

# The structure of the Pivot Universe

Arieh Sher

[pivot.universe.theory@gmail.com](mailto:pivot.universe.theory@gmail.com)

## Abstract

The Pivot theory postulates that our Universe is an isolated island located somewhere in the infinite vacuum space. It is composed of two parts: a massive spinning neutron star designated the Pivot and a ring-shaped visible Universe that orbits this Pivot. The Pivot, from the quantum physics point of view, is composed of neutrons and antineutron packed at the highest possible density in the Universe. From the GR point of view, the Pivot is described as a Kerr black hole. Therefore, the ring-shaped visible Universe must reside outside the event horizon of the Pivot. The Pivot theory postulates that our Universe started as a spinning primeval nucleus. This primeval nucleus accumulated mass from the vacuum space energy. The growth of this nucleus stopped when the velocity on its equator surface reached the speed of light and then exploded into two distinct parts: The Pivot and a ring of the visible Universe.

The theory is verified against known cosmological observations. For example, the flat rotation curve in Spiral Galaxies, the Spiral shape of Galaxies, the high redshift of Galaxies, Michelson-Morley experiment, Sagnac effect, CMB, Nucleosynthesis, and other observations.

Finally, the theory explains two profound issues in physics: the origin of gravity and the conundrum of matter-antimatter.

Keywords: Universe structure, frame-dragging, Blackhole, Neutron star, QM, GR, gravitational constant, gravitational z shift, matter-antimatter.

## Contents

1. The Pivot theory- Overview
2. Known constants and estimated parameters
3. The Primeval Universe
4. The structure of the Pivot Universe
5. Gravitational z shift
6. The Origin of spinning and rotation of celestial bodies
7. Rotation curve and spiral shape of a galaxy
8. Hubble's law
9. Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB)
10. Olbers' paradox
11. Handedness of Galaxies
12. Summary
  - Appendixes
  - Appendix A - The origin of gravity
  - Appendix B - Is a black hole a neutron star?
  - Appendix C - Can fluid dynamics describe space?
  - Appendix D – Superluminal (Faster than light) velocity.
  - Appendix E – Newton's gravitational law Vs. Einstein's GR
13. References

## 1. The Pivot theory – Overview

The Pivot theory postulates that our Universe is an isolated island located somewhere in the infinite vacuum space. It is composed of two parts: a massive and slowly spinning nucleus, designated the Pivot, and a thin ring-shaped visible Universe that orbits the Pivot. The Pivot, from the quantum physics point of view, is composed of neutrons and antineutron packed at the highest possible density in the Universe. From the GR point of view, the Pivot can be described as a Kerr black hole. Therefore, the visible Universe must be located outside the event horizon of the Pivot.

The Pivot theory answers profound questions:

### How did it all begin?

I accept Newton's hypothesis that the vacuum space (or aether as known at his time) is absolute, eternal, infinite, and permeates everywhere. This vacuum space, according to Quantum Field Theory (QFT), is not empty but is rather endowed with fluctuating fields of energy. Any point in this vacuum space contains energy that has a minimum value designated the vacuum energy. Its behavior is codified in Heisenberg's energy-time uncertainty principle. QFT also teaches that from this vacuum energy, pairs of matter and antimatter particles are perpetually generated, e.g., up quark and its up antiquark, a down quark, and its down antiquark. These pairs pop out in the vacuum, exist for a short time, and then annihilate each other. I postulate that somewhere in the infinite vacuum space, it so happened that two down quarks and one up quark joined together to create a neutron. At the same time, the antimatter particles, namely two down antiquarks and one up antiquark, also joined together to create an antineutron. The neutron and the antineutron cannot annihilate each other because they have the same electrical charge (0). But they attract each other by the strong force. It is also important to note that a neutron and an antineutron have the same spin (1/2), i.e., they spin in the same direction. This event was the beginning of the creation of a primeval nucleus. The vacuum energy in the space is an infinite source of neutrons and antineutron; thus, the process went on. Additional neutrons and antineutrons that were created did not mutually annihilate but were attracted by the strong force to the first pair of neutron and antineutron. In the nucleus, the neutrons and antineutrons are stable, and therefore, they did not decay into protons and antiprotons. The neutrons and antineutrons were packed in the primeval nucleus to the maximum density possible in the Universe. The question that arises now is what stopped the growth of the primeval nucleus? The answer is given in the next paragraph.

The above description is given from the quantum physics point of view. However, the primeval nucleus can also be described by general relativity. As the primeval nucleus grew, its mass was big enough to become a rotating Kerr black hole. GR teaches that a rotating black hole dragged vacuum space around it.

**Gravity-** During the epoch of the primeval Universe, only the primeval nucleus existed in the infinite vacuum space. The only force holding the nucleus together was the nucleons themselves. Quantum physics teaches that there is an attractive force between nucleons that are located at a sub-atomic distance. This force is designated the strong force. **My claim is that this strong force between nucleons is the origin of gravity between celestial bodies.** At cosmological

distances, the strong force (= gravity) decreases to extremely small values, but, as the number of nucleons in celestial bodies is enormous, there is still considerable gravity force between celestial bodies. Appendix A elaborates on this topic.

### **Why did the primeval nucleus stop growing?**

I postulate that the growth of the nucleus stopped when it reached the maximum values possible in the Universe. In addition to the accepted maximum velocity in the Universe, there is also a maximal acceleration possible. The radius of the primeval nucleus grew until the gravity on its surface reached the maximum acceleration possible in the Universe. At this stage, the tangential velocity on the equatorial surface of the primeval nucleus reached the speed of light, and it exploded. Based on cosmological observations, the explosion occurred 13.7 billion years ago. The open question is, how long did it take for the primeval nucleus to reach its maximum size?

### **How has the Universe evolved into the Pivot structure?**

The explosion shattered the outer layers of the primeval nucleus, specifically those regions near its equatorial plane. It caused the nucleons of these layers to flung off tangentially in the equatorial plane of the primeval nucleus and the same direction of the primeval nucleus spin. The final result was as follows: A significant part of the primeval nucleus became the Pivot. The other nucleons that flung off tangentially from the primeval nucleus arranged around the Pivot in a ring-shaped visible Universe. From the GR point of view, the Pivot can be described as a rotating black hole. A black hole has an event horizon; therefore, the visible Universe must reside outside this event horizon. The force that holds the visible Universe in orbit around the Pivot is gravity, which, as pointed earlier, is the manifestation of the strong force at a cosmological distance.

The above description of the primeval nucleus answers the arguably greatest conundrums in physics, namely the question of why there is more matter than antimatter. Or, in other words, why anything exists at all. As mentioned earlier, the primeval nucleus contained equal numbers of neutrons and antineutron, or in other words, there was symmetry between matter and antimatter. At the moment of the explosion, there was a breaking of the symmetry between matter and antimatter. It so happened that the Pivot remained with more antineutrons than the neutron, whereas the visible Universe contained more neutrons than antineutrons. Neutrons and antineutron are stable when confined in the nucleus. However, free neutrons and free antineutrons outside the nucleus, including the visible Universe, are not stable. Within ~15 minutes after the explosion, the free neutrons decayed into protons, electrons, and antineutrinos. At the same time, the free antineutron decayed into antiprotons, positrons, and neutrinos. In this soup of matter and antimatter in the visible Universe, a mutual annihilation occurred, i.e., protons and antiproton, electron and positron and neutrino and antineutrino. The annihilation of the matter and antimatter particles into energy released a considerable amount of energy that reached high temperatures. The result was that only matter, i.e., protons and electrons, remained in the visible Universe. The Pivot includes more antineutrons than neutrons; nevertheless, it is stable because its constituents, the neutrons, and antineutrons are stable when confined in the nucleus. However, the visible Universe contains 85% protons (with an equal number of electrons) and just 15% neutrons. The question arises: what is the source of neutrons in the

atom's nucleus? This is due to a Beta (+) decay process. In this process, that generally occurs in a proton-rich nucleus, a proton inside the nucleus can convert to a stable neutron, while emitting a positron and an electron neutrino

It was mentioned earlier that after the explosion, the visible Universe arranged in a ring around the Pivot. In paragraph 4, the size of this ring is calculated. (The ring has an inner radius of ~123Gly and an outer radius of ~234Gly). I conclude that the explosion happened ~13.8Billion years ago, so the question now is, how is it possible that those are the dimensions of the ring. Here my hypothesis is the following: The expansion of the ring was done at superluminal speed. My claim is that the vacuum space around the primeval nucleon was split in addition to the explosion of the primeval nucleon. The split caused a void in which light and matter can move at superluminal speed. It took some time (TBD) that space healed, but at this time, the ring achieved its size. The reasoning is given in Appendix D.

After the explosion of the ancient nucleus, the visible Universe contained a hot soup of protons and electrons. It took the visible Universe 380,000 years to cool down to 3000K. When this happened, the nucleosynthesis began - electrons began to combine with hydrogen and helium nuclei. The local density of matter in the visible Universe was the cause of the variety of celestial bodies. If the density of atoms at a particular region in the visible Universe was too low to enable attraction between them, they remained as a cloud of gas that orbits the Pivot. If the density of atoms was sufficient for interaction between them, stars were created. The variety of celestial bodies was dependent on the mass of the born star. Some stars that had enough mass to collapse by gravity into neutron stars, more massive stars collapsed into black holes. This black hole was powerful enough to attract new stars that started to orbit it. Thus, stars performed two simultaneously trajectories. One around the Pivot and the other around the black hole - this was the way that Galaxies were created.

So far, the description of the Pivot Universe was qualitative. The next paragraphs are quantitative. In these paragraphs, I calculate the size of the primeval nucleus and the sizes of the Pivot and the visible Universe ring.

The Pivot structure is verified against known cosmological observations. For example, Origin of spinning and rotation of all celestial objects, flattened rotation curve in Spiral Galaxies, Spiral shape of Galaxies, Redshift of Galaxies, the Blueshift of Galaxies, Cosmic Microwave Background, Handedness of Galaxies, Olbers' paradox, Hubble's observations, CBM, Nucleosynthesis.

Speculative note: It is possible that an additional primeval nucleus could be created, in the same manner, at other places in the infinite space. This gives rise to the existence of additional Universes.

Finally, there are spin-offs of the Pivot theory. I relate to them in the Appendixes:

Appendix A- The origin of gravity.

It is shown how quantum physics, Newton's gravitational law, and GR can be unified.

Appendix B- Is a black hole a neutron star?

