

Can the Planck Length be Found Independent of Big G ?

Espen Gaarder Haug*
Norwegian University of Life Sciences

February 23, 2017

Abstract

In this paper we show how it is possible to measure the Planck length from a series of different measurements. One of these measurements is totally independent of big G , but requires particle accelerators far more powerful than the ones that we have today. However, a Cavendish-style experiment can be performed to find the Planck length with no knowledge of the value of big G . Not only that, the Cavendish style set-up gives half the relative measurement error in the Planck length compared to the measurement error in big G .

Key words: Planck length, independent of big G , maximum velocity, Cavendish-style experiment, gravity, orbital velocity, gravitational red-shift, deflection of light, Planck constant, speed of light, mass.

1 Introduction and Challenge

The Planck length was first introduced by Max Planck in 1906, see [1]. The Planck length is given as

$$l_p = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{c^3}} \approx 1.616229 \times 10^{-35} \text{ meter} \quad (1)$$

This shows the Planck length as a function of Newton's [2] big G , the reduced Planck constant, and the speed of light. Haug [3, 4, 5] has recently suggested that big G is a universal composite constant that can be written in the form

$$G = \frac{l_p^2 c^3}{\hbar} \quad (2)$$

Using this formula for big G simplifies and quantifies a long series of equations in Newton's and Einstein's conception of gravity. It has recently come to our attention that McCulloch 2014 [6] has derived a similar formula for big G based on Heisenberg's uncertainty principle

$$G = \frac{\hbar}{m_p^2} \quad (3)$$

Since $m_p = \frac{\hbar}{l_p c}$, the McCulloch 2014 and the Haug 2016 formulas are basically the same

$$G = \frac{\hbar}{m_p^2} = \frac{\hbar}{\left(\frac{\hbar}{l_p c}\right)^2} = \frac{l_p^2 c^3}{\hbar} \quad (4)$$

Haug [4] has derived this formula from dimensional analysis as well as from Heisenberg's uncertainty principle, using his newly-introduced maximum velocity formula for matter [7]. McCulloch has derived it from Heisenberg's uncertainty principle as well, but relies on a very different method. The argument in favor of writing big G in this way is grounded in the fact that it helps us quantize and simplify a long series of formulas from Einstein's and Newton's gravitational theories without changing their values.

Both of these proposed formulas (Haug and McCulloch) for big G may be criticized for appearing to lead to circular arguments that have no solution, at least at first glance. Until recently, the Planck length has only been known to be found by using big G . From this perspective, l_p seems to be a derived constant from the more fundamental constant, big G . Therefore, it may not seem sound to claim that big G can be a function of the Planck length. Here we will challenge this view by pointing out several ways of potentially finding the Planck length independently of knowing big G .

*e-mail espenhaug@mac.com. Thanks to Victoria Terces for helping me edit this manuscript.

2 The Planck Length Totally Independent of Big G

Haug [4, 10, 8] has suggested that there may be a maximum velocity for matter just below the speed of light given by

$$v_{max} = c\sqrt{1 - \frac{l_p^2}{\lambda^2}} \quad (5)$$

This formula can be solved with respect to the Planck length.

$$l_p = \lambda\sqrt{1 - \frac{v_{max}^2}{c^2}} \quad (6)$$

The reduced Compton wavelength of an electron, for example, can be found independently of big G , see [9] and v_{max} had to be observed experimentally to find the Planck length.

Calculations shows that this maximum velocity for any known observed subatomic particle such as the electron is just below c , but far above the rate that has been attained for particle acceleration in the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). In other words, this new way of observing the Planck length is only a theory at this time. However, by assuming that this represents the maximum velocity of anything containing matter, then a series of infinitys challenges in physics will disappear, see [11].

Furthermore, recent developments in mathematical atomism strongly strengthen our suspicion that the Planck length is one of the most fundamental constants. All of Einstein's special relativity equations and a series of additional equations have been derived simply by assuming that everything consists of indivisible particle always traveling at the speed of light in the void (empty space). First, when linking the diameter of this particle with the Planck length we are able to get the mass of the electron, for example, consistent with the reduced Compton wavelength of the electron under a theory derived from atomism. Atomism is also one of several ways to calculate the maximum velocity of anything with rest mass.

