega$ . From the equation (111), we have  $2|A^{2m} \implies 2|A \implies A = 2^i A_1$  with  $i \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid A_1$ , then  $2im = 1 + \mu$ . The equation (112) becomes:

$$B^n C^l = \omega^s \cdot 2^\mu \cdot \Omega \cdot (p' - 2a') \quad (113)$$

From the equation (113), we obtain  $2|(B^n C^l) \implies 2|B^n$  or  $2|C^l$ .

\*\* D-2-2-1-1- We suppose that  $2|B^n \implies 2|B \implies B = 2^j B_1$ , with  $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $2 \nmid B_1$ .

\*\* D-2-2-1-1-1- We suppose that  $2 \nmid (p' - 2a')$ , then we have  $B_1^n C^l = \omega^s 2^{\mu-jn} \Omega (p' - 2a')$ :

- If  $\mu - jn \geq 1 \implies 2|C^l \implies 2|C$ , no contradictions with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $\mu - jn \leq 0 \implies 2 \nmid C^l$  then the contradiction with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$ .

\*\* D-2-2-1-1-2- We suppose that  $2|(p' - 2a') \implies p' - 2a' = 2^\alpha \cdot P$ , with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $2 \nmid P$ . It follows that  $B_1^n C^l = \omega^s 2^{\mu+\alpha-jn} \Omega \cdot P$ :

- If  $\mu + \alpha - jn \geq 1 \implies 2|C^l \implies 2|C$ , no contradictions with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $\mu + \alpha - jn \leq 0 \implies 2 \nmid C^l$  then the contradiction with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$ .

\*\* D-2-2-1-2- We suppose now that  $2|C^n \implies 2|C$ . Using the same method described above, we obtain the identical results.

#### 4.8 Case $3|a$ and $b = 4p'$ $b \neq 2$ with $p'|p$ :

$3|a \implies a = 3a'$ ,  $b = 4p'$  with  $p = k \cdot p'$ ,  $k \neq 1$  if not  $b = 4p$  this case has been studied (see paragraph 4.6), then we have :

$$A^{2m} = \frac{4 \cdot p}{3} \cdot \frac{a}{b} = \frac{4 \cdot k \cdot p' \cdot 3 \cdot a'}{12p'} = k \cdot a'$$

We calculate  $B^n C^l$ :

$$B^n C^l = \sqrt[3]{\rho^2} \left( 3 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{3} - \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \right) = \sqrt[3]{\rho^2} \left( 3 - 4 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \right)$$

but  $\sqrt[3]{\rho^2} = \frac{p}{3}$ , then using  $\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{3 \cdot a'}{b}$ :

$$B^n C^l = \sqrt[3]{\rho^2} \left( 3 - 4 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \right) = \frac{p}{3} \left( 3 - 4 \frac{3 \cdot a'}{b} \right) = p \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{4 \cdot a'}{b} \right) = k(p' - a')$$

As  $p = b \cdot p'$ , and  $p' > 1$ , we have :

$$B^n C^l = k(p' - a') \quad (114)$$

$$\text{and } A^{2m} = k \cdot a' \quad (115)$$

\*\* E-1- We suppose that  $k$  is prime. From  $A^{2m} = k.a' = (A^m)^2 \implies k|a'$  and  $a' = k.a'^2 \implies A^m = k.a'$ . Then  $k|A^m \implies k|A \implies A = k^i.A_1$  with  $i \geq 1$  and  $k \nmid A_1$ .  $k^{mi}A_1^m = ka'' \implies a'' = k^{mi-1}A_1^m$ . From  $B^n C^l = k(p' - a') \implies k|(B^n C^l) \implies k|B^n$  or  $k|C^l$ .

\*\* E-1-1- We suppose that  $k|B^n \implies k|B \implies B = k^j.B_1$  with  $j \geq 1$  and  $k \nmid B_1$ . Then  $k^{n.j-1}B_1^n C^l = p' - a'$ . As  $n.j - 1 \geq 2 \implies k|(p' - a')$ . But  $k|a' \implies k|a$ , then  $k|p' \implies k|(4p' = b)$  and we arrive to the contradiction that  $a, b$  are coprime.

\*\* E-1-2- We suppose that  $k|C^l$ , using the same method with the above hypothesis  $k|B^n$ , we obtain the identical results.

\*\* E-2- We suppose that  $k$  is not prime.

\*\* E-2-1- We take  $k = 4 \implies p = 4p' = b$ , it is the case 4.3 studied above.

\*\* E-2-2- We suppose that  $k \geq 6$  not prime. Let  $\omega$  be a prime so that  $k = \omega^s.k_1$ , with  $s \geq 1$ ,  $\omega \nmid k_1$ . The equations (114-115) become:

$$B^n C^l = \omega^s.k_1(p' - a') \quad (116)$$

$$\text{and } A^{2m} = \omega^s.k_1.a' \quad (117)$$

\*\* E-2-2-1- We suppose that  $\omega = 2$ .

\*\* E-2-2-1-1- If  $2|a' \implies 2|(3a' = a)$ , but  $2|(4p' = b)$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* E-2-2-1-2- We consider that  $2 \nmid a'$ . From the equation (117), it follows that  $2|A^{2m} \implies 2|A \implies A = 2^i A_1$  with  $2 \nmid A_1$  and:

$$B^n C^l = 2^s k_1(p' - a')$$

\*\* E-2-2-1-2-1- We suppose that  $2 \nmid (p' - a')$ , from the above expression, we have  $2|(B^n C^l) \implies 2|B^n$  or  $2|C^l$ .

\*\* E-2-2-1-2-1-1- If  $2|B^n \implies 2|B \implies B = 2^j B_1$  with  $2 \nmid B_1$ . Then  $B_1^n C^l = 2^{2im-jn} k_1(p' - a')$ :

- If  $2im - jn \geq 1 \implies 2|C^l \implies 2|C$ , no contradictions with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2im - jn \leq 0 \implies 2 \nmid C^l$ , then the contradiction with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n \implies 2|C^l$ .

\*\* E-2-2-1-2-1-2- If  $2|C^l \implies 2|C$ , using the same method described above, we obtain the identical results.

\*\* E-2-2-1-2-2- We suppose that  $2|(p' - a')$ . As  $2 \nmid a' \implies 2 \nmid p'$ .  $2|(p' - a') \implies p' - a' = 2^\alpha.P$  with  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid P$ . The equation (116) is written as :

$$B^n C^l = 2^{s+\alpha} k_1.P = 2^{2im+\alpha} k_1.P \quad (118)$$

then  $2|(B^n C^l) \implies 2|B^n$  or  $2|C^l$ .

DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

\*\* E-2-2-1-2-2-1- We suppose that  $2|B^n \implies 2|B \implies B = 2^j B_1$ , with  $2 \nmid B_1$ . The equation (118) becomes  $B_1^n C^l = 2^{2im+\alpha-jn} k_1 P$ :

- If  $2im + \alpha - jn \geq 1 \implies 2|C^l \implies 2|C$ , no contradictions with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2im + \alpha - jn \leq 0 \implies 2 \nmid C^l$ , then the contradiction with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n \implies 2|C^l$ .

\*\* E-2-2-1-2-2-2- We suppose that  $2|C^l \implies 2|C$ . Using the same method described above, we obtain the identical results.

\*\* E-2-2-2- We suppose that  $\omega \neq 2$ . We recall the equations:

$$A^{2m} = \omega^s . k_1 . a' \tag{119}$$

$$B^n C^l = \omega^s . k_1 (p' - a') \tag{120}$$

\*\* E-2-2-2-1- We suppose that  $\omega, a'$  are coprime, then  $\omega \nmid a'$ . From the equation (119), we have  $\omega|A^{2m} \implies \omega|A \implies A = \omega^i A_1$  with  $\omega \nmid A_1$  and  $s = 2im$ .

\*\* E-2-2-2-1-1- We suppose that  $\omega \nmid (p' - a')$ . From the equation (120) above, we have  $\omega|(B^n C^l) \implies \omega|B^n$  or  $\omega|C^l$ .

\*\* E-2-2-2-1-1-1- If  $\omega|B^n \implies \omega|B \implies B = \omega^j B_1$  with  $\omega \nmid B_1$ . Then  $B_1^n C^l = 2^{2im-jn} k_1 (p' - a')$ :

- If  $2im - jn \geq 1 \implies \omega|C^l \implies \omega|C$ , no contradictions with  $C^l = \omega^{im} A_1^m + \omega^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2im - jn \leq 0 \implies \omega \nmid C^l$ , then the contradiction with  $C^l = \omega^{im} A_1^m + \omega^{jn} B_1^n \implies \omega|C^l$ .

\*\* E-2-2-2-1-1-2- If  $\omega|C^l \implies \omega|C$ , using the same method described above, we obtain the identical results.

\*\* E-2-2-2-1-2- We suppose that  $\omega|(p' - a') \implies \omega \nmid p'$  if not  $\omega|a'$ .  $\omega|(p' - a') \implies p' - a' = \omega^\alpha . P$  with  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $\omega \nmid P$ . The equation (120) becomes :

$$B^n C^l = \omega^{s+\alpha} k_1 . P = \omega^{2im+\alpha} k_1 . P \tag{121}$$

then  $\omega|(B^n C^l) \implies \omega|B^n$  or  $\omega|C^l$ .

\*\* E-2-2-2-1-2-1- We suppose that  $\omega|B^n \implies \omega|B \implies B = \omega^j B_1$ , with  $\omega \nmid B_1$ . The equation (121) is written as  $B_1^n C^l = 2^{2im+\alpha-jn} k_1 P$ :

- If  $2im + \alpha - jn \geq 1 \implies \omega|C^l \implies \omega|C$ , no contradictions with  $C^l = \omega^{im} A_1^m + \omega^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2im + \alpha - jn \leq 0 \implies \omega \nmid C^l$ , then the contradiction with  $C^l = \omega^{im} A_1^m + \omega^{jn} B_1^n \implies \omega|C^l$ .

\*\* E-2-2-2-1-2-2- We suppose that  $\omega|C^l \implies \omega|C$ , using the same method described above, we obtain the identical results.

\*\* E-2-2-2-2- We suppose that  $\omega, a'$  are not coprime, then  $a' = \omega^\beta . a''$  with  $\omega \nmid a''$ . The equation

(119) becomes:

$$A^{2m} = \omega^s k_1 a' = \omega^{s+\beta} k_1 .a''$$

We have  $\omega|A^{2m} \implies \omega|A \implies A = \omega^i A_1$  with  $\omega \nmid A_1$  and  $s + \beta = 2im$ .

\*\* E-2-2-2-2-1- We suppose that  $\omega \nmid (p' - a') \implies \omega \nmid p' \implies \omega \nmid (b = 4p')$ . From the equation (120), we obtain  $\omega|(B^n C^l) \implies \omega|B^n$  or  $\omega|C^l$ .

\*\* E-2-2-2-2-1-1- If  $\omega|B^n \implies \omega|B \implies B = \omega^j B_1$  with  $\omega \nmid B_1$ . Then  $B_1^n C^l = 2^{s-jn} k_1 (p' - a')$ :  
 - If  $s - jn \geq 1 \implies \omega|C^l \implies \omega|C$ , no contradictions with  $C^l = \omega^{im} A_1^m + \omega^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.  
 - If  $s - jn \leq 0 \implies \omega \nmid C^l$ , then the contradiction with  $C^l = \omega^{im} A_1^m + \omega^{jn} B_1^n \implies \omega|C^l$ .

\*\* E-2-2-2-2-1-2- If  $\omega|C^l \implies \omega|C$ , using the same method described above, we obtain the identical results.

\*\* E-2-2-2-2-2- We suppose that  $\omega|(p' - a' = p' - \omega^\beta .a'') \implies \omega|p' \implies \omega|(4p' = b)$ , but  $\omega|a' \implies \omega|a$ . Then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

The study of the cases of 4.8 is achieved.

#### 4.9 Case $3|a$ and $b|4p$ :

$a = 3a'$  and  $4p = k_1 b$ . As  $A^{2m} = \frac{4p}{3} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{4p}{3} \frac{3a'}{b} = k_1 a'$  and  $B^n C^l$ :

$$B^n C^l = \sqrt[3]{\rho^2} \left( 3 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{3} - \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \right) = \frac{p}{3} \left( 3 - 4 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \right) = \frac{p}{3} \left( 3 - 4 \frac{3a'}{b} \right) = \frac{k_1}{4} (b - 4a')$$

As  $B^n C^l$  is an integer, we must obtain  $4|k_1$ , or  $4|(b - 4a')$  or  $(2|k_1$  and  $2|(b - 4a'))$ .

\*\* F-1- If  $k_1 = 1 \implies b = 4p$ : it is the case 4.6.

\*\* F-2- If  $k_1 = 4 \implies p = b$ : it is the case 4.3.

\*\* F-3- If  $k_1 = 2$  and  $2|(b - 4a')$ : in this case, we have  $A^{2m} = 2a' \implies 2|a' \implies 2|a$ .  $2|(b - 4a') \implies 2|b$  then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* F-4- If  $2|k_1$  and  $2|(b - 4a')$ :  $2|(b - 4a') \implies b - 4a' = 2^\alpha \lambda$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^* \geq 1$  with  $2 \nmid \lambda$ ;  $2|k_1 \implies k_1 = 2^t k'_1$  with  $t \geq 1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$  with  $2 \nmid k'_1$  and we have:

$$A^{2m} = 2^t k'_1 a' \tag{122}$$

$$B^n C^l = 2^{t+\alpha-2} k'_1 \lambda \tag{123}$$

From the equation (122), we have  $2|A^{2m} \implies 2|A \implies A = 2^i A_1$ ,  $i \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid A_1$ .

\*\* F-4-1- We suppose that  $t = \alpha = 1$ , then the equations (122-123) become :

$$A^{2m} = 2k'_1 a' \tag{124}$$

$$B^n C^l = k'_1 \lambda \tag{125}$$

DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

From the equation (124) it follows that  $2|a' \implies 2|(a = 3a')$ . But  $b = 4a' + 2\lambda \implies 2|b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* F-4-2- We suppose that  $t + \alpha - 2 \geq 1$  and we have the expressions:

$$A^{2m} = 2^t k'_1 a' \tag{126}$$

$$B^n C^l = 2^{t+\alpha-2} k'_1 \lambda \tag{127}$$

\*\* F-4-2-1- We suppose that  $2|a' \implies 2|a$ , but  $b = 2^\alpha \lambda + 4a' \implies 2|b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* F-4-2-2- We suppose that  $2 \nmid a'$ . From (126), we have  $2|A^{2m} \implies 2|A \implies A = 2^i A_1$  and  $B^n C^l = 2^{t+\alpha-2} k'_1 \lambda \implies 2|B^n C^l \implies 2|B^n$  or  $2|C^l$ .

\*\* F-4-2-2-1- We suppose that  $2|B^n$ . We have  $2|B \implies B = 2^j B_1$ ,  $j \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid B_1$ . The equation (127) becomes  $B_1^n C^l = 2^{t+\alpha-2-jn} k'_1 \lambda$ :

- If  $t + \alpha - 2 - jn > 0 \implies 2|C^l \implies 2|C$ , no contradictions with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $t + \alpha - 2 - jn < 0 \implies 2|k'_1 \lambda$ , but  $2 \nmid k'_1$  and  $2 \nmid \lambda$ . Then this case is impossible.

- If  $t + \alpha - 2 - jn = 0 \implies B_1^n C^l = k'_1 \lambda \implies 2 \nmid C^l$  then it is a contradiction with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$ .

\*\* F-4-2-2-2- We suppose that  $2|C^l$ . We use the same method described above, we obtain the identical results.

\*\* F-5- We suppose that  $4|k_1$  with  $k_1 > 4 \implies k_1 = 4k'_2$ , we have :

$$A^{2m} = 4k'_2 a' \tag{128}$$

$$B^n C^l = k'_2 (b - 4a') \tag{129}$$

\*\* F-5-1- We suppose that  $k'_2$  is prime, from (128), we have  $k'_2|a'$ . From (129),  $k'_2|(B^n C^l) \implies k'_2|B^n$  or  $k'_2|C^l$ .