The primeval nucleus was created from the accumulation of matter and antimatter particles from the vacuum space. In contrast, black holes in the visible Universe are the result of the gravitational collapse of massive stars. From the GR point of view, the final result is the same. In both cases, the nucleus contains nucleons that are packed to the maximum density in the Universe. But, from quantum physics, there is a difference. The Pivot is made of neutrons and antineutrons, whereas the black holes in the visible Universe contain only neutrons.

#### Appendix C- Can fluid dynamics describe space?

The GR frame dragging describes the behavior of the vacuum space. I claim that this behavior resembles the behavior of Stokes flow.

#### Appendix D – Superluminal (Faster than light) velocity.

This explains how the ring of the visible Universe reached its size in a short time.

#### Appendix E – Newton’s gravitational law Vs. Einstein’s GR

Shows how both theories could be united.

## **2. Known constants and estimated parameters**

### Definitions:

$Gly = 9.46 \cdot 10^{24} \text{ m}$  ...is the distance of billion light-years.

$Mly = 9.46 \cdot 10^{21} \text{ m}$  ...is the distance of million light-years.

$Kly = 9.46 \cdot 10^{18} \text{ m}$  ...is the distance of thousand light-years.

### Accepted parameters:

$G = 6.67 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3 / \text{kg} / \text{sec}^2$  ...is the Gravitational constant.

$C = 2.9979 \cdot 10^8 \text{ m} / \text{sec}$  ...is light velocity.

$\hbar = 1.0545716 \cdot 10^{-34} \text{ J} \cdot \text{sec}$  ...is the reduced Planck's constant.

$m_{neutron} = 1.674927471 \cdot 10^{-27} \text{ kg}$  ...is the mass of a neutron.

$R_{neutron} = 0.8 \cdot 10^{-13} \text{ cm}$  ... is the radius of the neutron

## **2.1 Evaluated and estimated parameters:**

Note: Each of the following parameters is my best estimation.

What is the maximum density in the Universe?

One of the central claims of the Pivot theory is that there is a maximum density of matter in the Universe. This maximum density occurs when nucleons of matter are packed so densely that they cannot be squeezed anymore. It is known that neutron stars, atom nucleus, and neutrons have approximately the same maximum density. (See Appendix B).

$$\rho_{\max} \cong \rho_{neutron} = \frac{m_{neutron}}{\frac{4}{3} \cdot \pi \cdot R_{neutron}^3} = 7.81 \cdot 10^{17} \cdot \text{kg} / \text{m}^3$$

The density of the Visible universe?

The visible universe is embedded in space. An estimated density of the visible universe is

$$\rho_{vu} = 10^{-26} \cdot \text{kg} / \text{m}^3$$

The density of the space?

I claim that space, even without any matter embedded, has a density:

$$\rho_{space} = 0.8 \cdot 10^{-26} \cdot \text{kg} / \text{m}^3$$

The reasoning is that space is dragged by the Pivot. The angular momentum of the Pivot acts on space around it. Therefore, space must have density.

The maximum acceleration in the Universe.

The hypothesis that there is a maximum acceleration possible in the Universe is known. Recent experiments were conducted to find this acceleration. Potzel [1] did such an experiment. He calculated that the maximal acceleration possible in the Universe is  $> 1.5 \cdot 10^{21} \cdot \text{m} / \text{sec}^2$  . In his

paper, he mentions another experiment done by Friedman, who found an acceleration:  $1 \cdot 10^{19} \cdot m / \text{sec}^2$ . The experiments are quite sensitive and more refined experiments are needed.

In this paper, I estimate:  $a_{\text{max}} = 1.58 \cdot 10^{20} \cdot \frac{m}{\text{sec}^2}$ . This value was fitted so that the sizes of the Pivot Universe are in accord with observations. Having an accurate measurement of the maximum acceleration in the Universe will enable calculating the exact primeval nucleus mass and radius.

### Birch's observation of the spinning Universe.

Birch [2] calculated from the study of position angles and polarization of high luminosity classical double radio sources an angular velocity of the Universe. Although his work has not been convincingly refuted, it was criticized for using improper statistics. He found an approximate value of:

$$\omega_{\text{Birch}} \approx 10^{-13} \text{ rad / yr}$$

In this paper, I choose  $\omega_{\text{Birch}} = 0.3905 \cdot 10^{-13} \text{ rad / yr}$ . I postulate that this is the angular velocity of the Milky Way around the Pivot. I fitted this value so that the Milky Way radius resides within the ring of the visible Universe, but closer to the Pivot than most of the galaxies. This explains the observations that only a few galaxies are blue-shifted whereas most galaxies are red-shifted. (See paragraph 5). Having an accurate measurement of this parameter will enable exact calculations of the orbiting radius of the Milky Way.

### 3. The Primeval Universe

The calculation of the primeval nucleus mass  $M_{nucleus}$  and its radius  $R_{nucleus}$ , at the moment it exploded can be derived by using the following assumptions:

- 1) My claim is that the maximal acceleration in the Universe  $a_{max}$  was reached on the equator surface of the primeval nucleus. From Newton's theory of gravity:

$$a_{max} = \frac{G \cdot M_{nucleus}}{R_{nucleus}^2} \quad (3.1)$$

Where:

$M_{nucleus}$  ...Mass of primeval nucleus

$R_{nucleus}$  ...Radius of primval nucleus

- 2) The following formula is based on the model used for calculating the radius of the atom's nucleus.

$$R_{nucleus} = R_{neutron} \cdot \left( \frac{M_{nucleus}}{m_{neutron}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad (3.2)$$

This equation resembles the way the radius of the atom's nucleus is calculated. The reasoning for using this equation is that the structure of the primeval nucleus is similar to the structure of the atom nucleus. The radius of the atom's nucleus is calculated by  $R = r_0 \cdot A^{1/3}$  where R – radius of the atom's nucleus and A- number of nucleons. The value  $r_0$  varies depending on the atom and is 1.25+/-0.2fm. For the primeval nucleus, I assume  $r_0 = R_{neutron} = 0.8 fm$ .

- 3) Mass of primeval nucleus sphere:

$$M_{nucleus} = \frac{4}{3} \cdot \pi \cdot R_{nucleus}^3 \cdot \rho_{max} \quad \dots \quad (3.3)$$

From equations (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3) the primeval nucleus mass and its radius can be calculated:

$$M_{nucleus} = \left( \frac{a_{max} \cdot R_{neutron}^2}{G \cdot m_{neutron}^{\frac{2}{3}}} \right)^3 = 1.24 \cdot 10^{54} \cdot kg \quad (3.4)$$

The radius of the primeval nucleus is calculated by:

$$R_{nucleus} = R_{neutron} \cdot \left( \frac{M_{nucleus}}{m_{neutron}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} = 7.24 \cdot 10^8 \cdot km \quad (3.5)$$

The angular momentum of the primeval nucleus is calculated based on the primeval hadron theory described by Muradian [3]. He uses the generalized Regge's law general formula which connects the maximal spin  $J$  and the mass  $m$  of a celestial object. He showed that the calculated values of  $J$  and  $m$  of celestial bodies are in good agreement with observations. Therefore, the angular momentum of the primeval nucleus  $J_{nucleus}$  is:

$$J_{nucleus} = \hbar \cdot \left( \frac{M_{nucleus}}{m_{neutron}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = 2.13 \cdot 10^{87} J \cdot sec \quad (3.7)$$

The explosion of the primeval nucleus occurred when the tangential velocity at the equator of the primeval nucleus was equal to the speed of light  $C$ . The angular velocity of the nucleus  $\Omega_{nucleus}$  was at that moment:

$$\Omega_{nucleus} = \frac{C}{R_{nucleus}} = 4.14 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot rad / sec \quad (3.8)$$

#### 4. The structure of the Pivot Universe

In this paragraph, the sizes of the Pivot and the ring-shaped visible Universe are calculated. Angular momentum and mass conservation laws are used to calculate the mass and dimensions of the Pivot Universe. Namely, the angular momentum of the Pivot Universe must be equal to the angular momentum of the primeval Universe  $J_{nucleus}$  (3.7). The angular momentum of the Pivot Universe is the sum of the angular momentum of the Pivot + the ring visible Universe. Also, the mass of the Pivot + the mass of the ring visible Universe + the mass of annihilated matter must be equal to the mass of the primeval nucleus.

The calculation is done in the following steps:

4.1 Based on general relativity equations relating to a system composed of a slowly rotating black hole and an axisymmetric ring of matter around it, the angular momentum of the Pivot  $J_{pivot}$  and the angular momentum of the ring  $J_{ring}$  are equal: See S. Hod [4]

$$J_{pivot} = J_{ring} = \frac{J_{nucleus}}{2} = 1.06 \cdot 10^{87} J \cdot \text{sec} \quad (4.1)$$

4.2 The mass of the Pivot is found by using (3.7):

$$M_{pivot} = \left( \frac{J_{pivot}}{\hbar} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \cdot m_{neutron} = 7.82 \cdot 10^{53} kg \quad (4.2)$$

4.3 The Schwarzschild radius of the Pivot is:

$$R_{H\_pivot} = \frac{2 \cdot G \cdot M_{pivot}}{C^2} = 122.76 \cdot Gly \quad (4.3)$$

4.4 The Pivot Universe structure is similar to the known GR structure of the composed black-hole-ring system. GR teaches that there is an Innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) which marks the inner radius of a particle orbiting the black hole. According to GR, a particle can stably stay in orbit around a massive object if its orbiting radius is greater than ISCO. The ISCO of a ring orbiting the black hole differs from the ISCO of a particle. The inner radius must be greater than the Schwarzschild radius. I assume a ratio of 1.001 between the inner radius of the visible Universe ring and the Schwarzschild radius of the Pivot.

$$R_{in} = R_{isco} = 1.001 \cdot R_H = 122.88 \cdot Gly \quad (4.4)$$

4.5 To find the outer radius and the width of the visible Universe ring more assumptions must be done. Cosmological observations show that the majority of galaxies have a red z shift and only a few galaxies have a blue z shift. The meaning is that the radius of the Milky Way  $R_{mw}$  is slightly bigger than  $R_{in}$ , thus most galaxies have an orbital radius that is bigger than the Milky Way radius. A detailed explanation is in paragraph 5- Gravitational z shift.

