

The Free Photon Wave Function's Gauge-Invariant, Lorentz-Covariant Antisymmetric-Tensor Form

Steven Kenneth Kauffmann*

Abstract

If a free photon's wave function is taken to be a four-vector function of its space-time coordinates that has vanishing four-divergence (the Lorentz condition), it isn't uniquely determined by the free-photon Schrödinger equation. This gauge indeterminacy can be eliminated by taking that wave function to be a three-vector function of its space-time coordinates—at the expense of its Lorentz-covariant form. These conflicts are resolved by taking a free photon's wave function to be an antisymmetric-tensor function of its space-time coordinates which has vanishing four-divergence and also satisfies the Lorentz-covariant cyclic Gauss-Faraday equation that is satisfied by all antisymmetric-tensor real-valued electromagnetic fields. It is shown that for every source-free antisymmetric-tensor real-valued electromagnetic field, there exists a corresponding free-photon antisymmetric-tensor complex-valued wave function.

A free photon's configuration-representation wave function is sometimes taken to be a four-vector function of space-time $\Upsilon^\mu(\mathbf{r}, t)$ that satisfies the following free-photon Schrödinger equation and Lorentz condition,

$$(i\hbar\partial_t - \hbar c(-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})\Upsilon^\mu = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_\mu\Upsilon^\mu = 0, \quad (1a)$$

where the entity $\hbar c(-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the massless free photon's *Hamiltonian operator* $\hat{H} = (|c\hat{\mathbf{p}}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = c(\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}})^{\frac{1}{2}}$, since $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = -i\hbar\nabla$ in configuration representation. The *four-vector form of Υ^μ in Eq. (1a) is suitably Lorentz-covariant*, but Eq. (1a) *doesn't uniquely determine Υ^μ because, given any scalar function of space-time $\chi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ which satisfies the source-free wave equation,*

$$((1/c)^2\partial_t^2 - \nabla^2)\chi = \partial_\nu\partial^\nu\chi = 0, \quad (1b)$$

it is the case that if Υ^μ satisfies the two equations of Eq. (1a), then so does,

$$\Upsilon_\chi^\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Upsilon^\mu + (-i\hbar\partial_t - \hbar c(-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})\partial^\mu\chi. \quad (1c)$$

That Υ_χ^μ satisfies the Lorentz condition of Eq. (1a) follows from the two facts that Υ^μ satisfies that Lorentz condition and that $\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\chi = 0$. That Υ_χ^μ satisfies the Schrödinger equation of Eq. (1a) follows from the fact that Υ^μ satisfies that Schrödinger equation and the fact that,

$$(i\hbar\partial_t - \hbar c(-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})(-i\hbar\partial_t - \hbar c(-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})\partial^\mu\chi = (\hbar c)^2((1/c)^2\partial_t^2 - \nabla^2)\partial^\mu\chi = (\hbar c)^2\partial^\mu(\partial_\nu\partial^\nu\chi) = 0, \quad (1d)$$

where the final equality of Eq. (1d) follows from Eq. (1b). This *gauge indeterminacy of Υ^μ can be eliminated by setting Υ^0 to zero, which modifies the two equations of Eq. (1a) to,*

$$(i\hbar\partial_t - \hbar c(-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})\Upsilon = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \cdot \Upsilon = 0, \quad (1e)$$

but the *three-vector form of Υ isn't Lorentz-covariant*. These *conflicts with gauge invariance or formal Lorentz covariance are resolved by assigning the free photon the antisymmetric-tensor wave function,*

$$\Psi^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu\Upsilon^\nu - \partial^\nu\Upsilon^\mu, \quad (2a)$$

which of course satisfies the *free-photon Schrödinger equation,*

$$(i\hbar\partial_t - \hbar c(-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})\Psi^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (2b)$$

because Υ^ν and Υ^μ satisfy the free-photon Schrödinger equation as per Eq. (1a). Also, *crucially,*

$$\partial^\mu(\partial^\nu\chi) - \partial^\nu(\partial^\mu\chi) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Psi_\chi^{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial^\mu(\Upsilon_\chi^\nu) - \partial^\nu(\Upsilon_\chi^\mu) = \partial^\mu\Upsilon^\nu - \partial^\nu\Upsilon^\mu = \Psi^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2c)$$

so within the antisymmetric-tensor $\Psi^{\mu\nu}$ the gauge indeterminacy of Υ^μ cancels out.

