

# Intimations of the Irrationality of $\pi$ From Reflections of the Rational Root Test

Timothy W. Jones

June 11, 2019

## Abstract

The rational root test gives a means for determining if a root of a polynomial is rational. If none of the tests possible rational roots are roots, then if the roots are real, they must be irrational. Combining this observation with Taylor polynomials and the Taylor series for  $\sin(x)$  gives an intimation that  $\pi$ , and  $e$ , are likely irrational.

## Introduction

One can quickly believe and recall the rational root test from the very easiest linear example possible. Consider

$$2x - 1.$$

The last or constant coefficient over the first or leading coefficient gives the only root  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Playing on a biblical refrain, the last shall be on top and the first on the bottom to give a possible rational root. The same test works for rational coefficients:

$$\frac{1}{2}x - 1$$

has root one over one half gives 2, correct.

What if there is no constant term. This can only mean  $x = 0$  is a root and an  $x$  can be factored out. This is the case for Taylor polynomials for  $\sin$ . For example,  $x^9 - x^7 + x^5 - x^3 + x$  is such a factor-able Taylor polynomial for  $\sin$ ; we've dropped the coefficients. With the coefficients and factoring, we have

$$x\left(1 - \frac{x^2}{3!} + \frac{x^4}{5!} - \frac{x^6}{7!} + \frac{x^8}{9!}\right) = x\left(\frac{x^8}{9!} - \frac{x^6}{7!} + \frac{x^4}{5!} - \frac{x^2}{3!} + 1\right). \quad (1)$$

We know  $x = 0$  is a root of  $\sin$  and all  $n\pi$ ,  $n$  an integer are also roots. Although this is not equal to  $\sin$ , the rational root test says a rational root will be a positive or negative factor of  $9!$ , an integer.

In this paper we explore whether or not any high school algebra concepts, like the rational root test, give hints of the irrationality of  $\pi$ .

## Intimation One

Here's a calculator trick for finding rational roots fast. We'll give a proof of concept. Consider

$$(3x - 5)(7x + 2) = 21x^2 - 29x - 10.$$

This would be pretty hard to factor using something like the AC-method. But, using the calculator's table feature with  $\Delta = \frac{1}{21}$  does the trick. The table shows  $-\frac{6}{21} = -\frac{2}{7}$  is a root and  $\frac{5}{3} = \frac{35}{21}$  is too. This example shows the leading coefficient gives all the denominators possible for rational roots. One could write a program that accepts  $A, B, C$  coefficients, stores  $Ax^2 + Bx + C$  in  $Y_1$  and then using a for loop searches for  $Y_1(NX) = 0$ , with  $X = \frac{1}{A}$ . Try it.

What does it mean when the leading coefficient is  $\frac{1}{n!}$  as it is in the Taylor polynomials, like (1)? Possible rational roots must be integers that divide  $n!$ . But  $\pi$  is not a positive integer. What is missing in this thought experiment is the consideration as to whether the roots of Taylor's polynomials approach the roots of the Taylor series? The end behavior of the polynomials can't be like  $\sin$ , but other places must have the polynomials approach the  $\sin$  curve and this implies the roots of  $\sin$  are being approached by the polynomials.

[Taylor polynomials converging to sin](#)

## Intimation Two

We know all  $n\pi$  are roots of  $\sin$ . Combining this with (1), we see the coefficients of a Taylor polynomial for  $\sin$  can absorb the powers of  $n$ , yielding possible rational roots of the form

$$\frac{n!}{n^n} \text{ or } \frac{n!}{m^n},$$

where  $m > n$ . As there is no limit in the  $n$  in  $n\pi$ , the right hand fraction for any  $n$  degree polynomial gets as small as we please, smaller than any fraction we can imagine.

## Intimation Three

Wallis's product is

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2n}{2n-1} \cdot \frac{2n}{2n+1} \right) = \frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdot \frac{8}{7} \cdot \frac{8}{9} \cdots = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

So taking an even multiple of this product of fractions and sticking it into a Taylor polynomial is supposed to yield zero. Seems unlikely.

## References

- [1] P. Eymard and J.-P. Lafon, *The Number  $\pi$* , American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.