\*\* F-5-1-1- We suppose that  $k'_2|B^n \implies k'_2|B \implies B = k'^{\beta}_2 . B_1$  with  $\beta \geq 1$  and  $k'_2 \nmid B_1$ . It follows that we have  $k'^{n\beta-1}_2 B_1^n C^l = b - 4a' \implies k'_2|b$  then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* F-5-1-2- We obtain identical results if we suppose that  $k'_2|C^l$ .

\*\* F-5-2- We suppose that  $k'_2$  is not prime.

\*\* F-5-2-1- We suppose that  $k'_2$  and  $a'$  are coprime. From (128),  $k'_2$  can be written under the form  $k'_2 = q_1^{2j} . q_2^2$  and  $q_1 \nmid q_2$  and  $q_1$  prime. We have  $A^{2m} = 4q_1^{2j} . q_2^2 a' \implies q_1|A$  and  $B^n C^l = q_1^{2j} . q_2^2 (b - 4a') \implies q_1|B^n$  or  $q_1|C^l$ .

\*\* F-5-2-1-1- We suppose that  $q_1|B^n \implies q_1|B \implies B = q_1^f . B_1$  with  $q_1 \nmid B_1$ . We obtain  $B_1^n C^l = q_1^{2j-fn} q_2^2 (b - 4a')$ :

- If  $2j - f.n \geq 1 \implies q_1|C^l \implies q_1|C$  but  $C^l = A^m + B^n$  gives also  $q_1|C$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2j - f.n = 0$ , we have  $B_1^n C^l = q_2^2(b - 4a')$ , but  $C^l = A^m + B^n$  gives  $q_1|C$ , then  $q_1|(b - 4a')$ . As  $q_1$  and  $a'$  are coprime, then  $q_1 \nmid b$ , and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2j - f.n < 0 \implies q_1|(b - 4a') \implies q_1 \nmid b$  because  $a'$  is coprime with  $q_1$ , and  $C^l = A^m + B^n$  gives  $q_1|C$ , and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* F-5-2-1-2- We obtain identical results if we suppose that  $q_1|C^l$ .

\*\* F-5-2-2- We suppose that  $k'_2, a'$  are not coprime. Let  $q_1$  be a prime so that  $q_1|k'_2$  and  $q_1|a'$ . We write  $k'_2$  under the form  $q_1^j q_2$  with  $j \geq 1$ ,  $q_1 \nmid q_2$ . From  $A^{2m} = 4k'_2 a' \implies q_1|A^{2m} \implies q_1|A$ . Then from  $B^n C^l = q_1^j q_2(b - 4a')$ , it follows that  $q_1|(B^n C^l) \implies q_1|B^n$  or  $q_1|C^l$ .

\*\* F-5-2-2-1- We suppose that  $q_1|B^n \implies q_1|B \implies B = q_1^\beta . B_1$  with  $\beta \geq 1$  and  $q_1 \nmid B_1$ . Then, we have  $q_1^{n\beta} B_1^n C^l = q_1^j q_2(b - 4a') \implies B_1^n C^l = q_1^{j-n\beta} q_2(b - 4a')$ .

- If  $j - n\beta \geq 1$ , then  $q_1|C^l \implies q_1|C$ , but  $C^l = A^m + B^n$  gives  $q_1|C$ , then the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $j - n\beta = 0$ , we obtain  $B_1^n C^l = q_2(b - 4a')$ , but  $C^l = A^m + B^n$  gives  $q_1|C$ , then  $q_1|(b - 4a') \implies q_1|b$  because  $q_1|a' \implies q_1|a$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

- If  $j - n\beta < 0 \implies q_1|(b - 4a') \implies q_1|b$ , because  $q_1|a' \implies q_1|a$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* F-5-2-2-2- We obtain identical results if we suppose that  $q_1|C^l$ .

\*\* F-6- If  $4 \nmid (b - 4a')$  and  $4 \nmid k_1$  it is impossible. We suppose that  $4|(b - 4a') \implies 4|b$ , and  $b - 4a' = 4^t . g$ ,  $t \geq 1$  with  $4 \nmid g$ , then we have :

$$\begin{aligned} A^{2m} &= k_1 a' \\ B^n C^l &= k_1 . 4^{t-1} . g \end{aligned}$$

\*\* F-6-1- We suppose that  $k_1$  is prime. From  $A^{2m} = k_1 a'$  we deduce easily that  $k_1|a'$ . From  $B^n C^l = k_1 . 4^{t-1} . g$  we obtain that  $k_1|(B^n C^l) \implies k_1|B^n$  or  $k_1|C^l$ .

\*\* F-6-1-1- We suppose that  $k_1|B^n \implies k_1|B \implies B = k_1^j . B_1$  with  $j > 0$  and  $k_1 \nmid B_1$ . Then  $k_1^{n.j} B_1^n C^l = k_1 . 4^{t-1} . g \implies k_1^{n.j-1} B_1^n C^l = 4^{t-1} . g$ . But  $n \geq 3$  and  $j \geq 1$  then  $n.j - 1 \geq 2$ . We deduce as  $k_1 \neq 2$  that  $k_1|g \implies k_1|(b - 4a')$  but  $k_1|a' \implies k_1|b$  then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* F-6-1-2- We obtain identical results if we suppose that  $k_1|C^l$ .

\*\* F-6-2- We suppose that  $k_1$  is not prime  $\neq 4$ , ( $k_1 = 4$  see case F-2, above) with  $4 \nmid k_1$ .

\*\* F-6-2-1- If  $k_1 = 2k'$  with  $k'$  odd  $> 1$ . Then  $A^{2m} = 2k' a' \implies 2|a' \implies 2|a$ , as  $4|b$  it follows the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

## DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

\*\* F-6-2-2- We suppose that  $k_1$  is odd with  $k_1$  and  $a'$  coprime. We write  $k_1$  under the form  $k_1 = q_1^j \cdot q_2$  with  $q_1 \nmid q_2$ ,  $q_1$  prime and  $j \geq 1$ .  $B^n C^l = q_1^j \cdot q_2 4^{t-1} g \implies q_1 | B^n$  or  $q_1 | C^l$ .

\*\* F-6-2-2-1- We suppose that  $q_1 | B^n \implies q_1 | B \implies B = q_1^f \cdot B_1$  with  $q_1 \nmid B_1$ . We obtain  $B_1^n C^l = q_1^{j-f \cdot n} q_2 4^{t-1} g$ .

- If  $j - f \cdot n \geq 1 \implies q_1 | C^l \implies q_1 | C$ , but  $C^l = A^m + B^n$  gives also  $q_1 | C$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $j - f \cdot n = 0$ , we have  $B_1^n C^l = q_2 4^{t-1} g$ , but  $C^l = A^m + B^n$  gives  $q_1 | C$ , then  $q_1 | (b - 4a')$ . As  $q_1$  and  $a'$  are coprime then  $q_1 \nmid b$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $j - f \cdot n < 0 \implies q_1 | (b - 4a') \implies q_1 \nmid b$  because  $q_1, a'$  are primes.  $C^l = A^m + B^n$  gives  $q_1 | C$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* F-6-2-2-2- We obtain identical results if we suppose that  $q_1 | C^l$ .

\*\* F-6-2-3- We suppose that  $k_1$  and  $a'$  are not coprime. Let  $q_1$  be a prime so that  $q_1 | k_1$  and  $q_1 | a'$ . We write  $k_1$  under the form  $q_1^j \cdot q_2$  with  $q_1 \nmid q_2$ . From  $A^{2m} = k_1 a' \implies q_1 | A^{2m} \implies q_1 | A$ . From  $B^n C^l = q_1^j q_2 (b - 4a')$ , it follows that  $q_1 | (B^n C^l) \implies q_1 | B^n$  or  $q_1 | C^l$ .

\*\* F-6-2-3-1- We suppose that  $q_1 | B^n \implies q_1 | B \implies B = q_1^\beta \cdot B_1$  with  $\beta \geq 1$  and  $q_1 \nmid B_1$ . Then we have  $q_1^{n\beta} B_1^n C^l = q_1^j q_2 (b - 4a') \implies B_1^n C^l = q_1^{j-n\beta} q_2 (b - 4a')$ :

- If  $j - n\beta \geq 1$ , then  $q_1 | C^l \implies q_1 | C$ , but  $C^l = A^m + B^n$  gives  $q_1 | C$ , and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $j - n\beta = 0$ , we obtain  $B_1^n C^l = q_2 (b - 4a')$ , but  $q_1 | A$  and  $q_1 | B$  then  $q_1 | C$  and we obtain  $q_1 | (b - 4a') \implies q_1 | b$  because  $q_1 | a' \implies q_1 | a$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

- If  $j - n\beta < 0 \implies q_1 | (b - 4a') \implies q_1 | b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* F-6-2-3-2- We obtain identical results as above if we suppose that  $q_1 | C^l$ .

### 5. Hypothèse: $\{3|p \text{ and } b|4p\}$

#### 5.1 Case $b = 2$ and $3|p$ :

$3|p \implies p = 3p'$  with  $p' \neq 1$  because  $3 \ll p$ , and  $b = 2$ , we obtain:

$$A^{2m} = \frac{4p \cdot a}{3b} = \frac{4 \cdot 3p' \cdot a}{3b} = \frac{4 \cdot p' \cdot a}{2} = 2 \cdot p' \cdot a$$

As:

$$\frac{1}{4} < \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{a}{b} = \frac{a}{2} < \frac{3}{4} \implies 1 < 2a < 3 \implies a = 1 \implies \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{1}{2}$$

but this case was studied (see case 3.1.2).

#### 5.2 Case $b = 4$ and $3|p$ :

We have  $3|p \implies p = 3p'$  with  $p' \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , it follows :

$$A^{2m} = \frac{4p \cdot a}{3b} = \frac{4 \cdot 3p' \cdot a}{3 \times 4} = p' \cdot a$$

and:

$$\frac{1}{4} < \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{a}{b} = \frac{a}{4} < \frac{3}{4} \Rightarrow 1 < a < 3 \Rightarrow a = 2$$

as  $a, b$  are coprime, then the case  $b = 4$  and  $3|p$  is impossible.

**5.3 Case:  $b \neq 2, b \neq 4, b \neq 3, b|p$  and  $3|p$  :**

As  $3|p$ , then  $p = 3p'$  and :

$$A^{2m} = \frac{4p}{3} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{4p}{3} \frac{a}{b} = \frac{4 \times 3p' a}{3 b} = \frac{4p' a}{b}$$

We consider the case:  $b|p' \Rightarrow p' = bp''$  and  $p'' \neq 1$  (if  $p'' = 1$ , then  $p = 3b$ , see paragraph 5.8 Case  $k' = 1$ ). Finally, we obtain:

$$A^{2m} = \frac{4bp'' a}{b} = 4ap'' ; \quad B^n C^l = p'' \cdot (3b - 4a)$$

\*\* G-1- We suppose that  $p''$  is prime, then  $A^{2m} = 4ap'' = (A^m)^2 \Rightarrow p''|a$ . But  $B^n C^l = p''(3b - 4a) \Rightarrow p''|B^n$  or  $p''|C^l$ .

\*\* G-1-1- If  $p''|B^n \Rightarrow p''|B \Rightarrow B = p''B_1$  with  $B_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Then  $p''^{n-1}B_1^n C^l = 3b - 4a$ . As  $n > 2$ , then  $(n - 1) > 1$  and  $p''|a$ , then  $p''|3b \Rightarrow p'' = 3$  or  $p''|b$ .

\*\* G-1-1-1- If  $p'' = 3 \Rightarrow 3|a$ , with  $a$  that we write as  $a = 3a'^2$ , but  $A^m = 6a' \Rightarrow 3|A^m \Rightarrow 3|A \Rightarrow A = 3A_1$ , then  $3^{m-1}A_1^m = 2a' \Rightarrow 3|a' \Rightarrow a' = 3a''$ . As  $p''^{n-1}B_1^n C^l = 3^{n-1}B_1^n C^l = 3b - 4a \Rightarrow 3^{n-2}B_1^n C^l = b - 36a''^2$ . As  $n \geq 3 \Rightarrow n - 2 \geq 1$ , then  $3|b$  and the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* G-1-1-2- We suppose that  $p''|b$ , as  $p''|a$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* G-1-2- If we suppose  $p''|C^l$ , we obtain identical results (contradictions).

\*\* G-2- We consider now that  $p''$  is not prime.

\*\* G-2-1-  $p'', a$  coprime:  $A^{2m} = 4ap'' \Rightarrow A^m = 2a'.p_1$  with  $a = a'^2$  and  $p'' = p_1^2$ , then  $a', p_1$  are also coprime. As  $A^m = 2a'.p_1$ , then  $2|a'$  or  $2|p_1$ .

\*\* G-2-1-1- We suppose that  $2|a'$ , then  $2|a' \Rightarrow 2 \nmid p_1$ , but  $p'' = p_1^2$ .

\*\* G-2-1-1-1- If  $p_1$  is prime, it is impossible with  $A^m = 2a'.p_1$ .

\*\* G-2-1-1-2- We suppose that  $p_1$  is not prime so we can write  $p_1 = \omega^m \Rightarrow p'' = \omega^{2m}$ . Then  $B^n C^l = \omega^{2m}(3b - 4a)$ .

\*\* G-2-1-1-2-1- If  $\omega$  is prime  $\neq 2$ , then  $\omega|(B^n C^l) \Rightarrow \omega|B^n$  or  $\omega|C^l$ .

\*\* G-2-1-1-2-1-1- If  $\omega|B^n \Rightarrow \omega|B \Rightarrow B = \omega^j B_1$  with  $\omega \nmid B_1$ , then  $B_1^n \cdot C^l = \omega^{2m-nj}(3b - 4a)$ .

DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

\*\* G-2-1-1-2-1-1-1- If  $2m - n.j = 0$ , we obtain  $B_1^n.C^l = 3b - 4a$ . As  $C^l = A^m + B^n \implies \omega|C^l \implies \omega|C$ , and  $\omega|(3b - 4a)$ . But  $\omega \neq 2$  and  $\omega, a'$  are coprime, then  $\omega, a$  are coprime, it follows  $\omega \nmid (3b)$ , then  $\omega \neq 3$  and  $\omega \nmid b$ , the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* G-2-1-1-2-1-1-2- If  $2m - n.j \geq 1$ , using the method as above, we obtain  $\omega|C^l \implies \omega|C$  and  $\omega|(3b - 4a)$  and  $\omega \nmid a$  and  $\omega \neq 3$  and  $\omega \nmid b$ , then the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* G-2-1-1-2-1-1-3- If  $2m - n.j < 0 \implies \omega^{n.j-2m} B_1^n.C^l = 3b - 4a$ . From  $A^m + B^n = C^l \implies \omega|C^l \implies \omega|C$ , then  $C = \omega^h.C_1$ , with  $\omega \nmid C_1$ , we obtain  $\omega^{n.j-2m+h.l} B_1^n.C_1^l = 3b - 4a$ . If  $n.j - 2m + h.l < 0 \implies \omega|B_1^n.C_1^l$  then the contradiction with  $\omega \nmid B_1$  or  $\omega \nmid C_1$ . It follows  $n.j - 2m + h.l > 0$  and  $\omega|(3b - 4a)$  with  $\omega, a, b$  coprime and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* G-2-1-1-2-1-2- Using the same method above, we obtain identical results if  $\omega|C^l$ .

\*\* G-2-1-1-2-2- We suppose that  $p^n = \omega^{2m}$  and  $\omega$  is not prime. We write  $\omega = \omega_1^f.\Omega$  with  $\omega_1$  prime  $\nmid \Omega$ ,  $f \geq 1$ , and  $\omega_1|A$ . Then  $B^n.C^l = \omega_1^{2f.m}\Omega^{2m}(3b - 4a) \implies \omega_1|(B^n.C^l) \implies \omega_1|B^n$  or  $\omega_1|C^l$ .