3 Planck Length from Cavendish-Style Set-Up

We can easily set up a Cavendish [12] style experiment (even with a low budget) and find the Planck length without direct knowledge of big G . Further, we need no knowledge of the mass of the Earth or any other cosmological objects. The Cavendish experiments consist of first finding the weight of four leads balls, two small and two large ones that can consist of any element, but here we will use an example of lead balls. The mass of these balls can be found by taking a weight at the surface of the Earth. The two small lead balls are placed at a distance of L apart on a rod that we will hang on a wire. We leave the two heavier lead balls in a stationary position next to each of the smaller lead balls. For a full understanding of the set-up we recommend reading about the Cavendish experiment; there is plenty of information about that on the Internet.

The distance between centers of the large and small balls (when the balance is deflected) we will call r . The period of oscillation of torsion balance is measured as T . If we have an accurate estimate of the Planck constant and know the value of the speed of light¹ through other experiments we can now directly find the Planck length from the Cavendish-style experiment by using the following formula

$$l_p = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar 2\pi^2 L r^2 \theta}{M T^2 c^3}} \quad (7)$$

where θ is the angle in radians of deflection of the torsion balance beam from its rest position. This is basically the same experiment as Cavendish used. Cavendish did not actually calculate big G , but used his experiment to find the density of the Earth and thereby determine the weight of the Earth. One could imagine that the Planck constant and the speed of light had been measured and were well-known before anyone had figured out the value of big G . In such a case, one could come up with the following gravitational formula $F = \frac{l_p^2 c^3}{\hbar} \frac{MM}{r^2}$ without knowing big G . Then the unknown we would have been searching for would be the Planck length and not big G . As it turns out, the Planck length can indeed be detected (without knowledge of big G) in a Cavendish-style experimental set-up.

This is the simplest arrangement of the Planck length, the Planck constant and the speed of light that combined with $\frac{MM}{r^2}$ gives a output in Joule. If one before the development of Newtons gravity theory had assumed gravity had to travel with the speed of light it would be a natural thing to think one had to include the speed of light somehow in the formula for gravity. Now in our view the speed of loight is embedded even in the Newton formula inside big G .

We can actually measure the Planck length with likely less than a 5% error from the kitchen table using a small size Cavendish-style set-up. One can build a Cavendish-style set-up for a few dollars in

¹The speed of light is exact by definition.

materials, or one can buy a ready-to-use commercial “home-kit” for a few thousand dollars. A small-size armature Cavendish -style set-up can measure big G to an accuracy of $\pm 10\%$ or better (depending on the apparatus), and the Planck length to an accuracy that is twice as good.

4 The Error in the Newton Gravitational Constant is Twice the Measurement Error in the Planck Length

To measure the gravitational constant and the Planck length is in many ways two sides of the same coin, in particular under the view that the Newton gravitational constant is a composite constant that is also a function of the Planck length. When using any form of gravitational measurement to find the Planck length, we will see that it looks like the measurement error in the Newton gravitational constant should be about twice the error of the measurement in the Planck length.

The partial derivative of big G with respect to the Planck length is given by

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial l_p} = \frac{2c^3 l_p}{\hbar} \quad (8)$$

In terms of percentage sensitivity in G with respect to % error in l_p , we must have

$$\% \text{Sensitivity} = \frac{2c^3 l_p}{\hbar} \frac{l_p}{G \times 100} = \frac{l_p^2 c^3}{\hbar G \times 100} = \frac{2}{100} \quad (9)$$

That is for a 1% error in the measurement in the Planck length, we get about a 2% error in the measurement of the gravitational constant. Further, we can partially derive the Planck formula for the Planck length with respect to big G , and we get

$$\frac{\partial l_p}{\partial G} = \frac{\hbar}{2c^3 \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^3}}} \quad (10)$$

