

Motion of a Spinning Symmetric Top

V. Tanrıverdi
tanriverdivedat@googlemail.com

Abstract

We firstly reviewed the symmetric top problem, then we have solved different possible motions numerically. We have given explanation about the rise of the symmetric top during nutation in terms of torque and angular momentum. We have encountered with previously unnoticed properties of motion and studied them. During the study, calculations gave some surprising results that the symmetric top can change its spin direction.

1 Introduction

Since child's top has very special properties, many people are interested with its motion starting from antiquity and the symmetric top problem became one of the long studied topics of physics. The study on this topic starts with Euler and continues through Lagrange, Kovalevskaya and so on. Its unintuitive motion, nutation and precession are some of interesting properties of symmetric top.

The unintuitive property of symmetric top is related with its being a non-inertial system. In our daily life, in general, we are familiar with inertial objects and this makes non-inertial object's motion unintuitive. Euler has obtained equations describing such motions of rotating rigid bodies under the influence of a torque, these are known as Euler equations.

These equations can result in some complicated coupled equations and sometimes obtaining their solution analytically is impossible, and this problem is studied with geometric techniques related with our historical background. One of the important works with this geometric techniques on this problem is given by Routh in his book[1], which has explanations on many different types of motion. There is also another work involving geometric techniques written by Klein and Sommerfeld[2]. Studying these books is a bit hard, but if you are eager to deal with some geometrical calculations these books can be good resources.

There are more books involving chapters on the symmetric top problem, and some of them use a technique based on conservation of energy and angular momenta[3, 4, 5], usage of these is related with previous works on the problem with geometrical techniques. This technique is a bit hard to imagine since mathematical transformations and functions hide physical motion. Some other books on classical mechanics and dynamics or symmetric top includes effective potential, and they are a bit easier to imagine[6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Some of these books also includes the technique based on conservation of energy and angular

momenta. We cited only some examples here, there are many more books related with this problem and also internet resources involving wikipedia.

In this work, we will deal with the problem in a detailed way by using effective potential and we will give physical explanations. In section [2], we will firstly review the symmetric top problem and obtain equations by using Euler equations, and then we will shortly review the problem in terms of Lagrangian and energy. We will include some comments in this review part. In section [3], we will study the symmetric top problem with examples by using effective potential and conservation of energy and angular momentum, we will also give explanations in terms of torque and angular momentum. In section [4], we will give a summary.

2 Symmetric top

2.1 Euler angles and Angular velocities

Let us consider two reference frames, initially they are coincided; one of them will be stationary, $S'(x', y', z')$, and the other one will be a reference frame fixed to the rotating rigid body, $S(x, y, z)$. First, we rotate the second reference frame around z' -axis of S' by an angle ϕ and call the new reference system $\tilde{S}_1(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{z}_1)$, where $\tilde{z}_1 = z'$. Now, we will apply a rotation around \tilde{x}_1 , known as the line of nodes, by an angle θ and show the new reference system by $\tilde{S}_2(\tilde{x}_2, \tilde{y}_2, \tilde{z}_2)$, where $\tilde{x}_2 = \tilde{x}_1$. Finally, we will apply a rotation around \tilde{z}_2 , by an angle ψ , and we will obtain the body reference system $S(x, y, z)$, where $z = \tilde{z}_2$. These three angles, the line of nodes, the stationary and body reference frames are shown in Fig.[1].

One can use these three angles; θ , ϕ and ψ , to define rotations in three dimensional space. These three angles are known as Euler angles. Rotational motions of classical rigid bodies can be defined in terms of these Euler angles. Though while obtaining we have started initially coincided two reference frame, for studying rigid body rotations they do not have to be coincided and we should consider them dynamically. It is customary to define domain of these angles as; $0 < \theta < \pi$, $0 < \phi < 2\pi$ and $0 < \psi < 2\pi$. However, in some cases different domains can be used. We can consider as an example a pendulum, it is not wise to consider domain of θ as $[0, \pi]$ for pendulum.

By using Euler angles, angular velocities of rotating rigid bodies can be defined as; $\dot{\theta}$, $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$. $\dot{\theta}$ is shown in the direction of the line of nodes, $\dot{\phi}$ is shown in the direction of \hat{z}' , and $\dot{\psi}$ is shown in the direction of \hat{z} . Then the angular velocities in body coordinate system are

$$\begin{aligned} w_x &= \dot{\theta} \cos\psi + \dot{\phi} \sin\theta \sin\psi \\ w_y &= -\dot{\theta} \sin\psi + \dot{\phi} \sin\theta \cos\psi \\ w_z &= \dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi} \cos\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

These angular velocities can be used to define rotations of rigid bodies in the body coordinate system. We need to mention that these three angles are




Figure 1: Euler Angles. We see two coordinate system $S(x, y, z)$ and $S'(x', y', z')$ and Euler angles together with the line of nodes, shown by N . θ is the angle between z' -axis and z -axis, ϕ is the angle between x' -axis and the line of nodes, and ψ is the angle between the line of nodes and x -axis.

not linearly independent of each other. Some of the complicated structure of rigid body rotations are related with this structure. Though this complicated structure they are very successful.

The motion of a symmetric top can also be studied in terms of these angular velocities, Eq.[1]. A symmetric top can be considered as child's top having rotational symmetry in one axis, but in general any object having such symmetry can be studied with below techniques.

The main property of symmetric top is related with moments of inertia, i.e. $I_x = I_y \neq I_z$. For the child's top, Fig.[2], $I_z > I_x$; and for cigar shaped structures $I_z < I_x$. We see a symmetric top in Fig.[2], its symmetry axis is chosen as the z -axis and when we mention about symmetric top, mostly we consider that it spins around it. For the case shown in the figure the fixed point is the tip of top, origin of both reference system. The body coordinates, $S(x, y, z)$, are fixed on symmetric top and rotates with it. Euler angles are also shown in the figure. To define the motion of symmetric top, we need to use 7 variables; $\theta, \phi, \psi, \dot{\theta}, \dot{\phi}, \dot{\psi}$ and t . As symmetric top spins ψ changes, and the gravitational force causes changes in θ , the change of θ causes changes in ϕ . There are many interesting cases related with the motion of symmetric top and we will try to explain these changes below.




Figure 2: Symmetric top and Euler angles. Primed letters represent axes of the stationary reference frame, ordinary letters represent axes of the body reference frame.

2.2 Methods

For a detailed study of the symmetric top problem, one need to use Euler equations, which can be written directly or can be obtained from Lagrangian. Firstly, we will give the application of these equations to the symmetric top problem from Euler equations and then from Lagrangian and energy.

2.2.1 Euler equations

If we apply a force to a rigid body with a fixed point, then that rigid body can rotate. The torque, cause of the angular acceleration, is defined as

$$\vec{\tau} = \vec{r} \times \vec{F} \quad (2)$$

where \vec{F} is the force, and \vec{r} is the vector representing distance from the rigid body's fixed point to the acting point of the force.

If there is a torque applied to a rigid body, as time changes there will be a change in the angular momentum, $\vec{L} = I\vec{\omega}$ where I is the moment of inertia tensor. In the stationary reference frame, the relation between torque and angular momentum can be written as

$$\frac{d\vec{L}'}{dt} = \vec{\tau}' \quad (3)$$

While writing this equation in the body reference frame, we should take into account that it is a non-inertial reference frame. In a rotating reference frame,

here it is the body coordinate system, we should consider effects of rotations and it will be resulted with an additional term, $\vec{w} \times$, to the time derivative, $(\frac{d}{dt})_{S'} = (\frac{d}{dt})_S + \vec{w} \times$. Then, in the body coordinate system Eq.[3] becomes

$$\frac{d\vec{L}}{dt} + \vec{w} \times \vec{L} = \vec{\tau} \quad (4)$$

Here we have an extra term, $\vec{w} \times \vec{L}$, which is the key for understanding rigid body rotations. This extra term acts like torque in the body coordinate system. We can write components of Eq.[4] in the body coordinate system as

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_x &= I_x \dot{w}_x - (I_y - I_z) w_y w_z \\ \tau_y &= I_y \dot{w}_y - (I_z - I_x) w_x w_z \\ \tau_z &= I_z \dot{w}_z - (I_x - I_y) w_x w_y \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where I_i 's are corresponding moments of inertia and w_i 's are angular velocities given in Eq.[1]. Equations in Eq.[5] are Euler equations for a rotating rigid body in the body reference frame, and they correspond to equations of motion for them. The left hand side of these equations corresponds the relevant applied torque, the first term at the right hand side is angular acceleration times moment of inertia in the considered direction and the second term corresponds to the inertial torque arising from being non-inertial reference frame. Euler equations are written in traditional way in Eq.[5], however taking the second term to the left hand side may help understanding in a better way. In that case, we can write Euler equations as

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_x + (I_y - I_z) w_y w_z &= I_x \dot{w}_x \\ \tau_y + (I_z - I_x) w_x w_z &= I_y \dot{w}_y \\ \tau_z + (I_x - I_y) w_x w_y &= I_z \dot{w}_z. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Now, terms at the left hand side corresponds to the torque, felt by rigid body, and the terms at right hand side are angular acceleration times moment of inertia.

For a symmetric top under the influence of the gravitational field, in the body reference frame the torque caused by the gravitational force is

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\tau} &= \vec{r} \times \vec{F} \\ &= Mgl \sin\theta (\cos\psi \hat{x} - \sin\psi \hat{y}) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where l is the distance from the fixed point to the center of mass and M is mass of the rigid body. The direction of this torque is in the direction of the line of nodes. If there is not any initial angular momentum, then the torque will only cause an angular acceleration in the direction of lines of nodes, $\ddot{\theta}$, and the motion will be like pendulum. If there is an initial angular momentum the motion will be complex and other components of the angular momentum will also change. This complex system is defined by Euler equations. One may consider that in such a case we can use inertial reference frame to avoid such

complications, however in that case complexity will show itself in another place, and moments of inertia will be much more complex and resulting equations will be same. In one way or another way we need to deal with this complex system.

If we insert the torque arising from the gravitational interaction in Eq.[6] and use angular velocities in terms of Euler angles in the case of a symmetric top, we obtain Euler equations for that symmetric top as

$$\begin{aligned} Mgl\sin\theta\cos\psi &+ (I_x - I_z)(\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi}\cos\theta)(-\dot{\theta}\sin\psi + \dot{\phi}\sin\theta\cos\psi) & (8) \\ &= I_x(\ddot{\theta}\cos\psi - \dot{\theta}\dot{\psi}\sin\psi + \ddot{\phi}\sin\theta\sin\psi + \dot{\phi}\dot{\theta}\cos\theta\sin\psi + \dot{\phi}\dot{\psi}\sin\theta\cos\psi), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -Mgl\sin\theta\sin\psi &+ (I_z - I_x)(\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi}\cos\theta)(\dot{\theta}\cos\psi + \dot{\phi}\sin\theta\sin\psi) & (9) \\ &= I_x(-\ddot{\theta}\sin\psi - \dot{\theta}\dot{\psi}\cos\psi + \ddot{\phi}\sin\theta\cos\psi + \dot{\phi}\dot{\theta}\cos\theta\cos\psi - \dot{\phi}\dot{\psi}\sin\theta\sin\psi), \end{aligned}$$

$$0 = I_z(\ddot{\psi} + \ddot{\phi}\cos\theta - \dot{\phi}\dot{\theta}\sin\theta). \quad (10)$$

Now, we need to make necessary simplifications to be able to analyze motion. Eq.[10] is obtained from $I_z\dot{w}_z$ and it is equal to zero, as it is seen from the equation. From this equation we can conclude that $I_z w_z$ is constant, and we can write it in terms of another constant a as

$$I_x a = I_z(\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi}\cos\theta). \quad (11)$$

This constant is chosen in this way by considering future simplifications.

Multiplying Eq.[8] with $\sin\psi$ and Eq.[9] with $\cos\psi$ and adding them we obtain

$$\ddot{\phi} = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\sin\theta} \left(-2\dot{\phi}\cos\theta + \frac{I_z}{I_x}(\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi}\cos\theta) \right). \quad (12)$$

We can write this in terms of a as

$$\ddot{\phi} = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\sin\theta} (-2\dot{\phi}\cos\theta + a). \quad (13)$$

We can multiply it by $\sin^2\theta$ and we can write it as a total time derivative

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\dot{\phi}\sin^2\theta + a\cos\theta \right] = 0. \quad (14)$$

Since this total time derivative is equal to zero, the term inside the parenthesis is equal to another constant

$$b = \dot{\phi}\sin^2\theta + a\cos\theta. \quad (15)$$

By using constants a and b , we can write Eq.[13] as

$$\ddot{\phi} = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\sin\theta} \left(-2\frac{b - a\cos\theta}{\sin^2\theta}\cos\theta + a \right). \quad (16)$$

Also multiplying Eq.[8] with $\cos\psi$ and Eq.[9] with $\sin\psi$ and subtracting the first one from the second we obtain

$$\ddot{\theta} = \sin\theta \left(\frac{Mgl}{I_x} + \dot{\phi}^2\cos\theta - \frac{I_z}{I_x}\dot{\phi}(\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi}\cos\theta) \right). \quad (17)$$

We can rewrite this equation in terms of the defined constants as

$$\ddot{\theta} = \sin\theta \left(\frac{Mgl}{I_x} + \left(\frac{b - a\cos\theta}{\sin^2\theta} \right)^2 \cos\theta - a \frac{b - a\cos\theta}{\sin^2\theta} \right). \quad (18)$$

We can also find $\ddot{\psi}$ by using Eq.[12] in Eq.[10] as

$$\ddot{\psi} = -\cot\theta\dot{\theta} \left[\frac{I_z}{I_x}(\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi}\cos\theta) - 2\dot{\phi}\cos\theta \right] + \dot{\phi}\dot{\theta}\sin\theta, \quad (19)$$

and we can write this equation in terms of constants as

$$\ddot{\psi} = \dot{\theta} \left[-a\cot\theta + \frac{b - a\cos\theta}{\sin^3\theta}(1 + \cos^2\theta) \right]. \quad (20)$$

We obtained the three angular accelerations from Euler equations in two ways. In one case Eq.s[12], [17] and [19], they are obtained in terms of θ and angular velocities. In the other case Eq.s[16], [18] and [20], they are obtained in terms of θ , $\dot{\theta}$ and constants of motion a and b .