The mass of the ring is found based on mass conservation law. During the explosion free neutrons and antineutrons annihilated. The mass that was annihilated is estimated to be  $M_{annihilation} = 3 \cdot 10^{52} \text{ kg}$ , and therefore the mass of the ring-shaped visible Universe is:

$$M_{ring} = M_{nucleus} - M_{pivot} - M_{annihilation} = 4.3 \cdot 10^{53} \text{ kg} \quad (4.5)$$

To find the total angular momentum of the Pivot universe the angular momentum addition law is used:

$$M_{total} = M_{pivot} + M_{ring} = 1.21 \cdot 10^{54} \text{ kg} \quad (4.6)$$

$$J_{total} = \hbar \cdot \left( \frac{M_{total}}{m_{neutron}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = 2.05 \cdot 10^{87} \text{ J} \cdot \text{sec} \quad (4.7)$$

To find the outer radius of the ring-shaped visible universe  $R_{out}$  I use the frame-dragging of space by the Pivot predicted by GR. The angular velocity of dragged space in the plane of the Pivot's equator is not constant but rather dependent on the radius  $r$  according to Eq. 4.8. See Wikipedia [5].

$$\Omega(r) = \frac{R_{H\_pivot} \cdot \alpha_{pivot} \cdot C}{r^3 + \alpha_{pivot}^2 \cdot r + R_{H\_pivot} \cdot \alpha_{pivot}^2} \quad (4.8)$$

Where: 
$$\alpha_{pivot} = \frac{J_{total}}{M_{total} \cdot C} = 0.6 \text{ Gly}$$

The rotation of the Pivot causes the dragging of space around it. Dragging around the Pivot is shown schematically in Appendix C1 (Figure C1). The interesting dragging is done in the equatorial plane, where the visible universe resides.

The width of the universe  $W$  is done by equating the angular momentum of the rotating space around the Pivot and  $J_{pivot}$  (Eq. 4.9). Note: The angular momentum of the Pivot sphere can be neglected, as it is small in comparison to the angular momentum required to drag space. (See Appendix C1). A cross-section of the Universe is shown in Fig. 4.1:




Fig. 4.1 – Cross-section of Universe

$$2 \cdot \pi \cdot W \cdot \rho_{space} \cdot \int_{R_{pivot}}^{R_{in}} \Omega(r) \cdot r^3 \cdot dr = J_{pivot} \Rightarrow \dots\dots\dots W = 0.98 \cdot Gly \quad (4.9)$$

The outer radius of the ring  $R_{out}$  is found by equating the angular momentum of a disk about its central axis to  $J_{ring}$  from Eq. (4.1). The density is the sum of space density  $\rho_{space}$  + visible universe density  $\rho_{vu}$ .

$$2 \cdot \pi \cdot W \cdot (\rho_{space} + \rho_{vu}) \cdot \int_{R_{in}}^{R_{out}} \Omega(r) \cdot r^3 \cdot dr = J_{ring} \Rightarrow \dots\dots\dots R_{out} = 175.57 \cdot Gly \quad (4.10)$$

4.6 This paragraph relates to the Pivot. The radius of the Pivot  $R_{pivot}$  :

$$R_{pivot} = R_{neutron} \cdot \left( \frac{M_{pivot}}{m_{neutron}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} = 6.21 \cdot 10^8 km \quad (4.11)$$

The angular velocity of the Pivot is  $\Omega(R_{pivot}) = 5.31 \cdot 10^{-17} rad / sec$  (4.12)

The tangential velocity on the surface of the Pivot is:

$$V_{pivot} = \Omega(R_{pivot}) \cdot R_{pivot} = 0.03 \cdot mm / sec \quad (4.13)$$

Conclusion: In GR terms the Pivot is a slow spinning Kerr black hole.

4.7 The orbiting radius of the Milky Way.

From the equatorial frame dragging equation (4.8), the angular velocity of a galaxy is dependent on its orbital radius around the Pivot. The orbiting radius of the Milky Way ( $R_{mw}$ ) can be found by equating Birch's measured angular velocity  $\omega_{Birch} = 0.3905 \cdot 10^{-13} rad / yr$  to the angular velocity  $\Omega(r)$  of Eq (4.8).

$$\omega_{Birch} = \frac{R_{H-pivot} \cdot \alpha_{pivot} \cdot C}{R_{mw}^3 + \alpha_{pivot}^2 \cdot R_{mw} + R_{H-pivot} \cdot \alpha_{pivot}^2} \dots\dots \Rightarrow R_{mw} = 123.36 Gly \quad (4.14)$$

Additional Notes on the Pivot Universe:

1. *Size of the Pivot relative to Universe* - The calculated radius of the Pivot is  $6.21 \cdot 10^8 \text{ km}$  . For comparison only, the distance of Jupiter from the Sun is  $7.8 \cdot 10^8 \text{ km}$  . This size of the Pivot is impressive, but it is dwarfed when compared to the radius of the visible Universe

ring. This ratio is:  $Ratio = \frac{R_{pivot}}{R_{out}} = 2.59 \cdot 10^{-16}$  .

2. *Angular momentum of celestial bodies.* - The calculations of the angular momentum of the visible Universe ring, should include the spinning angular momentum of all celestial bodies, i.e., Galaxies, stars, planets, interstellar planets. However, it is shown now, that the sum of the spinning angular momentum of all these celestial bodies is negligible in comparison to the orbital angular momentum of the ring-shaped visible Universe. Based on Muradian, an estimated total angular momentum of all celestial objects is:

$$J_{objects} = J_{gal} \cdot N_{gal} + J_{star} \cdot N_{star} + J_{planet} \cdot N_{planet} = 2 \cdot 10^{85} \text{ erg} \cdot \text{sec}$$

Where:

The average angular momentum of a galaxy:  $J_{gal} = 10^{74} \text{ erg} \cdot \text{sec}$  , Number of Galaxies:

$N_{gal} = 2 \cdot 10^{11}$  , Angular momentum of an average star:  $J_{star} = 10^{49} \text{ erg} \cdot \text{sec}$  , Number

solar systems:  $N_{star} = 10^{22}$  , Angular momentum of an average planet:  $J_{planet} = 10^{40} \text{ erg} \cdot \text{sec}$

Number planets:  $N_{planet} = 10^{24}$  .  $J_{objects} = 2 \cdot 10^{85} \text{ erg} \cdot \text{sec} \ll J_{ring} = 1.06 \cdot 10^{94} \text{ erg} \cdot \text{sec}$  and therefore, can be neglected.

3. *The velocity of a celestial body - Newton Vs. Birch*

The velocity of the Milky Way galaxy around the Pivot can be calculated by:

$$V_{Birch} = \omega_{Birch} \cdot R_{mw} = 0.0048c .$$

However, when the velocity of the Milky Way is calculated according to Newton's gravity law the velocity is:

$$V_{Newton} = \left( \frac{G \cdot M_{pivot}}{R_{mw}} \right)^{0.5} = 0.71c$$

This is a discrepancy  $\frac{V_{Newton}}{V_{Birch}} = 146.43$  . The explanation is that in Newton's law the

distance between two celestial bodies is not the straight line connecting the centers of the two bodies but rather should be replaced the geodesic between their centers. Appendix E shows that this rule applies to all celestial bodies.

To equate  $V_{Newton}$  to the measured  $V_{Birch}$  the geodesic length is:

$$L_{measured} = \left( \frac{V_{Newton}}{V_{Birch}} \right)^2 \cdot R_{mw} = 2.14 \cdot 10^4 \cdot R_{mw} = 2.65 \cdot 10^6 \cdot Gly \quad (4.15)$$

The geodesic length can be calculated by using the length of a spiral:

$$L_{\text{calculated}} = \int_{R_{\text{pivot}}}^{R_{\text{mw}}} \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{d}{dr} (r \cdot \Omega(r) \cdot T_{\text{mw}}) \right)^2} \cdot dr = 9.89 \cdot 10^5 \cdot \text{Gly} \quad (4.16)$$

Where:

$$T_{\text{mw}} = \frac{2 \cdot \pi}{\Omega(R_{\text{mw}})} = 1.61 \cdot 10^{14} \text{ yr} \dots \text{Time for Milky Way to complete one revolution around Pivot.}$$

Note: There is a discrepancy between the geodesic measured length and the calculated length. The reason for this discrepancy is not clear to me now:

$$\frac{L_{\text{measured}}}{L_{\text{calculated}}} = 2.67$$

The plot of the spiral geodesic around the Pivot is given by:

$$\theta(r) = \Omega(r) \cdot \frac{r}{R_{\text{mw}}} \cdot T_{\text{mw}} \quad \text{and is described in Fig. 4.2.}$$




Fig. 4.2 – Geodesic around the Pivot

4. *The velocity of Space around the Pivot* - Fig. 4.1 shows the velocity of the dragged space around the Pivot.  $\Omega(r)$  according to (4.8)

$$V(r) = \Omega(r) \cdot r \quad (4.15)$$

In the range of  $r = 0.6Gly \leftrightarrow 8.21Gly$  the velocity of the dragged space is higher than the speed of light, reaching a peak of  $3.1c$ . This range can be considered as a singularity ring. (Note: the singularity ring was predicted by Kerr, except that in Kerr's solution it has no width). I claim that material crossing the event horizon of the Pivot (@  $R_{H\_pivot} = 122.76 \cdot Gly$ ), plunges towards the Pivot but will not reach the Pivot because it will be annihilated at the singularity ring. The annihilated energy is ejected via two opposing jets along the axis of rotation.




Fig. 4.2 – Velocity of dragged space around the Pivot

*The structure of the Pivot Universe-*

Figure 4.3 depicts schematically the structure of the Pivot Universe. The Pivot is a nucleus whose center is fixed in space, but it spins around an axis through this fixed point. The thin ring-shaped visible Universe is located outside the event horizon and rotates in the same direction of the Pivot. The thin ring-shaped visible Universe shape is confirmed by experimental data that shows that the visible Universe is flat with only a 0.4%. The Pivot cannot be observed by an observer located at the visible Universe ring because he is located outside the event horizon. In the figure, it is also shown schematically - the dragging geodesics of space around the Pivot: on the equator plane and about the axis. The ring-shaped Universe is located in space at such a distance from

the Pivot that the velocity of the dragged space is equal to the tangential velocity of celestial bodies in the visible Universe. Thus, there is no relative motion between dragged space and the celestial bodies in the visible Universe. Fig. 4.3 also shows schematically the trajectory of a celestial body rotating the Pivot. The center of this celestial body orbits the Pivot at a velocity  $V$ , precisely as the speed of the dragged space. However, there is a difference in the velocities of regions on the body. The region that is closer to the Pivot moves at  $V+\Delta V$ . The region that is further from the Pivot moves at  $V-\Delta V$ . As a result, the body must spin around its center in the direction opposite the spin of the Pivot. This configuration explains both the Michelson-Morley experiment (M-M) and the Sagnac effect.

