

Complement Inferences on Theoretical Physics and Mathematics

Mesut Kavak

E-mail: kavakmesut@outlook.com.tr

I have been working for a long time about basic laws which direct existence [1], and some mathematical problems which are waited for a solution. I can count myself lucky that I could did some important inferences during this time, and I published them in a few papers partially as some propositions. This work aimed to explain and discuss these inferences all together by relating them one another being physical phenomenons are prior.

1 Introduction

It seems that, existence cannot be separated from each other because of an absolute entanglement. Even if it already happened or shall happen in future, each influence which exists within possibility border, already had been existed in imaginary time which is an extremely interesting time concept. Absolute space which has infinite dimension and volume, and emergence area which appears over the absolute space, were always together but a special condition in the imaginery time. This appearance emerges as a dimensional drop and an acceleration decrease from infinite value by using from the absolute space as a part of it. Each small point of the emergence area which is the result of this drop gets different emergence time priority even for any short time interval of any short time interval as it has to exist as a virtual part of the absolute space. The emergence in this way renders impossible to exist of emergence area or emergence area objects constantly without inner or outer space displacement, and to move in a linear direction. Right this point, infinite number of virtual small forces which emerge as a result of the infinite time differences and make matter move to emerge of motion, render impossible to apply force linearly and without dimension; hence the emerging force is an area force as a distributed force, and matter is its result as an uncertain matter which all measurable values of it change over time.

As matter is virtual part of absolute space, uncertainty level increases or decreases between absolute absence and absoluteness. Because of the emerging uncertainty [2], matter is only able to emerge by some periods. This phenomenon renders matter illusion, and also renders it pretty processable as there is no alternative. Uncertain matter can only be formed over absolute space as waves by forcing each second and actually even in each small period of time as well. It gains energy equal to the work done of this forcing against tendency to surrender of the absolute space; but as the work done is not done untimely manner, matter does not gain infinite work potential. These conditions cause that matter has been emerging by infinite number of side effects on itself, and you cannot know that this is an order or is chaos.

2 Theoric analysis of motion

Motion is change in position of an object over time; so to emerge of a motion certainly; distance, velocity, time and

time-driven inertia namely latency have to emerge all together without any priority. As it can get a scalar value for its all elements which create it out, also it can be defined as vector quantity if there is a reference because of emerging directions, and magnitude of motion is not independent of magnitude of its elements.

2.1 Theoric analysis of functions of time

Velocity is the rate of change of position with respect to a frame of reference. As it emerges in a direction in the space which has another reference, it is defined as vector quantity. It can be demonstrated as Eq. (1) in the simple form as an expression,

$$\mathbf{v}_f = \mathbf{v}_t t \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{v}_f, \mathbf{v}_t : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{v}_t$ as changing velocity over time which means acceleration, where $\mathbf{v}_f = \mathbf{v}$. It gets place in destination equation according to time as Eq. (1a)

$$\mathbf{x}_f = \mathbf{v}_t t \quad (1a)$$

where $\mathbf{x}_f = \mathbf{x}$ as distance taken during motion.

Velocity is not independent of distance taken during motion, time which passes during motion, energy which causes and keep up motion during course duration, inertia which is a result of time as a latency as no motion able to emerge without time, as also they cannot be independent of one another and velocity. They have to emerge all together without any priority; because they are natural result for each other.

Magnitude of velocity is not independent of magnitude of its elements which emerge all together with them, and magnitude of velocity vector is called as speed which is independent of direction as a scalar value. It can be demonstrated as Eq. (2)

$$v_f = \|\mathbf{v}_t\| t \quad (2)$$

where $v_f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

2.1.1 Work done interval

Let an object which is doing constant speed movement to be accelerated when it had not gotten observational velocity in space before doing constant speed movement. For this condition, during the acceleration work when the speed reached

was too close to the aimed constant speed, the last part of the distance taken would be as Eq. (3),

$$x_p = v_0 t + at^2 \quad (3)$$

as partial distance taken, ofcourse if you assume that, initial velocity does a constant speed movement without acceleration during this infinite small part. For Eq. (3a),

$$v_0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_p - at^2}{t} \quad (3a)$$

as time must be the smallest time, it means that either v_0 had been absolute as a constant speed movement which means did not be created and was without acceleration, or means the distance taken had been zero. None of them is possible; hence it means that constant speed motion and constant acceleration motion are not possible, and an object which is doing an assumed constant speed movement in space either accelerates or decelerates even if the amount is too small.

As it shall require to be absolute, constant speed motion and constant acceleration motion are not possible. An object which is doing an assumed constant speed movement as dependent manner on time in space, either accelerates or decelerates even if the amount is too small.

Inference: Bad boys

The famous destination equation which is Eq. (4)

$$x(t) = x_0 + v_0 t + \frac{at^2}{2} \quad (4)$$

is pretty wrong. It is derived over Eq. (4a),

$$x(t) = \int (v_0 + at) dt \quad (4a)$$

and is wrong; because if v_0 is integrable like $v_0 \int dt = v_0 t$ as the same as on Eq. (4a), also as the equation is $at = v$, then v can be integrated in the same manner. Also for $a = x/t^2$ the equation will turn into $\int (v_0 + (x/t)) dt$, and so turns into Eq. (4b)

$$x(t) = v_0 t + x \ln(t) \quad (4b)$$

These ones give different results. It is like finding out $\int f(x) dx$; and already intergration does not mean sum allways. These are not intagrable but specific condiions which have no formulaic qualify as they are only valid for some certain values.

As constant speed motion is not possible as absolute without to be created, any work that created constant speed motion is included as well, can only be done in a time interval at a frequency. As there must be infinite frequency, whatever the actual function is, the smallest $f(x)$ part has infinite sub parts, and the repeating thing is this the same magnitude value. As a result of this, functions of time as $x(t) = at^2$, $v(t) = at$, $x(t) = vt$ and $v^2 = ax$ get these simple forms. Even if observational outer space motion is done one by one, by different

time intervals or one-piece without hand taking, there is no difference between them; because already the work is done one by one.

For the functions of time over $f'(t_0) = \frac{f(t) - f(t_0)}{t - t_0}$ by using derivative at a certain point as required by sum of infinite the same work parts, velocity will be as Eq. (5),

$$at = \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow 0} \frac{at^2 - at_0^2}{t - t_0} \quad (5)$$

where $f(x) = ax^2$. Also it will be as Eq. (6),

$$v = \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow 0} \frac{vt - vt_0}{t - t_0} \quad (6)$$

where $f(x) = ax$. Acceleration will be Eq. (7),

$$a = \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow 0} \frac{at - at_0}{t - t_0} \quad (7)$$

where $f(x) = ax$. Because of these reasons, if it is required to intagrate Eq. (4a), it will be Eq. (6).

$$x(t) = \int (v_0 + at) dt = \int (v_0 + \frac{d}{dt}x) dt = x_0 + x = \Delta x \quad (8)$$

Eq. (5), Eq. (6) and Eq. (7) are the most basic pillars for like these calculations. As constant acceleration as absolute is not possible, $a \int t dt = at^2/2$ is not possible, and there is one more option as well as Eq. (9).

$$x(t) = \int (v_0 + at) dt = \int (v_0 + v \frac{d}{dt}t) dt = x_0 + x = \Delta x \quad (9)$$

2.1.2 Timeless velocity equations

Handle the motions which have no observational outer space initial velocity. If time over Eq. (1) is placed on Eq. (2) for their magnitude, the timeless velocity equation becomes Eq. (10).

$$v^2 = ax \quad (10)$$

Also it can be presented as Eq. (10a).

$$v^2 = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \quad (10a)$$

Thereupon $v^2 = 2ax$ equation is wrong as well.

Handle the motions with initial velocity. Torricelli equation $v_f^2 = v_i^2 + 2ax$ gives wrong results because of $v^2 = 2ax$ equation. If v_i is the initial velocity, v_g is the gained velocity different than the first velocity, v_f is the final velocity; then the equations must be as $v_f = v_i + v_g$ and $v_g = agt$ equations. If they are the assembly elements, then for $v_f^2 = (v_i + agt)^2$, it becomes Eq. (11).

$$v_f^2 = v_i^2 + 2v_i agt + a^2 t^2 \quad (11)$$

If the total distance taken is x_f , x_i is the distance taken during the same t time by the initial velocity, x_g is the distance taken

by the gained velocity which is different than the first velocity during the same t time, then the equations must be $x_f = x_i + x_g$, $x_g = a_g t^2$ and thus $t^2 = (x_f - x_i)/a_g$ equations. If this time is placed on the place on Eq. (11), then the equation becomes $v_f^2 = v_i^2 + 2v_i a_g t + a_g x_f - a_g x_i$. For $v_i t = x_i$ equation, it will be as $2v_i a_g t = 2x_i a_g$, and the timeless velocity equation which is with an initial velocity will be as Eq. (11a),

$$v_f^2 = v_i^2 + a_g(x_i + x_f) \tag{11a}$$

or will be as Eq. (11b) the below.

$$v_f^2 = v_i^2 + a_g(2x_i + x_g) \tag{11b}$$

In the same manner, over v_f^2 , it will be as Eq. (12),

$$v_f^2 = v_0^2 + v_g^2 + 2(\mathbf{x}_0 \cdot \mathbf{v}_g) \tag{12}$$

where $\mathbf{v}_g = \mathbf{a}_g t$, $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{v}_0 t$ and the other equations are as follows.

$$\mathbf{v}_f \cdot \mathbf{v}_f = (\mathbf{v}_0 + \mathbf{a}_g t) \cdot (\mathbf{v}_0 + \mathbf{a}_g t) \tag{12a}$$

$$v_f^2 - v_0^2 = 2t(\mathbf{a}_g \cdot \mathbf{v}_0) + a_g^2 t^2 \tag{12b}$$

2.1.3 Deceleration

As constant acceleration motion is not possible, then for two different t value in $x(t) = at^2$ and $v(t) = at$ functions, shall change functions even for the smallest time change [1]. For $f(x) = ax^2$ where a is any acceleration which is fixed or not, over $f(x_{n+1}) = f(x_n)$ equation where $x_{n+1} > x_n$ and for the same distance taken magnitude between two different time, the equation becomes Eq. (13).

$$\frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} = \frac{t_{n+1}^2}{t_n^2} \tag{13}$$




Fig. 1: Deceleration for a limited interval

There is a presentation of the motion as Fig. 1. Hence it can be said that, a_n which is one of the previous acceleration magnitudes is always bigger than the next a_{n+1} magnitude; therefore the universe is decelerating from an infinite value

as a virtual part of absolute space, in a time interval and by having emergence time priority for each small point of emergence area. It has to emerge by frequency, and there cannot emerge a point which has absolute emptiness namely nothingness. Already acceleration is not possible from zero point namely from nothingness because of previous motion, and already if constant speed and acceleration motion are not possible, it means that already motion emerges by parts over time at infinite frequency which means no constant speed even for infinite small work part. Otherwise, it requires to be absolute.