We can also get $\dot{\theta}$ in terms of constants of motion. We can multiply Eq.(17) by $2\dot{\theta}$ and Eq.(12) by $2\dot{\phi}\sin^2\theta$ and add these to get

$$2\dot{\theta}\ddot{\theta} + 2\dot{\phi}\ddot{\psi}\sin^2\theta + 2\dot{\theta}\dot{\phi}^2\sin\theta\cos\theta - 2\frac{mgl}{I_x}\dot{\theta}\sin\theta = 0. \quad (21)$$

The left hand side of this equation can also be written as a total time derivative

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\dot{\theta}^2 + \dot{\phi}^2\sin^2\theta + 2\frac{mgl}{I_x}\cos\theta \right] = 0. \quad (22)$$

This gives us another constant and by multiplying it with $I_x/2$, we can define it as

$$E' = \frac{I_x}{2}\dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{I_x}{2}\dot{\phi}^2\sin^2\theta + Mgl\cos\theta, \quad (23)$$

or

$$E' = \frac{I_x}{2}\dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{I_x}{2}\frac{(b - a\cos\theta)^2}{\sin^2\theta} + Mgl\cos\theta \quad (23')$$

and if we add another constant, $a^2I_x^2/(2I_z)$, we will get the energy as a result.

We can write $\dot{\theta}^2$ from Eq.[23'] by using Eq.[15] as

$$\dot{\theta}^2 = \frac{2E'}{I_x} - \frac{(b - a\cos\theta)^2}{\sin^2\theta} - \frac{2Mgl}{I_x}\cos\theta. \quad (24)$$

As it is seen, $\dot{\theta}$ is also obtained in terms of constants of motion. Then we can obtain all angular accelerations in terms of constants of motion (a , b and E' or E) and as function of θ . We have mentioned that there are 7 variables to define this system, 3 of them ϕ , ψ and t are not available in the angular accelerations, then we left with 4 variables (θ , $\dot{\theta}$, $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$) in equations giving angular accelerations.

Equations giving angular accelerations correspond to the equations of motion, since these three variables are not available in angular acceleration equations then the system should be symmetric with respect to these; there are some quantities that do not change as these variables change. According to Noether's theorem we should have three constants of motion. We already obtained these; E' or E corresponds to conservation of energy and related with t symmetry, a corresponds to conservation of angular momentum in z direction and related with ψ symmetry, and b corresponds to the conservation of angular momentum in z' direction and related with ϕ symmetry.

These constants of the motion can be determined by the initial values; θ_0 , $\dot{\theta}_0$, $\dot{\phi}_0$ and $\dot{\psi}_0$. After determining these constants we can get angular accelerations in terms of these constants, and then angular accelerations depend on only one variable θ . In fact we do not have to use angular accelerations to get necessary information on the system. By using constants of motion, i.e. Eq.[11] and Eq.[15], we can get angular velocities $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$ as

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{b - a \cos \theta}{\sin^2 \theta} \quad (25)$$

and

$$\dot{\psi} = \frac{I_x}{I_z} a - \frac{b - a \cos \theta}{\sin^2 \theta} \cos \theta. \quad (26)$$

These equations together with Eq.[24] show that all angular velocities and accelerations can be obtained in terms of constants of motion (a , b and E') and as a function of θ . Hence, we can say that if we are able to find $\theta(t)$, we can find all other variables as a function of t .

Now, we should focus on θ . Its change is given by $\dot{\theta}$, Eq.[24]. We see that $\dot{\theta}$ is a function of θ and it is obtained as a square and we need to take squareroot to get it. From Eq.[24], we can say that right hand side of it should be positive for a physical motion. The solution of Eq.[24] is in terms of elliptic integrals, and they require a good mathematical knowledge. Without entering its mathematical details, we can comment on motion without finding solution of Eq.[24]. If we consider second term in Eq.[24], we see that it goes to negative infinity as θ goes to 0 or π . Then, right hand side of this equation is positive only for an interval. The first term, which should be calculated by using Eq.[23] from the initial values and corresponds to energy, determines that interval. We can also say that for each θ value making right hand side positive, $\dot{\theta}$ can be positive or negative. On the other hand $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$ can get only one value for each θ value. This is related with the motion of the symmetric top, which will be clear in the next sections. We should also mention that if θ is greater than $\pi/2$, then there is a possibility that E' can be negative. This may seem to odd since it corresponds to the energy. There are two reasons for this; definition of the origin and not including all energy terms. We can make more comments, however studying on different cases with defining effective potential and then commenting will be much more explanatory and we will do it in the next sections.

We have seen that angular velocities and accelerations can be written in terms of constants of the motion. Then, we can use two different set to define

the motion of the symmetric top; θ and angular velocities or $\dot{\theta}$ and constants of the motion. Both sets are equivalent and they define the motion of the symmetric top. We will use both to analyze the motion.

There are two ways to obtain necessary variables (θ , ϕ and ψ) to describe the motion of the symmetric top. First way is to find $\theta(t)$ and find angular velocities by using it and get $\dot{\psi}(t)$ and $\dot{\phi}(t)$. Second way is solving angular accelerations Eq.s[12], [17] and [19]. We will use both, however we will not get $\dot{\psi}(t)$ and $\dot{\phi}(t)$ from the first technique. We will use numerical techniques in both.

The spinning symmetric top effected by the gravitational field is a very fruitful case. We will consider different possibilities in the following sections.

2.2.2 Lagrangian and Energy

Now, we will obtain the equations derived in the previous section from Lagrangian and energy.

For a spinning symmetric top, the kinetic energy is the total of kinetic energies due to all angular velocities

$$T = I_x w_x^2 + I_x w_y^2 + I_z w_z^2 \quad (27)$$

and under the gravitational field the potential energy is

$$U = Mgl\cos\theta. \quad (28)$$

Then, Lagrangian becomes

$$\begin{aligned} L &= T - U \\ &= \frac{I_x}{2}(\dot{\theta}^2 + \dot{\phi}^2 \sin^2\theta) + \frac{I_z}{2}(\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi}\cos\theta)^2 - Mgl\cos\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

We can find equations of motion from this Lagrangian by using Euler-Lagrange equations, $\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} = 0$. In this problem q_i 's correspond ψ , ϕ and θ . There are two cyclic coordinates, ψ and ϕ , and there should be two corresponding conserved angular momenta since they define rotation. One can obtain these conserved angular momenta from Euler-Lagrange equations for angular momenta in z and z' direction as

$$\begin{aligned} L_z &= I_z(\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi}\cos\theta), \\ L_{z'} &= I_x\dot{\phi}\sin^2\theta + I_z(\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi}\cos\theta)\cos\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Using these conserved angular momenta, we can define following constants

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{I_z(\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi}\cos\theta)}{I_x}, \\ b &= \dot{\phi}\sin^2\theta + \frac{I_z}{I_x}(\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi}\cos\theta)\cos\theta \\ &= \dot{\phi}\sin^2\theta + a\cos\theta. \end{aligned}$$

These are same constants that are obtained in the previous section. As it is seen, these constants of motion are in the dimension of angular velocity.

$\dot{\phi}$ can be written in terms of them as

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{b - a \cos \theta}{\sin^2 \theta} \quad (25)$$

and $\dot{\psi}$ becomes

$$\dot{\psi} = \frac{I_x}{I_z} a - \frac{b - a \cos \theta}{\sin^2 \theta} \cos \theta. \quad (26)$$

There is another variable in Lagrangian, θ , and corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation can be found as

$$\ddot{\theta} = \sin \theta \left[\frac{Mgl}{I_x} + \dot{\phi}^2 \cos \theta - \frac{I_z}{I_x} \dot{\phi}^2 \cos \theta - \frac{I_z}{I_x} \dot{\phi} \dot{\psi} \right]. \quad (17)$$

These equations are same with the ones in the previous section. If we write the angular velocities in terms of constants, then we obtain Eq.[18].

We can also find other angular accelerations by taking time derivative of Eq.s[25] and [26] as

$$\ddot{\phi} = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\sin \theta} \left(a - 2 \frac{b - a \cos \theta}{\sin^2 \theta} \cos \theta \right), \quad (16)$$

and

$$\ddot{\psi} = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\sin^3 \theta} (b + b \cos^2 \theta - 2a \cos \theta). \quad (20)$$

These equations are also same with the previously obtained ones. If we write a and b in terms of angular velocities then we obtain Eq.[12] and [19].

We can also obtain θ by using the energy. Since we are considering dissipation free cases, there is another conserved quantity in the motion, energy. Energy is the total of the kinetic energy and potential energy, $E = T + U$, and for the symmetric top it is

$$E = \frac{I_x}{2} (\dot{\theta}^2 + \dot{\phi}^2 \sin^2 \theta) + \frac{I_z}{2} (\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi} \cos \theta)^2 + Mgl \cos \theta. \quad (31)$$

From the definition of a , we see that second term is constant and by subtracting it from the energy we obtain

$$E' = \frac{I_x}{2} \dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{I_x}{2} \frac{(b - a \cos \theta)^2}{\sin^2 \theta} + Mgl \cos \theta. \quad (23')$$

This is same constant that we obtained previously. If we take total time derivative of E' by considering it depends on θ and $\dot{\theta}$, by equating it to zero we obtain Eq.[18].

If we change variable by using $u = \cos \theta$, from Eq.[23'] we obtain

$$\dot{u}^2 = (1 - u^2)(\alpha - \beta u) - (b - au)^2, \quad (32)$$

where $\alpha = 2E'/I_x$ and $\beta = 2Mgl/I_x$. We can take squareroot of both side and obtain time as an integral of u

$$t = \int \frac{du}{\sqrt{(\alpha - \beta u)(1 - u^2) - (b - au)^2}}. \quad (33)$$

This kind of integrals are known as elliptic integrals, and again we encountered with them and we will not deal with details of these integrals as we did in the previous section. We will do this integration numerically, and then we will get t for different u values, and using this result it is possible to obtain θ for different t . After obtaining θ , one can obtain $\dot{\theta}$, $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$ by using Eq.s[23], [25] and [26] and also ϕ and ψ by using them. However, obtaining ϕ and ψ from these can be cumbersome due to not evenly spaced time.

Without finding the solution of the equation, it is possible to make some comments on the motion using Eq.[32]. Firstly let us call the right hand side of Eq.[32] as $f(u)$

$$f(u) = (1 - u^2)(\alpha - \beta u) - (b - au)^2. \quad (34)$$

Since $u = \cos\theta$, the time derivative of u corresponds $\dot{\theta}$. Then, the roots of $f(u)$ correspond to $\dot{\theta} = 0$. For the motion of symmetric top, the domain of θ can be considered as $[0, \pi]$ and then u can take values $-1 \leq u \leq 1$.

$f(u)$ has three roots since it is a cubic function. Two of the roots correspond to turning angles of θ . The third one is irrelevant from the physical motion of the symmetric top. u and constants of the motion can also be used to analyze the motion of the symmetric top.

3 Motion of a spinning symmetric top

We have seen that we have an extra term in the Euler equations, $\vec{w} \times \vec{L}$. It is related with the symmetric top's being non-inertial reference frame. For the symmetric top, angular velocity and angular momentum can be in different directions. These two things make harder to understand the motion of the symmetric top from torque and angular momentum. Here, we will solve Euler equations for the symmetric top numerically and explain its motion from these solutions.

We have seen that we can use two equivalent set to study motion; E' , b , a and u (or θ) or $\dot{\phi}$, $\dot{\psi}$, $\dot{\theta}$ and θ . By using $\dot{\phi}_0$, $\dot{\psi}_0$ and θ_0 we can determine b and a , and including $\dot{\theta}_0$ we can determine E' . We mentioned that these three constants E' , b and a correspond to the conservation of the energy, the conservation of the angular momentum in z direction and the conservation of the angular momentum in z' direction respectively. Both set has their own advantages; the first set provides advantages to understand the motion in terms of conserved quantities and provides insight; and the second one provide advantages to understand and study the motion dynamically. In this work, we will use them in a mixed way to understand the motion.