This gives us the percentage sensitivity in the Planck length for a percentage point change (error) in big G

$$\% \text{Sensitivity} = \frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{2\sqrt{G}c^3} \frac{G}{l_p \times 100} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^3}}}{l_p \times 200} = \frac{1}{200} \quad (11)$$

That is to say, for each one percent error in the measurement of the Newton gravitational constant we only have about $\frac{1}{2}\%$ error in the measurement of the Planck length. One could argue that for the Planck length we have uncertainty in the Planck constant that will affect the uncertainty of the Planck length. This is true, but the uncertainty in the Planck constant is almost negligible. If we take into account three standard deviations in the uncertainty of the Planck constant² (from 2014 CODATA) we get a percentage error in the Planck length of only $\pm 0.00002304\%$. The one standard deviation relative error is only about $-7.68084199413574 \times 10^{-08}$. This is negligible compared to the known relative standard error in the Planck length.

That the measurement error (as measured in percentage of the constant) is twice as large for the Newton gravitational constant as for the Planck length we can also indirectly see from the CODATA 2014 reported standard errors. For big G , the CODATA reports a standard error of $0.00031 \times 10^{-11} m^3 kg^{-1} s^{-2}$, in percentage of the gravitational constant that is a relative standard error of 4.65×10^{-05} . And for the Planck length, the CODATA reports a standard error of 0.000038×10^{-35} and a relative standard error of 2.3×10^{-5} . It is no coincidence that the relative standard error in the Planck length is basically half of the standard error for the gravitational constant; it comes from the relationship between them.

5 The Planck Length from Orbital Velocity

We can also find the Planck length from orbital velocity. The orbital velocity is given by

²CODATA 2014 gives a Planck constant of $6.626070040 \times 10^{-34}$, and a one standard error of $0.000000081 \times 10^{-34}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
v_o &= \sqrt{\frac{GM}{r}} \\
v_o &= \sqrt{\frac{\frac{l_p^2 c^3}{\hbar} M}{r}} \\
v_o &= \sqrt{\frac{l_p^2 c^3 M}{\hbar r}}
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Solved with respect to the Planck length we get

$$\begin{aligned}
v_o &= \sqrt{\frac{l_p^2 c^3 M}{\hbar r}} \\
l_p &= \sqrt{\frac{v_o^2 \hbar r}{c^3 M}}
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

We can find the Planck length from knowing the orbital velocity of a satellite. This again would require knowledge of the mass of the Earth (or the mass we are measuring orbital velocity around). We could easily find the mass of the Earth by performing the Cavendish experiment and finding the Planck length before calculating big G based on the Planck length, the Planck constant, and the speed of light .

Assume a human-made satellite is orbiting the Earth at an altitude of 600 km and at a measured orbital velocity of 7561.36 m/s. Since the radius of the Earth is about 6,371 km, this gives a radius of the satellite (relative to the center of the mass it is orbiting) of 6,971,000 meter. The mass of the Earth is 5.972×10^{24} kg . This gives us the input to find the Planck length

$$l_p = \sqrt{\frac{v_o^2 \hbar r}{c^3 M}} = \sqrt{\frac{7561.36^2 \times \hbar \times 6,971,000}{c^3 \times 5.972 \times 10^{24}}} \approx 1.6162 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m} \tag{14}$$

Again one can argue that we need to know big G to know the mass of the Earth and that we are entering in a circular argument. However, we can find the Planck length, the Planck constant, and the speed of light independent of any knowledge of big G . *Further, the mass of fundamental particles can be found simply by knowing their reduced*

6 The Planck Length from the Gravitational Acceleration Field

We can also find the Planck length from the gravitational acceleration field.

$$l_p = r \sqrt{\frac{g \hbar}{c^3 M}} \tag{15}$$

For Earth, the gravitational acceleration field at the surface is about 9.807 m/s^2 . From this plus the radius and mass of the Earth we know the Planck length

$$l_p = 6371000 \sqrt{\frac{9.807 \times \hbar}{c^3 \times 5.972 \times 10^{24}}} \approx 1.61519 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m} \tag{16}$$