An uncertain matter can only emerge in a time interval by a deceleration from infinity, as a part of infinity, by repeating the same magnitude assumed infinite small motion. As a result of the deceleration, there cannot emerge an absolute emptiness. Matter emerges over this emergence space. Because of emerging uncertainty by an infinite frequency, matter basically does not have work potential. An absolute must work constantly even in the smallest time, instead of uncertain worker.

Inference

2.2 Imaginary time requirement

2.2.1 Excessive time

Handle an equation like Eq. (14).

$$\infty + t - t = \infty \tag{14}$$

It means that an E energy is created over absolute t space in t time as virtual part of space, and it lost after that in the same magnitude t time. It seems that there is no problem; but actually when the event's actual emerging function is written as Eq. (15)

$$\infty + \sum_{n=1}^n (t_n - t_{n-1}) - t = \infty \tag{15}$$

where $t_n = t$, there will emerge two different option according to calculation method. The sum's result is either $t - t_0$ where $t_0 = 0$ or $t - t_0$ where t_0 is a threshold value. For $t_0 = 0$, it can be said that it is impossible; because there is infinite time interval even for each magnitude time interval; so if the result of $t_1 - t_0$ is not 0, then it means even t_1 has sub times, and the same sum must be repeated for t_1 and even for its infinite parts; so the equation turns into Eq. (16).

$$t - t_0 = t \tag{16}$$

Hence time is only defined if it is

$$\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow 0} (t - t_0) = t \tag{17}$$

Over Eq. (16), if both of the sides are squared, it becomes $t_1 = \frac{t_0}{2}$. If it is put on Eq. (16), the other root becomes $t_2 = -\frac{t_0}{2}$. When the both root are multiplied by each other to create the

main function which has these two root, it becomes $-t_0^2/4 = t$ and finally becomes Eq. (18).

$$t_0 = 2i\sqrt{t} \quad (18)$$

It can be said that time is always negative for any positive or negative t_0 . If it is put on Eq. (16), it becomes Eq. (19),

$$-t_0^2 - 4t_0 = 4t \quad (19)$$

where

$$t_0 = -2n \quad (19a)$$

$$t = 2n - n^2 \quad (19b)$$

being $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $t/t_0 = -1$ instead of $0/0$ uncertainty, where $n = 0$.

If the same is applied for veclocity, the distance taken of x_0 becomes

$$x_0 = -4\sqrt{vt} \quad (20)$$

where $v_0 = 2i\sqrt{v}$. It is defined; so it means that whatever already there was motion as uncertain motion. This requires an imaginary time; because existence can only be either absolute or uncertain.

Hence, to be defined of t , it is required that t_0 must be 0; but when it is done, also t becomes 0. For $t = 0$, also velocity and distance taken become zero; therefore, t must has another root that if Eq. (19) is checked, when $n = 0$, t_0 and t become 0; but also for $n = 2$, t becomes zero; but there is an imaginary time that there is a motion in a time interval. This untimely manner creates a second time beyond to be suddenly of it since it is a root of time; so it can be said that, even there were no motion in outer space, there was a repeated motion in imaginary time which is not absolute; but also is not the same with time. Right this point, deterministic image in the imaginary time appears, is lengthened by a repeat frequency according to using energy by creating real time. Each previous work is done timeless according to $t - t_0 = t$; because here, $t_n - t_{n-1} = t_0$; so each consecutive time is equal to each other and 0; so also $t_0 = t$.

2.2.2 Derivative at infinite

Motion emerges by parts over time at infinite frequency which does not bring matter infinite energy but renders uncertainty as a virtual part. It could be in this way. Otherwise, it requires to be absolute; then the functions of time as equations of motion emerge as Eq. (21), Eq. (21a) and Eq. (21b). They give a single result for a single infinite derivation which is done untimely manner as one-piece by visiting the different functions they work over them. Here, actual functions of time can be any function if it is compatible with the rule of displacement; because the smallest same part will be used for each infinite small frequency again and again.

$$x = vt = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^n}{dt^n}(x(t)) \quad (21)$$

$$v = at = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^n}{dt^n}(v(t)) \quad (21a)$$

$$x = at^2 = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^n}{dt^n}(x(t)) \quad (21b)$$

where $x(t) = vt$, $x(t) = at^2$, $v(t) = at$, and a and v are constants as a number; therefore it means time has some roots. Otherwise, it would not become like $vt = 0$, $at^2 = 0$ or $at = 0$. Even if actual functions of velocity, distance taken and acceleration were different, frequency function would be the same as $f(t) = 1/t$. For this equation, it becomes Eq. (21c),

$$1/t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^n}{dt^n}(f(t)) \quad (21c)$$

If it is edited, it becomes Eq. (21d) over Eq. (21e) where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n > 0$.

$$t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^{n+1} \sqrt[n+1]{(n+1)!} \quad (21d)$$

$$\frac{1}{t} = \frac{(n+1)!(-1)^{n+1}}{t^{n+2}} \quad (21e)$$

Here, $(-1)^{n+1}$ cannot be taken to the outside like -1 or +1; because n always changes. The difference is that it does not turn it into complex number.

Here, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\log_e n - \log_e t) = 1$ when $(-1)^{n+1}$ is ignored. It is interesting that, actually t gives positive and negative values according to to be positive or negative of n ; but the function converges to Euler's number as irrational value by $e = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{n+\sqrt[n+1]{(n+1)!}}$.

$(-1)^{n+1}$ on Eq. (21d) means that acceleration direction would change in time if it was done in a time interval; however there is no time interval to emerge of this because of infinite derivatives which are done untimely manner; so it gives its absolute characteristic. Even if there is no derivative done in a time interval, it acts like such; so by using ratio test for both $t_+ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n+1]{(2n)!}$ and $t_- = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[2n-1]{(2n-1)!}$, t_- diverges in absolute value from the result of t_+ for the same derivation number; therefore when an addition is done for the assumed smallest two consecutive part, time seems as always negative, and the equation of velocity turns into Eq. (21f).

$$\mathbf{v} = -\mathbf{a}t \quad (21f)$$

2.3 Kinetic energy and momentum equations

2.3.1 Theoric analysis of area

a) Dimensional emergence

To analysis dimensional density, for Eq. (22),

$$d(n) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{A(n)}{A(n+1)} \quad (22)$$

the equation turns into (22a),

$$d(n) = \frac{\zeta(1)}{v} \quad (22a)$$

where $A(n) = r^n(t)$, $n > 0$, $d(n)$ is density of existence area of a dimension in the next dimension, and $r(t) = vt$ as time is restrictive to exist at anywhere at the same time along infinite space, for a fixed and limited velocity. $d(n)$ by this rule is always divergent; so over Eq. (22b),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{A(n)}{A(n+1)} = \infty \tag{22b}$$

it can be said that any previous dimension which is assumed as it creates out next one exists at infinite density in the next dimension by a limited velocity; but as the relation between different dimensions, the equation turns into (23),

$$d(n) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{A(n)}{A(n+m)} \tag{23}$$

where $m > 1$, and it turns into (23a),

$$d(n) = \frac{\zeta(m)}{v^m} \tag{23a}$$

and is convergent. It means that a subdimension exists. As a result, for (23b) over (23a) where $n = 1$ as 1 dimension,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\zeta(m)}{v^m} = 0 \tag{23b}$$

any dimension or actually 1 dimension has no density in absolute space, and so cannot exist in infinite dimensional space. Also lower dimensions' density decreases for higher dimensions that if a next dimension was created out by the previous one or previous ones, this condition would be in the exact opposite way. This means that emergence area and its elements are emerges on their own space, that it requires to be determined before. If it cannot create a next dimension out, and also if it cannot be a part of infinite dimensional space as a formation part of infinite space, it means that, area is absolute. Subdimension cannot form the next one. It was always there, and there is an appearance, and drop from infinity. There is no 0 dimension, and the other dimension appears as relatively in different dimension by having different density.

For the above stated conditions, it seems that dimension is movement way which does not mean area as it is not abstract, and is vector quantity. Each dimension has a unique way relatively to any other dimension. As area, it is sum of dimensional positive and negative movement lengths, and also is vector quantity. Displacement vector is only able to emerge in different ways as much as dimension numbers which area has them; therefore area is defined if and only if is $\mathbf{A} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ as vector quantity or if is $\mathbf{A} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as scalar magnitude, where n is dimension number which the vector field function \mathbf{A} has; thus \mathbf{A} emerges as n dimensional area which means allows objects move in different ways on displacement vector line; otherwise objects can only move the aimed point by wandering and by a big latency.