Let us consider a symmetric top with moments of inertia I_x and I_z , mass M , the distance from tip to center of mass l , and also consider that this symmetric top spins with some initial angular velocity $\dot{\psi}_0$ and started to its motion with an initial inclination θ_0 . It can also have initial angular velocities $\dot{\phi}_0$ and $\dot{\theta}_0$; even if $\dot{\phi}_0$ and $\dot{\theta}_0$ are zero at the beginning, they can be developed during the motion. Precession is related with $\dot{\phi}$ and nutation is related with $\dot{\theta}$. There are different types of motion for the symmetric top. These can be obtained from different initial values and can be understood from the constants of the motion.

It is easier to consider the motion of the symmetric top with the constants of motion. E' determines the changes in θ and $\dot{\theta}$. a and b determines changes in $\dot{\psi}$ and $\dot{\phi}$ according to changes in θ . $\dot{\psi}$ and $\dot{\phi}$ are not linearly independent of each other, then a and b are entangled. Though this entangled structure, they provide necessary information to understand the motion. By using changes in θ , we can understand changes in $\dot{\phi}$ mainly from b and in $\dot{\psi}$ mainly from a . We can also use Eq.s[25] and [26] to understand these changes.

In some places $f(u)$ is used to consider the motion of the symmetric top in θ , however it is hard to imagine the symmetric top's motion in terms of u . It is also possible to use an effective potential to analyze the motion in θ , and it is much more convenient for interpretation.

We will mainly use effective potential to understand some parts of the motion, but we will also consider $f(u)$ for comparison. By considering Eq.[23'] and using $E' = I_x \dot{\theta}^2/2 + U_{eff}$, effective potential is written as

$$U_{eff}(\theta) = \frac{I_x (b - a \cos \theta)^2}{2 \sin^2 \theta} + Mgl \cos \theta. \quad (35)$$

It is seen that U_{eff} depends only one variable θ . One may consider that only changes in θ will effect $\dot{\theta}$, but this is not true since all things are coupled, and these coupled variables effect each other obeying conservation of energy and angular momenta. It can seen from Eq.[18] that change in θ is more complex.

This effective potential will go to infinity at the domain boundaries of θ , $[0, \pi]$, and have a minimum within these limits. Then, the form of the potential is like a well. We see general structure of the U_{eff} in Fig.[3]. The minimum of the effective potential can be negative and depends on I_x , Mgl , b and a .

Firstly, let us consider the motion from the effective potential and start with the denominator of the first term to understand different types of the motion; if θ goes to 0 or π , the denominator approaches to zero and the first term goes to infinity.

By looking at these infinities, one can consider that the motion at $\theta = 0$ or π is not possible, however it is possible. If at the beginning of the motion θ_0 is equal to 0 or π together with $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, then this configuration corresponds sleeping top and in these two cases the torque arising from the gravitational force on the symmetric top is equal to zero and the symmetric top will continue its spinning without any change in θ .

There are different possibilities for E' with respect to minimum of the effective potential and other things. These will be resulted with different types of motion.




Figure 3: General form of U_{eff} for $0 < \theta < \pi$.

If E' is equal to the minimum of U_{eff} , then the right hand side of Eq.[24] becomes equal to zero. Since right hand side can not be negative for the physical motion then only one θ value is possible, and $\dot{\theta}$ will be equal to zero throughout the motion. There are other things that should be considered for this type of motion, which we will consider later. This case will correspond to regular precession.

If E' is greater than the minimum value of U_{eff} . Then at two different values of θ , U_{eff} will be equal to E' . These two different θ values correspond to the turning angles of the symmetric top. Since θ will change between these two turning angles periodically, $\dot{\theta}$ should be either positive or negative at different parts of the period. As θ changes between these two turning angles, $\dot{\phi}$ will have different values and these values will identify the type of motion.

To understand different possibilities for $\dot{\phi}$, we will consider $\dot{\phi}$ in terms of constants of motion and θ ;

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{b - a \cos \theta}{\sin^2 \theta}. \quad (25)$$

We already considered cases making denominator zero, they were giving infinities for U_{eff} . The nominator can be zero, greater than zero or less than zero, dependently $\dot{\phi}$ will have same properties. These three possibility together with E' and U_{eff} relation will be resulted with different types of motion. There will be changes in the angular velocity $\dot{\psi}$ as well. It will change as $\dot{\phi}$ changes according to the constant a and b . We will analyze these changes for different types of motion.

We have mentioned that calculation of ϕ and ψ can be cumbersome from previously mentioned method, i.e. using the integration of Eq.[33]. There is another method that we can calculate variables of the motion: numerical solutions of the angular accelerations. In this numerical solution, cumbersome structure is not present and it is preferable. However solving with only one method can sometimes lead problems. When we solve from two different methods, we obtain a checking point for calculations. Then we will follow such a structure and

calculate from both techniques. We will not calculate ϕ and ψ from the first technique since if $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$ calculations are consistent there will be no need to deal with unevenly spaced time interval.

We will solve following angular accelerations

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\theta} &= \sin\theta \left[\frac{Mgl}{I_x} + \dot{\phi}^2 \cos\theta - \frac{I_z}{I_x} \dot{\phi}^2 \cos\theta - \frac{I_z}{I_x} \dot{\phi} \dot{\psi} \right] \\ \ddot{\phi} &= \frac{\dot{\theta}}{I_x \sin\theta} \left[I_z \dot{\psi} + I_z \dot{\phi} \cos\theta - 2I_x \dot{\phi} \cos\theta \right] \\ \ddot{\psi} &= -\cot\theta \left[\frac{I_z}{I_x} \dot{\theta} \dot{\psi} + \frac{I_z}{I_x} \dot{\theta} \dot{\phi} \cos\theta - 2\dot{\theta} \dot{\phi} \cos\theta \right] + \dot{\theta} \dot{\phi} \sin\theta\end{aligned}$$

by integrating numerically. Since they are all coupled, we will integrate them in a coupled way to get the solution. For all cases we will take $\phi_0 = 0$ and $\psi_0 = 0$. We will obtain three angles and three angular velocities as a function of time from this technique. Since, we have obtained θ and ϕ as a function of time we will plot three dimensional figures, and these plots will give more insight on the motion. Remaining plots related with θ , ϕ , ψ , $\dot{\theta}$, $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$ will be available at the appendix. All results given below are consistent in θ , $\dot{\theta}$, $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$ for two techniques.

Now let us overview of the motion of the symmetric top to understand these three dimensional figures; ψ will be related with rotation around symmetry axis, θ and ϕ will be related with the motion of the symmetry axis. If we plot the points defined by θ and ϕ , obtained from equations describing the motion of the symmetric top, in three dimensional way, we will get the intersection of the unit sphere having origin at the tip of the symmetric top with the symmetry axis of the symmetric top. So, these three dimensional figures correspond to the motion of the symmetry axis. This type drawings are known as the locus of the figure (symmetry) axis on the unit sphere.

We need to mention another point about the first method. At the turning angles of θ there are some discontinuities, arising from separation of the integration as increasing and decreasing part. This separation causes loss of some information, decreasing integration step to smaller values will be helpful.

3.1 Sleeping top

Sleeping top corresponds that the symmetric top continue its spinning with initial ψ_0 without changing its orientation. There are two possible θ value for the sleeping top; 0 and π . To obtain such a motion, it is enough to let the symmetric top spin along z' or $-z'$ axis without any initial $\dot{\theta}$. In these cases the symmetric top continues its spinning without changing its orientation.

Let us consider the denominator of Eq.[25], if θ is equal to 0 or π , it becomes zero and gives infinities. These infinities do not mean that $\dot{\phi}$ becomes infinite, it shows that $\dot{\phi}$ can be irrelevant for the motion in these cases.

In the sleeping top case, body z -axis becomes parallel or anti parallel to the stationary z' -axis, and $\dot{\phi}$ becomes irrelevant for the motion since it defines how

body z -axis rotates around stationary z -axis. To obtain equations describing such a case one need to rearrange terms with $\dot{\phi}$. If $\dot{\phi}$ is not eliminated from the relevant equations, there can be some misleading situations.

If $\theta_0 = 0$ then z -axis overlaps with z' -axis. So, we should eliminate terms with $\dot{\phi}$ from the equations. After eliminating $\dot{\phi}$ from the relevant equations and letting $\theta = 0$, from Eq.s[30] we see that L_z becomes equal to L'_z . Then from Eq.[17], we see that the angular acceleration $\ddot{\theta}$ is equal to zero. If $\theta_0 = \pi$, then z -axis overlaps with negative z' -axis and we get $L_z = -L'_z$. Again $\ddot{\theta}$ becomes zero. Since $\theta_0 = 0$ and $\theta = 0$, there will be no change in θ .

In these two cases, the torque arising from the gravitational force on the symmetric top is equal to zero and the symmetric top will continue its spinning without any change in θ . Here we are considering dissipation free cases, then the spin angular momentum arising from $\dot{\psi}$ does not decay.

In daily life, for the spinning symmetric top there is always a friction and this friction causes decay of $\dot{\psi}$. However, this is not the reason for falling of the symmetric top. If the symmetric top's symmetry axis is parallel to stationary z -axis which is the direction of gravitational force then torque will be always zero and the symmetric top will not change its orientation. The reason of the change in the orientation of the symmetric top is small fluctuations in the direction of the symmetric top, any small change independent of the amount will cause a torque and this will trigger motions different than sleeping top. We will later give some more explanations on such cases.

3.2 Regular precession

The regular precession is the precession that θ does not change and $\dot{\phi}$ is constant. For the regular precession the spinning symmetric top should start its motion with an initial inclination θ_0 and angular velocity $\dot{\phi}_0$ and continue its precession without nutation, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$. We can find such values by using the effective potential.

If E' is equal to the minimum of U_{eff} , then only one θ value is possible. Since θ does not change, $\dot{\theta}$ is always zero. To find initial values for such a configuration, we can take derivative of U_{eff}

$$\frac{dU_{eff}(\theta)}{d\theta} = \frac{I_x}{2} \left(\frac{2(b - a\cos\theta)a\sin\theta}{\sin^2\theta} - \frac{-2(b - a\cos\theta)^2\cos\theta}{\sin^3\theta} \right) - Mgl\sin\theta \quad (36)$$

and then equate it to the zero. We can write this equation after inserting b and a in terms of $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$ as

$$(\dot{\phi}^2\cos\theta(I_z - I_x) + \dot{\phi}\dot{\psi}I_z - Mgl)\sin\theta = 0. \quad (37)$$

Here $\sin\theta$ is common multiplier and it is zero when θ equals to 0 or π , which correspond sleeping top. We will look at the cases which makes the terms in the parenthesis equal to zero.

If we eliminate $\sin\theta$, we get

$$\dot{\phi}^2\cos\theta(I_z - I_x) + \dot{\phi}\dot{\psi}I_z - Mgl = 0. \quad (38)$$

The discriminant of this equation is $D = (I_z \dot{\psi})^2 + 4(I_z - I_x)Mgl \cos\theta$. If $4(I_z - I_x)Mgl \cos\theta = -(I_z \dot{\psi})^2$ then the discriminant will be equal to zero and only one $\dot{\phi}$ will give regular precession. Since $(I_z \dot{\psi})^2$ is always positive, left hand side should also be negative for equality. If $I_z < I_x$, then θ should be between 0 and $\pi/2$; if $I_z > I_x$, then θ should be between $\pi/2$ and π , otherwise regular precession with one $\dot{\phi}$ is not possible. Since the maximum value of $|\cos\theta| = 1$, then for regular precession with single $\dot{\phi}$, the spin angular velocity $\dot{\psi}$ should be smaller than $\sqrt{4Mgl|I_z - I_x|/I_z^2}$. We will use the angular velocity $\sqrt{4Mgl|I_z - I_x|/I_z^2}$ to discriminate regular precessions with single $\dot{\phi}$ and two $\dot{\phi}$ and designate it with \tilde{w} . Since \tilde{w} corresponds small angular velocities we will name symmetric tops spinning with smaller angular velocity than \tilde{w} as "weak top". If $\dot{\psi} > \tilde{w}$, then we will use "strong top".

We can write Eq.[38] as

$$\dot{\phi}^2 \cos\theta - \dot{\phi}a + \frac{Mgl}{I_x} = 0. \quad (39)$$

We see that the discriminant will be equal to $a^2 - 4Mgl \cos\theta / I_x$. If $a^2 < 4Mgl / I_x$, then θ should be between $\pi/2$ and π to get regular precession. However, it is still possible to get regular precession when $0 < \theta < \pi/2$. Using constant a detain these cases, to see these we need to use previous relation.

Still there are advantages of using a . We can also use $\tilde{a} = \sqrt{4Mgl/I_x}$ to make discrimination for the symmetric top as "strong top" or "weak top". If $|a| > \tilde{a}$ the symmetric top will be designated as "strong top", and if $|a| < \tilde{a}$ the symmetric top will be designated as "weak top". Both weak or strong top definitions are nearly same, and either $\dot{\psi}$ or a can be used to determine it. However in some situations at the border these definitions can conflict.

If we use Eq.[39], determination of $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$ will not be straightforward as Eq.[38], since constant a involves both. Here, we will use Eq.[38].