*Retired, American Physical Society Senior Life Member, E-mail: SKKauffmann@gmail.com

In addition to the property of $\Psi^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu \Upsilon^\nu - \partial^\nu \Upsilon^\mu$ of its being *antisymmetric*,

$$\Psi^{\nu\mu} = -\Psi^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2d)$$

it also satisfies the *Lorentz-covariant cyclic Gauss-Faraday equation*,

$$\partial^\lambda \Psi^{\mu\nu} + \partial^\mu \Psi^{\nu\lambda} + \partial^\nu \Psi^{\lambda\mu} = (\partial^\lambda \partial^\mu \Upsilon^\nu + \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \Upsilon^\lambda + \partial^\nu \partial^\lambda \Upsilon^\mu) - (\partial^\lambda \partial^\nu \Upsilon^\mu + \partial^\mu \partial^\lambda \Upsilon^\nu + \partial^\nu \partial^\mu \Upsilon^\lambda) = 0, \quad (2e)$$

and its *four-divergence vanishes* because,

$$\partial_\mu \Psi^{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \Upsilon^\nu - \partial^\nu (\partial_\mu \Upsilon^\mu), \quad (2f)$$

and Eq. (1a) imposes $(\partial_\mu \Upsilon^\mu) = 0$, and it also implies that $\partial_\mu \partial^\mu \Upsilon^\nu = 0$ via its Υ^ν Schrödinger equation,

$$0 = [(1/(\hbar c))^2 (-i\hbar \partial_t - \hbar c(-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})] (i\hbar \partial_t - \hbar c(-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \Upsilon^\nu = ((1/c)^2 \partial_t^2 - \nabla^2) \Upsilon^\nu = \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \Upsilon^\nu. \quad (2g)$$

Although in Eq. (2a) we *synthesized* the gauge-invariant antisymmetric-tensor $\Psi^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu \Upsilon^\nu - \partial^\nu \Upsilon^\mu$ from the gauge-indeterminate four-vector Υ^μ , $\Psi^{\mu\nu}$ in fact *is characterized* by its Eq. (2b) *free-photon Schrödinger equation*, its Eq. (2d) *antisymmetry*, its Eq. (2e) *Lorentz-covariant cyclic Gauss-Faraday equation* and its Eq. (2f)–(2g) *vanishing four-divergence*. The Eq. (2d)–(2g) properties of $\Psi^{\mu\nu}$ are *exact analogs of the Lorentz-covariant Heaviside-Maxwell equations for source-free antisymmetric-tensor real-valued electromagnetic fields* $F^{\mu\nu}$, i.e., the Eq. (2d)–(2g) properties of $\Psi^{\mu\nu}$ are *exact analogs of*,

$$F^{\nu\mu} = -F^{\mu\nu}, \quad \partial^\lambda F^{\mu\nu} + \partial^\mu F^{\nu\lambda} + \partial^\nu F^{\lambda\mu} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (3a)$$

Also, it turns out that for *every* such source-free real-valued electromagnetic field $F^{\mu\nu}$, there exists a *corresponding* free-photon complex-valued wave function $\Psi^{\mu\nu}$ that is given by,

$$\Psi^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{r}, t) = N^{-\frac{1}{2}} (-i\hbar \partial_t - \hbar c(-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) F^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (3b)$$

where $N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is regarded here as an arbitrary positive constant, whose value we *further on* can *legitimately select to normalize* $\Psi^{\mu\nu}$. In light of Eq. (3a), it is apparent that the Eq. (3b) $\Psi^{\mu\nu}$ *does* satisfy the Eq. (2d)–(2g) *properties of* $\Psi^{\mu\nu}$. We next use Eq. (3a) to establish the *lemma* that,

$$0 = \partial_\lambda (\partial^\lambda F^{\mu\nu} + \partial^\mu F^{\nu\lambda} + \partial^\nu F^{\lambda\mu}) = \partial_\lambda \partial^\lambda F^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\mu \partial_\lambda F^{\lambda\nu} + \partial^\nu \partial_\lambda F^{\lambda\mu} = \partial_\lambda \partial^\lambda F^{\mu\nu}, \quad (3c)$$

which *enables* us to show that the Eq. (3b) $\Psi^{\mu\nu}$ *does* satisfy the Eq. (2b) *Schrödinger equation for* $\Psi^{\mu\nu}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (i\hbar \partial_t - \hbar c(-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \Psi^{\mu\nu} &= (i\hbar \partial_t - \hbar c(-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) N^{-\frac{1}{2}} (-i\hbar \partial_t - \hbar c(-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) F^{\mu\nu} = \\ &N^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\hbar c)^2 ((1/c)^2 \partial_t^2 - \nabla^2) F^{\mu\nu} = N^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\hbar c)^2 (\partial_\lambda \partial^\lambda F^{\mu\nu}) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3d)$$

where the final equality of Eq. (3d) follows from the Eq. (3c) *lemma*. Having established that the Eq. (3b) $\Psi^{\mu\nu}$ *does indeed satisfy* the Eq. (2b) *Schrödinger equation*, we can now *legitimately select the particular value of the positive constant* $N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ *in* Eq. (3b) *which normalizes* $\Psi^{\mu\nu}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^3 \int |\Psi^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 d^3\mathbf{r} = \sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^3 \int (\Psi^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{r}, t))^* (\Psi^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{r}, t)) d^3\mathbf{r} = \\ &N^{-1} \sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^3 \int [(+i\hbar \partial_t F^{\mu\nu}) - (\hbar c(-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} F^{\mu\nu})][(-i\hbar \partial_t F^{\mu\nu}) - (\hbar c(-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} F^{\mu\nu})] d^3\mathbf{r} = \\ &N^{-1} \sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^3 \int [(\hbar \partial_t F^{\mu\nu})^2 + (\hbar c(-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} F^{\mu\nu})^2] d^3\mathbf{r}. \end{aligned} \quad (3e)$$