As motion emerges in a time interval, it must always has limited speed. As each dimension has unique way, emergence

of a rotation and its quickness are directly depended on dimension number. For example in 2 dimensional space, the rotation path is always perpendicular to the course direction before the rotation even for each thin space part. There cannot emerge a soft rotation in 2 dimensional space because of limited and at last fixed speed, as a shortcut in a different direction shall not emerge with an angle. To reduce rotational latency and hardness because of emerging distance taken length, there must always exist enough number of dimensions. Higher dimensional objects do faster displacement. Only infinite dimensional space allows movements to be in any direction of them all together untimely manner without any angle, latency and thus a sensed inertia.

For these reasons, because of its existence which means the area covered, an object has to do a circular motion with an angle by passing all the limited dimensions which are a result of time to be formed since any point of space is intersection point of dimensions as a part of space, even when is static which means has no observational outer space motion at that time, and right this point, it can be said that more speed means more dimension scanning. Thereupon, density of an object in emergence space which is the space emerges over absolute space as a virtual part of it in a time interval by repeating the same motion with a frequency during passing the limited dimensions, cannot exist in absolute space, emergence area itself is included as well. It is in a closed and limited area for any selected dimension. As it can be seen, nothing lived forever during infinite time period since nothing can be spreaded out as a density upon infinite dimensional space, and it says that any act and phenomenon are included in existence density; they emerge, act and lose together.

b) Absolute observer requirement

Handle an fixed object in a limited area in space. A fixed observer does observation in a limited free space which has E_3 energy, and has E total energy with its all elements. The observer detected the object at d_1 length which has E_1 total energy without reference; then assume that, d_1 length is lengthened to d_2 length but the observation angle $\angle BAC$ does not change. There is a presentation of this event on Fig. 2.




Fig. 2: Change in existence in a limited free space

In this condition, to gain E_2 energy of d_2 length where $E_2 > E_1$, this object has to use free space's energy; therefore at the end of the lengthening, the energy of free space absolutely decreases. If it is assumed that the same operation is done gradually forever, all the energy which the free space has must be used for the observed object that the observer's energy is included as well; hence even if it is assumed that the observation angle does not change, even for the smallest change, as the area covered and its density changes, also the angle has to change; so the equation becomes Eq. (24),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum E_n = E \quad (24)$$

where n is element number of free space, and E is total energy of free space with its all elements; so if you increase the energy of the observed object, the observer loses energy; but actually may the observer lose completely?

If you assume that the space may able to be bended, to realize this, more energy is required; because if you compare this two different independent space which one of them has A area has $2E$ total energy and $d = 2E/Av^2$ density, and the other one has $A/2$ area has E total energy and $d = 2E/Av^2$ density which is the same density with the other one. As it can be seen for both of them, to create both space, the required energy amount is the same as $E = dAv^2/2$; hence, it can be said that more denser space requires more energy; because even if you assume like one of them has X energy, it does not occur such that. For a formation speed of v , if you create more denser space, you must change the work's speed. Force cannot be applied to compress space for the same time. Ft/t_a ratio always has to change where $t = 1$ second or another reference time which creates the force, and t_a is the application time of Ft . This creates different speeds and so creates different space energy as work done is equal to kinetic energy; so if you handle a limited space which has a fixed formation speed, if a denser area emerges, as the speed is fixed as there is always single work to create all the space and its elements, there shall always emerge a resistance which means wish to have more speed to get denser; otherwise this density wants to get lower density and be more ordered; so matter always want to be distributed; so while d_1 length is lengthening for a limited energy, space cannot be bended more than enough; so still the angle shall change even for the smallest change. Just change amount of the angle for the same time interval will be different relatively to the other if there is more tension; but at a point, it must be recovered by a bigger change relatively to lower stress space.

There cannot be a zero resistance even for before the beginning of time. Matter always wants to be distributed. Denser area is always more disordered, and more energy required to create denser area.

Inference: Stress

Hence, even if you increase the energy of the observed object for any magnitude limited formation speed, the observer

cannot be lost; because space and matter have an incompressibility feature. At a point, it requires more energy than total energy of free space with its all elements. If you increase the observed object's energy together with total energy of the space by a new formation speed gradually forever being the observer's energy is fixed even for changing formation speed, you shall must be decrease density of the observer as fixed area cannot lift more than enough density. This is the same with fixed speed space's compress; so if there is no observer, there is no matter. Actually it means if there is no matter, there is no matter; because there is no difference between observation energy and matter. Observation energy is also matter, and has E/v^2 mass for a formation speed. As matter is a virtual part of infinity, as required by infinite frequency matter has no work ability. Infinity must work instead of any worker; so also observation is done by observation of infinity; hence the right expression is that if there is no absolute observer, there is no matter.

There would no matter if there was no observer. Observer is absolute. The observers which are in a limited uncertain emergence space which has limited formation speed, cannot be lost.

Inference: Eye of God

Because of the incompressibility feature, it can be said that even for the smallest existent work, work can only be done against recovery wish of space; so matter cannot create itself as a forcing each small time interval of each small time interval is required which brings matter total energy. Already, matter is uncertain. It means that it must be repeated as a part of infinity. Uncertainty increases or decreases between absolute absence and absoluteness but its loss; so a worker is required for each small time interval instead of any worker. Also as it was said in excessive time section, any motion has to be deterministic. They are created untimely manner. There is no time difference between two information in imaginery time. Anything is element of infinity. If there shall emerge a work, already it must be a part of infinity. Neither anything can be added in nor detracted from infinity. Already this condition requires to be deterministic of any act. If everything is element of infinity, and also if infinity forces matter as there is no alternative to create it as matter is absolute inert because of an absolute worker instead of any worker in each small time interval, to be absolute requires to have consciousness. Nothing can be random. The infinity's infinite act is also determined and is included its infinite information. Emerging things are the results.

2.3.2 Momentum

As area had been always existed, already the above stated time functions as velocity, acceleration and distance taken functions are dependen on this space as well; so as they cannot be seperated from time, also they cannot be seperated from inertia or latency which is the result of motion. As matter has infinite frequency as a requirement of to be virtual part of

absolute space, inertia emergence and its magnitude can only emerge and increase in time as dependent of time in $0 \leq t < \infty$ second interval; then the equation for latency in $[0, \infty)$ interval becomes Eq. (25)

$$\frac{L_r(t_{n+1} - t_n)}{t} = L_d \quad (25)$$

where L_r is reference latency, L_d is detected latency. Even the reference latency has sub latency because of emerging infinite frequency; so here it must be always $(t_{n+1} - t_n)/t$, and it means that which latency interval is used since there cannot be a motionless interval. It does not mean there is a time slip. It means that there was a potential which changes over time whatever the emerging thype; but it changed by an influence and is detected as different during change in t time. Emergence motion moves at that time. The equation shall always provide the changing which occurs over time where L can be mass, distance taken, velocity, force or acceleration as elements of motion even as relatively to each other or in itself that if Eq. (25) does not calculate potential change or influence potential change, L_r and L_d are always two parts of the same L latency as kind; but if it calculates influence potential which causes potential change or stays as influence potential, then they are different to categorize.

As emergence area and its elements have infinite frequency, mass which is the place space exists in more density there as there cannot be an absolute emptiness because of deceleration from infinity, can only be shown as *Kgs* which means "Kilogram per second". For a mass which increases in time, it becomes Eq. (26) if it calculates potential change,

$$\frac{m_r(t_{n+1} - t_n)}{t} = m_d \quad (26)$$

where $(t_{n+1} - t_n)/t = a$; so finally it becomes Eq. (27),

$$\mathbf{F} = -m\mathbf{a} \quad (27)$$

if it calculates influence potential where F is influence potential even if it is used or not, m is potential and $a = \|\mathbf{-a}\|$. It can be transformed into Eq. (27a), over $\mathbf{v} = -\mathbf{a}t$.

$$\mathbf{F}t = m\mathbf{v} \quad (27a)$$

Here $m\mathbf{v}$ is momentum. It means mass relative to time. It is still mass in short. If m moves here, then v is the speed of the moving mass. Otherwise already this speed is the speed of mass or force change.

m_r is sensed as F force or mass during the latency. This is inertia. Any work can only be done in a time interval, and so worker or the thing which is made it work do work by some periods as active, and does not do work by some periods as inert. Actual result is to be absolute inert of matter since an absolute energy has to do work instead of all another workers even for each small time period. Matter never gains a work potential, and it seems that everything has to be determined before to be created; but still it is calculable like it already had an influence potential like infinite although it is not.

Also latency equality can be shown as Eq. (28)

$$i_e \left(\frac{\frac{x_2}{t_2} - \frac{x_1}{t_1}}{t} \right) = \Delta i \quad (28)$$

since space cannot be independent of infinite. There is no absolute emptiness; so if you assume that 1 dimensional space part of x started vibration at a frequency by a natural latency in a time interval as nothing is continuous, emerging inertia i_e which is always together with motion even for the smallest time turns into Δi for any time interval during the formation or even during an observational outer space motion which is after the emerging. As the result, mass equation turns into Eq. (27) again.

Also over uncertainty, if m_b is the assumed basic mass when uncertainty warns, and m_d is the mass detected at the end of a t_r reference time; by the defined elements, emergence of a mass can be expressed as Eq. (29).

$$m_d = m_b \int_0^{t_r} d_{t_r} \quad (29)$$

The same m_d mass has to get different magnitudes for any time between $[0, t_r]$ or $[t_r, 1]$ and "Kilogram per second" unit that can be shorten as (*Kgs*) unit for 1 second.

$$v_f = m_d/m_b t_r \quad (30)$$

Eq. (30) is the change of mass for a formation velocity of v_f , and it is equal to some variable values according to emergence values; so it can be said that mass is a varying effect which is detected within displacement time.

As displacement of the mass which moves in outer space after the emerging increases for the reference time of absolute upper limit of 1 second; so also its mass magnitude must increase absolutely; because a motion in outer space cannot be independent of emergence just as emergence cannot be. As matter is always together a motion and so energy, when it moved, then it means formation motion moved; thus mass of the moving objects absolutely increases as matter gains mass by the same way.