In regular precessions with single $\dot{\phi}$ cases the relation between θ and $\dot{\psi}$ is

$$\cos\theta = -(I_z \dot{\psi})^2 / (4(I_z - I_x)Mgl). \quad (40)$$

For a positive discriminant, giving regular precession for two $\dot{\phi}$ value, roots can be obtained by using

$$\dot{\phi}_{1,2} = \frac{-I_z \dot{\psi} \pm \sqrt{D}}{2(I_z - I_x) \cos\theta}. \quad (41)$$

After assigning suitable values to θ and $\dot{\psi}$ for a symmetric top, from Eq.[41] it is possible to find $\dot{\phi}$, which will give a precession without nutation.

Now, we will obtain roots of Eq.[38] for different $\dot{\psi}$ values. We will consider a symmetric top with parameters $I_x = 0.00014kgm^2$, $I_z = 0.00022kgm^2$ and $Mgl = 0.068J$ for this and later calculations in this work. In this case β becomes 971.4. These parameters can correspond to the child's top or a symmetric top with disc like structure, and gives $\tilde{w} = 21.20rad/s$ and $\tilde{a} = 44.08$.




Figure 4: U_{eff} at left, and $f(u)$ (right hand side of Eq.[32] as a function of $u = \cos\theta$) at right. E' is plotted with straight black line in U_{eff} graph. This case gives regular precession with single $\dot{\phi}$, and initial values and constants of motion for this case as follows; $\theta_0 = 2.269rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 17rad/s$, $\dot{\phi} = 36.36rad/s$, $E' = 0.01060J$, $a = -10.02$, $b = 27.78$ and $\alpha = 151.2$.

If we take $\dot{\psi}$ equal to $\sqrt{4Mgl(I_z - I_x)|/I_z^2}$, from Eq.[40] we obtain θ as π , which corresponds to sleeping top and we can not obtain regular precession with single $\dot{\phi}$, then we need to take smaller $\dot{\psi}$ values to obtain such a motion.

If we took $\dot{\psi}$ as $17rad/s$, then we obtain θ as $2.269rad$ for the regular precession with single $\dot{\phi}$. In this case $\dot{\phi}$ becomes $36.36rad/s$. For this case, U_{eff} is shown in Fig.[4]. It is seen that E' intersects U_{eff} at its minimum, at $\theta = 2.269rad$. We see it also from $f(u)$; it intersects with zero twice, and one of them gives regular precession, $u = -0.6428$ corresponding $\theta = 2.269rad$.

If we choose $\dot{\psi}$ grater than \tilde{w} , then we need to specify θ as well to find $\dot{\phi}$. If we choose $\dot{\psi} = 50rad/s$ and $\theta = 1.1rad$, then we obtain $\dot{\psi}_1 = -309.2rad/s$ and $\dot{\psi}_2 = 6.061rad/s$. For these cases the graphs of U_{eff} are available in Fig.[5]. It is seen that the minimum of U_{eff} is at $\theta = 1.1rad$ and E' intersects U_{eff} at there.

In Eq.[38] we have three variables θ , $\dot{\psi}$ and $\dot{\phi}$. We found $\dot{\phi}$ by assigning values to others. It is also possible to find any one of the variables after assigning suitable values to others.

In this case, we can not use integration with respect to u to find time, since only one u value is available. However we can integrate numerically angular accelerations, and results are available in Fig.[6]. In Fig.[6], we see shapes of locus for regular precession with single $\dot{\phi}$ and two $\dot{\phi}$. The first one is for the precession with single $\dot{\phi}$, seen at the left. Second and third one plotted on the same graph, seen at the right, since they precesses without nutation at same θ value, they are overlapped and it looks like one line. One of them precessing in negative direction with grater angular velocity, the other one in the positive direction with smaller angular velocity. Results, obtained from numerical integration of angular accelerations, of θ , ϕ , ψ , $\dot{\theta}$, $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$ for this and next cases are available in the appendix; Fig.[40] shows results for regular precession with single $\dot{\phi}$, Fig.[41] and Fig.[42] show results for regular precession with two $\dot{\phi}$.




Figure 5: At left; U_{eff} and E' for $\dot{\phi}_1$ (top $E' = 5.346J$) and $\dot{\phi}_2$ (bottom $E' = 0.03289J$) for regular precession. At right; corresponding $f(u)$ s. For the first one $\dot{\psi}_0 = 50rad/s$, $\theta_0 = 1.1rad$, $\dot{\phi} = -309.2rad/s$, $a = -141.8$, $b = -309.9$, $\alpha = 76370$ and $Mglb/a = 0.1486$. For the second one $\dot{\psi}_0 = 50rad/s$, $\theta_0 = 1.1rad$, $\dot{\phi} = 6.061rad/s$, $a = 82.89$, $b = 42.41$, $\alpha = 469.8$ and $Mglb/a = 0.03479$.




Figure 6: Shapes of the locus on unit sphere for the regular precession. The left one for the single $\dot{\phi}$ with initial values $\theta_0 = 2.269rad$, $\phi_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 0$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 36.36rad/s$, $\dot{\psi}_0 = 17rad/s$. The right one for $\dot{\phi}_1 = -309.2rad/s$ (continuous line) and $\dot{\phi}_2 = 6.061rad/s$ (dashed line) with initial values $\theta_0 = 1.1rad$, $\phi_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 0$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\psi}_0 = 50rad/s$. The dashed line and continuous line can be seen only if one look closely.

Here, it is better to mention about some points. The gravitational force gives a torque, if initial angular momentum is zero then this torque causes a rotation around the line of nodes and θ will increase. For the symmetric top, this torque is still available however for this regular precession case it is not resulted with

an increase in θ . This shows that, there is an effect balancing this torque. If we look at the effective potential this effect is result of $I_x \dot{\phi}^2/2$. This shows that for the symmetric top, the angular momentum around stationary z' -axis can cause rise of the symmetric top. We will consider this rise with details in the next cases.

3.3 Cup like motion

For the cup like motion it is better to start studying in terms of $\dot{\psi}$, $\dot{\phi}$, $\dot{\theta}$ and θ . This type of motion is one of the most seen one.

Now let us consider a symmetric top spinning with an initial angular velocity $\dot{\psi}_0$. If we let it spin with an initial inclination θ_0 and with zero angular velocities $\dot{\phi}_0 = 0$ and $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, we see cup like motion.

Initially the symmetric top will rotate an infinitesimal amount around the line of nodes by the effect of torque caused by the gravitational force and dependently θ will increase. Then according to $\dot{\phi} = (b - a \cos \theta) / \sin^2 \theta$, the symmetric top will start to gain some angular velocity $\dot{\phi}$. As the magnitude of $\dot{\phi}$ increases, the magnitude of $\dot{\psi}$ will decrease according to the conserved quantity a .

We can see from the initial values that $E' = Mgl \cos \theta_0$, which is equal to the effective potential at that point. We also see from the initial values that $b = a \cos \theta_0$ and if $|b| < |a|$ we can say $\theta_0 = \arccos(b/a)$, which makes $E' = Mglb/a$. Then one of the intersection angle of E' and U_{eff} occurs at θ_0 , which is the minimum since θ increases after the start of the motion. As θ increases U_{eff} decreases, this decrease is compensated by an increase in $\dot{\theta}$ and the total energy remains constant. The increase in θ gives necessity of positive $\dot{\theta}$. From the shape of U_{eff} , we can conclude that after some point θ will start to decrease and it will eventually be equal to 0 at the θ_{max} . After θ_{max} , $\dot{\theta}$ will have negative values and the symmetric top will rise till θ reaches its initial value. $\dot{\theta}$ should be naturally zero at the turning points, and have extrema at θ values where the minimum value of U_{eff} occurs.

In this case $b = a \cos \theta_0$, and if we consider $\dot{\phi} = (b - a \cos \theta) / \sin^2 \theta$ relation we can understand the changes in $\dot{\phi}$. We have mentioned that $\dot{\phi}$ will gain some angular velocity as θ increases. During this motion, the magnitude of $\dot{\phi}$ will reach to the maximum value when θ reaches its maximum value. After reaching the maximum value, θ will gradually decrease and dependently $\dot{\phi}$ will also decrease. When θ returns to its initial value, $\dot{\phi}$ will also and become zero. The magnitude of $\dot{\psi}$ will firstly decrease and then increase to its initial value corresponding to changes in $\dot{\phi}$. All changes are similar to harmonic motion, but not exactly same.

The turning angles of θ are two important parameters of the motion. In this case, we have seen that θ_0 is the minimum angle. The maximum angle can be found from $f(u)$ or from the solution of $U_{eff} = E'$.

For a case with initial values $\theta_0 = 0.175 \text{ rad}$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 100 \text{ rad/s}$, we obtained results. With these initial values constants in $f(u)$ becomes $a = 157.1$, $b = 154.7$ and $\alpha = 956.6$. The results are depicted in Fig.[7],

[8] and [9]. At left of Fig.[7], we see the general structure of $f(u)$, and at right we see close view of it and the relevant roots, $u_1 = 0.9834$ and $u_2 = 0.9847$. Corresponding θ values to these roots are $\theta_1 = 0.1823$ and $\theta_2 = 0.1750rad$.




Figure 7: $f(u)$ (left) and its close view (right) for $a = 157.1$, $b = 154.7$ and $\alpha = 956.6$. Roots occur at $u = 0.9834$ and $u = 0.9847$.

In Fig.[8], we see U_{eff} and E' for this situation. From the graph we see possible θ values; for physical cases E' should be greater than U_{eff} , which is possible if θ is between 0.1750 and $0.1823rad$. From this graph we can say that the motion of the symmetric top in θ will be periodic between these two turning angles. It starts from the initial θ value, $0.1750rad$, then as a result of the gravitational force the symmetric top will fall and θ will gradually increase till $0.1823rad$. Then, the symmetric top will return from that point and continue its motion toward the other turning point and the motion will continue in this way.

Using Eq.[33], we can find $\theta(t)$ and $\dot{\theta}(t)$, and then using $\theta(t)$ we can find $\dot{\phi}(t)$ and $\dot{\psi}(t)$ from Eq.s[25] and [26]. Due to the structure of Eq.[33], we need to consider one period in two parts; from θ_{min} to θ_{max} and then from θ_{max} to θ_{min} . In this procedure there can be some discontinuities due to this separation. The results are shown in Fig.[9].




Figure 8: U_{eff} and E' , and its close view (right). It is seen that θ can take values between 0.1750 and $0.1823rad$ when $E' = Mglb/a = 0.06696J$. The minimum of U_{eff} ($0.06694J$) is at $0.1786rad$. Initial values are $\theta_0 = 0.175rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 0$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 100rad/s$ and resulting constants are same with Fig.[7].




Figure 9: $\theta(t)$ (up left), $\dot{\theta}(t)$ (up right), $\dot{\phi}(t)$ (down left) and $\dot{\psi}(t)$ (down right), obtained by integration of Eq.[33]. Initial values are same with Fig.[8].

From U_{eff} , we made conclusions about θ and $\dot{\theta}$. The mentioned changes for θ and $\dot{\theta}$ can be seen in Fig.[9]. We also see changes in $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$ at that figure. Now let us consider these changes in terms of conserved quantities, which show themselves as constants a and b . b corresponds to the conservation of angular momentum in z' , a component of $\dot{\psi}$ contribute to it via $a\cos\theta$. As θ increases this contribution decreases, then the conservation of the angular momentum in z' direction assures increase in the magnitude of $\dot{\phi}$. But this increase should also be consistent with the conservation of the angular momentum in z direction, related with a , and as the magnitude of $\dot{\phi}$ increases the magnitude of $\dot{\psi}$ should decrease. After the maximum θ value, θ starts to decrease and the mentioned changes happen in the reverse order. We can see how these took place from the graphs in Fig.[9].

These relations are a bit more complicated due to existence of trigonometric functions, and we will consider this complicated structure in next cases.

We see that $\dot{\phi}$ is at the maximum when θ is at the maximum, and zero when it is minimum, so the symmetric top precesses in a slower rate when θ is near to its minimum. This produces a cup like figure if we draw a three dimensional figure.

We have obtained changes in variables with respect to time by numerically integrating angular accelerations. The three dimensional plots are available in Fig.[10]. Plots for angles and angular velocities are available in appendix, Fig.[43]. All angular velocities oscillate due to torque felt by the symmetric top and obey conservation of angular momenta and energy; a , b and E' .




Figure 10: Shapes of the locus on unit sphere for the cup like motion. At the left we see a few nutation period, and at the right we see precession for 2π . Initial values are same with Fig.[8].

In this type of motion, $\dot{\phi}$ will have positive values if a is positive, and if a is negative it will have negative values. For the cup like motion, b can take values between $-a$ to a depending on θ_0 .

Now, we can explain the rise of the symmetric top in terms of the angular momentum in z' -axis. In the previous case we have seen that the gravitational torque is balanced by an effect caused by the angular momentum in z' -axis. The gravitational torque is parallel to the line of nodes. If we consider $\vec{w} \times \vec{L}$ term, Eq.[4], and take cross product of \vec{w} with the angular momentum in z' direction we obtain a vector anti-parallel to the line of nodes. This is also possible if the angular momentum is in $-z'$ direction. This vector acts like torque and it is available because of the symmetric top's being a non-inertial reference frame. It is just like the inertial forces or pseudo forces appeared in non-inertial reference frames, and we will refer it by *inertial torque*.