M-M experiment: If “ $V$ ” is precisely in the direction of the trajectory the result from M-M is null. However, the situation is more complicated because a celestial body may also rotate around its star, and the star rotates around the center of its galaxy. Indeed, the results from various tests of M-M show that the velocity of Earth relative to space is varying and not precisely null.

The Sagnac effect is explained by the fact that all bodies, no matter their size, spin naturally in a direction opposite to the Pivot’s spin. The magnitude of this natural spin is constant along the trajectory. Now, if the spin of the celestial body is changed artificially, for example, in Sagnac gyro, then there is a difference between the natural spin and the artificial spin that can be measured by the Sagnac effect.




Fig. 4.3 - Structure and dimensions of the Pivot Universe

## 5. Gravitational z shift

The Pivot theory postulates that the main contributor to the z shift of galaxies in the gravitational field of the Pivot, rather than the Doppler shift. In Fig. 5.1 the colors of z shift are shown schematically. The frequency is blue-shifted when a galaxy is closer to the Pivot. Galaxies further from the Pivot are red-shifted. It was demonstrated earlier that the Milky Way is closer to  $R_{in}$  than most of the galaxies. Therefore, only a few galaxies are blue shifted  $Z_{gal} < 0$  (e.g., Andromeda). The majority of galaxies are red-shifted  $Z_{gal} > 0$ . Had the Milky Way been located on the outer radius of the Universe ring, all galaxies would have been blue shifted.




Fig. 5.1 – The Pivot Universe structure shows galaxies orbiting the Pivot

Referring to Fig. 5.1, GAL-A and GAL-B are shown to have different distances from the Milky Way. Nevertheless, they have the same z shift, because both Galaxies are located in the same orbit. On the other hand, GAL-A and GAL-C may have the same distance from the Milky Way but have different z shift. Since the Pivot theory claims that galaxies are orbiting the Pivot at different velocities, the Doppler shift cannot be excluded. However, it will be shown later (see paragraph 8) that the Doppler redshift contribution to the measured z shift is small.

The gravitational z shift of a galaxy  $Z_{gal}$  orbiting the Pivot at a radius  $R_{gal}$  is calculated by GR Eq. (5.1):

$$Z_{gal}(R_{gal}) = \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{2 \cdot G \cdot M_{pivot}}{R_{gal} \cdot C^2}\right)^{0.5}} - 1 \quad (5.1)$$

The z shift of the Milky Way can be calculated because the radius of the Milky Way  $R_{mw}$  is known

$$z_{mw} = \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{2 \cdot G \cdot M_{pivot}}{R_{mw} \cdot C^2}\right)^{0.5}} - 1 = 12.75 \quad (5.2)$$

Now, the orbiting radius  $R_{gal}$  of any galaxy can be found by the measurement of z shift as seen in the Milky Way  $z_{gal}$ .

$$R_{gal} = \frac{2 \cdot G \cdot M_{pivot}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{(z_{mw} - z_{gal} + 1)^2}\right) C^2} \quad (5.3)$$

Two examples of finding the orbiting radius of Galaxies using Eq. (5.3):

- 1) The measured blue shift of the Andromeda galaxy is -0.001001. This gives (from 5.3) that the radius of Andromeda around the Pivot is:  $R_{andromeda} = 123.3597Gly$ . The Milky Way radius  $R_{mw} = 123.3597Gly$  differs from Andromeda only  $84Kly$ . The sum of the radius of the Milky Way + the radius of Andromeda is greater than the difference in the radiuses  $60Kly + 110Kly > 84Kly$ . This means that both galaxies will collide in the future.
- 2) The currently measured highest redshift is of galaxy GN-z11: 11.09. The calculated radius of GN-z11 is  $R_{GN-z11} = 135.66Gly$ , i.e.,  $12.3Gly$  further away from the Pivot than the Milky Way.

## 6. The Origin of spinning and rotation of celestial bodies

After the explosion of the primeval nucleus, the ring-shaped visible Universe contained a very hot soup of nucleons and electrons that orbited the Pivot. It took the visible Universe 380,000 years to cool down. When this happened, ordinary atoms were formed.

The celestial bodies were created as a result of atoms attracting each other by gravity. The local density of the visible Universe was the cause of the variety of celestial bodies, i.e., dust, stars, neutron stars, and galaxies. If the density of atoms at a particular region in the visible Universe ring was too low to enable significant attraction between them, they remained as a cloud of gas that orbits the Pivot. If the density of atoms was sufficient for interaction between them, stars were created. The variety of celestial bodies was dependent on the mass of the born star. Some stars that had enough mass to collapse into neutron stars. Galaxies were formed in the following way: if the mass of the star was big enough, it collapsed by gravitation to form a black hole. Once a black hole was created, it started to accumulate matter and stars from the surrounding space. The black hole swallowed some of the matter/stars, but other matter/stars began to orbit around it. Fig 6.1 shows trajectories of stars around the galaxy's black hole. Stars that are orbiting the Pivot at a bigger radius than the galaxy's black hole move slower than the galaxy's black hole. Stars that are orbiting the Pivot at a smaller radius than the galaxy's black hole move faster than the galaxy's black hole. This created torque on the galaxy, consequently causing it to simultaneously spin around its black hole and orbiting the Pivot in a counter direction of the Pivot's spin. The black hole in the galaxy's center played a crucial role in the first stages of the Galaxy evolution. Even though the mass of the black hole in the center of the galaxy is relatively huge, it can influence only stars that are orbiting near it. But at this stage, new stars were attracted to the galaxy by the distributed mass of the new galaxy, rather than the gravity caused by the supermassive black hole.

Note: An alternative explanation of the spinning and rotation of celestial bodies is Stokes flow- see Appendix C




Fig. 6.1 – Trajectories of stars around a galaxy's black hole

## 7. Rotation curve and spiral shape of a galaxy

The rotation curve of a galaxy is a plot of the orbital speeds of stars or gas in that galaxy versus their radial distance from that galaxy's center. (See Fig. 7.2). The rotation curves of Galaxies were measured accurately by Vera Rubin and her team. They verified that the rotation curve was flattened, or in other words, that the velocities of stars orbit at roughly the same speed not depending on their distance from the galaxy's center. This observation is contrary to Newton mechanics that claims that stars that are located further from the galaxy center will move slower. The Pivot structure can explain the flattening of the rotation curves.




Fig. 7.1 – Velocities of a star in a galaxy

The gravitational forces exerted on a star in a galaxy are caused by:

- Distributed mass of the galaxy.
- The Blackhole in the center of a galaxy.

c) The Pivot.

Data:

$M_{gal} = 10^{39} kg$	... is an average mass of a galaxy.
$M_{bh} = 10^{36} kg$	... is the assumed mass of a black hole in the center of a galaxy.
$d = 50 Mly$	... distance between the Milky Way to a center of a galaxy
$R_{gal} = R_{mw} + d$	... is the distance between a galaxy and the Pivot.
$r = 0 \dots 140 Kly$	... is the distance from the star to the black hole of the galaxy
$r_0 = 30 Kly$	... is an estimated characteristic radius of the distributed mass in the galaxy. (see (7.1))
$V_{sun\_bh} = 213 \cdot km / s$	... is the velocity of the Sun around Milky Way black hole
$V_{earth\_sun} = 30 \cdot km / s$	... is the velocity of Earth around the Sun
$\alpha = 0 \cdot Deg \dots 360 \cdot Deg$	... Angle, see Fig. 7.1

- a) The velocity of a star due to distributed mass of the galaxy according to Newtonian dynamics: (Note: this is an approximation because Newton related to a sphere and a galaxy has a thin-disk shape)

$$V_{gal}(r) := \begin{cases} \left( \frac{G \cdot M_{gal}}{r_0} \right)^{0.5} \cdot \frac{r}{r_0} & \text{if } 0 \cdot Kly < r \leq r_0 \\ \left( \frac{G \cdot M_{gal}}{r} \right)^{0.5} & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (7.1)$$

- b) The velocity of a star around the galaxy's Blackhole

$$V_{bh} = \left( \frac{G \cdot M_{bh}}{r} \right)^{0.5} \quad (7.2)$$

c) The velocity of a star in a galaxy orbiting the Pivot:

$$V_{p\_star} = \Omega (R_{gal}) \cdot (R_{gal} - r \cdot \cos(\alpha)) \quad (7.3)$$

Summation of the three velocities on the star gives:

$$V_{sum} = V_{p\_star} + (V_{gal} + V_{bh}) \cdot \cos(\alpha) \quad (7.4)$$

Orbital velocity of Milky Way around the Pivot:

$$V_{p\_mw} = \omega_{Birch} \cdot R_{mw} = 0.013C \quad (7.5)$$

The velocity of a star in a galaxy as seen by an Earth observer is given by:

$$V_{star} = V_{p\_mw} - V_{sum} + (V_{sun\_bh} + V_{earth\_sun}) \quad (7.6)$$

Figure 7.2 shows the velocities of stars in an arbitrary galaxy as seen from Earth. The red curve is a star velocity in a galaxy and it is flattened out as  $r$  becomes bigger. Some of the curve velocities of stars will be flattened, similar to the red curve. In any case, all the curves are confined between the two extreme curves of the graph (the black and the blue). The exact shape of the graph is dependent on  $\alpha$ ,  $d$ ,  $r$ , and the mass of the galaxy's black hole.




Fig. 7.2 – Rotation curve for a galaxy as seen by Earth observer

## The shape of a spiral galaxy

Most spiral galaxies contain a central bulge surrounded by a flat rotating disk of stars. The bulge in the galaxy center includes a supermassive black hole. The supermassive black hole is created from the cloud of dust and stars that are orbiting the Pivot. This black hole was the kernel of the galaxy. The bulge has an ellipsoidal shape because stars that were attracted by the central black hole in the galaxy originated not only from stars that were located on the equatorial plane of the galaxy but also from planes that are “above” or “below” the equatorial plane. The spiral arms of a galaxy are the result of the superposition of the motion of a star around the Pivot and its motion around the supermassive black hole at the center of the galaxy. Spiral Galaxies may have more than two arms if the galaxy encountered several dense regions at various times. Each time the galaxy crosses a dense region a new arm is added to the galaxy. The width of the arm depends on the size of the dense region.