For m_d (*Kgs*) mass which had been accelerated after it emerged, as reference time of t_r is 1 second and m_s is an outer space motion mass, it turns into Eq. (31).

$$v = m_s t / m_d t_r \quad (31)$$

m_d (*Kgs*) mass is perceived as m_s (*Kgs*) mass according to the acceleration time t by the reason of inertia. There is no other mass. During the acceleration work, m_d (*Kgs*) can be assumed as the basic mass. The velocity here is equal to the velocity of the mass which moves in observational outer space if the mass moves. If the mass does not move, this velocity is already the velocity of the change of the mass over time as a perceived mass. For $m_d = m$ to be more clear of it, this condition can be maintained as Eq. (32),

$$\mathbf{F}t = m\mathbf{v} \quad (32)$$

as m_s (Kgs) mass shall get its standard mass magnitude if it slows down; thus this mass can be assumed as a force as it is variable, temporary.

Actually, as matter emerges over time, for smaller application time interval than absolute upper time limit which mater gains its maximum mass magnitude value, the mass which the force applied is smaller than general mass magnitude as the force is smaller as well; so actual equation for this condition is $Ft^2 = mtv$ where $t < t_u$ being t_u upper limit which means creation time that we accept it as 1 second. Additionally, there is one more option that as motion is deterministic, when you wish to use more energy by the same force by using the force in a smaller time, there will no magnitude difference. This requires relative time. Time passes different for different motions. Even so, the energy is still used from total energy of universe.

Warning

2.3.3 Kinetic energy

As kinetic energy, use $x = vt$ on $Ft = mv$ or use $v^2 = ax$ on $F = ma$. They turn into $Fx = mv^2$ and so into Eq. (33).

$$W = mv^2 \tag{33}$$

Also over Eq. (34), it becomes Eq. (33) again,

$$W = \int ma dx \tag{34}$$

where $a = \frac{v}{t}$ and $x = vt$. Energy means mass relative to velocity, and is actual momentum. This is energy; because actually on $\mathbf{F}t = m\mathbf{v}$ equation, for one fixed time or velocity value, the other can take any different value. Right this point, the reference is distance taken.

When a mass moves from A to B in space, it means formation motion moved. As mass cannot be independent of speed, the equation becomes Eq. (35); because matter can be used as much as its total energy. All works are as much as total energy and existence of matter; so last moving total energy at the last condition of matter as a result must be equal to sum of observational outer space kinetic energy and static total energy.

$$m_0c^2 + mv^2 = mc^2 \tag{35}$$

For Eq. (35), mass change becomes Eq. (36).

$$m = \frac{m_0}{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}} \tag{36}$$

This mass is the mass which already had been accelerated and is moving at a speed of v . For acceleration work, if $p_0 = m_0c$ and $v = Ft/m_0$ then for $\int md_v$ it will be Eq. (37).

$$m = p_0 \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{Ft}{p_0}\right) \tag{37}$$

As you can see on Eq. (36) or Eq. (37), the limit is c for $v = Ft/m_0$ equation; but actually work can be done by any big multiples of c for Ft/m_0 equation and $Ft/m_0 > c$ status even if the resulting velocity does not increase so much. We must re-determine the condition. For Eq. (38) the below,

$$m_{n+1} = p_n \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{(Ft/p_0) - \lfloor Ft/p_0 \rfloor}{p_n}\right) \tag{38}$$

it will be Eq. (39) for $p_n = m_n c$ as

$$m = \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor Ft/p_0 \rfloor} m_{n+1} \tag{39}$$

for the work's whole value as there is no difference for a one-piece work without hand taking or work in pieces since already the work is done one by one. For $Ft/m_0 < c$ status, Eq. (37) can be used.

On $Ft = mv$ equation, the multiplication of Ft doesn't change even if the mass or the velocity on the momentum equation changes by any rule; because they are self formed according to Ft work if this the equation; so over $Ft = \frac{m_0v}{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}$ equation, the reached velocity v_r shall be Eq. (40).

$$v_r = \frac{v}{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}} \tag{40}$$




Fig. 3: Mass and speed changing graphic from $m = m_0$ to $m = 2m_0$

For Eq. (36) and Eq. (40), kinetic energy becomes Eq. (41)

$$W = \frac{mv^2}{\left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)^3} \tag{41}$$

Total energy becomes Eq. (42).

$$E = \frac{m_0c^2}{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}} \tag{42}$$

As it can be seen over Eq. (42), mass and energy magnitude is not conserved in focal point even if work done energy is conserved. Even total energy of universe does not change, total energy and mass of focal points of universe can change. During this changing, total density of universe changes; but its total energy is always conserved.

Mass and energy magnitude is not conserved in focal points of universe.

Inference

2.4 Relative motion relations

There are some special phenomena for relative motions like relative speed and relative size; because an object is always more uncertain at a farther distance than a closer distance size.

2.4.1 Relative velocity at a perfect distance

Relative velocity of two moving object is the displacement of moving one according to resultant of the two moving object when one of them is assumed as fixed. Relative velocity magnitude is the same for both moving object. The thing which is not the same for each of them is the direction of the movement; because for example, for one of the infinite number relative motion combination of the two object, one of them may see itself as slowing down even that time it is not slowing down. To be positive or negative of velocities according to a reference are related with their directions.




Fig. 4: Relative velocity at a perfect distance

When the velocity of the moving object which is assumed as fixed is subtracted by vector from the other moving object which is not counted as fixed, it is like distance taken in the direction of the resultant according to the angle emerging between them as the result of the subtracting. The observer in the other name the moving object which is not counted as fixed observes itself at the resulting speed and in that direction of the resultant as an illusion. This calculation can only be done for the objects which are at a perfect distance relative to each other. This means that when the lengths of the vector straight lines are drawn at the same ratio with the velocities, they are intersected at a point perfect manner like on Fig. 4. Otherwise, a second relative calculation must be done as the objects which are at a far distance cannot be seen or are observed as smaller than the original size, as also the velocity will be observed as static like stars.

For Fig. 4, over the law of cosines, the velocity relative to v_2 means detected by v_1 as an illusion is Eq. (43) over $v_R^2 = v_1^2 + v_2^2 - 2v_1v_2\cos\alpha$.

$$v_R = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2 - 2v_1v_2\cos\alpha} \tag{43}$$

For the velocity relative to v_1 , as it will be $v_R^2 = v_2^2 + v_1^2 - 2v_2v_1\cos\alpha$, the relative velocity directly shall be as Eq. (43) again. The changing thing is relative or absolute destination on space.

2.4.2 Relative size of fixed objects

As the size included observations which is different than the above stated one, area of an object is observed as smaller when you moved away from it, and is observed as bigger when gotten closer to it. Any object always can only exist between this two conditions. Right this point, we must comment according to a size reference. Let us assume that this reference is the length reference of the 1 dimensional object has $|BC| = d$ length, is as also a standard on Fig. 5. Assume that this standard is to be observed of this $|BC|$ as $|BC|$ from the rectangular distance of A being $|AD| = |BC|$. This 1 dimensional length is enough even for 3D observation; because a 2D area will occur, and so the same 1 dimensional calculation will be done for both vertical and horizontal component.




Fig. 5: Perpendicular observation of fixed objects

Right this point, there are 2 different conditions to clarify. They are perpendicular observation and angled observation.

a) Perpendicular observation

This observation is done on origin of the observed object. If the observer is closer than the determined standard like to be on E point on Fig. 5, the equations which were derived the below can calculate the observed size, and it will be like FG.

First solution:

As the equation is $|AD| = |BC| = |LH|$, if we use $\triangle HLK$ triangle which is one of two the same $\triangle HLK$ and $\triangle HLM$ triangles, over the pythagoras theorem the equation will be as Eq. (44).

$$|HK|^2 = |HL|^2 + |LK|^2 \quad (44)$$

When $|HK|^2$ over Eq. (44) is used on the law of cosine in the same $\triangle HLK$ triangle for $\angle LHK = \alpha/2$, it will be as Eq. (44a).

$$|HK| = \frac{|HL|}{\cos(\alpha/2)} \quad (44a)$$

If $|HL|$ over Eq. (44a) is used on Eq. (44) again, it will be as Eq. (45) being $|HL| = |AB| = d$ is the actual size of the object, $|MK| = d'$ is the observed size of the object.

$$d' = 2d \sqrt{\frac{1}{\cos^2(\alpha/2)} - 1} \quad (45)$$

Second solution:

As we know emerging observation angle and standart size of the observed object, we can use one of the half angle formulas. For tangent function, being $\tan(\alpha/2) = |LK|/|HL|$, over the tangent half angle formula the equation will be Eq. (46),

$$\tan \alpha = \frac{4dd'}{4d^2 - d'^2} \quad (46)$$

and it can only be solved by solving equation.