In this case, at the beginning $\dot{\phi}$ was zero and it was gradually increasing. Then, we can say that at the beginning, the gravitational torque was greater than the inertial torque. But after some time inertial torque around the line of nodes becomes equal to the gravitational torque, at that moment $\dot{\theta}$ reaches its maximum value and the minimum value of U_{eff} occurs. However θ continues to increase some more time. When $\dot{\theta}$ reaches zero, the magnitude of the inertial torque around the line of nodes is already greater than the gravitational torque. This difference results with a negative torque around the line of nodes and negative $\dot{\theta}$, as a result of this the symmetric top rises. As it rises the $\dot{\phi}$ becomes smaller, and at a point again the torque around the line of nodes becomes zero, where again the minimum value of U_{eff} occurs. This rise continues for a while as a consequence of negative $\dot{\theta}$. Finally θ reaches its initial value and rise stops, where $\dot{\phi}$ is zero. Then this procedure repeats itself.

3.4 Wavy precession

In this case, we will consider that the symmetric top precesses toward the same direction at both θ_{min} and θ_{max} , and nutation takes many times during one

precession. This kind of motion is possible if the initial $\dot{\phi}$ is different than zero, and it should have same sign at both extremum of θ .

$\dot{\phi} = (b - a\cos\theta)/\sin^2\theta$, then it will be equal to the zero if $\theta = \arccos(b/a)$, which requires $|b| < |a|$. If we use $\theta = \arccos(b/a)$ in U_{eff} , we obtain it as $Mglb/a$. E' determines possible interval of θ according to U_{eff} , and if E' is smaller than $Mglb/a$ then θ does not take values which makes $\dot{\phi}$ equal to zero. Since $\dot{\phi}$ is a continuous quantity then $\dot{\phi}$ does not change sign and always precesses in one direction in this case. Then we can say that to obtain this kind of motion the absolute value of b should be smaller than the absolute value of a , and E' should be smaller than $Mglb/a$, and $Mglb/a$ should be greater than U_{eff} . In this case $|b| > |a\cos\theta|$.




Figure 11: U_{eff} , E' (black straight line), $Mglb/a$ (dotted line) at left and $f(u)$ at right. This case gives wavy precession, and initial values for this case as follows; $\theta_0 = 0.2056rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 148.3rad/s$, $\dot{\phi} = 0.8968rad/s$ and resulting $E' = 0.06657J$. Turning angles for θ are 0.2056 and 0.2077rad, and the minimum of U_{eff} is at 0.2067rad. For this case $a = 234.4$, $b = 229.5$ and $\alpha = 951.0$.

To obtain such a case we can choose $\theta_0 = 0.2056rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 0.8968rad/s$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 148.3rad/s$. With these initial values, the constants become $a = 234.4$, $b = 229.5$ and $\alpha = 951.0$. Then, $Mglb/a = 0.06658J$ and $E' = 0.06657J$, which is smaller than $Mglb/a$. The turning angles of θ are 0.2056 and 0.2077rad, and these can be obtained from U_{eff} by using E' or from $f(u)$, whose plot are available in Fig.[11].

In Fig.[12], we see the results obtained numerically from Eq.s[33], [25] and [26]. The initial value of θ is the minimum value, then as time passes it increases in the first part of motion. We can understand this change from U_{eff} , as θ increases $\dot{\theta}$ increases till the minimum of the effective potential then starts to decrease. As θ increases, $\dot{\phi}$ increases to obey conservation of angular momentum in z' -direction since $a\cos\theta$ decreases, seen from $b = \dot{\phi}\sin^2\theta + a\cos\theta$. As $\dot{\phi}$ increases, $\dot{\psi}$ decreases in accordance with conservation of angular momentum in z -direction, seen from $a = (I_z/I_x)(\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi}\cos\theta)$. When θ reaches its maximum, $\dot{\theta}$ becomes zero. In the second half of the motion these changes take place in the reverse order.

By numerically integrating angular acceleration for the mentioned initial values we obtained results. Three dimensional plots are available in Fig.[13], other




Figure 12: θ (up left), $\dot{\theta}$ (up right), $\dot{\phi}$ (down left) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) are obtained from the integration of Eq.[33]. Initial values are same with Fig.[11].

results can be found in appendix, Fig.[44]. Wavy structure of the precession is visible in the three dimensional figure.

This kind motion can take place as long as the absolute value of b is smaller than the absolute value of a , i.e. $|b| < |a|$, provided that $U_{eff_{min}} < E' < Mglb/a$. If a is positive, $\dot{\phi}$ becomes always positive and the symmetric top precesses always in the forward direction. If a is negative, $\dot{\phi}$ becomes always negative and the symmetric top precesses in the backward direction.

If we consider the motion in terms of the gravitational torque and the angular momentum in z' direction, we can say that at the beginning of the motion the component of the inertial torque in the direction of the line of nodes, obtained




Figure 13: Shapes of the locus on unit sphere for the wavy precession. Initial values are same with Fig.[11].

from $\vec{w} \times \vec{L}_{z'}$, is smaller than the gravitational torque. Then the symmetric top falls and θ increases, meanwhile this increase resulted with an increase in $\dot{\phi}$. At some point this increase resulted with a negative torque around the line of nodes. The consequences of this will not be seen immediately as a rise, this rise will be seen after it makes $\dot{\theta}$ zero. Its effect is not enough to rise the symmetric top to reach necessary height to provide negative $\dot{\phi}$ values. After some time, the gravitational torque will become dominant and then the symmetric top will start to fall again. Then this motion will repeat itself.

3.5 Looping motion

If the symmetric top have both negative and positive $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\theta}$, then the combination of motions due to them forms a looping motion.

In this case, $b - a \cos \theta$ should be equal to the zero for a value of θ between θ_{min} and θ_{max} . Then $\dot{\phi}$ becomes sometimes positive, sometimes negative and zero in between. Zero of $\dot{\phi}$ occurs at $\theta = \arccos(b/a)$, this requires $|b| < |a|$ because of the possible range of $\cos \theta$. We have seen in the previous case that if $E' < Mglb/a$ then $\dot{\phi}$ never becomes zero and always gets the same sign. So, for the looping motion E' should be bigger than $Mglb/a$. Then, $\dot{\phi}$ will have a reverse sign for some θ values and it will precesses toward different directions at θ_{min} and θ_{max} . So, the conditions for the looping motion can be written as $\theta_{min} < \arccos(b/a) < \theta_{max}$ and $E' > Mglb/a > U_{eff_{min}}$ provided that $|b| < |a|$. Since the symmetric top precesses in one direction at θ_{min} and in other direction at θ_{max} , there will be a looping motion.

Now, we will get results for this looping motion. We will choose the initial values to provide that $\dot{\phi}$ have negative and positive values at the extrema of θ . To obtain such a case, we can choose $\theta_0 = 0.27rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 10rad/s$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 100rad/s$. With these initial values, the constants become $a = 172.3$, $b = 166.8$ and $\alpha = 943.3$. In this case $E' = 0.06603J$ and $Mglb/a = 0.06582J$. The turning angles of θ are 0.2472 and $0.2700rad$, and these can be obtained from the effective potential by using E' or $f(u)$, their graphs are available in Fig.[14].

Let us again start to analyze the motion from U_{eff} . This time the motion starts with the maximum θ value since initial $\dot{\phi}_0$ and a are positive, then the most of changes happen in reverse order with respect to previous cases. From the effective potential, we can conclude that θ will reduce till the smaller turning angle, $0.2472rad$, and then increase till its initial value. Since the minimum of U_{eff} is at $\theta = 0.2583rad$, at these values $\dot{\theta}$ should have extremum values.

Now let us analyze motion in terms of conservation of angular momentum. The results obtained by integration of Eq.[33] are seen in Fig.[15]. We see that the motion is started from the maximum θ , then it decreases as time passes. As it decreases, the contribution from constant a in b increases and $\dot{\phi}$ should decrease to obey conservation of angular momentum in z' -direction and this decrease continues to negative values. As $\dot{\phi}$ decreases its contribution in a decreases, then $\dot{\psi}$ should increase to obey conservation of angular momentum




Figure 14: U_{eff} , E' (black straight line) and $Mglb/a$ (dotted line) at left, and $f(u)$ at right. This case gives looping motion, and initial values for this case as follows; $\theta_0 = 0.27rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 100rad/s$, $\dot{\phi} = 10rad/s$ and resulting $E' = 0.06603J$. Turning angles for θ are 0.2472 and $0.27rad$, and the minimum of U_{eff} is at $0.2583rad$. For this case $a = 172.3$, $b = 166.8$ and $\alpha = 943.3$.




Figure 15: θ (up left), $\dot{\theta}$ (up right), $\dot{\phi}$ (down left) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) are obtained from the integration of Eq.[33]. Initial values are same with Fig.[14].

in z -direction. We also see that $\dot{\phi}$ is positive at the maximum value of θ , and it is negative at the minimum value of θ .

The three dimensional graphs obtained from numerical integration of angular accelerations are seen in Fig.[16]. Looping structure is clearly seen. Other results are available in appendix, Fig.[45].

If a is positive, then at the maximum of θ , $\dot{\phi}$ is positive, and it is negative at the minimum. If a is negative, $\dot{\phi}$ takes reverse signs.

Now let us consider the motion in terms of angular momentum and torque.




Figure 16: Shapes of the locus on unit sphere. The initial values are same with Fig.[14].

This motion starts from θ_{max} since $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$ and $\dot{\phi}$ and a are positive. The inertial torque in the direction of the line of nodes originated from $\dot{\phi}$ is big enough to rise the symmetric top to have negative $\dot{\phi}$ values. At the negative $\dot{\phi}$ values, $\vec{\omega} \times \vec{L}_{z'}$ contribute to the gravitational torque in the same direction, then the symmetric top falls till reaching the initial θ and $\dot{\phi}$ value. The mentioned procedure repeats itself and the average value of ϕ changes in every looping motion.

3.6 Precession with single nutation

In the cup like motion, the wavy precession and the looping motion, absolute value of b was always smaller than the absolute value of a . Now we will consider that $|b| > |a|$. In the regular precession, there were some cases with $|b| > |a|$ however at that case there were no nutation. In the wavy precession, $\dot{\phi}$ was having always same sign with careful determination of E' , with this determination possible θ values was resulting with always same sign for $\dot{\phi}$ though $|b| < |a|$ and there were many nutations in one precession. Differently from that case, in this case there will be nearly one nutation during one precession and $\dot{\phi}$ will have always same sign as a result of $|b| > |a|$. $|b| > |a|$ provides precession always in the same direction independent of θ , with $E' > Mglb/a$ and $E' > U_{effmin}$.

In this one, we will choose initial values to provide that $\dot{\phi}$ is always positive and different than zero with condition $|b| > |a|$. If we use initial values $\theta_0 = 1.310rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 190rad/s$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 100rad/s$, then we obtain such a motion. With these initial values, the constants become $a = 234.1$, $b = 237.7$ and $\alpha = 33950$. By using $f(u)$ or U_{eff} and E' , we find extrema of θ as 0.02013 and $1.310rad$ for this configuration.

Graphs of $f(u)$ and U_{eff} are available in Fig.[17]. If we look at the shape of U_{eff} from the graph, we see that it has a high asymmetry and the minimum value of U_{eff} is very close to the smaller turning angle. This asymmetry causes very rapid changes in $\dot{\theta}$ near that turning angle.

By using integral in Eq.[33], we obtained θ , $\dot{\theta}$, $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$, available in Fig.[18]. θ starts from the maximum value, then it gradually reduces, and as it comes closer to the minimum value its change becomes more rapid. We see that θ decreases




Figure 17: U_{eff} , E' (straight black line), $Mglb/a$ (dotted line) at left and $f(u)$ at right. This case gives precession with single nutation, and initial values for this case as follows; $\theta_0 = 1.310rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 100.0rad/s$, $\dot{\phi} = 190rad/s$ and resulting $E' = 2.377J$ and $Mglb/a = 0.06905$. Turning angles for θ are 0.02013 and $1.310rad$, and the minimum of U_{eff} (0.186145) is at $0.1760rad$. For this case $a = 234.1$, $b = 237.7$ and $\alpha = 33950$.

till the minimum θ value, then a sharp change occurs and θ start to increase. This sharp change is seen in $\dot{\theta}$ in a better way; $\dot{\theta}$ reaches its minimum value, a negative one, then it starts to increase and becomes zero. After turning angle of θ , $\dot{\theta}$ suddenly increases to its maximum value and then gradually decreases to zero.

The change in $\dot{\phi}$ is more interesting, it starts with its positive initial value then decreases but do not reach to zero. Before the symmetric top having its minimum θ value, there is a sudden increase in $\dot{\phi}$ and then it reaches to its maximum value, more than 40 times of its initial value, at the minimum of θ . At the same time there occurs a sudden change in $\dot{\psi}$, while it was decreasing gradually from its initial value it suddenly drops to very high negative values.

Now let us analyze these from conservation of angular momenta. If we consider constant $b = \dot{\phi} \sin^2 \theta + a \cos \theta$, as θ decreases $\cos \theta$ increases and $\dot{\phi}$ should decrease to compensate this and preserve angular momentum z' -direction. At the beginning of motion we see this decrease. However, as θ approaches to θ_{min} , very close to zero, the multiplication factor of $\dot{\phi}$ becomes very small. To compensate this, $\dot{\phi}$ takes very great values.