The angular displacement, during the elapsed time  $t$ , of a star orbiting the galaxy’s black hole is designated  $(\theta)$  (See Fig. 7.1).  $\theta(r, \alpha) = \int_0^t \frac{V_{star}(r, \alpha)}{r} dt$ , where  $V_{star}(r, \alpha)$  is given in (7.6). This

integration is done only for stars that are located on the main axis (i.e., the axis that connects the Pivot and the galaxy’s black hole, i.e., angles of 0deg and 180deg – (See Fig. 6.1 and Fig. 7.1). Only at these angles, stars begin to orbit around the galaxy’s center. Fig. 7.3 shows the shape of a spiral shape galaxy 10 billion years after its creation. The reason for using the modulo operator in the following equations is that stars in Galaxies have completed by now many full rotations around the galaxy’s black hole. For example, the Milky Way makes a full rotation around the black hole located at its center every ~250 million years. Thus, the Milky Way has completed during its life ~54 full revolutions.

It is interesting to show the prediction of the Pivot theory as to what will be the shape of the spiral galaxy 50 Billion years after its creation. From Fig. 7.4 it can be concluded that the spiral shape of a spiral galaxy is changing at a slow pace over billions of years.

$$\theta_1(r, \alpha) := \text{mod} \left[ \left[ \int_{0\text{yr}}^t \frac{V_{star}[r, (\alpha) \cdot \text{deg}]}{r} dt \cdot \text{deg} \cdot 360\text{deg} \right] \right]$$

$$\theta_2(r, \alpha) := \text{mod} \left[ \left[ \int_{0\text{yr}}^t \frac{V_{star}[r, (\alpha) \cdot \text{deg}]}{r} dt \cdot \text{deg} \cdot 360\text{deg} \right] + 180\text{deg} \right]$$




Fig. 7.3 – Shape of a spiral galaxy at  $t = 10$  Billion years after its creation.




Fig. 7.4 – Shape of a spiral galaxy at  $t = 50$  Billion years after its creation.

## 8. Hubble's law

This paragraph aims to show that Hubble's law is wrong. I am elaborating on this issue because Hubble's law is one of the pillars of the Big Bang (BB) theory. The BB claims that the Universe on a large scale is isotropic. The Pivot theory claims the Universe is not isotropic as it has a rotation axis. I am not disputing the correctness of the observations made by Hubble and others. But I argue that the laws he derived from these observations are wrong.

Hubble's law consists actually of two laws. The first states that Galaxies are receding from each other at a velocity that is linear to their relative distances  $V = H_0 \cdot d$ . The second law claimed by Hubble is  $V = z \cdot C$ , meaning that there is a linear relationship between the receding velocity and the redshift of a galaxy. Hubble's laws seemed to be correct at his time when measurements were done on Galaxies near the Milky Way. However, when Hubble's law was used for observations of distant Galaxies with high redshifted the graph curved up. This finding was a basis of the paradigm that the Universe is expanding forever in all directions, at an ever-accelerating speed. According to Hubble's laws, there are Galaxies with  $z > 1.5$  that are receding from the Milky Way at speeds greater than the speed of light. The accelerated expanding Universe gives rise to yet another theory claiming that to accelerate the Universe expansion at the observed rates, there must be an additional force. This force is dubbed "dark energy". The mass of this dark energy was calculated to be  $\sim 70\%$  of all the mass in the Universe. The confusion, among the scientific community, is great. Kirshner [6] relates to Hubble's diagram (Fig. 8.1): "Staring at his original

Hubble diagram, you can see that there is a handful of nearby Galaxies with blue shifts, and a large scatter of velocities at any given distance. Hubble shrewdly used plausible methods to average the data for Galaxies that are at the same distance to make his result stand out more clearly from the noise. He was fortunate to have data that behaved so well.”




Fig. 8.1 – Hubble’s original graph (1929)

Hubble’s diagram shows that there is a significant scatter of the measurements. Some Galaxies are located at the same distance from the Milky Way but have different velocities. The Pivot theory can explain Hubble’s observations. Fig. 5.1 shows two Galaxies GAL-A and GAL-C having the same distance (d) from the Milky Way, but are located on different radiiuses. According to the Pivot theory, they have different z shifts. But according to Hubble, they should have the same redshift.

### Doppler shift Vs. gravitational shift

Hubble claims that the redshift of Galaxies is due only to the Doppler effect. The Pivot theory claim that there is a Doppler effect, but it is small in comparison to the gravitational shift that is calculated in paragraph 5. For finding the Doppler shift of an observed galaxy, first, the orbiting velocities around the Pivot of the Milky Way (8.1) and the observed galaxy (8.2) should be found. Then, the velocity difference between the two Galaxies is calculated (8.3). Subsequently, the Doppler shift is calculated (8.4). Finally, the ratio of the Doppler shift to the total z shift is calculated (8.5) and shown in Fig. 8.2. From this graph, the maximal Doppler effect contribution to the total z shift can reach 1%  $R_{out}$  .

$$V_{mw} = \omega_{Birch} \cdot R_{mw} = 0.0048C \quad (8.1)$$

$$V_{gal} = \Omega(R_{gal}) \cdot R_{gal} \quad (8.2)$$

$$\Delta V = V_{mw} - V_{gal} \quad (8.3)$$

$$Z_{doppler} = \frac{\Delta V}{C} \quad (8.4)$$

$$R_{percent} = \frac{Z_{doppler}}{Z_{gal} + Z_{doppler}} \cdot 100 \quad (8.5)$$




Fig. 8.2 - The ratio (%) of Doppler shift to the total z shift

## 9. Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB)

The cosmological principle states that at a “large-scale,” the spatial distribution of matter in the Universe is isotropic and homogeneous. The most robust observational evidence for the cosmological principle is the CMB. The CMB is considered to be one of the Big Bang theory pillars. Therefore, people may claim that the Pivot Universe that has a preferred axis is improbable. I argue that even though the Pivot Universe has a preferred axis, it still agrees with the CMB observation. It was demonstrated in this article, that the Milky Way is located inside a sizeable observable Universe ring. A Milky Way observer sees a considerable number of Galaxies in whatever direction he looks. He may conclude that the entire Universe is isotropic and homogeneous. But for an observer in a galaxy located on the outer surfaces of the ring, the Universe is not isotropic and homogenous. In one direction, he will be facing the vacuum, which is dark and has a temperature of absolute zero. Likewise, an observer that is located on the inner surface of the ring, i.e., facing the Pivot, sees total darkness and measures the temperature of the vacuum. Only an observer that will travel outside the ring of the visible Universe will be able to see that the Universe has an axis of rotation.

Recently, in April 2020, a paper that summarizes observations done with Chandra X-ray Observatory was published. This paper concludes that the visible Universe is anisotropic on a large scale. This result, if confirmed, may shatter the CMB pillar of the BB theory. The main finding of these observations is that there is a lopsided expansion of the Universe. There is one region in the Universe that is expanding faster than the expected rate, while the second region expands at a slower than expected rate. [7]

The Pivot theory that has an axis of rotation can explain the lopsided image. The Milky Way is orbiting the Pivot at the constant radius. The gravitational  $z$  shift of a galaxy is constant because the radius of the galaxy determines it. But, because galaxies are orbiting the Pivot at different velocities, they are either approaching or receding the Milky Way. The difference in velocities causes a doppler shift. In paragraph 8, it was demonstrated that this Doppler shift is small in comparison to the gravitational  $z$  shift.

## 10. Olbers' paradox

Olber's paradox is that an infinitely old universe with an infinite number of stars distributed in an infinitely large space would be bright rather than dark. The BB theory explains this paradox by claiming that space is expanding. Therefore, the emitted light from distance Galaxies is reduced via redshift so that the most distant Galaxies are not seen from the Milky Way. The Pivot Universe, on the other hand, claims that the visible Universe is finite with a limited number of stars and Galaxies. Outside the ring of the visible Universe, there is the darkness of space. An observer located inside the visible Universe ring sees a considerable number of Galaxies in whatever direction he looks, but eventually, in the background, he sees the total darkness.

## 11. Handedness of Galaxies

The Pivot theory explains yet an additional observation related to the handedness of the Universe. Longo and others [8] found an abundance of left-handed, or counterclockwise (CCW) Galaxies. The excess is small, about 7 percent, but the chance that it could happen in an isotropic and homogeneous Universe is slim. Fig. 11.1 shows a sector of the observable Universe ring. The figure shows the equatorial plane of the visible Universe ring and three Galaxies, the Milky Way, and two arbitrary Galaxies: galaxy A and galaxy B. The Milky Way is located "above" galaxy B and "below" galaxy A. It was explained earlier, that the Pivot theory postulates that all Galaxies rotate in the same direction, opposite to the Universe ring rotation. The location of the Galaxies, relatively to the Milky Way, will determine the direction of their rotation, as seen from the Milky Way. Thus, galaxy A will be seen as rotating clockwise (CW), while galaxy B will be seen as rotating counterclockwise (CCW) when observed from the Milky Way. Had the Milky Way been located on the equatorial plane, then the number of CCW and CW Galaxies would have been the same. However, if the Milky Way is offset by 7% from the equatorial plane, then the number of CCW Galaxies and CW Galaxies will differ by 7%.




Fig. 11.1- Handedness of Galaxies

## 12. Summary

The Pivot theory describes a Universe that began as a primeval nucleus. It originated from vacuum energy, as explained by QFT. This primeval nucleon was symmetric as it consisted of an equal number of neutrons and antineutrons. It accumulated mass from the infinite vacuum space energy. Eventually, it exploded when the velocity on its surface reached the speed of light. The explosion was not symmetric. Following the explosion, the primeval nucleus is divided into two distinct parts: 1) the Pivot that contains more antineutrons than neutrons. Nevertheless, this nucleus is stable because neutrons and antineutrons do not annihilate each other. 2) a thin-shaped ring visible Universe that contained immediately after the explosion more neutrons than antineutrons. The free neutrons and the free antineutrons were not stable and decayed within ~15 minutes to protons and antiprotons. The protons and the antiprotons annihilated each other until only protons remained in the visible Universe. The Pivot drags space around it. The velocity of the visible Universe around the Pivot is the same as the velocity of the dragged space; this explains the Michelson-Morley experiment. This combined structure of the Universe is stable and may last forever. On the other hand, the visible Universe may change, stars will consume their energy; Galaxies orbiting in too close orbits will eventually collide, etc.

The Pivot Universe explains fundamental issues in physics:

1) The vacuum space is absolute, eternal, and infinite that permeates everywhere. 2) Time is absolute and eternal. It flows without relating to anything. 3) The origin of gravity is a strong force. 4) The structure of a black hole is similar to a neutron star. 5) There is a maximum density in the Universe. 6) There is a connection between QM and GR. 7) The Pivot is the “dark matter”, as it is not visible from the visible Universe. 8) There is no dark energy because the Universe is not expanding.