Third solution:

Being $\angle BHC = \alpha$, it will be Eq. (47) over the law of cosines in $\triangle MHK$ for $|HM| = |HK|$ equation.

$$\cos \alpha = 1 - \frac{|MK|^2}{2|HK|^2} \quad (47)$$

Also it will be Eq. (47a) for the same angle over the law of cosines in $\triangle BHC$ for $|BH| = |CH|$ equation.

$$\cos \alpha = 1 - \frac{|BC|^2}{2|CH|^2} \quad (47a)$$

Over the pythagoras theorem in $\triangle HDC$ for $|HC| = |HB|$ and $|BD| = |DC| = |BC|/2 = d/2$ equations, it will be Eq. (47b).

$$|HD|^2 + |DC|^2 = |HC|^2 \quad (47b)$$

As the size reference which was determined the above is to be observed of an object at its original size when it is observed from the distance has the same length with the object, then the equations must be $|HL| = |BC| = d$ and $|ML| = |LK| = |MK|/2$, and so over the pythagoras theorem in $\triangle HMK$, it will be Eq. (47c).

$$|HL|^2 + |LK|^2 = |HK|^2 \quad (47c)$$

From the similarity between $\triangle HMK$ and $\triangle HDC$, the relation is $|HK|/|HC| = |LK|/|DC|$, and if it is squared, it will be as Eq. (47d).

$$|HK|^2/|HC|^2 = |LK|^2/|DC|^2 \quad (47d)$$

If $|HC|^2$ over Eq. (47b) and $|HK|^2$ over Eq. (47c) are used on Eq. (47d), it will be Eq. (47e),

$$\frac{d^2}{4} = \frac{d^2 + |HD|^2}{\frac{d'^2}{4} + d^2} \quad (47e)$$

and finally it will be as Eq. (47f).

$$|HD| = \frac{d^2}{d'} \quad (47f)$$

If $|HC|^2$ over Eq. (47b) is used on Eq. (47a) for $|HC| = |HB|$, $|DC| = d/2$ and Eq. (47f) equations, then the function according to observation angle of α becomes Eq. (48).

$$d' = 2d \sqrt{\frac{1 - \cos \alpha}{1 + \cos \alpha}} \quad (48)$$


b) Angled observation

Fig. 6: Angled observation of fixed objects

For angled observations, first of all we must find out perpendicular observation size of the observed object as $|EC|$ on Fig. 6. To find out it, firstly we must know the distances between the observer and the axes of the observed object. Being $|CF| = d_x$ is the distance in x axis direction, and $|FH| = d_y$ is the distance in y axis direction, $|HC|$ is as Eq. (49).

$$|HC| = \sqrt{d_x^2 + d_y^2} \quad (49)$$

In $\triangle EHC$, over the law of cosines being $\angle EHF = \alpha$, it will be Eq. (47b) for $|EC| = d'$.

$$|EC| = 2(1 - \cos \alpha)(d_x + d_y) \quad (49a)$$

If Eq. (47b) is used on Eq. (48), finally angled observation size of the observed object will be as Eq. (50).

$$d' = 4(d_x + d_y) \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \cos\alpha)^3}{1 + \cos\alpha}} \quad (50)$$

There is a special condition for angled observation that the same angle does not means the same size. An angle may be the same with a perpendicular observation angle or observing angle of an angled observation. The difference is like the difference between $\triangle BRC$ on Fig. 6 and $\triangle BEC$ on Fig. 5. They have the same angle; but they cannot detect the fixed size object at the same size. Also like this observation which is done from a distance smaller than the size of the observed object, E point observes the object as $|FG|$ on Fig. 5. A perpendicular observation reference cannot be determined like $|GC|$ on Fig. 6.

2.4.3 Simultaneous relative size change by velocity

If the objects move, as d_x and d_y on Eq. (49) will change in time because of this movement, for the resultant velocity of the two moving object in x direction is v_{Rx} and v_{Ry} in y direction, Eq. (50) turns into Eq. (51).

$$d' = 4(d_x \pm v_{Rx}t + d_y \pm v_{Ry}t) \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \cos\alpha)^3}{1 + \cos\alpha}} \quad (51)$$

Here \pm is for direction of the movement. As change in α on Eq. (51), for $\angle BHC = \alpha$ and $\angle CHF = \theta$ equations, it will be $\tan(\alpha + \theta) = (d + d_x)/d_y$ and $\tan(\theta) = d_x/d_y$. For these equations, over trigonometric sum formula of tangent, it becomes Eq. (47c),

$$\alpha = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{d_y d}{d_y^2 + d_x^2 + d_x d}\right) \quad (51a)$$

and for the movement, it becomes Eq. (51b).

$$\alpha = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{(d_y \pm v_{Ry}t)d}{(d_y \pm v_{Ry}t)^2 + d(d_x \pm v_{Rx}t)^2 + (d_x \pm v_{Rx}t)d}\right) \quad (51b)$$

3 Formation of matter

3.1 Mass emergence and area force

As required by emerging uncertainty which actually is equivalent of Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle, there cannot exist an absolute part of matter. There must always be infinite frequency which renders matter as an illusion, and does not bring infinite work potential to matter as kinetic energy is equal to work done. Existence can only exist either as absolute or uncertain which is part of absolute. For this condition, force cannot be applied without area. Force is only defined when it is related with application area. Emerging variable values of $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{F}A$ equation which relates force and area, increases or decreases between absolute absence and absolute-ness but its loss. In the same manner, distributed load is mass or force effect as well.

Force cannot be applied the surface which has no area covered. $\mathbf{F}A = \mathbf{P}$ equation which is the relation between force and pressure, is always valid.

Inference




Fig. 7: Mass emergence

Handle an imaginary area on the horizon like on Fig. 7 on absolute space, and assume that it expanded. When it expanded, the pressure of the outer space must increase as inner density will decrease. At this condition,

$$W_e = (P_s - P_e)x_e/A_e = m_e v_e^2 \quad (52)$$

is the work done where P_s is the outer space pressure, P_e is the inner pressure of the expansion, x_e is the distance taken, A_e is the surface area of the expansion volume, v_e is the velocity of the work, and m_e is the emerging mass as the result of this action in the volume.

When it collapsed, the work done becomes

$$W_c = (P_c - P_s)x_c/A_c = m_c v_c^2 \quad (53)$$

where P_s is the outer space pressure, P_c is the inner pressure of the collapse, x_c is the distance taken, A_c is the surface area of the collapse volume, v_c is the velocity of the work, and m_c is the emerging mass as the result of this action in the volume.

For both condition, emerging mass as the result is dependent of pressure increases for collapse since \mathbf{F} from $\mathbf{F}A = \mathbf{P}$ increases even the pressure in reverse direction, and decreases for expansion because of the same reason. Whatever the direction, if there is an opposite work, the work done is the work done against this opposite work. As the result, it can be said that if mass shall emerge, it should be created by a collapse. Whatever which one was used to create it, as constant speed movement is not possible and as the work cannot be done timeless, also the work is done one by one at a frequency. For this reason, any point of the emerging space and mass have different priority since they are the result of the action and are dependent of the work done; so they have different mass magnitude even the difference can be ignored because of its small magnitude.

Again for both condition, as the new emerging area has time difference at any point, when a force is applied to a mass, the area which is the force was applied on the mass changes, as the emerging area of the force will change as well; so a linear motion is not possible since an angle must emerge during the work as you cannot determine a middle point. It always slides, and this causes a type of circular motion as there is no alternative.

As motion, it always emerges in one direction as a resultant; because it can be counted that, on a mass, there are infinite number of forces which create motion in different directions; so as a resultant, motion only emerges in one direction since there cannot be an absolute zero resultant because of emerging infinite time differences and to be latency of mass by a limited speed. Motion is not independent of mass, as mass cannot be. A mass which has no velocity cannot exist. As motion emerges in one direction, whatever losing and emerging frequency of space, and even whatever in how many direction the force was applied, as a result of the action, the resultant space force or mc momentum for a limited and fixed speed since limited universe must have according to work done, must be alone by an angle emerging between the movement direction of the emerging mass and the opposite space force.

Hence, it can be said that an uncertain matter always does a circular motion as there is no alternative. Already, for a limited universe, velocity can be counted as fixed; so for a fixed speed, different mass magnitudes are only possible by a circular motion; because different masses means different displacements, and so means different speeds. If radius increases, then for the same velocity, mass decreases as detected mass in an unit of volume shall decrease. Actual reason of the circular motion is to be virtual part of matter; because anything can neither be added in nor be detracted from infinity, as anything is element of infinity. Thereupon, the angle which must emerge between force and mass which is aimed to create also emerges one by one. Force cannot be applied from random points. It has to have an order since force and mass are together in $\mathbf{F} = -m\mathbf{a}$ equation, and space emerges one by one in a priority order. Mass can only emerge over space, by using space. Mass turns into space, space turns into mass as a result of the deceleration from infinite, as there cannot be an absolute emptiness.

Emergence is directly depended on $\mathbf{F} = -m\mathbf{a}$ equation; so space cannot apply force from random points to form mass. Force is there where mass exists, even if there shall emerge an angle.

Inference

3.1.1 Resultant force

There are some different possible motion type which have different 3D motion resultant. To determine which one is possible, conservation of mass and energy must be used.

As it can be seen over the above stated conditions, if a

mass emerges in 3D, first of all, force and speed always must be fixed as the result of finite work done to create universe. A fixed force is applied, and then space gets shape in itself somehow. Even force changes over time, the rule will be the same as each decrease interval will be assumed as fixed; therefore the equation is always $\mathbf{F} = m\mathbf{a}$. If this is the condition, then it can be said that motion can only emerge if $ds = dx + dy + dz$ equation is provided; because there is no external mass. It is like it that mass itself creates itself; so $mc = m_x c + m_y c + m_z c$ equation is always provided. Here, the three component always change; but sum of them is always conserved; therefore the equation becomes Eq. (54),

$$dx \left(\frac{1}{dy} + \frac{1}{dz} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \quad (54)$$

where $ds^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$ and $ds = dx + dy + dz$.

There are five possibility for motion's emergence type constantly or partially by some intervals as $dx = dy = dz$, $dx = dy$, $dx = dz$ and $dy = dz$ or none of them. $dx = dy = dz$ equation is not possible when it is checked over Eq. (54). For the others, if dx is taken from Eq. (54), and is put on its place on mass conservation equation which is $ds = dx + dy + dz$, the equation becomes Eq. (54a).

$$ds = dy + dz - \frac{dy \cdot dz}{2(dy + dz)} \quad (54a)$$

Here, assume that $ds^2 = dx^2 + dy^2$ which is the projection of the same ds during forming a sphere by $ds^2 = dy^2 + dz^2$ where $dx = dz$ by the same angle vertically and horizontally according to a fixed reference; then Eq. (54a) becomes Eq. (54b).

$$dx^2 = dy \cdot dz + dz^2 - \frac{dy^2 \cdot dz^2}{4(dy + dz)^2} \quad (54b)$$

Over Eq. (54b), it can be said that $dx = dy$ and $dx = dz$ are not possible. For $dy = dz$ equation, it becomes $dx/dy = 33/16$; but if it is used on the main function Eq. (54), it seems that even it is not possible as well; therefore there is only one possibility left that none of them emerges even for any interval of motion, and the components are always different. They never intersect for any combination. Actually it is very compatible for the theory; because it requires a constant energy increase or decrease. As it was said while explaining dimensional density, nothing lived forever that it can be seen over mass density by the same way with dimensional density; so even for after the comma which means in small amounts, between two different time, there must be an evaporation or in the other name vibration decrease.