\*\* G-2-1-1-2-2-1- If  $\omega_1|B^n \implies \omega_1|B \implies B = \omega_1^j B_1$  with  $\omega_1 \nmid B_1$ , then  $B_1^n.C^l = \omega_1^{2.m-nj}\Omega^{2m}(3b - 4a)$ :

\*\* G-2-1-1-2-2-1-1- If  $2f.m - n.j = 0$ , we obtain  $B_1^n.C^l = \Omega^{2m}(3b - 4a)$ . As  $C^l = A^m + B^n \implies \omega_1|C^l \implies \omega_1|C$ , and  $\omega_1|(3b - 4a)$ . But  $\omega_1 \neq 2$  and  $\omega_1, a'$  are coprime, then  $\omega, a$  are coprime, it follows  $\omega_1 \nmid (3b)$ , then  $\omega_1 \neq 3$  and  $\omega_1 \nmid b$ , and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* G-2-1-1-2-2-1-2- If  $2f.m - n.j \geq 1$ , we have  $\omega_1|C^l \implies \omega_1|C$  and  $\omega_1|(3b - 4a)$ , as  $\omega_1 \nmid a$ ,  $\omega_1 \neq 3$  and  $\omega_1 \nmid b$ , it follows the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* G-2-1-1-2-2-1-3- If  $2f.m - n.j < 0 \implies \omega_1^{n.j-2m.f} B_1^n.C^l = \Omega^{2m}(3b - 4a)$ . As  $\omega_1|C$  using  $C^l = A^m + B^n$ , then  $C = \omega_1^h.C_1 \implies \omega_1^{n.j-2m.f+h.l} B_1^n.C_1^l = \Omega^{2m}(3b - 4a)$ . If  $n.j - 2m.f + h.l < 0 \implies \omega_1|B_1^n.C_1^l$ , then the contradiction with  $\omega_1 \nmid B_1$  and  $\omega_1 \nmid C_1$ . Then if  $n.j - 2m.f + h.l > 0$  and  $\omega_1|(3b - 4a)$  with  $\omega_1, a, b$  coprime and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* G-2-1-1-2-2-2- Using the same method above, we obtain identical results if  $\omega_1|C^l$ .

\*\* G-2-1-2- We suppose that  $2|p_1$ : then  $2|p_1 \implies 2 \nmid a' \implies 2 \nmid a$ , but  $p^n = p_1^2$ .

\*\* G-2-1-2-1- We suppose that  $p_1 = 2$ , we obtain  $A^m = 4a' \implies 2|a'$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* G-2-1-2-2- We suppose that  $p_1$  is not prime and  $2|p_1$ . As  $A^m = 2a'p_1$ ,  $p_1$  can written as  $p_1 = 2^{m-1}\omega^m \implies p^n = 2^{2m-2}\omega^{2m}$ . Then  $B^n.C^l = 2^{2m-2}\omega^{2m}(3b - 4a) \implies 2|B^n$  or  $2|C^l$ .

\*\* G-2-1-2-2-1- We suppose that  $2|B^n \implies 2|B$ . As  $2|A$ , then  $2|C$ . From  $B^n.C^l = 2^{2m-2}\omega^{2m}(3b - 4a)$  it follows that if  $2|(3b - 4a) \implies 2|b$  but as  $2 \nmid a$  there is no contradictions with  $a, b$  coprime

and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* G-2-1-2-2- We suppose that  $2|C^l$ , using the same method above, we obtain identical results.

\*\* G-2-2- We suppose that  $p^n, a$  are not coprime: let  $\omega$  be a prime number so that  $\omega|a$  and  $\omega|p^n$ .

\*\* G-2-2-1- We suppose that  $\omega = 3$ . As  $A^{2m} = 4ap^n \implies 3|A$ , or  $3|p$ , As  $p = A^{2m} + B^{2n} + A^m B^n \implies 3|B^{2n} \implies 3|B$ , then  $3|C^l \implies 3|C$ . We write  $A = 3^i A_1$ ,  $B = 3^j B_1$ ,  $C = 3^h C_1$  with 3 coprime with  $A_1, B_1$  and  $C_1$  and  $p = 3^{2im} A_1^{2m} + 3^{2jn} B_1^{2n} + 3^{im+jn} A_1^m B_1^n = 3^k \cdot g$  with  $k = \min(2im, 2jn, im+jn)$  and  $3 \nmid g$ . We have also  $(\omega = 3)|a$  and  $(\omega = 3)|p^n$  that gives  $a = 3^\alpha a_1$ ,  $3 \nmid a_1$  and  $p^n = 3^\mu p_1$ ,  $3 \nmid p_1$  with  $A^{2m} = 4ap^n = 3^{2im} A_1^{2m} = 4 \times 3^{\alpha+\mu} \cdot a_1 \cdot p_1 \implies \alpha + \mu = 2im$ . As  $p = 3p' = 3b \cdot p^n = 3b \cdot 3^\mu p_1 = 3^{\mu+1} \cdot b \cdot p_1$ . The exponent of the factor 3 of  $p$  is  $k$ , the exponent of the factor 3 of the left member of the last equation is  $\mu+1$  added of the exponent  $\beta$  of 3 of the term  $b$ , with  $\beta \geq 0$ , let  $\min(2im, 2jn, im+jn) = \mu+1+\beta$  and we recall that  $\alpha + \mu = 2im$ . But  $B^n C^l = p^n (3b - 4a)$ , we obtain  $3^{(nj+hl)} B_1^n C_1^l = 3^{\mu+1} p_1 (b - 4 \times 3^{(\alpha-1)} a_1) = 3^{\mu+1} p_1 (3^\beta b_1 - 4 \times 3^{(\alpha-1)} a_1)$ ,  $3 \nmid b_1$ . We have also  $A^m + B^n = C^l \implies 3^{im} A_1^m + 3^{jn} B_1^n = 3^{hl} C_1^l$ . We call  $\epsilon = \min(im, jn)$ , we have  $\epsilon = hl = \min(im, jn)$ . We obtain the conditions:

$$k = \min(2im, 2jn, im+jn) = \mu+1+\beta \quad (130)$$

$$\alpha + \mu = 2im \quad (131)$$

$$\epsilon = hl = \min(im, jn)$$

$$3^{(nj+hl)} B_1^n C_1^l = 3^{\mu+1} p_1 (3^\beta b_1 - 4 \times 3^{(\alpha-1)} a_1)$$

\*\* G-2-2-1-1-  $\alpha = 1 \implies a = 3a_1$  and  $3 \nmid a_1$ , the equation (131) becomes:

$$1 + \mu = 2im$$

and the first equation (130) is written as :

$$k = \min(2im, 2jn, im+jn) = 2im + \beta$$

- If  $k = 2im \implies \beta = 0$  then  $3 \nmid b$ . We obtain  $2im \leq 2jn \implies im \leq jn$ , and  $2im \leq im+jn \implies im \leq jn$ . The third equation gives  $hl = im$  and the last equation gives  $nj+hl = \mu+1 = 2im \implies im = nj$ , then  $im = nj = hl$  and  $B_1^n C_1^l = p_1 (b - 4a_1)$ . As  $a, b$  are coprime, the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $k = 2jn$  or  $k = im+jn$ , we obtain  $\beta = 0$ ,  $im = jn = hl$  and  $B_1^n C_1^l = p_1 (b - 4a_1)$ . As  $a, b$  are coprime, the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* G-2-2-1-2-  $\alpha > 1 \implies \alpha \geq 2$ .

- If  $k = 2im \implies 2im = \mu+1+\beta$ , but  $\mu = 2im - \alpha$  that gives  $\alpha = 1 + \beta \geq 2 \implies \beta \neq 0 \implies 3|b$ , but  $3|a$  then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

- If  $k = 2jn = \mu+1+\beta \leq 2im \implies \mu+1+\beta \leq \mu+\alpha \implies 1+\beta \leq \alpha \implies \beta \geq 1$ . If  $\beta \geq 1 \implies 3|b$  but  $3|a$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

- If  $k = im+jn \implies im+jn \leq 2im \implies jn \leq im$ , and  $im+jn \leq 2jn \implies im \leq jn$ , then  $im = jn$ . As  $k = im+jn = 2im = 1 + \mu + \beta$  and  $\alpha + \mu = 2im$ , we obtain  $\alpha = 1 + \beta \geq 2 \implies \beta \geq 1 \implies 3|b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* G-2-2-2- We suppose that  $\omega \neq 3$ . We write  $a = \omega^\alpha a_1$  with  $\omega \nmid a_1$  and  $p^n = \omega^\mu p_1$  with  $\omega \nmid p_1$ .

DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

As  $A^{2m} = 4ap'' = 4\omega^{\alpha+\mu}.a_1.p_1 \implies \omega|A \implies A = \omega^i A_1$ ,  $\omega \nmid A_1$ . But  $B^n C^l = p''(3b - 4a) = \omega^\mu p_1(3b - 4a) \implies \omega|B^n C^l \implies \omega|B^n$  or  $\omega|C^l$ .

\*\* G-2-2-2-1- We suppose that  $\omega|B^n \implies \omega|B \implies B = \omega^j B_1$  and  $\omega \nmid B_1$ . From  $A^m + B^n = C^l \implies \omega|C^l \implies \omega|C$ . As  $p = bp' = 3bp'' = 3\omega^\mu bp_1 = \omega^k(\omega^{2im-k} A_1^{2m} + \omega^{2jn-k} B_1^{2n} + \omega^{im+jn-k} A_1^m B_1^n)$  with  $k = \min(2im, 2jn, im + jn)$ . Then :

- If  $k = \mu$ , then  $\omega \nmid b$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.
- If  $k > \mu$ , then  $\omega|b$ , but  $\omega|a$  then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.
- If  $k < \mu$ , it follows from :

$$3\omega^\mu bp_1 = \omega^k(\omega^{2im-k} A_1^{2m} + \omega^{2jn-k} B_1^{2n} + \omega^{im+jn-k} A_1^m B_1^n)$$

that  $\omega|A_1$  or  $\omega|B_1$ , then the contradiction with  $\omega \nmid A_1$  or  $\omega \nmid B_1$ .

\*\* G-2-2-2-2- If  $\omega|C^l \implies \omega|C \implies C = \omega^h C_1$  with  $\omega \nmid C_1$ . From  $A^m + B^n = C^l \implies \omega|(C^l - A^m) \implies \omega|B$ . Then, using the same method as for the case G-2-2-2-1-, we obtain identical results.

#### 5.4 Case $b = 3$ and $3|p$ :

As  $3|p \implies p = 3p'$ , We write :

$$A^{2m} = \frac{4p}{3} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{4p a}{3 b} = \frac{4 \times 3p' a}{3 \cdot 3} = \frac{4p' a}{3}$$

As  $A^{2m}$  is an integer and  $a, b$  are coprime and  $\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} < 1$  (see equation (35)), then we have necessary  $3|p' \implies p' = 3p''$  with  $p'' \neq 1$ , if not  $p = 3p' = 3 \times 3p'' = 9$ , but  $9 \ll (p = A^{2m} + B^{2n} + A^m B^n)$ , the hypothesis  $p'' = 1$  is impossible, then  $p'' > 1$ , and we obtain :

$$A^{2m} = \frac{4p' a}{3} = \frac{4 \times 3p'' a}{3} = 4p'' a; \quad B^n C^l = p''.(9 - 4a)$$

As  $\frac{1}{4} < \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{a}{b} = \frac{a}{3} < \frac{3}{4} \implies 3 < 4a < 9 \implies$  as  $a > 1$ ,  $a = 2$  and we obtain:

$$A^{2m} = 4p'' a = 8p''; \quad B^n C^l = \frac{3p''(9 - 4a)}{3} = p'' \tag{132}$$

The two equations of (132) imply that  $p''$  is not a prime. We can write  $p''$  as :  $p'' = \prod_{i \in I} p_i^{\alpha_i}$  where  $p_i$  are distinct primes,  $\alpha_i$  elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $i \in I$  a finite set of indices. We can write also  $p'' = p_1^{\alpha_1}.q_1$  with  $p_1 \nmid q_1$ . From (132), we have  $p_1|A$  and  $p_1|B^n C^l \implies p_1|B^n$  or  $p_1|C^l$ .

\*\* H-1- We suppose that  $p_1|B^n \implies B = p_1^{\beta_1}.B_1$  with  $p_1 \nmid B_1$  and  $\beta_1 \geq 1$ . Then, we obtain  $B_1^n C^l = p_1^{\alpha_1 - n\beta_1}.q_1$  with the following cases :

- If  $\alpha_1 - n\beta_1 \geq 1 \implies p_1|C^l \implies p_1|C$ , in accord with  $p_1|(C^l = A^m + B^n)$ , it follows that the conjecture (1.1) is verified.
- If  $\alpha_1 - n\beta_1 = 0 \implies B_1^n C^l = q_1 \implies p_1 \nmid C^l$ , it is a contradiction with  $p_1|(A^m - B^n) \implies p_1|C^l$ . Then this case is impossible.
- If  $\alpha_1 - n\beta_1 < 0$ , we obtain  $p_1^{n\beta_1 - \alpha_1} B_1^n C^l = q_1 \implies p_1|q_1$ , it is a contradiction with  $p_1 \nmid q_1$ . Then this case is impossible.

\*\* H-2- We suppose that  $p_1|C^l$ , using the same method as for the case  $p_1|B^n$ , we obtain identical results.

**5.5 Case  $3|p$  and  $b = p$ :**

we have  $\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{a}{b} = \frac{a}{p}$  and:

$$A^{2m} = \frac{4p}{3} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{4p}{3} \cdot \frac{a}{p} = \frac{4a}{3}$$

As  $A^{2m}$  is an integer, it implies that  $3|a$ , but  $3|p \implies 3|b$ . As  $a$  and  $b$  are coprime, then the contradiction and the case  $3|p$  and  $b = p$  is impossible.

**5.6 Case  $3|p$  and  $b = 4p$  :**

$3|p \implies p = 3p'$ ,  $p' \neq 1$  because  $3 \ll p$ , then  $b = 4p = 12p'$ .

$$A^{2m} = \frac{4p}{3} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{4p}{3} \frac{a}{b} = \frac{a}{3} \implies 3|a$$

as  $A^{2m}$  is an integer. But  $3|p \implies 3|[(4p) = b]$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime and the case  $b = 4p$  is impossible.

**5.7 Case  $3|p$  and  $b = 2p$  :**

$3|p \implies p = 3p'$ ,  $p' \neq 1$  because  $3 \ll p$ , then  $b = 2p = 6p'$ .

$$A^{2m} = \frac{4p}{3} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{4p}{3} \frac{a}{b} = \frac{2a}{3} \implies 3|a$$

But  $3|p \implies 3|(2p) \implies 3|b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime and the case  $b = 2p$  is impossible.

**5.8 Case  $3|p$  and  $b \neq 3$  a divisor of  $p$  :**

we have  $b = p' \neq 3$ , and  $p$  is written as  $p = kp'$  with  $3|k \implies k = 3k'$  and :

$$A^{2m} = \frac{4p}{3} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{4p}{3} \cdot \frac{a}{b} = 4ak'$$

$$B^n C^l = \frac{p}{3} \cdot \left( 3 - 4 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \right) = k'(3p' - 4a) = k'(3b - 4a)$$

\*\* I-1-  $k' \neq 1$ :

\*\* I-1-1- We suppose that  $k'$  is prime, then  $A^{2m} = 4ak' = (A^m)^2 \implies k'|a$ . But  $B^n C^l = k'(3b - 4a) \implies k'|B^n$  or  $k'|C^l$ .

\*\* I-1-1-1- If  $k'|B^n \implies k'|B \implies B = k'B_1$  with  $B_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Then  $k'^{n-1} B_1^n C^l = 3b - 4a$ . As  $n > 2$ , then  $(n - 1) > 1$  and  $k'|a$ , then  $k'|3b \implies k' = 3$  or  $k'|b$ .