We have another constant of motion, a corresponding conservation of angular momentum in z direction. At the beginning of motion there is slight decrease in $\dot{\phi}$. In this part, the increase rate of $\cos \theta$ is grater than that decrease, and then $\dot{\phi} \cos \theta$ increases. Then $\dot{\psi}$ decreases to compensate this increase. As motion continues, $\dot{\phi}$ increases with an increasing rate. As $\dot{\phi}$ becomes larger and larger, $\dot{\psi}$ firstly becomes zero then takes high negative values to obey conservation of angular momentum. $\dot{\psi}$ is related with the symmetric top's spin and its negative values mean that it spins in the reverse direction. The spin in the reverse direction is much more faster than its initial value, more than 80 times. The symmetric top's spin in the reverse direction of its initial spin with very high values is very interesting situation.




Figure 18: θ (up left), $\dot{\theta}$ (up right), $\dot{\phi}$ (down left) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) are obtained from the integration of Eq.[33]. Initial values are same with Fig.[17].

These changes happen during rising of the symmetric top, after reaching turning angle the symmetric top starts to fall and all these changes happens in the reverse order.

There is another point related with this case; the symmetric top has nearly equal precession and nutation periods, nutation period is slightly grater than the precession period.

The three dimensional graphs obtained from numerical integration of angular accelerations are available in Fig.[19]. From the left one we see that nutation period and precession period is nearly same, and from the right one we see that it slightly precesses forward after each nutation. Other results obtained




Figure 19: Shapes of the locus on unit sphere. Initial values are same with Fig.[17].

from numerical integration of angular accelerations can be found in appendix, Fig.[46].

For this case there are two possibility; forward and backward precession. If b is positive, then the precession is forward and if b is negative it is backward, both case is independent of sign of a . This case is an example of the forward precession.

If we consider this case in terms of the torque and angular momentum, we can easily say that at the beginning the effect of $\vec{w} \times \vec{L}_{z'}$ is bigger than the gravitational torque, and it rises the symmetric top. The rise continues up to very small θ values. The astonishing changes in $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$ are better understood from conservation of the angular momenta and we have already given the explanation. After rise of the symmetric top to θ_{min} , $\vec{w} \times \vec{L}_{z'}$ becomes very small because of very small θ values and the gravitational torque becomes dominant and the symmetric top falls till θ_0 .

3.7 Motion when $|a| < |b|$ and $U_{eff_{min}} < E' < Mglb/a$

In the previous case, E' was grater than $Mglb/a$. Now we will consider a case, $|a| < |b|$ and $U_{eff_{min}} < E' < Mglb/a$. Previous case and this case are similar in many ways, however for the completeness we will analyze this case also. We should choose initial values according to these conditions, which require small $|a|$ and $|b|$ values.

If we choose initial values as $\theta_0 = 0.9225rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\psi}_0 = 1.225rad/s$ and $\dot{\phi} = 22.21rad/s$ we obtain such a configuration. In this case constants of motion becomes $a = 23$, $b = 28$, $\alpha = 900.0$; and we get $E' = 0.06300J$ and $Mglb/a = 0.08278J$.




Figure 20: U_{eff} , E' (straight black line) and $Mglb/a$ (dotted line) at left, and $f(u)$ at right. Initial values for this case as follows; $\theta_0 = 0.9225rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\psi}_0 = 1.225rad/s$, $\dot{\phi} = 22.21rad/s$ and resulting $E' = 0.06300J$. Turning angles for θ are 0.9225 and $1.759rad$, and the minimum of U_{eff} is at $1.423rad$ and equal to $0.05336J$. For this case $a = 23$, $b = 28$ and $\alpha = 900.0$

In Fig.[20], we see U_{eff} and $f(u)$. It is also seen that E' is smaller than $Mglb/a$. E' and U_{eff} intersects when θ is equal to 0.9225 and $1.759rad$. As it is seen, smaller turning angle is away from $\theta = 0$ point. This is related with




Figure 21: θ (up left), $\dot{\theta}$ (up right), $\dot{\phi}$ (down left) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) are obtained from the integration of Eq.[33]. Initial values are same with Fig.[20].

the shape of U_{eff} and when a and b are small the minimum of the U_{eff} occurs at grater angles. Since initial θ value is the minimum value, we can say θ will increase till $1.759rad$.

Results obtained from the numerical integration of Eq.[33] are available in Fig.[21]. It is seen that at the beginning $\dot{\phi}$ slightly decreases as θ increases. We can understand this decrease from conservation of angular momentum in z' -direction by using $b = \dot{\phi} \sin^2 \theta + a \cos \theta$; the increase in $\sin^2 \theta$ is faster at the beginning and to compensate it $\dot{\phi}$ decreases. As θ increases, the decrease in $\cos \theta$ becomes dominant and $\dot{\phi}$ increases to compensate it. We can understand increase in $\dot{\psi}$ from the mentioned decrease in $\cos \theta$ from conservation of angular momentum by using $a = (I_z/I_x)(\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi} \cos \theta)$; its decrease is faster than increase in $\dot{\phi}$ in second part and to compensate it $\dot{\psi}$ increases. The increase in first part can be understood from decrease of both $\dot{\phi}$ and $\cos \theta$.

By integrating angular accelerations numerically, we plotted there dimensional figure for this case, available in Fig.[22]. We see that again nutation and precession periods are nearly equal, however differently from previous case nutation period is a bit more smaller than precession period. Then the three dimensional figure becomes different than the previous case. Other results obtained from numerical integration of angular accelerations are available in appendix, Fig.[47].

In this case, precession direction depends on the sign of b , if it is positive (negative) then the precession direction is positive (negative). Both b and a should have same sign to be able to get $E' < Mglb/a$.

The motion starts from its minimum θ value, though initially $\dot{\phi}$ is different




Figure 22: Shapes of the locus on unit sphere. Initial values are same with Fig.[20].

than zero, the inertial torque is smaller than the gravitational torque. This difference causes fall of the symmetric top. As the symmetric top falls, $\dot{\phi}$ becomes greater, and then inertial torque increases. At some point, inertial torque becomes greater than gravitational torque as a result of increase in $\dot{\phi}$, and eventually it rises the symmetric top. Then this motion repeats itself.

3.8 Motion with same precessional angular velocity at extrema

When $b < a$, if we choose initial values to provide $E' = Mglb/a$ we obtained the cup like motion. In previous two cases for $|b| > |a|$, E' was either greater or smaller than $Mglb/a$. In this case we choose initial values to provide $b > a$ and $E' = Mglb/a > U_{eff_{min}}$, and at the end we get really interesting result; $\dot{\phi}$ becomes equal at θ_{min} and θ_{max} .

Let us rewrite Eq.[23'] for $E' = Mglb/a$; if we consider extrema of θ , where $\dot{\theta}$ becomes zero, then Eq.[23] becomes

$$Mgl \frac{b}{a} = \frac{I_x}{2} \frac{(b - a \cos \theta_{ext})^2}{\sin^2 \theta_{ext}} + Mgl \cos \theta_{ext} \quad (42)$$

By taking $Mgl \cos \theta_{ext}$ to left hand side and dividing both side to $b - a \cos \theta_{ext}$, after some arrangement we get

$$\frac{b - a \cos \theta_{ext}}{\sin^2 \theta_{ext}} = \frac{2Mgl}{I_x a} \quad (43)$$

Here the left hand side is equal to $\dot{\phi}$ when $\theta = \theta_{ext}$, then $\dot{\phi}$ becomes $2Mgl/(I_x a)$ at both extrema of θ .

Now let us see this interesting case from an example. If we choose $\theta_0 = 0.04097 \text{rad}$, $\dot{\theta} = 0$, $\dot{\phi} = 41.51 \text{rad/s}$ and $\dot{\psi} = -26.59 \text{rad/s}$, we obtain; $a = 23.4$, $b = 23.45$ and $E' = Mglb/a = 0.06815 \text{J}$. For this case turning angles becomes $\theta_{min} = 0.04097$ and $\theta_{max} = 2.021 \text{rad}$.

In Fig.[23], we see U_{eff} and $f(u)$. It is seen that θ covers from very small values to values greater than $\pi/2$. By using our previous experience, we can say




Figure 23: U_{eff} and E' at left, $f(u)$ at right. This case gives motion with same precessional angular velocity at extrema, and initial values for this case as follows; $\theta_0 = 0.04097rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\psi}_0 = -26.59rad/s$, $\dot{\phi} = 41.51rad/s$ and resulting $E' = 0.06815J$. Turning angles for θ are 0.04097 and $2.021rad$, and the minimum of U_{eff} is at $1.508rad$ and equal to $0.03822J$. The constants of motion: $a = 23.4$, $b = 23.45$ and $\alpha = 973.5$.

from U_{eff} and E' that the symmetric top will make a periodic motion between its two turning angles.

In Fig.[24], we see results obtained by numerical integration of Eq.[33]. The results related with θ and $\dot{\theta}$ are compatible with U_{eff} . Asymmetry seen in $\dot{\theta}$ near grater turning angle is a result of asymmetry in U_{eff} . We can understand changes in $\dot{\phi}$ from conservation of angular momentum in z' -direction by using b . We see at the beginning $\dot{\phi}$ decreases, this decrease compensates increase in $\sin\theta$ to preserve b . Then we see an increase in $\dot{\phi}$, this increase occurs to compensate decrease in $\cos\theta$ again to preserve b . When θ becomes larger than $\pi/2$, both $\sin\theta$ and $\cos\theta$ decreases, and increase in $\dot{\phi}$ becomes more rapid. This increase continues till $\dot{\phi}$ reaching its initial value, which is the result of choosing $E' = Mglb/a$.

Changes in $\dot{\psi}$ can be explained from conservation of angular momentum in z -direction by using a . As $\dot{\phi}$ decreases, $\dot{\psi}$ increases to preserve a . When $\dot{\phi}$ starts to increase the decrease rate of $\cos\theta$ is faster and $\dot{\psi}$ should still increase to preserve a . When θ passes $\pi/2$, the contribution in a from $\dot{\phi}$ becomes negative and the increase in $\dot{\psi}$ becomes more rapid to obey conservation of angular momentum in z -direction.

We see three dimensional figures in Fig.[25]. We see that the symmetric top makes a motion like spiral without inner part, we know that from the above calculations at the bottom and top $\dot{\phi}$ has same values. Other results are available in appendix, Fig.[48].

If we consider the motion in terms of torque and angular momentum, there is an interesting situation; we have same $\dot{\phi}$ at the bottom and top however in one case the symmetric top rises, in the other it falls. It is directly related with the cross product and existence of $\sin\theta$, which results with grater inertial torque with respect to gravitational torque at the bottom and results with smaller inertial torque at the top. Then when the symmetric top is at the bottom it




Figure 24: θ (up left), $\dot{\theta}$ (up right), $\dot{\phi}$ (down left) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) are obtained from the integration of Eq.[33]. Initial values are same with Fig.[17].




Figure 25: Shapes of the locus on unit sphere. Initial values are same with Fig.[48].

risers gradually till reaching smaller turning angle, and when it is at the top it gradually falls. This structure repeats itself in the motion.

3.9 Motion through pseudo singular points

We have seen that the effective potential has infinities at $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$. These infinities occur because $\sin\theta$ equals to zero at these angles. However if we consider $|b| = |a|$, one of the singularities at these angles can be removed by simplifications and one of these infinities disappears. Now, we will study this interesting case.

In the sleeping top case, we have already dealt with $|b| = |a|$ situation, however in that case b was becoming equal to $\pm a$ because of overlapping. b corresponds to angular momentum in z' -direction and a corresponds to angular momentum in z -direction, then the equivalence of angular momenta assures equivalence of a and b , i.e. if $L_z = \pm L_{z'}$ then $b = \pm a$. This time we will analyze the motion with $b = a$ and without such an overlap.




Figure 26: U_{eff} , E' (straight black line) and Mgb/a (dotted line) at right, $f(u)$ at left. This case is possible with initial values $\theta_0 = 1.2rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 198.2rad/s$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 100rad/s$. Here $E' = 2.413J$. Turning angles for θ are 1.2 and $-1.2rad$, and the minimum of U_{eff} is at $\theta = 0$ and equal to Mgl . The roots of $f(u)$ occur at $u = 0.3624$ and 1 corresponding to turning angles for θ $1.2rad$ and 0 . For this case constants: $b = a = 270.0$ and $\alpha = 34470$.

If we choose $\theta_0 = 1.2rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 198.2rad/s$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 100rad/s$, then b becomes equal to a , $b = a = 270.0$. For this case $f(u)$ is shown in Fig.[26]. The roots of $f(u)$ are $u = 0.3624$ and 1 , which correspond $1.2rad$ and 0 values for θ . In this case, there is a subtle difference between $f(u)$ and U_{eff} , related with transformation, $u = \cos\theta$.

This case is different than all previously considered cases because of the disappearing infinity in U_{eff} , which is at $\theta = 0$ for $b = a$. Then it is better to consider the previous restrictions on the motion. While considering Euler angles it is mentioned that usually the domain of θ is considered as $[0, \pi]$. However if we use this interval for θ , U_{eff} goes to its minimum at $\theta = 0$ and leaves no turning angle in one side for the symmetric top. Now let us change the interval of the domain to $[-\pi, \pi]$, and leave evaluation of this change to the later. In

Fig.[26], we also see U_{eff} plotted for mentioned initial values with extended domain. It is seen that extended interval provides an effective potential with two turning angles.