As the relation of the components with ds separately and relatively to α , over Eq. (54), it becomes Eq. (55),

$$dz = -\frac{2 \tan(\alpha) dx}{2 + \tan(\alpha)} \quad (55)$$

where $dy = dx \tan(\alpha)$. If Eq. (55) is used on $ds = dx + dy + dz$ which is conservation of mass equation, the relation between

ds and dx becomes Eq. (55a),

$$ds = dx \left(1 + \tan(\alpha) - \frac{2 \tan(\alpha)}{2 + \tan(\alpha)} \right) \quad (55a)$$

where $dy = dx \tan(\alpha)$. By the same way, the relation between ds and dy becomes Eq. (55b),

$$ds = dy \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tan(\alpha)} - \frac{2}{2 + \tan(\alpha)} \right) \quad (55b)$$

where $dz = -\frac{2dy}{2+\tan(\alpha)}$. If dx and dy from Eq. (55a) and Eq. (55b) are used on $ds = dx + dy + dz$ equation, dz becomes Eq. (55c),

$$dz = 1 - \frac{1}{M_1} - \frac{1}{M_2} \quad (55c)$$

where $M_1 = \left(1 + \tan(\alpha) - \frac{2 \tan(\alpha)}{2 + \tan(\alpha)}\right)$ and $M_2 = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tan(\alpha)} - \frac{2}{2 + \tan(\alpha)}\right)$.

Hence even if an assumed point mass spins around a perfect sphere, it turns by different angles vertically and horizontally according to a fixed reference. It requires different speeds or fixed angle by fixed components. As different speeds are not possible as there is only 1 work to create all the universe, it means that there are fixed angles and fixed components; so the equation becomes Eq. (56) over Eq. (54a),

$$dz = -\frac{2ds_2 \cos(\alpha) \sin(\alpha)}{2 \cos(\alpha) + \sin(\alpha)} \quad (56)$$

where $dx = ds_2 \cos(\alpha)$, $dy = ds_2 \sin(\alpha)$, $ds = dx + dy + dz$, and so $dz = -\frac{2dx \cdot dy}{2dx + dy}$. As the equation is $dz = ds_2 \tan(\alpha + \theta)$, Eq. (56) becomes Eq. (56a).

$$\tan(\alpha + \theta) = -\frac{2 \cos(\alpha) \sin(\alpha)}{2 \cos(\alpha) + \sin(\alpha)} \quad (56a)$$

Hence, the equation of the angles becomes Eq. (56b) over Eq. (56a) in radiant,

$$\theta = \pi n - \alpha - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2 \cos(\alpha) \sin(\alpha)}{2 \cos(\alpha) + \sin(\alpha)} \right) \quad (56b)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By the other solution for $-\cot(\alpha + \theta) = \frac{1}{2 \cos(\alpha)} + \frac{1}{\sin(\alpha)}$ which is derived over Eq. (56a), θ becomes Eq. (56c) in radiant,

$$\theta = \pi n - \alpha + \cot^{-1} \left(\frac{-2 \csc(\alpha) - \sec(\alpha)}{2} \right) \quad (56c)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, \cot^{-1} is the inverse cotangent function. For both solution, the angles are not variable as the angles must be always fixed; so n integer is the same for both of them.

In the same manner, if Eq. (56) is put on $ds = dx + dy + dz$ equation which is conservation of mass equation, the equation becomes

$$0 = \sqrt{1 + K^2} + K - \sin(\alpha) - \cos(\alpha) \quad (57)$$

where $K = -\frac{2 \cos(\alpha) \sin(\alpha)}{\sin(\alpha) + 2 \cos(\alpha)}$, $ds = \sqrt{ds_2^2 + dz^2}$, $dx = ds_2 \cos(\alpha)$ and $dy = ds_2 \sin(\alpha)$. The roots of Eq. (57) is as follows in radiant,

$$\alpha = 2\pi n \quad (57a)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(4\pi n + \pi) \quad (57b)$$

$$\alpha = 2\pi n + 2 \tan^{-1}(2) \quad (57c)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. These roots cannot be equalized to each other as the result shall be undefined.

Hence if there is a circular motion, motion only can emerge by this way as there is no alternative, and a space wave is always perpendicular to emerging mass as resultant mass or velocity always has two perpendicular component according to a reference axis. It means that force and velocity are perpendicular to each other; because actually force never changes. Force is applied to a part of absolute space, and then space gets shape by emerging frequency, according to work done. There is only 1 and the same work for the entire universe. If force increases, it will be fixed at last, and then frequency will increase. Moving thing is mass always; but as force and mass are together, you can assume that force moved as well.

If the roots are multiplied by each other, the angles become as follows in radiant.

$$\alpha = (4n + 1) (2\pi^3 n^2 + 2\pi^2 n \tan^{-1}(2)) \quad (58)$$

$$\beta = \alpha + \theta = \pi n - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2 \cos(\alpha) \sin(\alpha)}{2 \cos(\alpha) + \sin(\alpha)} \right) \quad (59)$$

All right; but here, as the parametric function of the motion, for $t = \alpha$, α has no integer solution but $n = 0$; so α is able to get only both of Eq. (60a) and Eq. (60b) angles in radiant over Eq. (57b) and Eq. (57c), and the angle becomes Eq. (60) in radiants if they are multiplied by each other.

$$\alpha = \pi \tan^{-1}(2) \quad (60)$$

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad (60a)$$

$$\alpha_2 = 2 \tan^{-1}(2) \quad (60b)$$

For Eq. (60), θ becomes Eq. (61) in radiant.

$$\theta = -\pi \tan^{-1}(2) - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2 \cos(\pi \tan^{-1}(2)) \sin(\pi \tan^{-1}(2))}{2 \cos(\pi \tan^{-1}(2)) + \sin(\pi \tan^{-1}(2))} \right) \quad (61)$$

For these values, $\beta = \alpha + \theta$ becomes Eq. (62) in radiant.

$$\beta = -\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2 \cos(\pi \tan^{-1}(2)) \sin(\pi \tan^{-1}(2))}{2 \cos(\pi \tan^{-1}(2)) + \sin(\pi \tan^{-1}(2))} \right) \quad (62)$$

As parametric equation of motion, it becomes Eq. (63),

$$\begin{aligned} dx &= \cos(\alpha) \cos(\beta), \\ dy &= \sin(\alpha) \cos(\beta), \\ dz &= \sin(\beta). \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$




Fig. 8: Limited presentation of mass emergence by the parametric function.

where $\frac{dx}{ds_2} = \cos(\alpha)$, $\frac{dy}{ds_2} = \sin(\alpha)$, $\frac{dz}{ds} = \sin(\beta)$, $\frac{ds_2}{ds} = \cos(\beta)$ and $ds = 1$ over Eq. (65).

Over the defined elements, presentation turns into Fig. 8.

It is a limited presentation, and actually it will be incredibly denser. Its curve length will be millions of unit. I did not use more sample to be more clear of it.

All right; but an angle cannot be uncertain; because uncertain angle creates time and thus motion, mass and area beyond abstract mathematics as the end of the numbers after the comma never comes. This already means that there is a motion. I think according to the emerging frequency, there is a sliding for each round according to a reference threshold time. Even if it can be assumed that there did not emerge a motion for this round because of the smallest time, also it can be assumed that there is a motion. It cannot be ignored. During this sliding, the assumed fixed angle's number after the comma emerges I think; so n will be very close number to 0 even if it is an uncertain number. Frequency will complete the gap to 0; but first, we need the same frequency for to make n 0. After that we need the same frequency to turn it without disrupting the angle; so we need f^2 frequency to create matter. For this small angle and so time, matter will be counted as at two different place at the same time or will be counted as it does not moved as there will no time difference between each round.

Also being $n = t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the angles are in radiant, also the parametric function can be determined over Eq. (55a), Eq. (55b) and Eq. (55c) where $ds = 1$. There are always the same angles; but even so, t can be put instead of n where t is only integer; because even if α is not self inverse function, the inverse function of α can only change the appearance axis; so it does not differ that inverse function is used or not. We can analysis the shape or lengths over the main function as well. As also it can be seen, difference frequency creates different feature matter; so over $t = \alpha = (4t + 1)(2\pi^3 t^2 + 2\pi^2 t \tan^{-1}(2))$, it becomes Eq. (64).

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi^3 t^2 + 2\pi^2 t \tan^{-1}(2)} - 1 \right) \quad (64)$$

Here, upper limit of t must be very big number.