\*\* I-1-1-1-1- If  $k' = 3 \implies 3|a$ , with  $a$  that we can write it under the form  $a = 3a'^2$ . But  $A^m = 6a' \implies 3|A^m \implies 3|A \implies A = 3A_1$  with  $A_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Then  $3^{m-1} A_1^m = 2a' \implies 3|a' \implies a' = 3a''$ . But  $k'^{n-1} B_1^n C^l = 3^{n-1} B_1^n C^l = 3b - 4a \implies 3^{n-2} B_1^n C^l = b - 36a''^2$ . As  $n \geq 3 \implies n - 2 \geq 1$ , then  $3|b$ . Hence the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

\*\* I-1-1-1-2- We suppose that  $k'|b$ , but  $k'|a$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* I-1-1-2- We suppose that  $k'|C^l$ , using the same method as for the case  $k'|B^n$ , we obtain identical results.

\*\* I-1-2- We consider that  $k'$  is not a prime.

\*\* I-1-2-1- We suppose that  $k', a$  are coprime:  $A^{2m} = 4ak' \implies A^m = 2a'.p_1$  with  $a = a'^2$  and  $k' = p_1^2$ , then  $a', p_1$  are also coprime. As  $A^m = 2a'.p_1$  then  $2|a'$  or  $2|p_1$ .

\*\* I-1-2-1-1- We suppose that  $2|a'$ , then  $2|a' \implies 2 \nmid p_1$ , but  $k' = p_1^2$ .

\*\* I-1-2-1-1-1- If  $p_1$  is prime, it is impossible with  $A^m = 2a'.p_1$ .

\*\* I-1-2-1-1-2- We suppose that  $p_1$  is not prime and it can be written as  $p_1 = \omega^m \implies k' = \omega^{2m}$ . Then  $B^n C^l = \omega^{2m}(3b - 4a)$ .

\*\* I-1-2-1-1-2-1- If  $\omega$  is prime  $\neq 2$ , then  $\omega|(B^n C^l) \implies \omega|B^n$  or  $\omega|C^l$ .

\*\* I-1-2-1-1-2-1-1- If  $\omega|B^n \implies \omega|B \implies B = \omega^j B_1$  with  $\omega \nmid B_1$ , then  $B_1^n.C^l = \omega^{2m-nj}(3b - 4a)$ .

- If  $2m - nj = 0$ , we obtain  $B_1^n.C^l = 3b - 4a$ , as  $C^l = A^m + B^n \implies \omega|C^l \implies \omega|C$  and  $\omega|(3b - 4a)$ . But  $\omega \neq 2$  and  $\omega, a'$  are coprime then  $\omega, a$  are coprime, then  $\omega \nmid (3b) \implies \omega \neq 3$  and  $\omega \nmid b$ . Hence, the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2m - nj \geq 1$ , using the same method, we have  $\omega|C^l \implies \omega|C$  and  $\omega|(3b - 4a)$  and  $\omega \nmid a$  and  $\omega \neq 3$  and  $\omega \nmid b$ . Then, the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2m - nj < 0 \implies \omega^{n.j-2m} B_1^n.C^l = 3b - 4a$ . As  $C^l = A^m + B^n \implies \omega|C$ , then  $C = \omega^h.C_1 \implies \omega^{n.j-2m+h.l} B_1^n.C_1^l = 3b - 4a$ . If  $n.j - 2m + h.l < 0 \implies \omega|B_1^n C_1^l$ , then the contradiction with  $\omega \nmid B_1$  or  $\omega \nmid C_1$ . If  $n.j - 2m + h.l > 0 \implies \omega|(3b - 4a)$  with  $\omega, a, b$  coprime, it implies that the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* I-1-2-1-1-2-1-2- We suppose that  $\omega|C^l$ , using the same method as for the case  $\omega|B^n$ , we obtain identical results.

\*\* I-1-2-1-1-2-2- Now,  $k' = \omega^{2m}$  and  $\omega$  not a prime, we write  $\omega = \omega_1^f.\Omega$  with  $\omega_1$  a prime  $\nmid \Omega$  and  $f \geq 1$  an integer, and  $\omega_1|A$ , then  $B^n C^l = \omega_1^{2f.m}\Omega^{2m}(3b - 4a) \implies \omega_1|(B^n C^l) \implies \omega_1|B^n$  or  $\omega_1|C^l$ .

\*\* I-1-2-1-1-2-2-1- If  $\omega_1|B^n \implies \omega_1|B \implies B = \omega_1^j B_1$  with  $\omega_1 \nmid B_1$ , then  $B_1^n.C^l = \omega_1^{2f.m-nj}\Omega^{2m}(3b - 4a)$ .

- If  $2f.m - nj = 0$ , we obtain  $B_1^n.C^l = \Omega^{2m}(3b - 4a)$ . As  $C^l = A^m + B^n \implies \omega_1|C^l \implies \omega_1|C$ , and  $\omega_1|(3b - 4a)$ . But  $\omega_1 \neq 2$  and  $\omega_1, a'$  are coprime  $\implies \omega, a$  are coprime, then  $\omega_1 \nmid (3b) \implies \omega_1 \neq 3$  and  $\omega_1 \nmid b$ . Hence, the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2f.m - nj \geq 1$ , we have  $\omega_1|C^l \implies \omega_1|C$  and  $\omega_1|(3b - 4a)$  and  $\omega_1 \nmid a$  and  $\omega_1 \neq 3$  and  $\omega_1 \nmid b$ , then the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2f.m - n.j < 0 \implies \omega_1^{n.j-2m.f} B_1^n.C^l = \Omega^{2m}(3b - 4a)$ . As  $C^l = A^m + B^n \implies \omega_1|C$ , then  $C = \omega_1^h.C_1 \implies \omega_1^{n.j-2m.f+h.l} B_1^n.C_1^l = \Omega^{2m}(3b - 4a)$ . If  $n.j - 2m.f + h.l < 0 \implies \omega_1|B_1^n C_1^l$ , then the contradiction with  $\omega_1 \nmid B_1$  and  $\omega_1 \nmid C_1$ . Then if  $n.j - 2m.f + h.l > 0$  and  $\omega_1|(3b - 4a)$  with  $\omega_1, a, b$  coprime, then the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* I-1-2-1-1-2-2-2- As in the case  $\omega_1|B^n$ , we obtain identical results if  $\omega_1|C^l$ .

\*\* I-1-2-1-2- If  $2|p_1$ : then  $2|p_1 \implies 2 \nmid a' \implies 2 \nmid a$ , but  $k' = p_1^2$ .

\*\* I-1-2-1-2-1- If  $p_1 = 2$ , we obtain  $A^m = 4a' \implies 2|a'$ , then the contradiction with  $2 \nmid a'$ . Case to reject.

\*\* I-1-2-1-2-2- We suppose that  $p_1$  is not prime and  $2|p_1$ . As  $A^m = 2a'p_1$ ,  $p_1$  is written under the form  $p_1 = 2^{m-1}\omega^m \implies p_1^2 = 2^{2m-2}\omega^{2m}$ . Then  $B^n C^l = k'(3b-4a) = 2^{2m-2}\omega^{2m}(3b-4a) \implies 2|B^n$  or  $2|C^l$ .

\*\* I-1-2-1-2-2-1- If  $2|B^n \implies 2|B$ , as  $2|A \implies 2|C$ . From  $B^n C^l = 2^{2m-2}\omega^{2m}(3b - 4a)$  it follows that if  $2|(3b - 4a) \implies 2|b$  but as  $2 \nmid a$  there is no contradictions with  $a, b$  coprime and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* I-1-2-1-2-2-2- We obtain identical results as above if  $2|C^l$ .

\*\* I-1-2-2- We suppose that  $k', a$  are not coprime: let  $\omega$  be a prime integer so that  $\omega|a$  and  $\omega|p_1^2$ .

\*\* I-1-2-2-1- We suppose that  $\omega = 3$ . As  $A^{2m} = 4ak' \implies 3|A$ , but  $3|p$ , As  $p = A^{2m} + B^{2n} + A^m B^n \implies 3|B^{2n} \implies 3|B$ , then  $3|C^l \implies 3|C$ . We write  $A = 3^i A_1$ ,  $B = 3^j B_1$ ,  $C = 3^h C_1$  with 3 coprime with  $A_1, B_1$  and  $C_1$  and  $p = 3^{2im} A_1^{2m} + 3^{2nj} B_1^{2n} + 3^{im+jn} A_1^m B_1^n = 3^s.g$  with  $s = \min(2im, 2jn, im + jn)$  and  $3 \nmid g$ . We have also  $(\omega = 3)|a$  and  $(\omega = 3)|k'$  that give  $a = 3^\alpha a_1$ ,  $3 \nmid a_1$  and  $k' = 3^\mu p_2$ ,  $3 \nmid p_2$  with  $A^{2m} = 4ak' = 3^{2im} A_1^{2m} = 4 \times 3^{\alpha+\mu}.a_1.p_2 \implies \alpha + \mu = 2im$ . As  $p = 3p' = 3b.k' = 3b.3^\mu p_2 = 3^{\mu+1}.b.p_2$ . The exponent of the factor 3 of  $p$  is  $s$ , the exponent of the factor 3 of the left member of the last equation is  $\mu + 1$  added of the exponent  $\beta$  of 3 of the factor  $b$ , with  $\beta \geq 0$ , let  $\min(2im, 2jn, im + jn) = \mu + 1 + \beta$ , we recall that  $\alpha + \mu = 2im$ . But  $B^n C^l = k'(4b - 3a)$  that gives  $3^{(nj+hl)} B_1^n C_1^l = 3^{\mu+1} p_2 (b - 4 \times 3^{(\alpha-1)} a_1) = 3^{\mu+1} p_2 (3^\beta b_1 - 4 \times 3^{(\alpha-1)} a_1)$ ,  $3 \nmid b_1$ . We have also  $A^m + B^n = C^l$  that gives  $3^{im} A_1^m + 3^{jn} B_1^n = 3^{hl} C_1^l$ . We call  $\epsilon = \min(im, jn)$ , we obtain  $\epsilon = hl = \min(im, jn)$ . We have then the conditions:

$$s = \min(2im, 2jn, im + jn) = \mu + 1 + \beta \quad (133)$$

$$\alpha + \mu = 2im \quad (134)$$

$$\epsilon = hl = \min(im, jn) \quad (135)$$

$$3^{(nj+hl)} B_1^n C_1^l = 3^{\mu+1} p_2 (3^\beta b_1 - 4 \times 3^{(\alpha-1)} a_1) \quad (136)$$

\*\* I-1-2-2-1-1-  $\alpha = 1 \implies a = 3a_1$  and  $3 \nmid a_1$ , the equation (134) becomes:

$$1 + \mu = 2im$$

and the first equation (133) is written as :

$$s = \min(2im, 2jn, im + jn) = 2im + \beta$$

DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

- If  $s = 2im \implies \beta = 0 \implies 3 \nmid b$ . We obtain  $2im \leq 2jn \implies im \leq jn$ , and  $2im \leq im + jn \implies im \leq jn$ . The third equation (135) gives  $hl = im$ . The last equation (136) gives  $nj + hl = \mu + 1 = 2im \implies im = jn$ , then  $im = jn = hl$  and  $B_1^n C_1^l = p_2(b - 4a_1)$ . As  $a, b$  are coprime, the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $s = 2jn$  or  $s = im + jn$ , we obtain  $\beta = 0$ ,  $im = jn = hl$  and  $B_1^n C_1^l = p_2(b - 4a_1)$ . Then as  $a, b$  are coprime, the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

\*\* I-1-2-2-1-2-  $\alpha > 1 \implies \alpha \geq 2$ .

- If  $s = im + jn \implies im + jn \leq 2im \implies jn \leq im$ , and  $im + jn \leq 2jn \implies im \leq jn$ , then  $im = jn$ . As  $s = im + jn = 2im = 1 + \mu + \beta$  and  $\alpha + \mu = 2im$  that gives  $\alpha = 1 + \beta \geq 2 \implies \beta \geq 1 \implies 3|b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* I-1-2-2-2- We suppose that  $\omega \neq 3$ . We write  $a = \omega^\alpha a_1$  with  $\omega \nmid a_1$  and  $k' = \omega^\mu p_2$  with  $\omega \nmid p_2$ . As  $A^{2m} = 4ak' = 4\omega^{\alpha+\mu} \cdot a_1 \cdot p_2 \implies \omega|A \implies A = \omega^i A_1$ ,  $\omega \nmid A_1$ . But  $B^n C^l = k'(3b - 4a) = \omega^\mu p_2(3b - 4a) \implies \omega|B^n C^l \implies \omega|B^n$  or  $\omega|C^l$ .

\*\* I-1-2-2-2-1-  $\omega|B^n \implies \omega|B \implies \omega^j B_1$  and  $\omega \nmid B_1$ . From  $A^m + B^n = C^l \implies \omega|C^l \implies \omega|C$ . As  $p = bp' = 3bk' = 3\omega^\mu bp_2 = \omega^s(\omega^{2im-s} A_1^{2m} + \omega^{2jn-s} B_1^{2n} + \omega^{im+jn-s} A_1^m B_1^n)$  with  $s = \min(2im, 2jn, im + jn)$ . Then :

- If  $s = \mu$ , then  $\omega \nmid b$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.
- If  $s > \mu$ , then  $\omega|b$ , but  $\omega \nmid a$  then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.
- If  $s < \mu$ , it follows from :

$$3\omega^\mu bp_1 = \omega^s(\omega^{2im-s} A_1^{2m} + \omega^{2jn-s} B_1^{2n} + \omega^{im+jn-s} A_1^m B_1^n)$$

that  $\omega|A_1$  or  $\omega|B_1$  that is in contradiction with the hypothesis.

\*\* I-1-2-2-2-2- If  $\omega|C^l \implies \omega|C \implies C = \omega^h C_1$  with  $\omega \nmid C_1$ . From  $A^m + B^n = C^l \implies \omega|(C^l - A^m) \implies \omega|B$ . Then, we obtain identical results as the case above I-1-2-2-2-1-.

\*\* I-2- We suppose that  $k' = 1$ : then  $k' = 1 \implies p = 3b$ , then we have  $A^{2m} = 4a = (2a')^2 \implies A^m = 2a' \implies a = a'^2$  is even and :

$$A^m B^n = 2\sqrt[3]{\rho} \cos \frac{\theta}{3} \cdot \sqrt[3]{\rho} \left( \sqrt{3} \sin \frac{\theta}{3} - \cos \frac{\theta}{3} \right) = \frac{p\sqrt{3}}{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3} - 2a$$

and we have also :

$$A^{2m} + 2A^m B^n = \frac{2p\sqrt{3}}{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3} = 2b\sqrt{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3} \tag{137}$$

The left member of the equation (137) is a natural number and also  $b$ , then  $2\sqrt{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3}$  can be written under the form:

$$2\sqrt{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3} = \frac{k_1}{k_2}$$

where  $k_1, k_2$  are two natural numbers coprime and  $k_2|b \implies b = k_2.k_3$ .

\*\* I-2-1-  $k' = 1$  and  $k_3 \neq 1$ : then  $A^{2m} + 2A^m B^n = k_3.k_1$ . Let  $\mu$  be a prime so that  $\mu|k_3$ . If  $\mu = 2 \implies 2|b$ , but  $2|a$ , it is a contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime. We suppose that  $\mu \neq 2$  and  $\mu|k_3$ ,

then  $\mu|A^m(A^m + 2B^n) \implies \mu|A^m$  or  $\mu|(A^m + 2B^n)$ .

\*\* I-2-1-1-  $\mu|A^m$ : If  $\mu|A^m \implies \mu|A^{2m} \implies \mu|4a \implies \mu|a$ . As  $\mu|k_3 \implies \mu|b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* I-2-1-2-  $\mu|(A^m + 2B^n)$ : If  $\mu|(A^m + 2B^n) \implies \mu \nmid A^m$  and  $\mu \nmid 2B^n$  then  $\mu \neq 2$  and  $\mu \nmid B^n$ .  $\mu|(A^m + 2B^n)$ , we can write  $A^m + 2B^n = \mu.t'$ . it follows :

$$A^m + B^n = \mu t' - B^n \implies A^{2m} + B^{2n} + 2A^m B^n = \mu^2 t'^2 - 2t' \mu B^n + B^{2n}$$

Using the expression of  $p$ , we obtain:

$$p = t'^2 \mu^2 - 2t' B^n \mu + B^n (B^n - A^m)$$

As  $p = 3b = 3k_2.k_3$  and  $\mu|k_3$  then  $\mu|p \implies p = \mu.\mu'$ , then we have :

$$\mu' \mu = \mu(\mu t'^2 - 2t' B^n) + B^n (B^n - A^m)$$

and  $\mu|B^n(B^n - A^m) \implies \mu|B^n$  or  $\mu|(B^n - A^m)$ .