Figure 27: θ (up left), $\dot{\theta}$ (up right), $\dot{\phi}$ (down left) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) are obtained from the integration of Eq.[33]. Initial values are same with Fig.[26].

In Fig.[27], we see the results obtained from the integration of Eq.[33]. It is seen that the first half of the motion starts from $\theta_{max} = 1.2rad$ and goes to $\theta_{min} = -1.2rad$, which is consistent with the effective potential. If we look at $\dot{\theta}$, it decreases to its minimum at $\theta = 0$. This shows that for this case the symmetric top approaches to $\theta = 0$ with a negative angular velocity and it should continue its motion with that angular velocity. If we had kept the domain for θ between 0 and π , then we need to change $\dot{\theta}$ from negative to positive values. Since $\dot{\theta}$ is a continuous quantity, it is better to change the domain of θ to $[-\pi, \pi]$ with keeping in mind that we are not using one to one mapping between coordinate systems.

If we return to the consideration of $f(u)$; it does not give turning angle $\theta = -1.2rad$, it gives 0 and 1.2rad. Trigonometric property of $\cos\theta$ is lost during the change of variable, and we can not get results corresponding to extended domain. In this work, in necessary cases we will use extended domain to analyze the motion. In this case (θ, ϕ) and $(-\theta, \phi + \pi)$ corresponds same points in xyz coordinate system. For this work it does not cause any trouble; three dimensional plots will represent the motion without any problem.

If we look at the changes in $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$, there is a repeat. This is an expected result since these two angular velocity are independent of $\dot{\theta}$, and $\cos\theta$ is even and $\sin\theta$ shows itself as a square in related equations. Here we can write $\dot{\phi} = a/(1 + \cos\theta)$, then as θ goes to zero $\dot{\phi}$ decreases. We can also understand this decrease




Figure 28: Shapes of the locus on unit sphere. Initial values are same with Fig.[49].

from conservation of angular momentum by using $b = \dot{\phi} \sin^2 \theta + a \cos \theta$, as θ goes to 0 $\cos \theta$ increases and $\dot{\phi}$ decreases to obey conservation of angular momentum in z' -direction. As $\dot{\phi}$ decreases $\dot{\psi}$ is decreasing. The increase of $\cos \theta$ is faster than the decrease in $\dot{\phi}$ then $\dot{\psi}$ also decreases to obey the conservation of angular momentum in z -direction, which can be seen from $a = (I_z/I_x)(\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi} \cos \theta)$.

Here it is better to mention about one more point. In the sleeping top case, we claimed that $\dot{\phi}$ becomes irrelevant from the motion. At there, it is irrelevant because z and z' are always same. In this case, z and z' momentarily become equal and there is a motion which brings conservation of angular momentum. Then, $\dot{\phi}$ should have some value at $\theta = 0$, which can be found from $\dot{\phi} = a/(1 + \cos \theta)$ as $a/2$ and in this example we have $\dot{\phi} = a/2 = 135 \text{ rad/s}$.

Three dimensional figures obtained from numerical integration of angular accelerations are available in Fig.[28]. During numerical integration for very small values of θ because of the singularity at $\theta = 0$, here and other necessary cases we have used Eq.[25] and Eq.[26]. The apex of the symmetric top passes from $\theta = 0$ and makes nearly a loop, however there is a slight difference between periods of nutation and precession and the symmetric top precesses slightly at each loop like motion. Other results can be found in appendix, Fig.[49].

In this case, the symmetric top precesses in the forward direction. If both a and b were negative, then the motion would be similar to this case but the symmetric top would precess backward. If $b = -a$, then the infinity of U_{eff} at $\theta = \pi$ will disappear and the motion will take place at the bottom including $\theta = -\pi$.

In this case, the positive $\dot{\phi}$ causes an inertial torque to rise up the symmetric top, then it rises and passes from $\theta = 0$ point. Then, the symmetric top falls from the other side of $\theta = 0$ point and falls till θ_{max} (or $-\theta_{max}$), where it has its initial angular velocity $\dot{\phi}$. Then the motion repeats itself.

In the previous cases, the structure of effective potential and the conservation of angular momenta were preventing the symmetric top to pass $\theta = 0$ point. However, in this case the symmetric top can pass from $\theta = 0$ point since $a = b$.

3.10 Other types of motion with pseudo singular points

There are other changes in the motion of the symmetric top when $b = a$. There are two main reasons for these; change in U_{eff} and $\dot{\phi}$. If $b = a$, the effective potential becomes

$$U_{eff}(\theta) = \frac{I_x a^2(1 - \cos\theta)}{2(1 + \cos\theta)} + Mgl\cos\theta. \quad (44)$$

Here, if a is smaller than $\sqrt{4Mgl/I_x}$ then there exist a local maximum at $\theta = 0$, which is equal to $Mglb/a$ (or Mgl). If a is greater than $\sqrt{4Mgl/I_x}$, this maximum becomes the minimum with the same value, previous case, which is the motion through pseudo singular points, is an example for such a potential with minimum $Mglb/a$.

If such a local maximum exists and E' is smaller than $Mglb/a$ then we can obtain regular precession and two turning angles for θ between 0 and π .

The other change is related with $\dot{\phi}$. When $b = a$, $\dot{\phi}$ becomes

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{a}{1 + \cos\theta}. \quad (45)$$

Here, $\dot{\phi}$ does not change sign and never become zero. Then we can not obtain cup like motion or looping motion.

•Again let us start with the regular precession. In previous study of the regular precession the potential and $\dot{\phi}$ were different than in this case. In this case, if we take derivative of U_{eff} with respect to θ and equate it to zero we obtain

$$0 = \left[\frac{I_x}{2} \frac{2a^2}{(1 + \cos\theta)^2} - Mgl \right] \sin\theta. \quad (46)$$

This time $\theta = 0$ corresponds to unstable equilibrium and $\theta = \pi$ corresponds to the sleeping top. Here, it is possible to find a minimum for the potential other than $\theta = 0$ if $a < \sqrt{4Mgl/I_x}$. If $a > \sqrt{4Mgl/I_x}$ the minimum of the effective potential occurs always at $\theta = 0$.

Here, it is also seen that previous strong and weak top definition in terms of \tilde{a} are consistent with $b = a$ case.

By using Eq.[45] and Eq.[46], for regular precession $\dot{\phi}^2$ is obtained as

$$\dot{\phi}^2 = \frac{Mgl}{I_x}. \quad (47)$$

This gives constant $\dot{\phi}$ for regular precession, when $a < \sqrt{4Mgl/I_x}$. $\dot{\phi}$ can have positive and negative values, positive (negative) one corresponds to positive (negative) a . By using definition of a and Eq.[45] we obtain $\dot{\psi}$ as

$$\dot{\psi} = \frac{I_x}{I_z} \dot{\phi} \left(1 + \cos\theta - \frac{I_z}{I_x} \cos\theta \right). \quad (48)$$

Then we need to specify either θ_0 or $\dot{\psi}_0$ and determine the other one from Eq.[48] to obtain a regular precession as long as there exists a local maximum. This

case is simpler than $b \neq a$ case and we can obtain the minimum of the U_{eff} in terms of constants in a simple way as

$$U_{eff_{min}} = \frac{\sqrt{I_x a^2}}{2} - (\sqrt{Mgl} - \sqrt{I_x a^2})^2. \quad (49)$$




Figure 29: U_{eff} , E' (straight black line) $Mglb/a$ (dotted line) and left, $f(u)$ at right. This case gives regular precession, and initial values for this case as follows; $\theta_0 = 1.1rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\psi}_0 = 10.39rad/s$, $\dot{\phi} = 22.04rad/s$ and resulting $E' = 0.05785J$. For this case: $b = a = 32.04$ and $\alpha = 826.4$.




Figure 30: Shapes of the locus on unit sphere for regular precession when $b = a$. Initial values are same with the ones given in Fig.[29].

For the symmetric top, that we are considering in this work, $\dot{\phi}$ becomes $22.04rad/s$ for the precession in the positive direction. If we choose $\theta = 1.1rad$, then $\dot{\psi}$ becomes $10.39rad/s$. In this case $b = a = 32.04$ and $E' = U_{eff_{min}} = 0.05785$ together with $\dot{\theta} = 0$. In Fig.[29], we see U_{eff} and $f(u)$. E' intersects U_{eff} at its minimum and the symmetric top regularly precesses around z' -axis.

In Fig.[30], we see results obtained by numerical integration of angular acceleration. The symmetric top precesses in the positive direction. The other results are available in Fig.[50].

If we had chosen $\dot{\phi}$ as $-22.04rad/s$, for $\theta = 1.1rad$ $\dot{\psi}$ would be equal to $-10.39rad/s$, and the symmetric top would be precessing in the negative direction. With these negative values a would be negative.

We have mentioned that if $b = -a$, then the singularity at $\theta = \pi$ disappears, however, regular precession is not possible since there is no local maximum at $\theta = \pi$.

•Other types of the motion are also possible. If there is a local maximum and E' is greater than $U_{eff_{min}}$ and smaller than that local maximum, which is equal to $Mglb/a$, then we can obtain two turning angles for θ between 0 and π . We have seen that $\dot{\phi}$ does not change sign when $b = a$. Then, in this case we see only a precession in one direction and the direction of the precession is determined by the sign of a . Since $\dot{\psi}$ is small for these configurations, the precession is faster and we do not see many nutations for one precession period.

If we take $\theta_0 = 1.097rad$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 20.88rad/s$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 9.824rad/s$, then $b = a = 30.4$. In our example $Mgl = 0.068J$. Then together with $\theta_0 = 0$, E' becomes $0.05518J$ which is smaller than $Mglb/a$. As it is expected from the sign of a , the precession is in the positive direction. We see U_{eff} and $f(u)$ in Fig.[31] with these initial values. We plotted $U_{eff}(\theta)$ with extended interval to show the difference from the cases with $a \neq b$. We see two possible intervals for θ from the figure, however they correspond to the same interval and it is the result of taking an extended interval. From the effective potential and E' , we can say that the symmetric top will nutate between two turning angles.




Figure 31: U_{eff} , E' (straight black line), $Mglb/a$ (dotted line) at left, and $f(u)$ at right right. This case gives a precession in one direction and initial values for this case as follows; $\theta_0 = 1.097rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\psi}_0 = 9.824rad/s$, $\dot{\phi} = 20.88rad/s$ and resulting $E' = 0.05518J$. Turning angles for θ are 1.097 and $1.259rad$, and the minimum of U_{eff} is at $1.182rad$. For this case: $b = a = 30.40$ and $\alpha = 788.3$.

In Fig.[32], we see change of θ and angular velocities obtained by using Eq.[33]. We see from the figure that θ changes between two turning angles, $\dot{\theta}$ have positive and negative values satisfying nutation. $\dot{\phi}$ takes only positive values as we expected, and $\dot{\psi}$ changes as $\dot{\phi}$ changes obeying the conservation of angular momenta which can be seen from a and b . As θ increases $\dot{\phi}$ increases to obey conservation of angular momentum because of the decrease in $\cos\theta$, which can be understood by using $b = \dot{\phi}\sin^2\theta + a\cos\theta$. $\dot{\psi}$ increases again because of the decrease in $\cos\theta$, which can be understood from $a = (I_z/I_x)(\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi}\cos\theta)$. Here we should mention that values of b and a are same, but this case is different than sleeping top; b and a correspond different angular momenta and both are




Figure 32: θ (up left), $\dot{\theta}$ (up right), $\dot{\phi}$ (down left) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) are obtained from the integration of Eq.[33]. Initial values are same with Fig.[31].

conserved separately. If we consider fall of the symmetric top, the initial $\dot{\phi}$ is not enough to satisfy necessary torque and the gravitational torque is dominant. As the fall continues $\dot{\phi}$ becomes great enough to cause a torque greater than gravitational one and the symmetric top rises. These repeat again and again as precession continues.




Figure 33: Shapes of the locus on unit sphere for precession in one direction when $b = a$. Initial values are same with Fig.[31].

In Fig.[33], we see three dimensional figure obtained from the numerical integration of angular accelerations. It is seen that it precesses away from the $\theta = 0$ point. The other results are available in appendix, Fig.[51].

•It is better to study two more cases when $b = a$. In the first one of these two, we will consider that E' is equal to the local maximum of U_{eff} at $\theta = 0$,

we see such a case in Fig.[34]. From U_{eff} , it is seen that the turning angles occur at negative and positive θ values and it is better to extend domain of θ with remembering that it corresponds double defined points in xyz coordinate system. If we do not use extended domain while solving system, there can be a problem at $\theta = 0$ point about which direction the symmetric top will go at that point. When we use extended domain it continue its motion obeying conservation of angular momentum, so it is better to use extended domain. We can say that the symmetric top will oscillate between these two turning angles while precessing. The existence of precession result with a spiralling motion while the symmetric top falls from $\theta = 0$ to $\theta = \theta_{max}$.