3.1.2 Space tension requirement

Additionally, over ds as required by conservation of mass; namely, the relation between α and ds becomes Eq. (65) over $ds = dx + dy + dz$ when dx , dy and dz from Eq. (55a), Eq. (55b) and Eq. (55c) are used on it,

$$ds = 1 \quad (65)$$

where $m = 1/v$ over it. Over Eq. (65), it becomes Eq. (66) when $ds = dx + dy + dz$ is used on Eq. (54) instead of 1.

$$-2dx \left(\frac{1}{dy} + \frac{1}{dz} \right) = dx + dy + dz \quad (66)$$

If it is edited, it becomes Eq. (66a),

$$-2dx \cdot dz - 2dx \cdot dy = dx \cdot dy \cdot dz + dy^2 \cdot dz + dy \cdot dz^2 \quad (66a)$$

after that becomes Eq. (66b),

$$dy(2dx + dx \cdot dz + dy \cdot dz + dz^2) = -2dx \cdot dz \quad (66b)$$

after that becomes Eq. (66c),

$$\frac{1}{dy} = -\frac{1}{dz} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{dy}{2dx} - \frac{dz}{2dx} \quad (66c)$$

If $\frac{1}{dz}$ is added to both of the sides, it becomes Eq. (66d),

$$-\frac{1}{2dx} = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{dy}{2dx} - \frac{dz}{2dx} \quad (66d)$$

where $\frac{1}{dy} + \frac{1}{dz} = -\frac{1}{2dx}$ over Eq. (54). If it is edited, it becomes Eq. (66e).

$$ds = dx + dy + dz \quad (66e)$$

It means that proving is successful, and momentum is always conserved; but if a mass moves on observational outer space, its mass and total energy shall increase even the formation speed is still the same and fixed at that time; so multiplication of mass and speed will not be the same for the fixed speed and increased mass; therefore as total momentum of the universe is always fixed, the universe is only able to allow to change in mass by space tension. Space can be bended. Already for $m = 1/v$ equation, it can be said that emerging mass is very small; but this mass is for 1 second. If the same work is done for a small threshold time value, mass will increase incredibly. As matter is slowing down from an infinite value as a part of infinity, it can be said that absolutely at the beginning of time, all the energy for 1 second must be stucked to the smallest time as required by conservation of energy; so at that time, frequency is $1/t^2$ where t is the smallest time as a threshold time value. It requires also a space tension as there is no alternative. At the beginning, matter was scanning all the universe by $1/t^2$ frequency for a fixed speed. This is the explanation of faster than light expansion. Hence, objects are able to go faster than light if the space drawn is more than magnitude of formation speed even if formation speed is fixed at that time.

An assumed mass particle which has m_t mass as threshold value forms m at a frequency; so over $m = 1/v$, it becomes $m_t \frac{v}{x} = m$ where x is distance taken and v is formation speed. If both of the sides are multiplied by m , it becomes Eq. (67),

$$x = m_t v^2 \quad (67)$$

where $m_t \frac{mv}{x} = m^2$, $m_t v^2 = E$ is total energy of m_t for 1 second, $mv = 1$ and $m^2 = 1/v^2$. This ratio and equation are always fixed; but if it is not a perfect circle, only values changes. As required by conservation of energy, at the beginning of time, all the energy which will emerge after the smallest time during 1 second must exist at the smallest time potentially; so square of the frequency is only used for the beginning of time, and it turns into Eq. (68).

$$x = v \sqrt{m_t v} \quad (68)$$

If the equation is $mv = 1$, then it means work done equation turns into Eq. (69),

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{v}{x} \quad (69)$$

where $Fx = mv^2$ and $mv = 1$. As it can be seen force is equal to frequency. It means that, force is application repeat. If this is the condition, it is interesting and actually is very compatible for conservation of energy that even if a particle's mass is interpretable as $m = m_t f$ where m is particle mass, m_t the basic mass and f is the frequency of the basic mass, this cannot emerge by this way. The basic mass is not free such that. For the same assumed basic formation mass particle, the equation becomes Eq. (70),

$$a = v \quad (70)$$

where $m_t v^2 = m_t a x$ and $x = vt$ for $t = 1$ second; but for the particles which the basic mass particle forms them, it turns into Eq. (71),

$$a = \frac{mv}{m_t} \quad (71)$$

where m is the formed mass by the assumed basic mass particle, $mv^2 = m_t a x$ and $x = vt$ for $t = 1$ second. It means that the basic mass gains more acceleration while forming particle. It does not repeat the same motion more in a limited area by the same acceleration to create a mass by creating more mass density in an unit of volume for changing radiuses at fixed speed. In the exact opposite, the basic mass which actually is named as photon is formed by a bigger acceleration, and is seen as particle.

Hence matter is always together with a space tension for any size motion, and speed can be independent of distance as it is relative. It means that matter is already in a jumping status. For a fixed speed, more distance can be taken by space tension by more energy or actually by more repeat as required by $mv = 1$ and to be frequency of force. This is only possible by an absolute space. When absolute space is be deformed, energy and frequency emerge until to be fixed. To produce more energy, just you need to deform more space by a bigger volume; so even if the emerging energy is dependent of

the force applied application speed, also is depended on how many space is used in volume. The same energy can be produced since work done is equal to kinetic energy by fast force application for a smaller space part or more space using instead of it by a smaller speed. The distance taken during these two different work can be the same even if the speed is different.

Already as required by $m = \frac{1}{v}$, if speed increases then mass decreases. This seems as paradox but it is not; because more speed is only possible in the smaller periods of time because of deceleration.

3.1.3 Expansion of universe

Handle L as distance taken which a gravitational F_G force can pull back an accelerated mass and lower its speed in the smallest t time as threshold time value. For $F_G L = \frac{m_t v^2}{t}$ where v is formation speed and mass is $m = \frac{m_t}{t}$ being m_t is threshold mass value, it becomes Eq. (72).

$$m_t = \frac{F_G t^2}{v} \quad (Kgs) \quad (72)$$

The mass is $m = \frac{m_t}{t}$; because all energy that universe has for 1 second must exist for the smallest time in the beginning of time potentially. All the formed energy during this 1 second could be stacked into this small time. Over Eq. (72),

$$t = \sqrt{\frac{m_t v}{F_G}} \quad (s) \quad (73)$$

The smallest length for this time becomes Eq. (74).

$$L = \sqrt{\frac{m_t v^3}{F_G}} \quad (m) \quad (74)$$

The mass stated the above as Eq. (72) is the mass which does not let light off in the smallest threshold time. This mass then has $m = \frac{F_G}{v}$ (Kgs) mass magnitude as it completes its motion for 1 second for the same radius. The force caused by the centrifugal acceleration emerging due to this mass becomes F_C . The distance taken is $x = a$ for 1 second; so let the distance taken to be a_C as centrifugal force acceleration and is also radius of the emerging universe without particle. A strong vacuum area emerges due to this force. Universe uses its own heat to work; thus also it loses heat during this work as well; thus space is always cold. As the light velocity made universe stable, it performs only the act of emergence, and the universe does not expand after the expansion for 1 second. As all actions can be performed as much as total energy of universe or used matter, based on the action performed in the direction of centrifugal acceleration, the mass emerging as a result of this action performed becomes Eq. (75),

$$m_U = \frac{F_C a_C}{v^2} \quad (Kgs) \quad (75)$$

where v is formation speed. The density of the universe also becomes Eq. (76),

$$d_U = \frac{F_C a_C}{V_U v^2} (Kgs/m^3) \quad (76)$$

where V_U volume of the universe; but the universe can only possess this density when all of the particles of the universe evaporated. As matter emerges over absolute space by infinite time differences, if it draws extra space during emergence as emergence and outer space motion are accepted together, it can go faster than formation speed relatively even if the speed is still fixed at that time. This is caused by space tension. The universe was able to expand faster than light for 1 second at the beginning of time by this way. All possible distances were taken in the smallest time and in the smallest singularity point as a reference.

As it can be seen, the universe has a center and the thing which seems as expanding is caused by the photons which create particles, and also by evaporations as the universe emerges due to centrifugal force as radius will change by evaporations in the other name vibration stop because of frictions with space since particles have no external energy. During the expansion, a potential difference can emerge along the space which are along the direction of centripetal acceleration because of the initial movement, and masses move by photons' momentum because of emerging photon friction with space. Matters' own creation motion which is momentum in the other name causes outer space movement by friction. Also this is gravity. Spooky action at a distance of Einstein [3] may also be caused by superposition of the universe which is because of $1/t^2$ frequency. As it does not differ for universe that is it a particle, the universe can touch all of them at the same time from the furthest existent distance.

A space contraction may be seen at some points of space because of the mass scatter as the universe emerges by centrifugal force. These points are denser. If we look at the information, it can be said that the waves intertwined together and they formed the subatomic particles, and then hydrogen by an ignored time difference; thus diffuse of particles is not so hard in the universe in 1 second. All of the work is together and is in 1 second. If many masses cross on the same point at the same time then space must be collapsed and then it must be swollen; thus new types of elements may be done by photon-photon collisions, and they don't have to be as in our scientific knowledge. Supercomputers will be saver to do it.

3.1.4 Length contraction

As matter can only exist as a density, if formation volume moves that means if mass moves, the existence increases in an unit of time, and it reduces the deviation for each round during outer space motion of mass. It is called as Lorentz contraction [4] or length contraction. The length contraction can only occur in one direction because of the resultant direction of movement since this is the nature of all motions.

For a static object namely has no observational outer space motion, which has m_0 mass magnitude, the length contraction becomes Eq. (77).

$$L = L_0 \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2} \right) \quad (77)$$

Eq. (77) for the objects which had been accelerated, and are moving at a speed of v . For acceleration work, Eq. (77) turns into Eq. (77a) for $\int L d_v$ where $v = ft/m_0$.

$$L = L_0 \left(v - \frac{v^3}{3c^2} \right) \quad (77a)$$

If acceleration work is done by $c < ft/m_0$ where c is the light speed, the method which was derived as Eq. (38) and Eq. (39) are used for Eq. (77a).




Fig. 9: Mass, length contraction and speed changing graphic from $m = m_0$ to $m = 2m_0$, and $L = L_0$ to $L = L_0/2$

These informations contradict with Michelson-Morley experiment [5] in entanglement which means prior emergence as the result of the deceleration from infinity since there cannot be an absolute emptiness without motion and energy; because the speed of light is the creation speed, and it is constant due to the limited universe; so it is independent of aether, free space for the status of this experiment. This case is the same for any moving matter in any density. The rays of moving objects are at a constant speed, only their energies are vary.

3.2 Gravity

As there is no mass without motion, for a constant speed which is the result of a limited work done for a limited universe, certainly mass moves on outer space when it is in a different density space. This motion emerges because of centrifugal force which is the result of a cosmic forcing which means constant speed work.