\*\* I-2-1-2-1-  $\mu|B^n$ : If  $\mu|B^n \implies \mu|B$  that is the contradiction with I-2-1-2-.

\*\* I-2-1-2-2-  $\mu|(B^n - A^m)$ : If  $\mu|(B^n - A^m)$  and using  $\mu|(A^m + 2B^n)$ , we obtain:

$$\mu|3B^n \implies \begin{cases} \mu|B^n \implies \mu|B \\ or \\ \mu = 3 \end{cases}$$

\*\* I-2-1-2-2-1-  $\mu|B^n$ : If  $\mu|B^n \implies \mu|B$  that is the contradiction with I-2-1-2- above.

\*\* I-2-1-2-2-2-  $\mu = 3$ : If  $\mu = 3 \implies 3|k_3 \implies k_3 = 3k'_3$ , and we have  $b = k_2 k_3 = 3k_2 k'_3$ , it follows  $p = 3b = 9k_2 k'_3$  then  $9|p$ , but  $p = (A^m - B^n)^2 + 3A^m B^n$  then:

$$9k_2 k'_3 - 3A^m B^n = (A^m - B^n)^2$$

that we write as:

$$3(3k_2 k'_3 - A^m B^n) = (A^m - B^n)^2 \tag{138}$$

then:

$$3|(3k_2 k'_3 - A^m B^n) \implies 3|A^m B^n \implies 3|A^m \text{ or } 3|B^n$$

\*\* I-2-1-2-2-2-1-  $3|A^m$ : If  $3|A^m \implies 3|A$  and we have also  $3|A^{2m}$ , but  $A^{2m} = 4a \implies 3|4a \implies 3|a$ . As  $b = 3k_2 k'_3$  then  $3|b$ , but  $a, b$  are coprime then the contradiction, then  $3 \nmid A$ .

\*\* I-2-1-2-2-2-2-  $3|B^m$ : If  $3|B^n \implies 3|B$ , but the equation (138) implies  $3|(A^m - B^n)^2 \implies 3|(A^m - B^n) \implies 3|A^m \implies 3|A$ . But using the result of the last case above, we obtain  $3 \nmid A$ .

then the hypothesis  $k_3 \neq 1$  is impossible.

\*\* I-2-2 - Now, we suppose that  $k_3 = 1 \implies b = k_2$  and  $p = 3b = 3k_2$ , then we have :

$$2\sqrt{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3} = \frac{k_1}{b} \tag{139}$$

DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

with  $k_1, b$  coprime. We write (139) as :

$$4\sqrt{3}\sin\frac{\theta}{3}\cos\frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{k_1}{b}$$

Taking the square of the two members and replacing  $\cos^2\frac{\theta}{3}$  by  $\frac{a}{b}$ , we obtain :

$$3 \times 4^2 \cdot a(b-a) = k_1^2 \implies k_1^2 = 3 \times 4^2 \cdot a'^2(b-a)$$

it implies that :

$$b-a = 3\alpha^2 \implies b = a'^2 + 3\alpha^2 \implies k_1 = 12a'\alpha$$

As:

$$k_1 = 12a'\alpha = A^m(A^m + 2B^n) \implies 3\alpha = a' + B^n$$

We consider now that  $3|(b-a)$  with  $b = a'^2 + 3\alpha^2$ . The case  $\alpha = 1$  gives  $a' + B^n = 3$  that is impossible. We suppose  $\alpha > 1$ , then the pair  $(a', \alpha)$  is a solution of the Diophantine equation :

$$X^2 + 3Y^2 = b \tag{140}$$

with  $X = a'$  and  $Y = \alpha$ . But using a theorem on the solutions of the equation given by (140),  $b$  is written as (see theorem 37.4 in [2]):

$$b = 2^{2s} \times 3^t \cdot p_1^{t_1} \dots p_g^{t_g} q_1^{2s_1} \dots q_r^{2s_r}$$

where  $p_i$  are prime numbers verifying  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ , the  $q_j$  are also prime numbers so that  $q_j \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ , then :

- If  $s \geq 1 \implies 2|b$ , as  $2|a$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime,
- If  $t \geq 1 \implies 3|b$ , but  $3|(b-a) \implies 3|a$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* I-2-2-1- We suppose that  $b$  is written as :

$$b = p_1^{t_1} \dots p_g^{t_g} q_1^{2s_1} \dots q_r^{2s_r}$$

with  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$  and  $q_j \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ . Finally we obtain that  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ . We will verify then this condition.

\*\* I-2-2-1-1- We present the table giving the value of  $A^m + B^n = C^l$  modulo 6 in function of the value of  $A^m, B^n \pmod{6}$ . We obtain the table below after retiring the lines (respectively the colones) of  $A^m \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$  and  $A^m \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$  (respectively of  $B^n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$  and  $B^n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ ), they present cases with contradictions :

$A^m, B^n$	1	2	4	5
1	2	3	5	0
2	3	4	0	1
4	5	0	2	3
5	0	1	3	4

TABLE 2. Table of  $C^l \pmod{6}$

\*\* I-2-2-1-1-1- For the cases  $C^l \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$  and  $C^l \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ , we deduce that  $3|C^l \implies 3|C \implies C = 3^h C_1$ , with  $h \geq 1$  and  $3 \nmid C_1$ . It follows that  $p - B^n C^l = 3b - 3^{lh} C_1^l B^n =$

$A^{2m} \implies 3|(A^{2m} = 4a) \implies 3|a \implies 3|b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* I-2-2-1-1-2- For the cases  $C^l \equiv 0(\text{mod } 6)$ ,  $C^l \equiv 2(\text{mod } 6)$  and  $C^l \equiv 4(\text{mod } 6)$ , we deduce that  $2|C^l \implies 2|C \implies C = 2^h C_1$ , with  $h \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid C_1$ . It follows that  $p = 3b = A^{2m} + B^n C^l = 4a + 2^{lh} C_1^l B^n \implies 2|3b \implies 2|b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* I-2-2-1-1-3- We consider the cases  $A^m \equiv 1(\text{mod } 6)$  and  $B^n \equiv 4(\text{mod } 6)$  (respectively  $B^n \equiv 2(\text{mod } 6)$ ): then  $2|B^n \implies 2|B \implies B = 2^j B_1$  with  $j \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid B_1$ . It follows from  $3b = A^{2m} + B^n C^l = 4a + 2^{jn} B_1^n C^l$ , then  $2|b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* I-2-2-1-1-4- We consider the case  $A^m \equiv 5(\text{mod } 6)$  and  $B^n \equiv 2(\text{mod } 6)$ : then  $2|B^n \implies 2|B \implies B = 2^j B_1$  with  $j \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid B_1$ . It follows that  $3b = A^{2m} + B^n C^l = 4a + 2^{jn} B_1^n C^l$ , then  $2|b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* I-2-2-1-1-5- We consider the case  $A^m \equiv 2(\text{mod } 6)$  and  $B^n \equiv 5(\text{mod } 6)$ : as  $A^m \equiv 2(\text{mod } 6) \implies A^m \equiv 2(\text{mod } 3)$ , then  $A^m$  is not a square and also  $B^n$ . Hence, we can write  $A^m$  and  $B^n$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} A^m &= a_0 \mathcal{A}^2 \\ B^n &= b_0 \mathcal{B}^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_0$  (respectively  $b_0$ ) regroups the product of the prime numbers of  $A^m$  with exponent 1 (respectively of  $B^n$ ) with not necessary  $(a_0, \mathcal{A}) = 1$  and  $(b_0, \mathcal{B}) = 1$ . We have also  $p = 3b = A^{2m} + A^m B^n + B^{2n} = (A^m - B^n)^2 + 3A^m B^n \implies 3|(b - A^m B^n) \implies A^m B^n \equiv b(\text{mod } 3)$  but  $b = a + 3\alpha^2 \implies b \equiv a \equiv a'^2(\text{mod } 3)$ , then  $A^m B^n \equiv a'^2(\text{mod } 3)$ . But  $A^m \equiv 2(\text{mod } 6) \implies 2a' \equiv 2(\text{mod } 6) \implies 4a'^2 \equiv 4(\text{mod } 6) \implies a'^2 \equiv 1(\text{mod } 3)$ . It follows that  $A^m B^n$  is a square, let  $A^m B^n = \mathcal{N}^2 = \mathcal{A}^2 \mathcal{B}^2 \cdot a_0 \cdot b_0$ . We call  $\mathcal{N}_1^2 = a_0 \cdot b_0$ . Let  $p_1$  be a prime number so that  $p_1 | a_0 \implies a_0 = p_1 \cdot a_1$  with  $p_1 \nmid a_1$ .  $p_1 | \mathcal{N}_1^2 \implies p_1 | \mathcal{N}_1 \implies \mathcal{N}_1 = p_1^t \mathcal{N}'_1$  with  $t \geq 1$  and  $p_1 \nmid \mathcal{N}'_1$ , then  $p_1^{2t-1} \mathcal{N}'_1^2 = a_1 \cdot b_0$ . As  $2t \geq 2 \implies 2t - 1 \geq 1 \implies p_1 | a_1 \cdot b_0$  but  $(p_1, a_1) = 1$ , then  $p_1 | b_0 \implies p_1 | B^n \implies p_1 | B$ . But  $p_1 | (A^m = 2a')$ .  $p_1 \neq 2$  because  $p_1 | B^n$  and  $B^n$  is odd, then the contradiction. Hence  $p_1 | a' \implies p_1 | a$ . If  $p_1 = 3$ , from  $3|(b - a) \implies 3|b$  then the contradiction with  $a, b$  primes. Then  $p_1 > 3$  a prime that divides  $A^m$  and  $B^n$ , then  $p_1 | (p = 3b) \implies p_1 | b$ , it follows the contradiction with  $a, b$  primes, knowing that  $p = 3b \equiv 3(\text{mod } 6)$  and we choice the case  $b \equiv 1(\text{mod } 6)$  of our interest.

\*\* I-2-2-1-1-6- We consider the last case of the table above  $A^m \equiv 4(\text{mod } 6)$  and  $B^n \equiv 1(\text{mod } 6)$ . We return to the equation (140) that  $b$  verifies:

$$\begin{aligned} b &= X^2 + 3Y^2 & (141) \\ \text{with } X &= a'; \quad Y = \alpha \\ \text{and } 3\alpha &= a' + B^n \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that it exists another solution of (141):

$$b = X^2 + 3Y^3 = u^2 + 3v^2 \implies 2u \neq A^m, 3v \neq a' + B^n$$

But  $B^n = \frac{6\alpha - A^m}{2} = 3\alpha - a'$  and  $b$  verify also  $:3b = p = A^{2m} + A^m B^n + B^{2n}$ , it is impossible that  $u, v$  verify :

$$\begin{aligned} 6v &= 2u + 2B^n \\ 3b &= 4u^2 + 2uB^n + B^{2n} \end{aligned}$$

## DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

If we consider that :  $6v-2u = 6\alpha-2a' \implies u = 3v-3\alpha+a'$ , then  $b = u^2+3v^2 = (3v-3\alpha+a')^2+3v^2$ , it gives:

$$\begin{aligned} 2v^2 - B^n v + \alpha^2 - a'\alpha &= 0 \\ 2v^2 - B^n v - \frac{(a' + B^n)(A^m - B^n)}{9} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

The resolution of the last equation gives with taking the positive root (because  $A^m > B^n$ ),  $v_1 = \alpha$ , then  $u = a'$ . It follows that  $b$  in (141) has an unique representation under the form  $X^2 + 3Y^2$  with  $X, 3Y$  coprime. As  $b$  is even, we applique one theorem of Euler's theorems on the convenient numbers as cited above (Case C-2-2-1-2). It follows that  $b$  is prime.

We have also  $p = 3b = A^{2m} + A^m B^n + B^{2n} = 4a'^2 + B^n.C^l \implies 9\alpha^2 - a'^2 = B^n.C^l$ , then  $3\alpha, a' \in \mathbb{N}^*$  are solutions of the Diophantine equation:

$$x^2 - y^2 = N \tag{142}$$

with  $N = B^n C^l > 0$ . Let  $Q(N)$  be the number of the solutions of (142) and  $\tau(N)$  the number of ways to write the factors of  $N$ , then we announce the following result concerning the number of the solutions of (142) (see theorem 27.3 in [2]):

- If  $N \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then  $Q(N) = 0$ ;
- If  $N \equiv 1$  or  $N \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $Q(N) = [\tau(N)/2]$ ;
- If  $N \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then  $Q(N) = [\tau(N/4)/2]$ .

We recall that  $A^m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Concerning  $B^n$ : for  $B^n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  or  $B^n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , we find that  $2|B^n \implies 2|\alpha \implies 2|b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime. For the last case  $B^n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \implies C^l \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \implies N = B^n C^l \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \implies Q(N) = [\tau(N)/2] > 1$ . But  $Q(N) = 1$ , because the unknowns of (142) are also the unknowns of (141) and we have an unique solution of the two Diophantine equations, then the contradiction.

It follows that the condition  $3|(b-a)$  is in contradiction.

The study of the case 5.8 is achieved.

### 5.9 Case $3|p$ and $b|4p$ :

The following cases have been soon studied:

- \*  $3|p, b = 2 \implies b|4p$ : case 5.1
- \*  $3|p, b = 4 \implies b|4p$ : case 5.2
- \*  $3|p \implies p = 3p', b|p' \implies p' = bp'', p'' \neq 1$ : case 5.3
- \*  $3|p, b = 3 \implies b|4p$ : case 5.4
- \*  $3|p \implies p = 3p', b = p' \implies b|4p$ : case 5.8

\*\* J-1- Particular case :  $b = 12$ . In fact  $3|p \implies p = 3p'$  and  $4p = 12p'$ . Taking  $b = 12$ , we have  $b|4p$ . But  $b < 4a < 3b$ , that gives  $12 < 4a < 36 \implies 3 < a < 9$ . As  $2|b$  and  $3|b$ , the possible values of  $a$  are 5 and 7.

\*\* J-1-1-  $a = 5$  and  $b = 12 \implies 4p = 12p' = bp'$ . But  $A^{2m} = \frac{4p}{3} \cdot \frac{a}{b} = \frac{5bp'}{3b} = \frac{5p'}{3} \implies 3|p' \implies p' = 3p''$  with  $p'' \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , then  $p = 9p''$ , we obtain the expressions:

$$A^{2m} = 5p'' \quad (143)$$

$$B^n C^l = \frac{p}{3} \left( 3 - 4\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \right) = 4p'' \quad (144)$$

As  $n, l \geq 3$ , we deduce from the equation (144) that  $2|p'' \implies p'' = 2^\alpha p_1$  with  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid p_1$ . Then (143) becomes :  $A^{2m} = 5p'' = 5 \times 2^\alpha p_1 \implies 2|A \implies A = 2^i A_1$ ,  $i \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid A_1$ . We have also  $B^n C^l = 2^{\alpha+2} p_1 \implies 2|B^n$  or  $2|C^l$ .

\*\* J-1-1-1- We suppose that  $2|B^n \implies B = 2^j B_1$ ,  $j \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid B_1$ . We obtain  $B_1^n C^l = 2^{\alpha+2-jn} p_1$ :

- If  $\alpha + 2 - jn > 0 \implies 2|C^l$ , there is no contradictions with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n \implies 2|C^l$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $\alpha + 2 - jn = 0 \implies B_1^n C^l = p_1$ . From  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n \implies 2|C^l$  that implies that  $2|p_1$  then the contradiction.

- If  $\alpha + 2 - jn < 0 \implies 2^{jn-\alpha-2} B_1^n C^l = p_1$  it implies that  $2|p_1$  then the contradiction.

\*\* J-1-1-2- We suppose that  $2|C^l$ , using the same method above, we obtain the identical results.