7 The Planck Length from Gravitational Light Deflection

By assuming $G = \frac{l_p^2 c^3}{\hbar}$ we can rewrite Einstein's gravitational light deflection formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta &= \frac{4GM}{c^2 r} \\
\delta &= \frac{4 \frac{l_p^2 c^3}{\hbar} M}{c^2 r} \\
\delta &= \frac{4 l_p^2 M c}{\hbar r}
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

This we can solve with respect to l_p , which gives us

$$l_p = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar r \delta \frac{\pi}{648000}}{4 M c}} \tag{18}$$

where δ is the observed bending of light in arcseconds, r is the radius from the center of the mass bending on the light to the point at which the light passes the object, M is the mass of the object, c is the speed of light, and \hbar is the reduced Planck constant.

To give an example: for the Sun, the observed light bending is 1.75 arcseconds or $\frac{1.75}{3600}$ of a degree. The radius of the sun is 696,342,000 meters and the mass of the Sun is $M_s \approx 1.98810^{30}$ kg. We can plug this into the formula above and obtain

$$l_p = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar r_s \delta_s \frac{\pi}{648000}}{4M_s c}} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \times 696342000 \times 1.75 \times \frac{\pi}{648000}}{4 \times 1.98810^{30} \times c}} \approx 1.6162 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m} \quad (19)$$

8 The Planck Length from Gravitational Red-Shift

Gravitational deflection is hard to measure very accurately. The technology used to measure gravitational red-shift is (likely) much more accurate. This involves gravitational time dilation that can be measured with very accurate optical clocks today. In a weak gravitational field (like we have on the Earth and that also exists on the surface of the Sun) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} z(r) &\approx \frac{2GM}{c^2 r} \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} z(r) &\approx \frac{2 \frac{l_p^2 c^3}{\hbar} M}{c^2 r} \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} z(r) &\approx \frac{2l_p^2 M c}{\hbar r} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Solved with respect to the Planck length we get

$$l_p = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar r z(r)}{2Mc}} \quad (21)$$

We could even measure the gravitational red-shift between two different altitudes on the surface of the Earth, on smaller size objects like the Moon, or even onboard a large spherical space station. For the gravitational red-shift measured from two different radius related to the same mass (object), we have the following formula that works very well in low gravitational fields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1} &\approx \frac{1 + \frac{2GM}{c^2 r_1}}{1 + \frac{2GM}{c^2 r_2}} - 1 \\ \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1} &\approx \frac{1 + \frac{2 \frac{l_p^2 c^3}{\hbar} M}{c^2 r_1}}{1 + \frac{2 \frac{l_p^2 c^3}{\hbar} M}{c^2 r_2}} - 1 \\ \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1} &\approx \frac{1 + \frac{2 \frac{l_p^2 c}{\hbar} M}{r_1}}{1 + \frac{2 \frac{l_p^2 c}{\hbar} M}{r_2}} - 1 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Solved with respect to the Planck length we get

$$l_p = \sqrt{\frac{\frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1} \hbar r_1 r_2}{2 - cMr_1 + cMr_2 - \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1} cMr_1}} \quad (23)$$

In other words, we can find the Planck length simply from gravitational red-shift observations, the mass of the object, the reduced Planck constant, and the speed of light.