However, there are open issues, e.g., Is our Universe one among many others in the infinite space? How long did it take for the primeval nucleus to reach its final size before it exploded? Why was the explosion not symmetric, or in other words, why was the number of neutrons, in the visible Universe, higher than the antineutrons? Can frame-dragging of space be described by Stokes flow?

How long did it take the Pivot Universe to rearrange after the primeval nucleus exploded? Will our Universe last forever?

## Appendix A - The origin of gravity

The gravitational constant  $G$  is of profound importance in physics as it is involved in the calculations of gravitational effects in Newton's gravitational law and Einstein's general relativity theory. However, no theory explains its origin.  $G$  is an empirical physical constant first measured by Cavendish in 1789 and since then was verified several times.

I claim that the strong force and gravity are the same. The strongest attractor in the Universe is the Pivot, but it contains only nucleons. Nucleons attract each other by the strong force. The magnitude of this force changes considerably as a function of the distances between nucleons. In the quantum world, the strong force reigns, but in the Universe, the weak gravity force takes over.

The theory of the origin of gravity is based on the work done by Ma and Wang [9]. They developed formulas based on field theory. The formulas developed relate to the strong force interaction between quarks, nucleons, and atoms. They developed a modified Yukawa potential equation. Yukawa, around 1930, developed a formula for the strong force that indicates that the strong nucleon force between two nucleons is always attractive. However, experimentally, it is now known that the force is attractive and repulsive depending on the distance between nucleons.

The strong force between two nucleons  $F_n$  is described by formula 6.12 in [9].

$$F_n = g^2 \cdot \left( \frac{1}{4 \cdot e^2} \cdot \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{2 \cdot r}{r_1^3} \cdot e^{-\frac{r}{r_1}} \right) \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Where:

$$g^2 = 10 \cdot \hbar \cdot C \quad \dots \text{Nucleon interaction constant.}$$

Note: Ma and Wang are using the above value of  $g^2$ , however, this value depends on the energy of the interaction or the distance between particles (TBD).

$$r \quad \dots \text{is the distance between nucleons centers.}$$

$$r_1 = 10^{-13} \text{ cm} \quad \dots \text{is Yukawa radius}$$




Fig. A.1 – Force between two nucleons as a function of the distance between them.

Figure A.1 is in good agreement with measurements. From the graph, it is also clear that the strong force is reduced substantially as the distance between nucleons grows. For example, at a distance of one hundred centimeters between nucleons, the attractive force is  $4.8 \cdot 10^{-26} N$ , dropping down from the maximum force of  $2 \cdot 10^5 N$  at a distance  $1.3 \cdot 10^{-13} cm$ . Here, I postulate that although the force between nucleons in the Universe is extremely small, there is nevertheless, a significant attraction force between celestial bodies in the Universe because each of them contains an enormous number of nucleons. This applies specifically to the Pivot that its attraction is felt on any celestial body even if the distance between the celestial body and the Pivot is over hundreds of billions of light-years. In the following paragraph, I will show that the gravitational constant  $G$  can be calculated by using other fundamental physical constants.

Examining equation (A.1) shows that  $\frac{1}{4 \cdot e^{0.5} \cdot r^2} \gg \frac{2 \cdot r}{r_1^3} \cdot e^{-\frac{r}{r_1}}$  when the distance between nucleons increases. The meaning of this is that at cosmic distances the second part of the equation (A.1) can be discarded and the attraction force can be written as:

$$F_n = g^2 \cdot \frac{1}{4 \cdot e^2} \cdot \frac{1}{r^2}$$

Now, consider the force between two celestial bodies one with mass  $M_1$  and the second  $M_2$ .

The number of protons in the first body is:  $N_1 = \frac{M_1}{m_{proton}}$ . The number of protons in the second

body is  $N_2 = \frac{M_2}{m_{proton}}$

The force between the two bodies each of them containing many nucleons, according to Ma and Wang, is:

$$F_n = 3 \cdot g^2 \cdot N_1 \cdot N_2 \cdot \left(\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_n}\right)^6 \cdot \frac{1}{4 \cdot e^2} \cdot \frac{1}{r^2} = 3 \cdot g^2 \cdot \frac{1}{m_{proton}^2} \cdot \left(\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_n}\right)^6 \cdot \frac{1}{4 \cdot e^2} \cdot \frac{M_1 \cdot M_2}{r^2} \quad (A.2)$$

On the other hand, in Newton's gravitational theory, the force between two bodies is given by:

$$F_G = G \cdot \frac{M_1 \cdot M_2}{r^2} \quad (A.3)$$

where  $G$  - the universal gravitational constant.

By equating  $F_n$  (A.2) and  $F_G$  (A.3) the value of  $G$  can be calculated:

$$G = 3 \cdot g^2 \cdot \frac{1}{m_{proton}^2} \cdot \left(\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_n}\right)^6 \cdot \frac{1}{4 \cdot e^2} \quad (A.4)$$

Where:  $\rho_n = 0.85 \cdot 10^{-13} \text{ cm}$  - the radius of the proton.

Note: In equation (A.4) the effective radius of the quark  $\rho_0$  is not accurately known, therefore, its value is calculated. The result is:  $\rho_0 = 0.2809 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ cm}$ . From experiments, it is known that its radius is smaller than about  $\rho_0 = 20 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ cm}$ .

Equation (A.4) unifies quantum physics, Newton's gravitational law, and GR.

## Appendix B - Is a black hole a neutron star?

The Pivot is described in this article as a neutron star from the QM point of view and as a Kerr black hole from the GR point of view.

Blackholes' existence in the visible Universe was predicted by solving GR equations. There is one profound difference between the Pivot and the Black holes in the visible Universe. The Pivot was built by the accumulation of nucleons whereas Black holes in the visible Universe ring originate from the gravitational collapse of massive stars. Nevertheless, the final result is the same. A nucleus in which nucleons are packed to the maximum allowed density in the Universe.

Karl Schwarzschild in 1915 found an exact solution to Einstein's field equations. This solution predicted that Black holes exist in the Universe. In this solution there is an essential singularity at  $r = 0$ , meaning that the density at the center of the Blackhole is infinite. This singularity implies that the known laws of physics break down. Einstein rejected this singularity. In a paper from 1939, Einstein concluded that there was no way a Schwarzschild singularity could ever be possible and therefore the Schwarzschild singularity does not exist in physical reality.

This issue can be resolved by postulating that in the physical world all parameters have bounds. There is no mathematical reason why there is a maximum speed in the Universe; however, physics does not allow any speed to exceed the speed of light. The same implies to density. I postulate that the maximum density of matter in the Universe is the density of a neutron (or a proton), i.e.,  $\sim 7.8 \times 10^{17} \text{ kg/m}^3$ . This maximum density is found in the nucleus of an atom and a neutron star. The density of a neutron star is  $3.7 \times 10^{17}$  to  $5.9 \times 10^{17} \text{ kg/m}^3$ , which is comparable to the approximate density of an atomic nucleus of  $3 \times 10^{17} \text{ kg/m}^3$ . Wikipedia [10].

Note: There are additional theories regarding the maximal density in the Universe. The standard model of particle physics claims that there is a definite upper limit to the density of celestial bodies. The maximal theoretical density is Planck's density of  $10^{96} \text{ kg/m}^3$ . However, intermediate between the neutron star density and the Planck's density there are hypothetical celestial bodies: The first is a quark star that has a density of  $10^{19} \text{ kg/m}^3$ . Strange Quark matter is actively studied with particle colliders, but this can only be produced at very hot temperatures (above  $10^{12} \text{ K}$ ) and in blobs the size of atomic nuclei, which decay immediately after formation. No stars made of strange quark matter were observed in the Universe. The second is a Preon star that has a density of  $10^{26} \text{ kg/m}^3$ . No evidence for quark and Preon stars has been found see [11]

I postulate that also a Blackhole, precisely as a neutron star and a nucleus of an atom, has the same structure. Namely, the nucleons are densely packed to the maximum density possible in the Universe. Specifically, the maximum possible density in the Universe is the density observed in the Universe  $\sim 7.8 \times 10^{17} \text{ kg/m}^3$ .

While GR allows the density theoretically to become infinite, quantum theory and quantum experiments show that it is not possible. One of the theoretical reasons is Pauli's exclusion principle which forbids two identical fermion particles to occupy the same place at the same time. In a neutron star, the neutrons are packed so densely that they touch each other. To turn into other elementary particles, first, the neutrons must be squeezed together so that they overlap, but this is not possible according to Pauli's exclusion principle.

There are QCD experiments that show why squeezing nucleons in a nucleus more than the density of a proton is not possible.

- 1) Experiments that measure the force between two nucleons as a function of the distance between them show that the force between them can be described by the graph shown in [12]. This graph is based on Reid's potential formula. It shows that for a distance smaller than 0.8fm, the force becomes a sizeable repulsive force. Further analyzing Reid's equation shows that at  $r=0$  the potential as well the force between nucleons becomes infinite.
- 2) Physicists at Jefferson Lab did another experiment [13]. They measured the distribution of pressure inside the proton. The findings show that the proton's building blocks, the quarks, are subjected to a pressure of 100 decillions Pascal ( $10^{35}$ ) near the center of a proton, which is about ten times greater than the pressure in the heart of a neutron star. The meaning is that the outward-directed pressure from the center of the proton is higher than the inward-directed pressure near the proton's periphery and therefore a neutron star cannot collapse.

Given the description above, the question now is how come that Black holes are not directly observed in the Universe, while neutron stars are seen. My answer is: **The visibility depends on the relation between the physical radius of the nucleus and its Schwarzschild radius.** A celestial body will be observed if its physical radius is bigger than its Schwarzschild radius. On the other hand, if a celestial body has a physical radius that is smaller than its Schwarzschild radius, it will be hidden.