\*\* J-1-2- We suppose that  $a = 7$  and  $b = 12 \implies 4p = 12p' = bp'$ . But  $A^{2m} = \frac{4p}{3} \cdot \frac{a}{b} = \frac{12p'}{3} \cdot \frac{7}{12} = \frac{7p'}{3} \implies 3|p' \implies p = 9p''$ , we obtain:

$$A^{2m} = 7p''$$

$$B^n C^l = \frac{p}{3} \left( 3 - 4\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \right) = 2p''$$

The last equation implies that  $2|B^n C^l$ . Using the same method as for the Case J-1-1- above, we obtain the identical results.

We study now the general case. As  $3|p \implies p = 3p'$  and  $b|4p \implies \exists k_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $4p = 12p' = k_1 b$ .

\*\* J-2-  $k_1 = 1$  : If  $k_1 = 1$  then  $b = 12p'$ , ( $p' \neq 1$ , if not  $p = 3 \ll A^{2m} + B^{2n} + A^m B^n$ ). But  $A^{2m} = \frac{4p}{3} \cdot \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{12p' a}{3 b} = \frac{4p' \cdot a}{12p'} = \frac{a}{3} \implies 3|a$  because  $A^{2m}$  is a naturel number, then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* J-3-  $k_1 = 3$  : If  $k_1 = 3$ , then  $b = 4p'$  and  $A^{2m} = \frac{4p}{3} \cdot \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{k_1 \cdot a}{3} = a = (A^m)^2 = a'^2 \implies A^m = a'$ . The term  $A^m B^n$  gives  $A^m B^n = \frac{p\sqrt{3}}{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3} - \frac{a}{2}$ , then :

$$A^{2m} + 2A^m B^n = \frac{2p\sqrt{3}}{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3} = 2p'\sqrt{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3} \quad (145)$$

The left member of (145) is a natural number and also  $p'$ , then  $2\sqrt{3} \sin \frac{2\theta}{3}$  can be written under

DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

the form:

$$2\sqrt{3}\sin\frac{2\theta}{3} = \frac{k_2}{k_3}$$

where  $k_2, k_3$  are two natural numbers and are coprime and  $k_3|p' \implies p' = k_3.k_4$ .

\*\* J-3-1-  $k_4 \neq 1$  : We suppose that  $k_4 \neq 1$ , then :

$$A^{2m} + 2A^m B^n = k_2.k_4 \tag{146}$$

Let  $\mu$  be a prime natural number so that  $\mu|k_4$ . then  $\mu|A^m(A^m + 2B^n) \implies \mu|A^m$  or  $\mu|(A^m + 2B^n)$ .

\*\* J-3-1-1-  $\mu|A^m$  : If  $\mu|A^m \implies \mu|A^{2m} \implies \mu|a$ . As  $\mu|k_4 \implies \mu|p' \implies \mu|(4p' = b)$ . But  $a, b$  are coprime then the contradiction.

\*\* J-3-1-2-  $\mu|(A^m + 2B^n)$  : If  $\mu|(A^m + 2B^n) \implies \mu \nmid A^m$  and  $\mu \nmid 2B^n$  then  $\mu \neq 2$  and  $\mu \nmid B^n$ .  $\mu|(A^m + 2B^n)$ , we can write  $A^m + 2B^n = \mu.t'$ . It follows:

$$A^m + B^n = \mu t' - B^n \implies A^{2m} + B^{2n} + 2A^m B^n = \mu^2 t'^2 - 2t' \mu B^n + B^{2n}$$

Using the expression of  $p$ , we obtain  $p = t'^2 \mu^2 - 2t' B^n \mu + B^n(B^n - A^m)$ . As  $p = 3p'$  and  $\mu|p' \implies \mu|(3p') \implies \mu|p$ , we can write :  $\exists \mu'$  and  $p = \mu\mu'$ , then we arrive to:

$$\mu' \mu = \mu(\mu t'^2 - 2t' B^n) + B^n(B^n - A^m)$$

and  $\mu|B^n(B^n - A^m) \implies \mu|B^n$  or  $\mu|(B^n - A^m)$ .

\*\* J-3-1-2-1-  $\mu|B^n$  : If  $\mu|B^n \implies \mu|B$  it is in contradiction with J-3-1-2-.

\*\* J-3-1-2-2-  $\mu|(B^n - A^m)$  : If  $\mu|(B^n - A^m)$  and using  $\mu|(A^m + 2B^n)$ , we obtain :

$$\mu|3B^n \implies \begin{cases} \mu|B^n \\ or \\ \mu = 3 \end{cases}$$

\*\* J-3-1-2-2-1-  $\mu|B^n$  : If  $\mu|B^n \implies \mu|B$  it is in contradiction with J-3-1-2-.

\*\* J-3-1-2-2-2-  $\mu = 3$  : If  $\mu = 3 \implies 3|k_4 \implies k_4 = 3k'_4$ , and we have  $p' = k_3 k_4 = 3k_3 k'_4$ , it follows that  $p = 3p' = 9k_3 k'_4$ , then  $9|p$ , but  $p = (A^m - B^n)^2 + 3A^m B^n$ , then we obtain :

$$9k_3 k'_4 - 3A^m B^n = (A^m - B^n)^2$$

that we write :  $3(3k_3 k'_4 - A^m B^n) = (A^m - B^n)^2$ , then :  $3|(3k_3 k'_4 - A^m B^n) \implies 3|A^m B^n \implies 3|A^m$  or  $3|B^n$ .

\*\* J-3-1-2-2-2-1-  $3|A^m$  : If  $3|A^m \implies 3|A^{2m} \implies 3|a$ , but  $3|p' \implies 3|(4p') \implies 3|b$  then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime and  $3 \nmid A$ .

\*\* J-3-1-2-2-2-2-  $3|B^n$  : If  $3|B^n$  but  $A^m = \mu t' - 2B^n = 3t' - 2B^n \implies 3|A^m$ , it is a contradiction.

Then the hypothesis  $k_4 \neq 1$  is impossible.

\*\* J-3-2-  $k_4 = 1$ : We suppose now that  $k_4 = 1 \implies p' = k_3 k_4 = k_3$ . Then we have :

$$2\sqrt{3}\sin\frac{2\theta}{3} = \frac{k_2}{p'} \quad (147)$$

with  $k_2, p'$  coprime, we write (147) as :

$$4\sqrt{3}\sin\frac{\theta}{3}\cos\frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{k_2}{p'}$$

Taking the square of the two members and replacing  $\cos^2\frac{\theta}{3}$  by  $\frac{a}{b}$  and  $b = 4p'$ , we obtain:

$$3.a(b - a) = k_2^2$$

As  $A^{2m} = a = a'^2$ , it implies that :

$$3|(b - a), \quad \text{and} \quad b - a = b - a'^2 = 3\alpha^2$$

As  $k_2 = A^m(A^m + 2B^n)$  following the equation (146) and that  $3|k_2 \implies 3|A^m(A^m + 2B^n) \implies 3|A^m$  or  $3|(A^m + 2B^n)$ .

\*\* J-3-2-1-  $3|A^m$ : If  $3|A^m \implies 3|A^{2m} \implies 3|a$ , but  $3|(b - a) \implies 3|b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* J-3-2-2-  $3|(A^m + 2B^n) \implies 3 \nmid A^m$  and  $3 \nmid B^n$ . As  $k_2^2 = 9a\alpha^2 = 9a'^2\alpha^2 \implies k_2 = 3a'\alpha = A^m(A^m + 2B^n)$ , then :

$$3\alpha = A^m + 2B^n \quad (148)$$

As  $b$  can be written under the form  $b = a'^2 + 3\alpha^2$ , then the pair  $(a', \alpha)$  is a solution of the Diophantine equation :

$$x^2 + 3y^2 = b \quad (149)$$

As  $b = 4p'$ , then :

\*\* J-3-2-2-1- If  $x, y$  are even, then  $2|a' \implies 2|a$ , it is a contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* J-3-2-2-2- If  $x, y$  are odd, then  $a', \alpha$  are odd, it implies  $A^m = a' \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or  $A^m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . If  $u, v$  verify (149),  $\iff b = u^2 + 3v^2$ , with  $u \neq a'$  and  $v \neq \alpha$ , then  $u, v$  do not verify (148):  $3v \neq u + 2B^n$ , if not  $u = 3v - 2B^n \implies b = (3v - 2B^n)^2 + 3v^2 = a'^2 + 3\alpha$ , the resolution of the obtained equation of second degree in  $v$  gives the positive root  $v_1 = \alpha$ , then  $u = 3\alpha - 2B^n = a'$ , then the uniqueness of the representation of  $b$  by the equation (149).

\*\* J-3-2-2-2-1- We suppose that  $A^m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $B^n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then  $B^n$  is even and  $B^n = 2B'$ . The expression of  $p$  becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} p &= a'^2 + 2a'B' + 4B'^2 = (a' + B')^2 + 3B'^2 = 3p' \implies 3|(a' + B') \implies a' + B' = 3B'' \\ p' &= B'^2 + 3B''^2 \implies b = 4p' = (2B')^2 + 3(2B'')^2 = a'^2 + 3\alpha^2 \end{aligned}$$

that gives  $2B' = B^n = a' = A^m$ , then the contradiction with  $A^m > B^n$ .

\*\* J-3-2-2-2-2- We suppose that  $A^m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $B^n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $C^l$  is even and  $C^l =$

DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

$2C'$ . The expression of  $p$  becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} p &= C^{2l} - C^l B^n + B^{2n} = 4C'^2 - 2C' B^n + B^{2n} = (C' - B^n)^2 + 3C'^2 = 3p' \\ &\implies 3|(C' - B^n) \implies C' - B^n = 3C'' \\ p' &= C'^2 + 3C''^2 \implies b = 4p' = (2C')^2 + 3(2C'')^2 = a'^2 + 3a''^2 \end{aligned}$$

we obtain  $2C' = C^l = a' = A^m$ , then the contradiction.

\*\* J-3-2-2-2-3- We suppose that  $A^m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $B^n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then  $B^n$  is even, see J-3-2-2-2-1-.

\*\* J-3-2-2-2-4- We suppose that  $A^m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $B^n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $C^l$  is even, see J-3-2-2-2-2-.

\*\* J-3-2-2-2-5- We suppose that  $A^m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $B^n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then  $B^n$  is even, see J-3-2-2-2-1-.

\*\* J-3-2-2-2-6- We suppose that  $A^m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $B^n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $C^l$  is even, see J-3-2-2-2-2-.

\*\* J-3-2-2-2-7- We suppose that  $A^m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $B^n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then  $B^n$  is even, see J-3-2-2-2-1-.

\*\* J-3-2-2-2-8- We suppose that  $A^m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $B^n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $C^l$  is even, see J-3-2-2-2-2-.

We have achieved the study of the case J-3-2-2- giving contradictions.

\*\* J-4- We suppose that  $k_1 \neq 3$  and  $3|k_1 \implies k_1 = 3k'_1$  with  $k'_1 \neq 1$ , then  $4p = 12p' = k_1 b = 3k'_1 b \implies 4p' = k'_1 b$ .  $A^{2m}$  can be written as  $A^{2m} = \frac{4p}{3} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{3k'_1 b a}{3 b} = k'_1 a$  and  $B^n C^l = \frac{p}{3} \left( 3 - 4 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \right) = \frac{k'_1}{4} (3b - 4a)$ . As  $B^n C^l$  is a natural number, we must have  $4|(3b - 4a)$  or  $4|k'_1$  or  $[2|k'_1$  and  $2|(3b - 4a)]$ .

\*\* J-4-1- We suppose that  $4|(3b - 4a)$ .

\*\* J-4-1-1- We suppose that  $3b - 4a = 4 \implies 4|b \implies 2|b$ . Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{2m} &= k'_1 a \\ B^n C^l &= k'_1 \end{aligned}$$

\*\* J-4-1-1-1- If  $k'_1$  is prime, from  $B^n C^l = k'_1$ , it is impossible.

\*\* J-4-1-1-2- We suppose that  $k'_1 > 1$  is not a prime. Let  $\omega$  be a prime natural number so that  $\omega|k'_1$ .

\*\* J-4-1-1-2-1- We suppose that  $k'_1 = \omega^s$ , with  $s \geq 6$ . We have :

$$A^{2m} = \omega^s.a \quad (150)$$

$$B^n C^l = \omega^s \quad (151)$$

\*\* J-4-1-1-2-1-1- We suppose that  $\omega = 2$ , If  $a, k'_1$  are no coprime, then  $2|a$ , as  $2|b$ , it is the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* J-4-1-1-2-1-2- We suppose  $\omega = 2$  and  $a, k'_1$  are coprime  $\implies 2 \nmid a$ . From (151), we deduce that  $B = C = 2$  and  $n + l = s$ , and  $A^{2m} = 2^s.a$ , but  $A^m = 2^l - 2^n \implies A^{2m} = (2^l - 2^n)^2 = 2^{2l} + 2^{2n} - 2(2^{l+n}) = 2^{2l} + 2^{2n} - 2 \times 2^s = 2^s.a \implies 2^{2l} + 2^{2n} = 2^s(a + 2)$ . If  $l = n$ , we obtain  $a = 0$  then the contradiction. If  $l \neq n$ , as  $A^m = 2^l - 2^n > 0 \implies n < l \implies 2n < s$ , then  $2^{2n}(1 + 2^{2l-2n} - 2^{s+1-2n}) = 2^n 2^l.a$ . We call  $l = n + n_1 \implies 1 + 2^{2l-2n} - 2^{s+1-2n} = 2^{n_1}.a$ , but the left term is odd and the right member is even then the contradiction. Then the case  $\omega = 2$  is impossible.

\*\* J-4-1-1-2-1-3- We suppose now that  $k'_1 = \omega^s$  with  $\omega \neq 2$ :

\*\* J-4-1-1-2-1-3-1- Suppose that  $a, k'_1$  are not coprime, then  $\omega|a \implies a = \omega^t.a_1$  and  $t \nmid a_1$ . We have :

$$A^{2m} = \omega^{s+t}.a_1 \quad (152)$$

$$B^n C^l = \omega^s \quad (153)$$

From (153), we deduce that  $B^n = \omega^n$ ,  $C^l = \omega^l$ ,  $s = n + l$  and  $A^m = \omega^l - \omega^n > 0 \implies l > n$ . We have also  $A^{2m} = \omega^{s+t}.a_1 = (\omega^l - \omega^n)^2 = \omega^{2l} + \omega^{2n} - 2 \times \omega^s$ . As  $\omega \neq 2 \implies \omega$  is odd, then  $A^{2m} = \omega^{s+t}.a_1 = (\omega^l - \omega^n)^2$  is even, then  $2|a_1 \implies 2|a$ , it is in contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime, then this case is impossible.

\*\* J-4-1-1-2-1-3-2- Suppose that  $a, k'_1$  are coprime, with :

$$A^{2m} = \omega^s.a \quad (154)$$

$$B^n C^l = \omega^s \quad (155)$$

From (155), we deduce that  $B^n = \omega^n$ ,  $C^l = \omega^l$  and  $s = n + l$ . As  $\omega \neq 2 \implies \omega$  is odd and  $A^{2m} = \omega^s.a = (\omega^l - \omega^n)^2$  is even, then  $2|a$ . It follows the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime, then this case is impossible.

\*\* J-4-1-1-2-2- We suppose that  $k'_1 = \omega^s.k_2$ , with  $s \geq 6$ ,  $\omega \nmid k_2$ . We have :

$$A^{2m} = \omega^s.k_2.a$$

$$B^n C^l = \omega^s.k_2$$

\*\* J-4-1-1-2-2-1- If  $k_2$  is prime, from the last equation above,  $\omega = k_2$ , it is in contradiction with  $\omega \nmid k_2$ . Then this case is impossible.