Figure 34: U_{eff} , E' (straight black line), $Mglb/a$ (dotted line) at left, and $f(u)$ at right. E' and $Mglb/a$ are overlapped since they are equal. This case gives spiral like motion, and initial values for this case as follows; $\theta_0 = 1.619rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\psi}_0 = 20.90rad/s$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 31.95rad/s$ and resulting $E' = 0.06800J$. Turning angles for θ are -1.619 and $1.619rad$, and the minimum of U_{eff} is at $1.182rad$. $f(u)$ has roots at $u = -0.04866$ and $u = 1$, corresponding to $\theta = 1.619$ and $\theta = 0$. For this case constants of the motion are $b = a = 30.4$ and $\alpha = \beta = 971.4$.

We see the results obtained by using Eq.[33] in Fig.[35] for initial values $\theta_0 = 1.619rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 31.95rad/s$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 20.90rad/s$. With these initial values $b = a = 30.40$ and $\alpha = \beta = 971.4$. From the figure, we can say that θ starts with the maximum value and decreases negative of that maximum value and the symmetric top passes from $\theta = 0$ point.

It is seen from Fig.[34] that when θ is close to zero, $\dot{\theta}$ will be close to zero. So the symmetric top spends more time at these θ values. Results of this are seen in Fig.[35]; $\dot{\theta}$, $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$ do not change much when θ is close to zero. If we look at $\dot{\phi}$ as the symmetric top rises (θ decreases), it decreases. But, this decrease is not enough for fall of the symmetric top; it rises till θ reaching 0 and falls from the other side. If we consider $b = \dot{\phi} \sin^2 \theta + a \cos \theta$; as θ decreases the contribution from a increases and to obey conservation of the angular momentum in z' -direction $\dot{\phi}$ should also decrease. If we consider $a = (I_z/I_x)(\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi} \cos \theta)$; the contribution from $\dot{\phi}$ increases since $\cos \theta$ increases faster, then $\dot{\psi}$ should also decrease to obey conservation of the angular momentum in z -direction. The following part of the motion is something like inverted structure of this as θ goes to $-\theta_{max}$. After θ reaching $-\theta_{max}$, the above procedure will take place in




Figure 35: θ (up left), $\dot{\theta}$ (up right), $\dot{\phi}$ (down left) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) are obtained from the integration of Eq.[33]. Initial values are same with Fig.[34].

the reverse order.




Figure 36: Shapes of the locus on unit sphere for spiralling motion. Initial values are same with Fig.[51].

In Fig.[36], we see results obtained from the numerical integration of Eq.s[36]. It is seen that from $\theta = \theta_{max}$ to 0 the symmetric top makes a spiralling motion. The other results obtained from integration of angular accelerations can be found in appendix, Fig[52].

If we consider torque and angular momentum, at the beginning $\dot{\phi}$ causes an inertial torque greater than the gravitational one and top rises. This inertial torque is enough to rise the symmetric top to $\theta = 0$ point and then top falls from other side by the effect of gravitational torque. This fall causes increase of $\dot{\phi}$ and at $\theta = \theta_{max}$ the symmetric top starts to rise again. This motion repeats

itself.

Here, it will be better to consider this case with dissipation. If we put a spinning gyroscope or symmetric top in the sleeping top position, $\theta = 0$, its spin will decrease as time passes due to dissipation. If at the beginning $|a| > \sqrt{4Mgl/I_x}$, small deviations from the sleeping top will result with return of the symmetric top to the sleeping position. As time passes the spin of the symmetric top will slow down and $|a|$ will be smaller than $\sqrt{4Mgl/I_x}$. In this case, the motion will look like $b = a$ and $E' = Mglb/a$ case, and the symmetric top will fall slowly with a spiralling motion. This motion can be seen from gyroscopes. However, the situation for child's top is a bit different. As child's top falls the contact point with the surface changes, when this combines with impurities we see wobbling motion.

•The last case, that we will consider here is $b = a$, $E' > Mglb/a$ and $|a| < \sqrt{4Mgl/I_x}$. In this case the motion will look like the motion through pseudo regular points, but in this case we have a bump. In Fig.[37], we see U_{eff} together with E' and $f(u)$ for such a case. From effective potential graph, if the symmetric top starts its motion from θ_{max} we can say that $\dot{\theta}$ will have negative values at the beginning to rise the symmetric top. The magnitude of $\dot{\theta}$ will reach its maximum when U_{eff} is at the minimum. Then we will see the effect of the bump; the magnitude of $\dot{\theta}$ will decrease till θ reaching to 0. At $\theta = 0$, the symmetric top will fall to the other side with its negative $\dot{\theta}$ value till θ reaching $-\theta_{max}$. Then the motion will take place in the reverse order.




Figure 37: U_{eff} , E' (straight black line), $Mglb/a$ (dotted line) at left, and $f(u)$ at right. This case gives a motion over a bump, and initial values for this case as follows; $\theta_0 = 1.770rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\psi}_0 = 26.85rad/s$, $\dot{\phi} = 37.91rad/s$ and resulting $E' = 0.08318$. Turning angles for θ are -1.770 and $1.770rad$, and the minimum of U_{eff} is at $1.182rad$. The roots of $f(u)$ occurs at $u = -0.1981$ and $u = 1$ corresponding to $\theta = 1.770rad$ and $\theta = 0$. Constants of motion: $b = a = 30.40$ and $\alpha = 1188$.

In Fig.[38], we see the results obtained by using Eq.[33] for initial values $\theta_0 = 1.770rad$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\psi}_0 = 26.85rad/s$ and $\dot{\phi} = 37.91rad/s$. We see that the change in $\dot{\theta}$ at the local maximum of the effective potential does not effect too much $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$. Change in $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$ is similar to the motion through pseudo singular points case. Explanations will be similar to that case.




Figure 38: θ (up left), $\dot{\theta}$ (up right), $\dot{\phi}$ (down left) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) are obtained from the integration of Eq.[33]. Initial values are same with Fig.[31].

In Fig.[39], we see results obtained by numerical integration of angular accelerations. The symmetric top goes to $\theta = 0$ point after making a curve and then falls from the other side, this repeats itself and at each case it passes from $\theta = 0$ point with different crossings. The other results are available in appendix, Fig.[53].




Figure 39: Shapes of the locus on unit sphere for motion over the bump. Initial values are same with Fig.[37].

4 Summary

We have studied the symmetric top problem in detail. This study showed that there are many previously unnoticed interesting situation related with the motion of the symmetric top. We also have learned that the inertial torque arising from the non-inertial structure of the spinning symmetric top rises it. This inertial torque shows itself in the effective potential via constants a and b , which corresponds conserved angular momenta in z and z' -direction respectively. After writing effective potential we see that θ changes mostly between two turning angles in accordance with conservation of energy.

The inertial torque originated from $\vec{w} \times \vec{L}$ term in Euler equations is responsible from both precession and nutation. A component of it in z' -direction causes precession, and an other component in the direction of the line of nodes causes nutation. These components can change throughout the motion, depending on the content of the $\vec{w} \times \vec{L}$. In the precession θ plays a crucial role and in the nutation $\dot{\phi}$ plays a crucial role.

We also have seen that conservation of angular momenta is vital for understanding the motion of the symmetric top. It can keep the symmetric top from $\theta = 0$ point, or force it to pass from that point depending on values of angular momenta. We have seen that conservation of angular momentum can change spin direction of the symmetric top in an unimaginable way.

References

- [1] Routh, E. J., "Advanced Dynamics of a System of Rigid Bodies", 6th Ed., Dover, 1955.
- [2] Klein, F. and Sommerfeld, A., "The theory of the Top, Volume II", Birkhauser, 2010.
- [3] Gregory, R. D., "Classical Mechanics", Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [4] MacMillan, W. D., "Dynamics Of Rigid Bodies", Dover, 1960.
- [5] Groesberg, S. W., "Advanced mechanics", Wiley, 1968.
- [6] Goldstein, H., "Classical Mechanics", 2nd Ed., Addison-Wesley, 1980.
- [7] Landau, L. D. and Lifshitz, E. M., "Mechanics", 3rd Ed., Butterworth-Heinenann, 2000.
- [8] Symon, K.R., "Mechanics", 3rd Ed., Addison-Wesley, 1971.
- [9] Greiner, W., "Classical Mechanics", Springer, 2003.
- [10] Hauser, W. "Introduction to the principles of mechanics", Addison-Wesley, 1965.

5 Appendix

Graphs for θ , ϕ , ψ , $\dot{\theta}$, $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\psi}$ for the above cases, these are obtained from the numerical solutions of the angular accelerations.




Figure 40: Regular precession with single $\dot{\phi}$; θ (up left), ϕ (up middle), ψ (up right), $\dot{\theta}$ (down left), $\dot{\phi}$ (down middle) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) for initial values $\theta_0 = 2.269rad$, $\phi_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 0$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 36.36rad/s$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 17rad/s$.




Figure 41: Regular precession with two $\dot{\phi}$; θ (up left), ϕ (up middle), ψ (up right), $\dot{\theta}$ (down left), $\dot{\phi}$ (down middle) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) for initial values $\theta_0 = 1.1rad$, $\phi_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 0$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = -309.2rad/s$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 50rad/s$.




Figure 42: Regular precession with two $\dot{\phi}$; θ (up left), ϕ (up middle), ψ (up right), $\dot{\theta}$ (down left), $\dot{\phi}$ (down middle) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) for initial values $\theta_0 = 1.1rad$, $\phi_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 0$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 6.061rad/s$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 50rad/s$.




Figure 43: Cup like motion, changes in θ (up left), ϕ (up middle), ψ (up right), $\dot{\theta}$ (down left), $\dot{\phi}$ (down middle) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) as time changes for initial values $\theta_0 = 0.175rad$, $\phi_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 0$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 0$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 100rad/s$.




Figure 44: Wavy precession, changes in θ (up left), ϕ (up middle), ψ (up right), $\dot{\theta}$ (down left), $\dot{\phi}$ (down middle) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) as time changes for initial values $\theta_0 = 0.2056\text{rad}$, $\phi_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 0$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 0.8968\text{rad/s}$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 148.3\text{rad/s}$.




Figure 45: Looping motion, changes in θ (up left), ϕ (up middle), ψ (up right), $\dot{\theta}$ (down left), $\dot{\phi}$ (down middle) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) as time changes for initial values $\theta_0 = 0.270\text{rad}$, $\phi_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 0$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0\text{rad/s}$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 10\text{rad/s}$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 100\text{rad/s}$.




Figure 46: Precession with single nutation, changes in θ (up left), ϕ (up middle), ψ (up right), $\dot{\theta}$ (down left), $\dot{\phi}$ (down middle) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) as time changes for initial values $\theta_0 = 1.310rad$, $\phi_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 0$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0rad/s$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 190rad/s$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 100rad/s$.




Figure 47: Motion when $|a| < |b|$ and $U_{effmin} < E' < Mglb/a$, changes in θ (up left), ϕ (up middle), ψ (up right), $\dot{\theta}$ (down left), $\dot{\phi}$ (down middle) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) as time changes for initial values $\theta_0 = 0.9225rad$, $\phi_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 0$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0rad/s$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 22.21rad/s$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 1.225rad/s$.




Figure 48: Motion with same precessional angular velocity at extrema, changes in θ (up left), ϕ (up middle), ψ (up right), $\dot{\theta}$ (down left), $\dot{\phi}$ (down middle) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) as time changes for initial values $\theta_0 = 0.04097rad$, $\phi_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 0$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0rad/s$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 41.51rad/s$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = -26.59rad/s$.




Figure 49: Motion through pseudo singular points, changes in θ (up left), ϕ (up middle), ψ (up right), $\dot{\theta}$ (down left), $\dot{\phi}$ (down middle) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) as time changes for initial values $\theta_0 = 1.200rad$, $\phi_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 0$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 198.2rad/s$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 100rad/s$.




Figure 50: Regular precession when $b = a$, changes in θ (up left), ϕ (up middle), ψ (up right), $\dot{\theta}$ (down left), $\dot{\phi}$ (down middle) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) as time changes for initial values $\theta_0 = 1.1rad$, $\phi_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 0$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 22.04rad/s$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 10.39rad/s$.




Figure 51: Precession in one direction when $b = a$, changes in θ (up left), ϕ (up middle), ψ (up right), $\dot{\theta}$ (down left), $\dot{\phi}$ (down middle) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) as time changes for initial values $\theta_0 = 1.097rad$, $\phi_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 0$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0rad/s$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 20.88rad/s$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 9.824rad/s$.




Figure 52: Spiralling motion, changes in θ (up left), ϕ (up middle), ψ (up right), $\dot{\theta}$ (down left), $\dot{\phi}$ (down middle) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) as time changes for initial values $\theta_0 = 1.619\text{rad}$, $\phi_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 0$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 31.95\text{rad/s}$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 20.90\text{rad/s}$.




Figure 53: Motion over the bump, changes in θ (up left), ϕ (up middle), ψ (up right), $\dot{\theta}$ (down left), $\dot{\phi}$ (down middle) and $\dot{\psi}$ (down right) as time changes for initial values $\theta_0 = 1.770\text{rad}$, $\phi_0 = 0$, $\psi_0 = 0$, $\dot{\theta}_0 = 0$, $\dot{\phi}_0 = 37.91\text{rad/s}$ and $\dot{\psi}_0 = 26.85\text{rad/s}$.