The limiting mass and radius between a neutron star and a Blackhole can be found in the following manner:

1. Given a celestial body with mass  $M$ .

2. The radius of a densely packed spherical celestial body is:

$$R_n = R_{neutron} \cdot \left(\frac{M}{m_{neutron}}\right)^{1/3} \quad (1)$$

where:

$$\text{Mass of Neutron:} \quad m_{neutron} = 1.6749275 \cdot 10^{-27} \text{ kg}$$

$$\text{Radius of Neutron:} \quad R_{neutron} = 0.8 \cdot 10^{-13} \text{ cm}$$

3. The Schwarzschild radius of a celestial body is:

$$R_H = \frac{2 \cdot G \cdot M}{C^2} \quad (2)$$

where:

$$\text{Gravitational constant:} \quad G = 6.67 \cdot 10^{-11} \frac{m^3}{kg \cdot sec^2}$$

$$\text{Light velocity:} \quad C = 2.99 \cdot 10^8 \frac{m}{sec}$$


4. Equating Schwarzschild radius of the celestial body to its physical radius; ( $R_H = R_n$ ):

$$\text{Gives:} \quad M_{limit} = \left(\frac{R_{neutron} \cdot C^2}{2 \cdot G \cdot m_{neutron}}\right)^{3/2} = 9.67 \cdot 10^{30} \text{ kg} \sim 4.86 \text{ Sun} - \text{masses.} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{and} \quad R_{limit} = 14.35 \text{ km}$$

From the above calculations, it is shown that the limit between a neutron star and a Blackhole is 4.86 Sun-masses and a radius of 14.35km. A celestial body with a mass higher than 4.86 Sun-masses will become a Blackhole because its physical radius is smaller than its Schwarzschild radius.

**Observations:** This result of the minimal mass of a black hole in the Universe is in good agreement with observations. A summary of observations of black holes and neutron stars is shown in the following graph: [14] Note: There is a mass gap between the heaviest neutron stars and the lightest black holes. At this stage, it is not clear why neutron stars with a mass between ~2 to ~5 Solar-masses were not found.



So far, the development of the above equations is based only on classical mechanics. The Schwarzschild radius that was found from the solution of GR equations can be derived directly from the classical equation of the escape velocity from a celestial body. (The first who suggested this was John Michell in 1783). In Newton's equations, the spinning of celestial bodies is not taken into considerations. It is known now that all bodies in the universe spin. A Blackhole or a neutron star that is formed by the gravitational collapse of a massive star must retain the angular momentum of this progenitor star. In SBH (Schwarzschild black hole), it is assumed that the mass collapses to an infinitely small point. However, as a point cannot have angular momentum the conclusion is that SBH is only a theoretical solution of GR. However, Kerr in 1963 suggested a solution Kerr black hole (KBH) that takes into consideration the spinning of bodies. Analyzing KBH solution shows:

- 1) KBH has a singularity ring at its center rather than the point singularity as in SBH.  
Therefore, the matter can reside inside the singularity ring
- 2) There is a frame-dragging of the space around the black hole nucleus.

I postulate that the nucleus of the Blackhole resides inside the ring singularity. In other words  $R_n$  of the solid nucleus sphere  $\leq$  the radius of the singularity ring that is equal to the spin parameter  $\alpha$  defined by the Kerr solution.

$R_n \leq \alpha$  ...is an assumption

where:

$$\alpha = \frac{J}{M \cdot C} \quad \dots \text{is the spin parameter defined by Kerr}$$

$J$  Angular momentum of the Black hole

$M$  Mass of Black hole

$$R_{outer} = R_H + \sqrt{R_H^2 - R_n^2} \quad (4) \quad \dots \text{is the outer event horizon}$$

Frame dragging in the equatorial plane around the nucleus is calculated by:

$$\Omega(r) = \frac{R_{outer} \cdot R_n \cdot C}{r^3 + R_n^2 \cdot r + R_{outer} \cdot R_n^2} \quad (5)$$

Velocity at r:

$$V(r) = \Omega(r) \cdot r \quad (6)$$

In the following examples the tangential velocity on the surface of the nucleus  $V(R_n)$  of the biggest known and the smallest black holes are calculated:

1) The biggest supermassive black hole observed :  $M = 2.1 \cdot 10^{10} \cdot \text{Sunmasses}$

$$R_n = 2.34 \cdot 10^4 \text{ km} \quad \text{from (1)}$$

$$R_H = 6.23 \cdot 10^{10} \text{ km} \quad \text{from (2)}$$

$$R_{outer} = 6.2 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ km} \quad \text{from (4)}$$

$$\Omega(R_n) = 12.8 \text{ Hz} \quad \text{from (5)}$$

$$V(R_n) = 1 \cdot C \quad \text{from (6)}$$

2) The smallest black hole (according to the current article):  $M = 4.86 \cdot \text{Sunmasses}$

$$R_n = 14.35 \text{ km} \quad \text{from (1)}$$

$$R_H = 14.35 \text{ km} \quad \text{from (2)}$$

$$R_{outer} = 14.35 \text{ km} \quad \text{from (4)}$$

$$\Omega(R_n) = 6.96 \cdot 10^3 \text{ Hz} \quad \text{from (5)}$$

$$V(R_n) = 0.333 \cdot C \quad \text{from (6)}$$

## Appendix C - Can fluid dynamics describe space?

GR describes space dragging by a spinning body. Space dragging was verified by an experiment Gravity probe B. The experiment was done near-Earth so the measured values of frame dragging are minuscule. GR predicts that around massive bodies such as a neutron star or a black hole the frame-dragging is significant. However, GR does not explain how space adheres to the surface of the rotating body. People have suggested that if space is considered a viscous fluid then this phenomenon can be explained by fluid dynamics, and have demonstrated that GR and Navier-Stokes equations can be identical. See [Liquid spacetime \(Aether\) viscosity](#). I concur with this conjuncture. In the Pivot theory, I specifically relate to the Stokes flow. It is to be noted that for smaller bodies in space other regimes of flow (turbulent or transient) may be used.

It is shown in the following paragraph that the inertial forces  $J_{pivot\_inertial}$  of the Pivot sphere are negligible compared to  $J_{pivot}$ . the viscous forces, i.e., Reynolds number is very small, this is the requirement of Stokes flow. The solution yields a steady-state spin of the Pivot sphere dragging space fluid around it.

Analyzing the structure of the Pivot universe gives:  $J_{pivot} = 1.06 \cdot 10^{87} J \cdot \text{sec}$ . See (4.1).

On the other hand, the inertial angular momentum of the Pivot is:

$$J_{pivot\_inertial} = \frac{2}{5} \cdot M_{pivot} \cdot R_{pivot}^2 \cdot \Omega(R_{pivot}) = 6.4 \cdot 10^{60} J \cdot \text{sec}$$

The major part of  $J_{pivot}$  is directed to the viscous force of space.  $J_{pivot} \gg J_{pivot\_inertial}$ . In fluid dynamics this means that the Reynolds number is very small and therefore Stokes flow is applicable for the Pivot universe.

The following Figure C-1 shows the Stokes flow of a liquid around a rotating sphere. The solution yields a steady-state spin of the sphere together with the fluid around it. There is a resemblance between this shape and what is observed in the cosmos. Observing neutron stars or black holes shows that they have an accretion disk in the equatorial plane and two opposing jets around the axis of rotation.




Fig. C-1 – Stokes flow of a viscous fluid dragged by a spinning sphere

Solving Stokes flow gives the torque  $\tau$  on the surface of the Pivot:

$$\tau = 8 \cdot \pi \cdot \mu_{space} \cdot R_{pivot}^3 \cdot \Omega_{pivot} \quad \dots \text{where } \mu_{space} \text{ is the dynamic viscosity of the space.}$$

On the other hand,  $J_{pivot} = \tau \cdot \frac{2 \cdot \pi}{\Omega_{pivot}}$  where the dragged space on the Pivot surface is spinning at  $\Omega_{pivot}$ . Therefore, the dynamic viscosity of space  $\mu_{space}$  on the surface of the Pivot can be calculated by:

$$\mu_{space} = \frac{J_{pivot}}{16 \cdot \pi^2 \cdot R_{pivot}^3} = 2.72 \cdot 10^{49} \cdot Pa \cdot s$$

The space viscosity is substantially higher than any known material on Earth, e.g., Bitumen has a viscosity of  $10^5$  Pa\*s. The high viscosity of space means that space adheres strongly to the surface of the Pivot.

The reader is referred to an experiment of Stokes flow that is shown in NSF [15] (start time: 3:38 min). Relating to the Pivot universe, the origin of celestial bodies spin can be explained. Bodies orbiting the Pivot are dragged by the viscous space and simultaneously spin around their axis in the opposite direction to the Pivot spin.

## Appendix D – Superluminal (Faster than light) velocity.

I claim that superluminal velocity is possible. The maximum possible speed in free space is the speed of light. My conjuncture is that there is a difference between free space and a void. Most physicists now seem to recognize that free space is a medium that is composed of something, unlike anything that is currently known. Free space (or vacuum) has measurable properties. Two established parameters of free space are magnetic permeability ( $\mu_0$ ) and electrical permittivity ( $\epsilon_0$ ). An outcome of these two parameters is that speed of light C in vacuum space can be found according to:

$$C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \cdot \mu_0}} = 299792km / s$$

According to Quantum Field Theory, space is endowed with fluctuating fields of energy. Free space at every point contains a minimum level of energy that is known as vacuum energy. From these fluctuating energy fields, pairs of virtual matter and antimatter particles perpetually appear and then immediately mutually annihilate each other. This theory was first verified by an experiment done by Casimir in 1949.

An additional proof that space is not a void is the frame-dragging of free space. It was derived theoretically by Lense &Thirring in 1918 based on general relativity. It was proved by the Gravity Probe B experiment in 2011 that measured the frame-dragging of space by Earth. I argue the following: If space is a void, how can a rotating massive body drag space?

I claim that free space has also viscosity. I calculated, in Appendix C, the viscosity of free space on the surface of the Pivot.

To sum up this part: free space is a physical entity, albeit, unlike anything currently known in the visible Universe. A void is a region in free space that nothing exists, not fluctuating energy fields, nor virtual particles.

There are cosmological observations that have measured superluminal speeds. Jets bursts from black holes are an example. Another example is the star V838 Monocerotis that experienced a significant outburst in 2002, as observed by the Hubble Space Telescope. The outburst appeared to expand at a rate far exceeding the speed of light as it grew from an apparent visual size of 4 to 7 light-years in a matter of months. The current explanation is that superluminal motion is an optical illusion. See: Light echo [16]

My alternative explanation is: If space is an entity with physical parameters, as described above, it may tear when it reaches some physical limits. The zone of space that is split is a void. In a void, nothing can stop light and even matter from moving at superluminal speed. However, the tearing of space is temporary. It is only a matter of time that space heals, and this void is filled once again with free space. Then, in this free space, nothing can move at superluminal velocity.

## Appendix E – Newton’s gravitational law Vs. Einstein’s GR

Could Newton’s gravitational law be reconciled with Einstein’s General Relativity?

Newton’s gravitational law describes gravity as the force exerted between two bodies. The force is dependent on the masses of the two bodies and the distance between the centers of the two bodies.

The formula is:  $F = \frac{G \cdot M_1 \cdot M_2}{R^2}$  and was proven to be valid and accurate in our solar system. It is used in calculating the motion of the planets and trajectories of spacecraft being sent from Earth to Mars.