Before evaluating N from the Eq. (3e) result, we establish the equality,

$$\sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^3 \int (\hbar \partial_t F^{\mu\nu})^2 d^3\mathbf{r} = \sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^3 \int (\hbar c(-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} F^{\mu\nu})^2 d^3\mathbf{r}, \quad (3f)$$

from conservation of the well-known energy \mathcal{E} of the source-free electromagnetic field $F^{\mu\nu}$, namely,

$$\mathcal{E} = (1/4) \sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^3 \int (F^{\mu\nu})^2 d^3\mathbf{r} = (1/2) \int [|\mathbf{E}|^2 + |\mathbf{B}|^2] d^3\mathbf{r}. \quad (3g)$$

To establish that \mathcal{E} is conserved, we insert the Source-Free Maxwell Law $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = (1/c)(\partial_t \mathbf{E})$ and the Faraday Law $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -(1/c)(\partial_t \mathbf{B})$ into the time derivative of the second Eq. (3g) expression for \mathcal{E} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathcal{E} &= (1/2) \sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^3 \int (\partial_t F^{\mu\nu}) F^{\mu\nu} d^3 \mathbf{r} = \int [(\partial_t \mathbf{E}) \cdot \mathbf{E} + (\partial_t \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{B}] d^3 \mathbf{r} = \\ &c \int [(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{E} - (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \cdot \mathbf{B}] d^3 \mathbf{r} = c \int [\mathbf{B} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) - (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \cdot \mathbf{B}] d^3 \mathbf{r} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3h)$$

where we in addition used $c \int [(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{E}] d^3 \mathbf{r} = c \int [\mathbf{B} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{E})] d^3 \mathbf{r}$, which follows from integration by parts. Once more taking the derivative with respect to t , this time of \hbar^2 times the Eq. (3h) $\partial_t \mathcal{E}$, produces,

$$\hbar^2 \partial_t^2 \mathcal{E} = (1/2) \sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^3 \int (\hbar \partial_t F^{\mu\nu})^2 d^3 \mathbf{r} + (1/2) \sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^3 \int (\hbar c)^2 ((1/c)^2 \partial_t^2 F^{\mu\nu}) F^{\mu\nu} d^3 \mathbf{r} = 0. \quad (3i)$$

Eq. (3i), together with $0 = \partial_\lambda \partial^\lambda F^{\mu\nu} = (1/c)^2 \partial_t^2 F^{\mu\nu} - \nabla^2 F^{\mu\nu}$, which is a consequence of Eq. (3c), yields,

$$\sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^3 \int (\hbar \partial_t F^{\mu\nu})^2 d^3 \mathbf{r} = \sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^3 \int (\hbar c)^2 (-\nabla^2 F^{\mu\nu}) F^{\mu\nu} d^3 \mathbf{r} = \sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^3 \int (\hbar c (-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} F^{\mu\nu})^2 d^3 \mathbf{r}, \quad (3j)$$

where the last equality follows from the Hermitian nature of the free-photon Hamiltonian operator $\hat{H} = \hbar c (-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Eq. (3j) establishes Eq. (3f), which together with Eq. (3e) implies that,

$$N = 2 \sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^3 \int (\hbar c (-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} F^{\mu\nu})^2 d^3 \mathbf{r}. \quad (3k)$$

Insertion of the Eq. (3k) value of N into Eq. (3b) yields,

$$\Psi^{\mu\nu} = (-i\hbar \partial_t - \hbar c (-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) F^{\mu\nu} / (2 \sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^3 \int (\hbar c (-\nabla^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} F^{\mu\nu})^2 d^3 \mathbf{r})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3l)$$

the free-photon complex-valued wave function which corresponds to the source-free real-valued electromagnetic field $F^{\mu\nu}$. It is readily seen that $\Psi^{\mu\nu}$ is independent of both the scale of $F^{\mu\nu}$ and the value of \hbar .

One might speculate that the gauge-invariant free-photon antisymmetric-tensor wave function $\Psi^{\mu\nu}$ could lead to the derivation of a different class of Feynman rules for quantum electrodynamics which is gauge invariant at the fundamental propagator/vertex level—the existing Feynman rules are gauge-invariant only for sufficiently comprehensive sets of Feynman diagrams.