\*\* J-4-1-1-2-2-2- We suppose that  $k'_1 = \omega^s.k_2$ , with  $s \geq 6$ ,  $\omega \nmid k_2$  and  $k_2$  non a prime. We have :

$$A^{2m} = \omega^s.k_2.a$$

$$B^n C^l = \omega^s.k_2 \quad (156)$$

DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

\*\* J-4-1-1-2-2-2-1- We suppose that  $\omega, a$  are coprime, then  $\omega \nmid a$ . As  $A^{2m} = \omega^s . k_2 . a \implies \omega | A \implies A = \omega^i A_1$  with  $i \geq 1$  and  $\omega \nmid A_1$ , then  $s = 2im$ . From (156), we have  $\omega | (B^n C^l) \implies \omega | B^n$  or  $\omega | C^l$ .

\*\* J-4-1-1-2-2-2-1-1- We suppose that  $\omega | B^n \implies \omega | B \implies B = \omega^j B_1$  with  $j \geq 1$  and  $\omega \nmid B_1$ , then :  $B_1^n C^l = \omega^{2im-jn} k_2$ :

- If  $2im - jn > 0$ ,  $\omega | C^l \implies \omega | C$ , no contradictions with  $C^l = \omega^{im} A_1^m + \omega^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2im - jn = 0 \implies B_1^n C^l = k_2$ , as  $\omega \nmid k_2 \implies \omega \nmid C^l$  then the contradiction with  $\omega | (C^l = A^m + B^n)$ .

- If  $2im - jn < 0 \implies \omega^{jn-2im} B_1^n C^l = k_2 \implies \omega | k_2$  then the contradiction with  $\omega \nmid k_2$ .

\*\* J-4-1-1-2-2-2-1-2- We suppose that  $\omega | C^l$ , with the same method used above, we obtain identical results.

\*\* J-4-1-1-2-2-2-2- We suppose that  $a, \omega$  are not coprime, then  $\omega | a \implies a = \omega^t . a_1$  and  $\omega \nmid a_1$ . So, we have :

$$A^{2m} = \omega^{s+t} . k_2 . a_1 \tag{157}$$

$$B^n C^l = \omega^s . k_2 \tag{158}$$

As  $A^{2m} = \omega^{s+t} . k_2 . a_1 \implies \omega | A \implies A = \omega^i A_1$  with  $i \geq 1$  and  $\omega \nmid A_1$ , then  $s + t = 2im$ . From (158), we have  $\omega | (B^n C^l) \implies \omega | B^n$  or  $\omega | C^l$ .

\*\* J-4-1-1-2-2-2-2-1- We suppose that  $\omega | B^n \implies \omega | B \implies B = \omega^j B_1$  with  $j \geq 1$  and  $\omega \nmid B_1$ , then:  $B_1^n C^l = \omega^{2im-t-jn} k_2$ :

- If  $2im - t - jn > 0$ ,  $\omega | C^l \implies \omega | C$ , it is no contradictions with  $C^l = \omega^{im} A_1^m + \omega^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2im - t - jn = 0 \implies B_1^n C^l = k_2$ , as  $\omega \nmid k_2 \implies \omega \nmid C^l$  then the contradiction with  $\omega | (C^l = A^m + B^n)$ .

- If  $2im - t - jn < 0 \implies \omega^{jn+t-2im} B_1^n C^l = k_2 \implies \omega | k_2$  then the contradiction with  $\omega \nmid k_2$ .

\*\* J-4-1-1-2-2-2-2-2- We suppose that  $\omega | C^l$ , with the same method used above, we obtain identical results.

\*\* J-4-1-2-  $3b - 4a \neq 4$  and  $4 | (3b - 4a) \implies 3b - 4a = 4^s \Omega$  with  $s \geq 1$  and  $4 \nmid \Omega$ . We obtain:

$$A^{2m} = k'_1 a \tag{159}$$

$$B^n C^l = 4^{s-1} k'_1 \Omega \tag{160}$$

\*\* J-4-1-2-1- We suppose  $k'_1 = 2$ , from (159) we deduce that  $2 | a$ . As  $4 | (3b - 4a) \implies 2 | b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime and this case is impossible.

\*\* J-4-1-2-2- We suppose that  $k'_1 = 3$ , from (159) we deduce that  $3^3 | A^{2m}$ . From (160), it follows that  $3^3 | B^n$  or  $3^3 | C^l$ . In the last two cases, we obtain  $3^3 | p$ . But  $4p = 3k'_1 b = 9b$  and  $3^3 | p \implies 3 | b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime and this case is impossible.

\*\* J-4-1-2-3- We suppose that  $k'_1$  is prime  $\geq 5$ :

\*\* J-4-1-2-3-1- We suppose that  $k'_1$  and  $a$  are coprime. The equation (159) gives  $(A^m)^2 = k'_1 \cdot a$  that is impossible with  $k'_1 \nmid a$ . Then this case is impossible.

\*\* J-4-1-2-3-2- We suppose that  $k'_1$  and  $a$  are not coprime, let  $k'_1 | a \implies a = k'_1{}^\alpha a_1$  with  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $k'_1 \nmid a_1$ . The equation (159) is written as:

$$A^{2m} = k'_1 a = k'_1{}^{\alpha+1} a_1$$

The last equation gives  $k'_1 | A^{2m} \implies k'_1 | A \implies A = k'_1{}^i \cdot A_1$ , with  $k'_1 \nmid A_1$ . If  $2i \cdot m \neq (\alpha + 1)$  it is impossible. We suppose that  $2i \cdot m = \alpha + 1$ , then  $k'_1 | A^m$ . We return to the equation (160). If  $k'_1$  and  $\Omega$  are coprime, it is impossible. We suppose that  $k'_1$  and  $\Omega$  are not coprime, then  $k'_1 | \Omega$  and the exponent of  $k'_1$  in  $\Omega$  is so that the equation (160) is satisfying. We deduce easily that  $k'_1 | B^n$ . Then  $k'_1{}^2 | (p = A^{2m} + B^{2n} + A^m B^n)$ , but  $4p = 3k'_1 b \implies k'_1 | b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* J-4-1-2-4- We suppose that  $k'_1 \geq 4$  is not a prime.

\*\* J-4-1-2-4-1- We suppose that  $k'_1 = 4$ . we have then :  $A^{2m} = 4a$  and  $B^n C^l = 3b - 4a = 3p' - 4a$ . This case was studied in the paragraph 5.8 case \*\* I-2-.

\*\* J-4-1-2-4-2- We suppose that  $k'_1 > 4$  is not a prime.

\*\* J-4-1-2-4-2-1- We suppose that  $a, k'_1$  are coprime. From the expression  $A^{2m} = k'_1 \cdot a$ , we deduce that  $a = a_1^2$  and  $k'_1 = k''_1{}^2$ . It follows :

$$\begin{aligned} A^m &= a_1 \cdot k''_1 \\ B^n C^l &= 4^{s-1} k''_1{}^2 \cdot \Omega \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\omega$  be a prime so that  $\omega | k''_1$  and  $k''_1 = \omega^t \cdot k''_2$  with  $\omega \nmid k''_2$ . The last two equations become :

$$A^m = a_1 \cdot \omega^t \cdot k''_2 \tag{161}$$

$$B^n C^l = 4^{s-1} \omega^{2t} \cdot k''_2{}^2 \cdot \Omega \tag{162}$$

From (161)  $\omega | A^m \implies \omega | A \implies A = \omega^i \cdot A_1$  with  $\omega \nmid A_1$  and  $im = t$ . From (162), we have  $\omega | B^n C^l \implies \omega | B^n$  or  $\omega | C^l$ .

\*\* J-4-1-2-4-2-1-1- If  $\omega | B^n \implies \omega | B \implies B = \omega^j \cdot B_1$ , with  $\omega \nmid B_1$ . From (161), we have  $B_1^n C^l = \omega^{2t-j \cdot n} 4^{s-1} \cdot k''_2{}^2 \cdot \Omega$ . If  $\omega = 2$  and  $2 \nmid \Omega$ , we have  $B_1^n C^l = 2^{2t+2s-j \cdot n-2} k''_2{}^2$ :

- If  $2t + 2s - jn - 2 \leq 0$  then  $2 \nmid C^l$  it is in contradiction with  $C^l = \omega^{im} A_1^m + \omega^{jn} B_1^n$ .
- If  $2t + 2s - jn - 2 \geq 1 \implies 2 | C^l \implies 2 | C$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

(identical results if  $2 | \Omega \implies \Omega = 2^\mu \cdot \Omega_1$ , we replace  $2t + 2s - jn - 2$  by  $2t + 2s + \mu - jn - 2$ ). If  $\omega \neq 2$ , we have  $B_1^n C^l = \omega^{2t-jn} 4^{s-1} k''_2{}^2 \cdot \Omega$ .

Here again, if  $\omega \nmid \Omega$ :

- If  $2t - jn \leq 0 \implies \omega \nmid C^l$  it is in contradiction with  $C^l = \omega^{im} A_1^m + \omega^{jn} B_1^n$ .

DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

-If  $2t - jn \geq 1 \implies \omega|C^l$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

Identical results if  $2|\Omega \implies \Omega = 2^\mu \cdot \Omega_1$ , we replace  $2t - jn$  by  $2t + \mu - jn$ .

\*\* J-4-1-2-4-2-1-2- If  $\omega|C^l \implies \omega|C \implies C = \omega^h \cdot C_1$ , with  $\omega \nmid C_1$ . With the same method used above for the case J-4-1-2-4-2-1-1, we obtain identical results.

\*\* J-4-1-2-4-2-2- We suppose that  $a, k'_1$  are not coprime. Let  $\omega$  be a prime natural number so that  $\omega|a$  and  $\omega|k'_1$ . We write:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \omega^\alpha \cdot a_1 \\ k'_1 &= \omega^\mu \cdot k''_1 \end{aligned}$$

with  $a_1, k''_1$  coprime. The expression of  $A^{2m}$  becomes  $A^{2m} = \omega^{\alpha+\mu} \cdot a_1 \cdot k''_1$ . The term  $B^n C^l$  becomes:

$$B^n C^l = 4^{s-1} \cdot \omega^\mu \cdot k''_1 \cdot \Omega \quad (163)$$

\*\* J-4-1-2-4-2-2-1- If  $\omega = 2 \implies 2|a$ , but  $2 \nmid b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* J-4-1-2-4-2-2-2- If  $\omega \geq 3$ . we have  $\omega|a$ . If  $\omega|b$  it is the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime. We suppose that  $\omega \nmid b$ . From the expression of  $A^{2m}$ , we obtain  $\omega|A^{2m} \implies \omega|A \implies A = \omega^i \cdot A_1$  with  $\omega \nmid A_1$ ,  $i \geq 1$  and  $2i \cdot m = \alpha + \mu$ . From (163), we deduce that  $\omega|B^n$  or  $\omega|C^l$ .

\*\* J-4-1-2-4-2-2-2-1- We suppose that  $\omega|B^n \implies \omega|B \implies B = \omega^j B_1$  with  $\omega \nmid B_1$  and  $j \geq 1$ . Then  $B_1^n C^l = 4^{s-1} \omega^{\mu-jn} \cdot k''_1 \cdot \Omega$  :

\*  $\omega \nmid \Omega$  :

- If  $\mu - jn \geq 1$  we have  $\omega|C^l \implies \omega|C$ , there is no contradictions with  $C^l = \omega^{im} A_1^m + \omega^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $\mu - jn \leq 0$  with  $\omega \nmid \Omega$ , then  $\omega \nmid C^l$  and it is the contradiction with  $C^l = \omega^{im} A_1^m + \omega^{jn} B_1^n$ . Then this case is impossible.

\*  $\omega|\Omega$  : we write  $\Omega = \omega^\beta \cdot \Omega_1$  with  $\beta \geq 1$  and  $\omega \nmid \Omega_1$ . As  $3b - 4a = 4^s \cdot \Omega = 4^s \cdot \omega^\beta \cdot \Omega_1 \implies 3b = 4a + 4^s \cdot \omega^\beta \cdot \Omega_1 = 4\omega^\alpha \cdot a_1 + 4^s \cdot \omega^\beta \cdot \Omega_1 \implies 3b = 4\omega(\omega^{\alpha-1} \cdot a_1 + 4^{s-1} \cdot \omega^{\beta-1} \cdot \Omega_1)$ . If  $\omega = 3$  and  $\beta = 1$ , we obtain  $b = 4(3^{\alpha-1} a_1 + 4^{s-1} \Omega_1)$  and  $B_1^n C^l = 4^{s-1} 3^{\mu+1-jn} \cdot k''_1 \cdot \Omega_1$ .

- If  $\mu - jn + 1 \geq 1$ , then  $3|C^l$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $\mu - jn + 1 \leq 0$ , then  $3 \nmid C^l$  and it is in contradiction with  $C^l = 3^{im} A_1^m + 3^{jn} B_1^n$ .

Now, if  $\beta \geq 2$  and  $\alpha = im \geq 3$ , we obtain  $3b = 4\omega^2(\omega^{\alpha-2} a_1 + 4^{s-1} \omega^{\beta-2} \Omega_1)$ . If  $\omega = 3$  or not, then  $\omega|b$ , but  $\omega|a$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* J-4-1-2-4-2-2-2-2- We suppose that  $\omega|C^l \implies \omega|C \implies C = \omega^h C_1$  with  $\omega \nmid C_1$  and  $h \geq 1$ . Then  $B^n C_1^l = 4^{s-1} \omega^{\mu-hl} \cdot k''_1 \cdot \Omega$ . With the same method used above, we obtain identical results.

\*\* J-4-2- We suppose that  $4|k'_1$ .

\*\* J-4-2-1-  $k'_1 = 4 \implies 4p = 3k'_1b = 12b \implies p = 3b = 3p'$ , this case has been studied (see Case I-2- paragraph 5.8).

\*\* J-4-2-2-  $k'_1 > 4$  with  $4|k'_1 \implies k'_1 = 4^s k''_1$  and  $s \geq 1$ ,  $4 \nmid k''_1$ . We have :

$$A^{2m} = 4^s k''_1 a = 2^{2s} k''_1 a$$

$$B^n C^l = 4^{s-1} k''_1 (3b - 4a) = 2^{2s-2} k''_1 (3b - 4a)$$

\*\* J-4-2-2-1- We suppose that  $s = 1$  and  $k'_1 = 4k''_1$  with  $k''_1 > 1$ , so  $p = 3p'$  and  $p' = k''_1 b$ , it is the case 5.3.

\*\* J-4-2-2-2- We suppose that  $s > 1$ , then  $k'_1 = 4^s k''_1 \implies 4p = 3 \times 4^s k''_1 b$  and we have:

$$A^{2m} = 4^s k''_1 a \tag{164}$$

$$B^n C^l = 4^{s-1} k''_1 (3b - 4a) \tag{165}$$

\*\* J-4-2-2-2-1- We suppose that  $2 \nmid (k''_1 \cdot a) \implies 2 \nmid k''_1$  and  $2 \nmid a$ . As  $(A^m)^2 = (2^s)^2 \cdot (k''_1 \cdot a)$ , we call  $d^2 = k''_1 \cdot a$ , then  $A^m = 2^s \cdot d \implies 2|A^m \implies 2|A \implies A = 2^i A_1$  with  $2 \nmid A_1$  and  $i \geq 1$ , then  $2^{im} A_1^m = 2^s \cdot d \implies s = im$ . From the equation (165), we have  $2|(B^n C^l) \implies 2|B^n$  or  $2|C^l$ .

\*\* J-4-2-2-2-1-1- We suppose that  $2|B^n \implies 2|B \implies B = 2^j \cdot B_1$ , with  $j \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid B_1$ . The equation (165) becomes:

$$B_1^n C^l = 2^{2s-jn-2} k''_1 (3b - 4a) = 2^{2im-jn-2} k''_1 (3b - 4a)$$

\* We suppose that  $2 \nmid (3b - 4a)$ :

- If  $2im - jn - 2 \geq 1$ , then  $2|C^l$ , there is no contradictions with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2im - jn - 2 \leq 0$ , then  $2 \nmid C^l$  and it is in contradiction with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$ .

\* We suppose that  $2^\mu | (3b - 4a)$ ,  $\mu \geq 1$ :

- If  $2im + \mu - jn - 2 \geq 1$ , then  $2|C^l$ , there is no contradictions with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2im + \mu - jn - 2 \leq 0$ , then  $2 \nmid C^l$ , then the contradiction with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$ .