On the other hand, some observations cannot be explained by Newton’s law for example the precession of Mercury, bending of light by massive celestial bodies, or the dynamics near neutron stars or black holes. Einstein’s GR explains these observations quite accurately. Einstein explained gravity as a distortion of the fabric of spacetime. The trajectory of a small celestial body will be along the geodesics in space created by a bigger body.

The question now is how two theories that are based on different assumptions and were proven to be correct can be reconciled?

This can be explained by the phenomenon of frame dragging of space around a rotating celestial body. The rotational frame-dragging effect was first described by Lens-Thirring based on GR. Frame dragging is also a result of the solution of rotating Kerr black hole.

I claim that Newton’s law is correct, except that the distance  $R$  between the two bodies should be replaced by the geodesic length derived from general relativity. The geodesic length  $L_{geodesic}$  can be calculated using GR. See Frame dragging. Newton was not aware of the fact that all celestial bodies are spinning and drag space around them. Therefore, the modified equation should be:

$$F = \frac{G \cdot M_1 \cdot M_2}{L_{geodesic}^2}$$

A schematic figure of how space is dragged around a spinning body is given in Fig 1. Space is dragged symmetrically around the spinning body axis. It comprises a thin disk located on the equatorial plane of the body and two spirals located on the axis of rotation. I will focus on the frame-dragging on the equatorial plane because celestial bodies rotate on this plane.




Figure E1- dragged space around a spinning body

The equation of frame dragging in the equatorial plane of a celestial body is given by:

$$\Omega(r) = \frac{R_H \cdot \alpha \cdot C}{r^3 + \alpha^2 \cdot r + R_H \cdot \alpha^2} \quad \dots \text{Angular velocity}$$

Where:

$$G = 6.67 \cdot 10^{-11} \cdot \frac{m^3}{kg \cdot s} \dots\dots \text{Gravitational constant}$$

$$c = 2.9979 \cdot 10^8 \cdot \frac{m}{s} \dots\dots \text{Light velocity}$$

$$R_H = \frac{2 \cdot G \cdot M}{C^2} \dots\dots \text{Schwarzschild radius}$$

$$m_{neutron} = 1.674927 \cdot 10^{-27} \cdot kg \dots\dots \text{Neutron's mass}$$

$$R_{neutron} = 0.8 \cdot 10^{-13} \cdot cm \dots\dots \text{Neutron's radius}$$

$$\hbar = 1.054571 \cdot 10^{-34} \cdot J \cdot s \dots\dots \text{Reduced Planck constant}$$

$$J = \hbar \cdot \left( \frac{M}{m_{neutron}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \dots\dots \text{Angular momentum}$$

Note: This equation is according to The primeval Hadron

$$\alpha = \frac{J}{M \cdot C} \dots\dots \text{A constant}$$

To verify the hypothesis three examples are given:

- 1) Earth motion around the Sun
- 2) Motion of a body around a neutron star.

# 1. Earth motion around the Sun

Given:

$$M_{sun} = 1.99 \cdot 10^{30} \cdot kg \dots \text{Sun mass}$$

$$R_{sun} = 696342 \cdot km \dots \text{Radius of Sun}$$

$$T_{sun} = 27 \cdot day \dots \text{Spin of Sun}$$

$$R_{earth\_sun} = 149 \cdot 10^6 \cdot km \dots \text{Sun-Earth distance}$$

$$T_{earth\_sun} = 1 \cdot yr \dots \text{Time of Earth rotation around Sun}$$

$$J_{sun} = \hbar \cdot \left( \frac{M_{sun}}{m_{neutron}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = 1.327 \cdot 10^{42} J \cdot s \dots \text{Angular momentum of Sun}$$

according to <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/full/1980Ap%26SS..69..339M>

(Note:  $J_{sun}$  calculation of the sun shpere is:

$$J_{sun\_sphere} = \frac{2}{5} \cdot M_{sun} \cdot R_{sun}^2 \cdot \frac{2 \cdot \pi}{T_{sun}} = 1.03958 \cdot 10^{42} J \cdot s \dots \text{Angular momentum of Sun sphere according to } J_{sun} = I \cdot \omega$$

There is a difference ~22% between the two results of  $J_{sun}$ . I claim that this difference is the angular momentum of draged space.)

$$\alpha_{sun} = \frac{J_{sun}}{M_{sun} \cdot C} = 2.2439 \cdot km$$

$$R_{H\_sun} = \frac{2 \cdot G \cdot M_{sun}}{C^2} = 2.954 \cdot km \dots \text{Schwarzschild radius of Sun}$$

$$\Omega(r) = \frac{R_{H\_sun} \cdot \alpha_{sun} \cdot C}{r^3 + \alpha_{sun}^2 \cdot r + R_{H\_sun} \cdot \alpha_{sun}^2} \dots \text{Angular velocity around the Sun}$$

$$\theta(r) = \Omega(r) \cdot T_{earth} \cdot \frac{r}{R_{earth\_sun}} \dots \text{Rotation angle around the Sun}$$

The two following figures show the distance from Earth to Sun. From Fig. E2, it seems that the geodesic length is a straight-line connecting Sun and Earth. However, a closer examination in Fig E3 shows that Newton's law is a very good approximation. When  $\theta(r)$  is scaled up by a factor of  $10^8$  it is shown that the straight-line is a spiral. The spiral becomes evident near the Sun.




Fig. E2- Newton's law




Fig. E3- Corrected Newton's law using Einstein geodesic

Finding the length of a geodesic  $L_{geodesic}$  is done by using the formula of spiral length:

$$L_{geodesic} = \int_{R_{sun}}^{R_{earth\_sun}} \sqrt{\left[1 + \left(\frac{d}{dr}(r \cdot \Omega(r) \cdot T_{earth})\right)^2\right]} \cdot dr \quad \dots \text{Length of geodesic Earth to Sun}$$

And the result is  $\frac{L_{geodesic}}{R_{earth\_sun}} \cong 1$ , meaning that Newton's approximation is good.

## 2) Motion of a body around a neutron star

$M_{ns} = 2 \cdot 1.99 \cdot 10^{30} \cdot kg$ .....Neutron star :two times Sun mass The analysis relates to neutron star PSR J1748-2446ad.

Given:

$$R_{ns} = R_{neutron} \cdot \left(\frac{M_{ns}}{m_{neutron}}\right)^{1/3} = 10.67 \cdot km \dots \text{Radius of neutron star}$$

$$f_{ns} = 716 \frac{1}{s} \dots \text{Spin of neutron star}$$

$$T_{ns} = \frac{1}{f_{ns}} = 1.4 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot s$$

$$J_{ns} = \hbar \cdot \left(\frac{M_{ns}}{m_{neutron}}\right)^{3/2} = 3.34 \cdot 10^{42} J \cdot s \dots \text{Angular momentum of neutron star}$$

(according to <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/full/1980Ap%26SS..69..339M>)

(Note:  $J_{ns}$  calculation of the neutron sphere is:

$$J_{ns\_sphere} = \frac{2}{5} \cdot M_{ns} \cdot R_{ns}^2 \cdot 2 \cdot \pi \cdot f = 8.16 \cdot 10^{41} J \cdot s \dots \text{Angular momentum of Sun sphere according to } J_{ns} = I \cdot 2 \cdot \pi \cdot f$$

There is a difference ~25% between the two results of  $J_{ns}$ . I claim that this difference is the angular momentum of dragged space.)

$$\alpha_{ns} = \frac{J_{ns}}{M_{ns} \cdot C} = 2.8 \cdot km$$

$$R_{H\_ns} = \frac{2 \cdot G \cdot M_{ns}}{C^2} = 5.9 \cdot km \dots \text{Schwarzschild radius of neutron star}$$

$$\Omega(r) = \frac{R_{H\_ns} \cdot \alpha_{ns} \cdot C}{r^3 + \alpha_{ns}^2 \cdot r + R_{H\_ns} \cdot \alpha_{ns}^2} \quad \dots \text{Angular velocity around the neutron star}$$

$$R = 40 \cdot km \dots \quad \text{Distance from neutron star}$$

$$L_{geodesic\_ns} = \int_{R_{ns}}^{R_{out}} \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{d}{dr} (r \cdot \Omega(r) \cdot T_{ns}) \right)^2} \cdot dr = 63.8 \cdot km \quad \dots \text{Length of geodesic: particle to neutron star}$$

$$\text{The ratio is: } \frac{L_{geodesic\_ns}}{R} = 1.59$$

$$\theta(r) = \Omega(r) \cdot T_{ns} \cdot \frac{r}{R_{ns}} \quad \dots \text{Polar plot around the neutron star}$$

Figure E4 shows Newton's distance R vs. Einstein geodesic.




Fig. E4- The geodesic around the neutron

### 13. References

1. Potzel (2014) “Clock hypothesis of relativity theory, maximal acceleration, and Mossbauer spectroscopy”. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.2412>
2. P. Birch (1982) – “Is the Universe rotating?”.  
<http://buildengineer.com/www.paulbirch.net/IsTheUniverseRotating.pdf>
3. R. M. Muradian (1980). “The primeval hadron: origin of stars, Galaxies and astronomical Universe”. <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/full/1980Ap%26SS..69..339M>
4. S. Hod “Dragging of inertial frames in the composed black-hole-ring system”  
<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1511.02964.pdf>
5. Wikipedia <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Frame-dragging>
6. R.P. Kirshner (2003) “Hubble's diagram and cosmic expansion”.  
<http://www.pnas.org/content/101/1/8.long>
7. Lopsided Universe <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/do-we-live-in-a-lopsided-universe1/>
8. M. J. Longo (2009) - “Evidence for a Preferred Handedness of Spiral Galaxies”.  
<https://arxiv.org/abs/0904.2529>
9. Ma & Wang “Duality Theory of Strong Interaction”.  
<http://www.ejtp.com/articles/ejtpv11i31.pdf#page=113>
10. Neutron star [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/neutron\\_star](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/neutron_star)
11. Compact stars <https://arxiv.org/pdf/astro-ph/0410407.pdf>
12. Wikipedia. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nuclear\\_force](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nuclear_force)
13. Quarks Feel the Pressure in the Proton. <https://www.jlab.org/news/releases/quarks-feel-pressure-proton> .
14. Ligo <https://www.ligo.caltech.edu/image/ligo20181203a>
15. NSF “Low Reynolds number flow” <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QcBpDVzBPMk>
16. Wikipedia [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Light\\_echo](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Light_echo)