9 Table Summary

In the table below we show a series of formulas related to different types of measurements that can be used to measure the Planck length

Ways to find l_p :	Planck length formulas	Comments:
Traditional Max Planck formula	$l_p = \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^3}}$	Directly dependent on big G .
Maximum velocity of subatomic particles	$l_p = \bar{\lambda} \sqrt{1 - \frac{v_{max}^2}{c^2}}$	No need for big G in calculation. but v_{max} above current accelerators.
Cavendish-style experiment	$l_p = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar 2\pi^2 L r^2 \theta}{M T^2 c^3}}$	Can be done from kitchen table.
Orbital velocity	$l_p = \sqrt{\frac{v_0^2 \hbar r}{c^3 M}}$	Easy to do from Earths surface.
Gravitational acceleration field	$l_p = r \sqrt{\frac{g \hbar}{c^3 M}}$	Easy to do from Earths surface.
Gravitational red-shift	$l_p = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar r z(r)}{2 M c}}$	Easy to do from Earths surface.
Gravitational deflection	$l_p = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar r \delta}{4 M c}}$	Solar deflection observed from Earth.

The table a series of measurements that can be used to find the Planck length. The first ones are totally independent on big G , while the other ones are arguably independent of big G , as we maintain here that big G must be a composite constant, $G = \frac{l_p^2 c^3}{\hbar}$.

10 Conclusion

We have shown how the Planck length can be found through a Cavendish-style experiment, orbital velocity, the gravitational acceleration field, gravitational red-shift, the gravitational deflection. To do this we need to know the mass of the object, the reduced Planck constant, the speed of light, and the radius related to the measurements. We have also shown that one can find the Planck length from the newly introduced maximum velocity of something with rest mass.

The gravitational constant is a composite (derived) constant, while the Planck length likely represents something physical. The Planck length is the shortest possible reduced Compton wavelength we can have. From the recent development in mathematical atomism it is also a strong indicator that the Planck length is the diameter of the only truly fundamental particle, namely an indivisible particle that together with void is making up all matter and energy, see [11, 13].

The notation in the Newton gravitational constant offers a hint that it is a universal composite constant rather than a fundamental constant. It makes sense when we have meters and time, that the Planck length is the shortest length unit that ever can be measured. The speed of light is the fastest rate at which something can travel and it consists of distance divided by time. The Newton gravitational constant is in the form $m^3 \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot s^{-2}$. It seems unlikely that anything at the very deepest level should be meters cubed divided by kg and seconds squared. The Planck constant has some issues with complex notation $m^2 \cdot kg/s$ and later this year, we plan to put out a working paper showing that the Planck constant is a type of composite constant, even if it is slightly less so than big G .

References

- [1] M. Planck. *The Theory of Radiation*. Dover 1959 translation, 1906.
- [2] I. Newton. *Philosophiae Naturalis Principia Mathematica*. London, 1686.
- [3] E. G. Haug. Planck quantization of Newton and Einstein gravitation. *International Journal of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 6(2), 2016.
- [4] E. G. Haug. The gravitational constant and the Planck units: A simplification of the quantum realm. *Physics Essays Vol 29, No 4*, 2016.
- [5] E. G. Haug. Planck quantization of Newton and Einstein gravitation for Planck masses and smaller size objects. *www.vixra.org 1610.0328 2016*, 2016.
- [6] M. E. McCulloch. Gravity from the uncertainty principle. *Astrophysics and Space Science*, 349(2), 2014.
- [7] E. G. Haug. A suggested boundary for Heisenbergs uncertainty principle. <http://vixra.org/abs/1701.0497>, 2017.

- [8] E. G. Haug. Deriving the maximum velocity of matter from the Planck length limit on length contraction. <http://vixra.org/abs/1612.0358>, 2016.
- [9] S. Prasannakumar, S. Krishnaveni, and T. K. Umesh. Determination of rest mass energy of the electron by a Compton scattering experiment. *European Journal of Physics*, 33(1), 2012.
- [10] E. G. Haug. A new solution to Einstein's relativistic mass challenge based on maximum frequency. <http://vixra.org/abs/1609.0083>, 2016.
- [11] E. G. Haug. The Planck mass particle finally discovered! Good bye to the point particle hypothesis! <http://vixra.org/abs/1607.0496>, 2016.
- [12] H. Cavendish. Experiments to determine the density of the earth. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, (part II)*, 88, 1798.
- [13] E. G. Haug. *Unified Revolution: New Fundamental Physics*. Oslo, E.G.H. Publishing, 2014.