\*\* J-4-2-2-2-1-2- We suppose that  $2|C^l \implies 2|C \implies C = 2^h \cdot C_1$ , with  $h \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid C_1$ . With the same method used above, we obtain identical results.

\*\* J-4-2-2-2-2- We suppose that  $2|(k''_1 \cdot a)$ :

\*\* J-4-2-2-2-2-1- We suppose that  $k''_1$  and  $a$  are coprime:

\*\* J-4-2-2-2-2-1-1- We suppose that  $2 \nmid a$  and  $2|k''_1 \implies k''_1 = 2^{2\mu} \cdot k''_2$  and  $a = a_1^2$ , then the equations (164-165) become:

$$A^{2m} = 4^s \cdot 2^{2\mu} k''_2 a_1^2 \implies A^m = 2^{s+\mu} \cdot k''_2 \cdot a_1 \tag{166}$$

$$B^n C^l = 4^{s-1} 2^{2\mu} k''_2 (3b - 4a) = 2^{2s+2\mu-2} k''_2 (3b - 4a) \tag{167}$$

DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

The equation (166) gives  $2|A^m \implies 2|A \implies A = 2^i.A_1$  with  $2 \nmid A_1$ ,  $i \geq 1$  and  $im = s + \mu$ . From the equation (167), we have  $2|(B^n C^l) \implies 2|B^n$  or  $2|C^l$ .

\*\* J-4-2-2-2-2-1-1-1- We suppose that  $2|B^n \implies 2|B \implies B = 2^j.B_1$ ,  $2 \nmid B_1$  and  $j \geq 1$ , then  $B_1^n C^l = 2^{2s+2\mu-jn-2} k''_2 (3b-4a)$ :

\* We suppose that  $2 \nmid (3b-4a)$ :

- If  $2im + 2\mu - jn - 2 \geq 1$ , then  $2|C^l$ , there is no contradictions with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2im + 2\mu - jn - 2 \leq 0$ , then  $2 \nmid C^l$ , then the contradiction with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$ .

\* We suppose that  $2^\alpha | (3b-4a)$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$ :

- If  $2im + 2\mu + \alpha - jn - 2 \geq 1$ , then  $2|C^l$ , there is no contradictions with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2im + 2\mu + \alpha - jn - 2 \leq 0$ , then  $2 \nmid C^l$ , then the contradiction with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$ .

\*\* J-4-2-2-2-2-1-1-2- We suppose that  $2|C^l \implies 2|C \implies C = 2^h.C_1$ , with  $h \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid C_1$ . With the same method used above, we obtain identical results.

\*\* J-4-2-2-2-2-1-2- We suppose that  $2 \nmid k''_1$  and  $2|a \implies a = 2^{2\mu}.a_1^2$  and  $k''_1 = k''_2$ , then the equations (164-165) become:

$$A^{2m} = 4^s . 2^{2\mu} a_1^2 k''_2 \implies A^m = 2^{s+\mu} . a_1 . k''_2. \quad (168)$$

$$B^n C^l = 4^{s-1} k''_2 (3b-4a) = 2^{2s-2} k''_2 (3b-4a) \quad (169)$$

The equation (168) gives  $2|A^m \implies 2|A \implies A = 2^i.A_1$  with  $2 \nmid A_1$ ,  $i \geq 1$  and  $im = s + \mu$ . From the equation (169), we have  $2|(B^n C^l) \implies 2|B^n$  or  $2|C^l$ .

\*\* J-4-2-2-2-2-1-2-1- We suppose that  $2|B^n \implies 2|B \implies B = 2^j.B_1$ ,  $2 \nmid B_1$  and  $j \geq 1$ , then  $B_1^n C^l = 2^{2s-jn-2} k''_2 (3b-4a)$ :

\* We suppose that  $2 \nmid (3b-4a) \implies 2 \nmid b$ :

- If  $2im - jn - 2 \geq 1$ , then  $2|C^l$ , there is no contradictions with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2im - jn - 2 \leq 0$ , then  $2 \nmid C^l$ , then the contradiction with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$ .

\*\* J-4-2-2-2-2-1-2-2- We suppose that  $2|C^l \implies 2|C \implies C = 2^h.C_1$ , with  $h \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid C_1$ . With the same method used above, we obtain identical results.

\*\* J-4-2-2-2-2-2- We suppose that  $k''_1$  and  $a$  are not coprime with  $2|a$  and  $2|k''_1$ . Let  $a = 2^t.a_1$  and  $k''_1 = 2^\mu k''_2$  and  $2 \nmid a_1$  and  $2 \nmid k''_2$ . From (164), we have  $\mu + t = 2\lambda$  and  $a_1 . k''_2 = \omega^2$ . The equations (164-165) become :

$$A^{2m} = 4^s k''_1 a = 2^{2s} . 2^\mu k''_2 . 2^t . a_1 = 2^{2s+2\lambda} . \omega^2 \implies A^m = 2^{s+\lambda} . \omega \quad (170)$$

$$B^n C^l = 4^{s-1} 2^\mu k''_2 (3b-4a) = 2^{2s+\mu-2} k''_2 (3b-4a) \quad (171)$$

From (170) we have  $2|A^m \implies 2|A \implies A = 2^i A_1$ ,  $i \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid A_1$ . From (171),  $2s + \mu - 2 \geq 1$ , we deduce that  $2|(B^n C^l) \implies 2|B^n$  or  $2|C^l$ .

\*\* J-4-2-2-2-2-1- We suppose that  $2|B^n \implies 2|B \implies B = 2^j B_1$ ,  $2 \nmid B_1$  and  $j \geq 1$ , then  $B_1^n C^l = 2^{2s+\mu-jn-2} k''^2 (3b - 4a)$ :

\* We suppose that  $2 \nmid (3b - 4a)$ :

- If  $2s + \mu - jn - 2 \geq 1$ , then  $2|C^l$ , there is no contradictions with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2s + \mu - jn - 2 \leq 0$ , then  $2 \nmid C^l$ , then the contradiction with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$ .

\* We suppose that  $2^\alpha | (3b - 4a)$ , for one value  $\alpha \geq 1$ . As  $2|a$ , then  $2^\alpha | (3b - 4a) \implies 2|(3b - 4a) \implies 2|(3b) \implies 2|b$ , then the contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

\*\* J-4-2-2-2-2-2- We suppose that  $2|C^l \implies 2|C \implies C = 2^h C_1$ , with  $h \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid C_1$ . With the same method used above, we obtain identical results.

\*\* J-4-3-  $2|k'_1$  and  $2|(3b - 4a)$ : then we obtain  $2|k'_1 \implies k'_1 = 2^t k''_1$  with  $t \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid k''_1$ .  $2|(3b - 4a) \implies 3b - 4a = 2^\mu d$  with  $\mu \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid d$ . We have also  $2|b$ . If  $2|a$ , it is a contradiction with  $a, b$  coprime.

We suppose in the following of the section that  $2 \nmid a$ . The equations (164-165) become:

$$A^{2m} = 2^t k''_1 a = (A^m)^2 \quad (172)$$

$$B^n C^l = 2^{t-1} k''_1 2^{\mu-1} d = 2^{t+\mu-2} k''_1 d \quad (173)$$

From (172), we deduce that the exponent  $t$  is even, let  $t = 2\lambda$ . Then we call  $\omega^2 = k''_1 a$  that gives  $A^m = 2^\lambda \omega \implies 2|A^m \implies 2|A \implies A = 2^i A_1$  with  $i \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid A_1$ . From (173), we have  $2\lambda + \mu - 2 \geq 1$ , then  $2|(B^n C^l) \implies 2|B^n$  or  $2|C^l$ :

\*\* J-4-3-1- We suppose that  $2|B^n \implies 2|B \implies B = 2^j B_1$ , with  $j \geq 1$  and  $2 \nmid B_1$ . It follows that  $B_1^n C^l = 2^{2\lambda+\mu-jn-2} k''_1 d$ .

- If  $2\lambda + \mu - jn - 2 \geq 1$ , we have  $2|C^l \implies 2|C$ , there is no contradictions with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$  and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

- If  $2s+t+\mu-jn-2 \leq 0$ , it follows that  $2 \nmid C$ , then the contradiction with  $C^l = 2^{im} A_1^m + 2^{jn} B_1^n$ .

\*\* J-4-3-2- We suppose that  $2|C^l \implies 2|C$ . With the same method used above, we obtain identical results.

**The Main Theorem is proved.**

## 6. Numerical Examples

### 6.1 Example 1:

We consider the example :  $6^3 + 3^3 = 3^5$  with  $A^m = 6^3$ ,  $B^n = 3^3$  and  $C^l = 3^5$ . With the notations used in the paper, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} p &= 3^6 \times 73, & q &= 8 \times 3^{11}, & \bar{\Delta} &= 4 \times 3^{18}(3^7 \times 4^2 - 73^3) < 0 \\ \rho &= \frac{3^8 \times 73\sqrt{73}}{\sqrt{3}}, & \cos\theta &= -\frac{4 \times 3^3 \times \sqrt{3}}{73\sqrt{73}} \end{aligned} \quad (174)$$

As  $A^{2m} = \frac{4p}{3} \cdot \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \implies \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{3A^{2m}}{4p} = \frac{3 \times 2^4}{73} = \frac{a}{b} \implies a = 3 \times 2^4$ ,  $b = 73$ , then we obtain:

$$\cos \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{73}}, \quad p = 3^6 \cdot b \quad (175)$$

We verify easily the equation (174) to calculate  $\cos\theta$  using (175). For this example, we can use the two conditions from (64) as  $3|a, b|4p$  and  $3|p, b|4p$ . The cases 4.4 and 5.3 are respectively used. For the case 4.4, it is the case B-2-2-1- that was used and the conjecture (1.1) is verified. Concerning the case 5.3, it is the case G-2-2-1- that was used and the conjecture (1.1) is verified.

### 6.2 Example 2:

The second example is :  $7^4 + 7^3 = 14^3$ . We take  $A^m = 7^4$ ,  $B^n = 7^3$  and  $C^l = 14^3$ . We obtain  $p = 57 \times 7^6 = 3 \times 19 \times 7^6$ ,  $q = 8 \times 7^{10}$ ,  $\bar{\Delta} = 27q^2 - 4p^3 = 27 \times 4 \times 7^{18}(16 \times 49 - 19^3) = -27 \times 4 \times 7^{18} \times 6075 < 0$ ,  $\rho = 19 \times 7^9 \times \sqrt{19}$ ,  $\cos\theta = -\frac{4 \times 7}{19\sqrt{19}}$ . As  $A^{2m} = \frac{4p}{3} \cdot \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \implies \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{3A^{2m}}{4p} = \frac{7^2}{4 \times 19} = \frac{a}{b} \implies a = 7^2$ ,  $b = 4 \times 19$ , then  $\cos \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{7}{2\sqrt{19}}$  and we have the two principal conditions  $3|p$  and  $b|(4p)$ . The calculation of  $\cos\theta$  from the expression of  $\cos \frac{\theta}{3}$  is confirmed by the value below:

$$\cos\theta = \cos 3(\theta/3) = 4\cos^3 \frac{\theta}{3} - 3\cos \frac{\theta}{3} = 4 \left( \frac{7}{2\sqrt{19}} \right)^3 - 3 \frac{7}{2\sqrt{19}} = -\frac{4 \times 7}{19\sqrt{19}}$$

We obtain then  $3|p \implies p = 3p'$ ,  $b|(4p)$  with  $b \neq 2, 4$  then  $12p' = k_1 b = 3 \times 7^6 b$ . It concerns the paragraph 5.9 of the second hypothesis. As  $k_1 = 3 \times 7^6 = 3k'_1$  with  $k'_1 = 7^6 \neq 1$ . It is the case J-4-1-2-4-2-2- with the condition  $4|(3b - 4a)$ . So we verify :

$$3b - 4a = 3 \times 4 \times 19 - 4 \times 7^2 = 32 \implies 4|(3b - 4a)$$

with  $A^{2m} = 7^8 = 7^6 \times 7^2 = k'_1 \cdot a$  and  $k'_1$  not a prime, with  $a$  and  $k'_1$  not coprime with  $\omega = 7 \nmid \Omega (= 2)$ . We find that the conjecture (1.1) is verified with a common factor equal to 7 (prime and divisor of  $k'_1 = 7^6$ ).

### 6.3 Example 3:

The third example:  $19^4 + 38^3 = 57^3$  with  $A^m = 19^4$ ,  $B^n = 38^3$  and  $C^l = 57^3$ . We obtain  $p = 19^6 \times 577$ ,  $q = 8 \times 27 \times 19^{10}$ ,  $\bar{\Delta} = 27q^2 - 4p^3 = 4 \times 19^{18}(27^3 \times 16 \times 19^2 - 577^3) < 0$ ,  $\rho = \frac{19^9 \times 577\sqrt{577}}{3\sqrt{3}}$ ,  $\cos\theta = -\frac{4 \times 3^4 \times 19\sqrt{3}}{577\sqrt{577}}$ . As  $A^{2m} = \frac{4p}{3} \cdot \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} \implies \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{3A^{2m}}{4p} =$

## DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

$\frac{3 \times 19^2}{4 \times 577} = \frac{a}{b} \implies a = 3 \times 19^2, b = 4 \times 577$ , then  $\cos \frac{\theta}{3} = \frac{19\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{577}}$  and we have the first hypothesis  $3|a$  and  $b|(4p)$ . Here again, the calculation of  $\cos \theta$  from the expression of  $\cos \frac{\theta}{3}$  is confirmed by the value below:

$$\cos \theta = \cos 3(\theta/3) = 4\cos^3 \frac{\theta}{3} - 3\cos \frac{\theta}{3} = 4 \left( \frac{19\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{577}} \right)^3 - 3 \frac{19\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{577}} = -\frac{4 \times 3^4 \times 19\sqrt{3}}{577\sqrt{577}}$$

We obtain then  $3|a \implies a = 3a' = 3 \times 19^2, b|(4p)$  with  $b \neq 2, 4$  and  $b = 4p'$  with  $p = kp'$  with  $p' = 577$  and  $k = 19^6$ . This concerns the paragraph 4.8 of the first hypothesis. It is the case E-2-2-2-2-1- with  $\omega = 19, a', \omega$  not coprime and  $\omega = 19 \nmid (p' - a') = (577 - 19^2)$  with  $s - jn = 6 - 1 \times 3 = 3 \geq 1$ , and the conjecture (1.1) est is verified.

### 7. Conclusion

The method used to give the proof of the conjecture of Beal has discussed many possibles cases, using elementary number theory and thanks of some theorems about Diophantine equations. We have confirmed the method by three numerical examples. In conclusion, we can announce the theorem:

**THEOREM 7.1. (A. Ben Hadj Salem, A. Beal, 2019):** *Let  $A, B, C, m, n$ , and  $l$  be positive natural numbers with  $m, n, l > 2$ . If :*

$$A^m + B^n = C^l \tag{176}$$

*then  $A, B$ , and  $C$  have a common factor.*

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My acknowledgements to Professor Thong Nguyen Quang Do for indicating me the book of D.A. Cox cited below in References.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 R. DANIEL MAULDIN. *A Generalization of Fermat's Last Theorem: The Beal Conjecture and Prize Problem*. Notice of AMS, Vol 44, n°11, pp 1436-1437. (1997).
- 2 B.M. STEWART. *Theory of Numbers*. Second edition. The Macmillan Compagny, New-York. 390 pages. (1964).
- 3 E.D. BOLKER. *Elementary Number Theory: An Algebraic Approach*. W.A. Benjamin, Inc., New-York. 195 pages. (1970).
- 4 D.A. COX. *Primes of the form  $x^2 + ny^2$ , Fermat, class field theory and complex multiplication*. A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New-York. 363 pages. (1989).
- 5 G. FREI. *Leonhard Euler's convenient numbers*. The Mathematical Intelligencer, Vol. 7, n°3, pp.55-58 and 64. (1985).

DEFINITIVE PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

Abdelmajid Ben Hadj Salem abenhadsalem@gmail.com  
Cité Soliman Er-Riadh, 8020 Soliman. Tunisia