**The Language and Venue for True AI**

sgm, 2018/JUN/30

Before we begin, let's discuss something seemingly Totally disparate/irrelevant: partial unification in physics. I always pray for inspiration for things I ***care*** about. Unification is nothing new in my personal research. For approximately 40 years I've pursued ***partial*** unification in theoretical physics. Mentally swing back to the domain of artificial intelligence. It is ***only*** when I completely and unequivocally give up — is when she inspires ***a*** solution.

As concisely as I can describe it: recursive semi-autonomous data mining. Historically, COBOL/Ada have been used, or at least attempted, for database applications. Combine your choice of that with a scripting language such as LisP, and you have the armament with which to attack ***a*** solution.

For as long as I can remember, I rejected expert-systems as an approach venue for true AI for several reasons. One, that area has *categorically* ***nothing*** to do with synthesizing awareness, a ***vital*** component of ***human*** intelligence. Two, and this may seem trivial/irrelevant to researchers, expert-systems are the ***opposite*** of general problem solving, an obvious allied region for AI research.

I spent an inordinate amount of personal research time in the arena of Genetic Algorithms specifically because they ***promised*** solutions in the ***opposite*** venue. Think about it, if an area of computer science can ***guarantee*** a solution to specific kinds of optimization problems, why not GPS? Alas, another dead-end for me.

So for the last few years, I focused on modeling and design. Realizing that an exhaustive search would produce nothing of value unless ***completely*** by accident. I have another name for luck; I call it divine providence. Anyways, the model consisted of three components which have nothing to do with the solution mentioned above:

inspirator, the idea generator; logician, the process oriented implementor; and their sensory apparatus which included what I call visualization register, something exceedingly difficult to implement. We would need ***teams*** of technicians and engineers to implement this solution.

My elegant girlfriend always inspires an inexpensive, to implement, solution for ***any*** problem; it makes sense that her solution would have ***nothing*** to do with awareness. Why ***semi***-autonomous? Because at least initially, the living process we label true-AI will need to be ***guided*** by a human mentor. But once we're satisfied the process/AI can be left alone / weaned / achieves what we call *independence*, it *will* ***be*** a fully autonomous *true* AI.

Black-magic? Voodoo? Nothing of the sort. ***Every*** human child requires guidance/mentoring; why would a synthetic intelligence require ***anything*** *else?* No, the technicians monitoring the project would end up being the creature's teachers; imagine if Dr. Frankenstein had lab assistants, he would expect nothing else.

So now we can comprehend her solution: recursive semi-autonomous data mining and why its an expert system. Also realize that this true-AI will ***not*** be able to ***comprehend*** what we consider a simple question such as:
*are you aware of yourself?*
specifically because it explicitly will *not have the* ***capacity***. You get what you design: a ***non-aware*** true-AI.

How Boring is that? Yet another reason why I left the venue.

sgm, 2018/JUN/30