We know that denser objects moves against gravity in a smaller density; but what is the actual reason of this movement when we think gravity itself on free space. Think that there are 3 rest mass which emerge suddenly and have the same mass magnitude at different distances relatively to each

other. When a point mass which creates one of them by a circular motion, the space which it emerged over it becomes denser because of the others; because as there is no mass without area force, any mass can only be counted as a density; so one of them is counted as it is at the other's space by the distance. For a fixed speed, if a fixed magnitude assumed basic mass particle as a threshold value passes this space, the velocity it has must decrease and mass it has increase since it has a limited energy as required by conservation of energy and so by conservation of momentum; but as no part of the universe can be independent of the work done of the universe, the mass must get a fixed velocity, and for this condition velocity cannot decrease; so only mass increases. It means that, at that point which the point mass exists, the density shall increase naturally, and this increase can only be by a particle radius decrease. When the point mass increases by starting emergence on the denser space, emerging centrifugal force of the mass which the point mass creates it increases; so when the masses emerge at difference distances relatively to each other by the same magnitude, if the other two mass's density on the other one is the biggest the other one moves in the denser space way by emerging centrifugal force.

When the fixed point mass enters a denser area, actually it is waited for that a repulsion must emerge; but it cannot emerge; because space and wave are not independent of each other. Space turns into particle by wave, and particle turns into space in time constantly at a frequency; so for a fixed speed it is always forced to emerge as denser.

Energy transformations cannot be independent on the equation stated the above as Eq. (36); so, as mass of moving object increases, if a mass moves, then the upper limit of mass focus is as much as all mass of the universe; therefore during a gravitational attraction, also the upper limit is total mass of the universe as universe can do work as much as its total energy.

As required by uncertainty, there cannot be an absolute threshold value; so gravity is able to affect from existent the furthest distance at formation speed. If escape velocity is taken, it becomes Eq. (78) over $Fx - \int_0^x \frac{m_1 m_2 G}{(r+x)^2} dx = m_1 v^2$.

$$v = \sqrt{\frac{Fx}{m_1} - \frac{m_2 G x}{r(x+r)}} \quad (78)$$

The result becomes 0 if both of the sides are squared, and $m_1 v^2$ is put instead of Fx . The reason is conservation of energy and entanglement which means emerging with time differences. When the action is divided constantly forever by the parts which are equal to each other, the action performed by gravitation closes to all of the actions performed against gravitation, and this is an evidence for single factor of creation which is gravity. Escape velocity for the land vehicles moving on surface can be calculated with the required setting, f being gravitational force, based on $mv^2/r = f$. A constant state of performing action is required to conserve the velocity; otherwise, the accelerated mass first slows down and comes back even if it is from the existent furthestmost distant. Mass

and weight are the same thing. Matter has no absolute mass potential independent of gravity.

To be freed of gravitational field or escaping it is not possible.

Inference

The situation changes only when entered into the another gravitational field which will balance or defeat this situation and an action is performed against the first field temporarily; thus a fixed orbital is not possible. Even if masses, attraction and thus motion are reciprocal, objects get closer to heavier masses around which they rotate, first move away and after get closer or move away because of outer gravitational effects.

3.3 Vibration stopper and reducer densities in space

Matter able to work as much as its total energy as total energy means existence; so even if emergence space itself would not lose its energy until the day which the forcing which is done to create emergence area will be removed, particles which emerge over this space have to lose energy; because actually there is no difference between space and particles. Mass is denser space point, and as it has a second potential which is actually entire emergence area has it, it cannot exist forever. The work, which is done to create matter is only done to create free space.

Hence, as denser space will be stopper for a limited speed, for an object which cannot have another high or low speed will experience a friction with space. Even if there was only 1 particle which has not sub particles in space, it would experience friction with space as there cannot be an absolute zero rezistance which cause infinite speed for any magnitude force. This cause energy loss as particles have no external energy that as it was said, the work which is done to create matter is only done to create free space.

3.3.1 Event horizon

The most extreme densities of the universe are black holes. There is a limit to be a black hole, and after this limit, if it is not asked black hole's life time, any size black hole can emerge for any radius. In a black hole, even horizon's length becomes Eq. (79) over Eq. (79a) for the work done which is equal to photon's total energy,

$$x = \frac{r_b^2 c^2}{mG - r_b c^2} \quad (79)$$

where h is Planck constant, f is frequency of photon, m_b is black hole mass, r_b is the black hole's planetary radius.

$$\int_0^x \frac{(hf/c^2)m_b G}{(x+r_b)^2} dx = hf \quad (79a)$$

When event horizon length x becomes 0 on Eq. (79a), the result becomes Schwarzschild radius as Eq. (80) over Eq. (80a), with zero event horizon length,

$$r_b = \frac{m_b G}{c^2} \quad (80)$$

where $\int d_x = r_b$.

$$\int_0^x \frac{m_b G}{c^2 r_b^2} d_x = 1 \quad (80a)$$

Thereupon it verifies the kinetic energy correction which was explained the above. For motions, because of the creation at the fixed light speed, it can be said that light speed is the highest speed, and the lower is not possible as well. Observational outer space motion and formation motion which is the result of repeated emergence are always together. There is only 1 movement. Matter uses the same space at the same time for any act. The condition does not allow kinetic energy to be like $w = \int_0^v v d_{mv}$; because change amount of a mass at low speeds can be assumed as zero, and the speed always must be fixed and be the light speed; so these two conditions do not allow for integration separately, even if actually they are natural results for each other.

There is one more a kind of black hole which gravitational acceleration of $\frac{mG}{r^2}$ is equal to the formation speed of c . Over this equation, the black hole's radius becomes

$$r = \sqrt{\frac{mG}{c}} \quad (81)$$

Photon loses its energy at the end of 1 second; but this loss does not emerge suddenly.

When Eq. (80) is used on Eq. (79), then event horizon becomes infinite; but if Eq. (81) is used on Eq. (79), then an event horizon occurs, and it becomes Eq. (82). It starts to influence from planetary surface.

$$x = \frac{mcG}{mG - \sqrt{mc^3G}} \quad (82)$$

Also because of mv^2/r centrifugal force which is gotten by orbital objects light is included as well, escaping is possible even there will be a deformation amount in the escape time; because an uncertainty of the force line condition will emerge as gravity is calculated from center of masses even for planetary surface of black holes. Repulsion distance which is a result of centrifugal force becomes Eq. (83) over Eq. (83a).

$$x \geq \frac{mG}{c^2} - \sqrt{\frac{mG}{c}} \quad (83)$$

where r is Eq. (81) and x is Eq. (79). The equation must always be provided at least for $v = c$ equation.

$$\frac{mv^2}{r+x} \geq \frac{mm_b G}{(r+x)^2} \quad (83a)$$

This is an absolute mass repulsion even for light like a hyperbolic perfect mirror. For different x values which are not related with event horizon between $[0, x]$ interval, the repulsion can be seen within different times.

3.3.2 Visible light loss

Visible light loses its energy in gravitational field, actually other photons are included as well; so a dim occurs for an observational reference distance. If the same energy visible lights suddenly emerge near a planet at the same time, the closer one's loss amount will be more than the further emerging one for the same time; so even if we cannot know all the moves of the universe to determine a certain visible light event horizon, if it is assumed that they started from that place, it becomes Eq. (84) over Eq. (84a).

$$t = \frac{(f_1 - f_2)(r^3 - (r - ct)^3)c^4}{3f_1 m_p^2 G^2} \quad (84)$$

It is sloved by solving equation. It is a fall from f_1 frequency to f_2 .

$$\int_0^{ct} \frac{h(v_1 - v_2)(r - x)^2 c^4}{hv_1 m_p^2 G^2} dr = t \quad (84a)$$

Over Eq. (84), the visible light event horizon becomes Eq. (84b).

$$x = r - ct \quad (84b)$$

where c is the light speed, and r is the distance from center of the planet that black holes are included as well.

3.4 Conservation of information

An emptiness because of the reason of absolute energy deficiency is not possible as the reason of deceleration from an absolute energy; thus space allows matter to move and thus emerge at every point of space. This means that all emerging masses are a single mass. When turned back to the beginning of time, then it becomes more understandable; because as it can be seen, there is an emergence by turns and is a single work. This condition brings about emergence move around matter itself as required by the single universe mass; but also brings about a perfect entanglement as relativity warned by the reason of masses in an infinite number. Also it can be assumed that there are infinite numbers of masses instead of single mass by having the same time difference again.

Work can only be done in a time interval by the reason of uncertainty; thus a work is always done against a resistance for any magnitude energy absolute energy is included as well; so heat and heat differences which emerge because of the work done in a time interval by latency is always together with work. Hence it can be said that there is no kind of energy which cannot turn into mechanical energy as any energy has mass magnitude by E/c^2 (Kgs) mass. If this is the condition then universe must be the heat which is equal to its total energy, and the heat becomes more certain or uncertain according to the works which are done by using from total energy of universe.

Emergence velocity must be limited even if the light speed is not an emergence velocity because of limited uncertain universe, and it must be fixed at last; thus universe cannot be un-

stable and cannot have an amount of disorder; because nothing loses from the total energy of universe. The things which are unstable are masses at focal points of universe; thus universe can turn into a swimming pool without any wave by the reason of mass in another saying particle loss in the focal points of universe.

An absolute action threshold value for any action performed in works is not possible as the reason of one by one emergence as required by uncertainty since uncertainty is only able to exist by increasing or decreasing between absoluteness and absolute absence by its loss. This means that also each existent mass particle has an infinite number of mass particle; thus two different masses never intersect at the same mass magnitude at the same time. This means that it is not possible a flexible collision in universe, and information is conserved forever as any mutual vector annihilation will not occur. Even the existent smallest influence shall affect the existent biggest mass potential instantly, and make it move for any long distance if it is used.

Flexible collision is not possible in universe; in this way, information is conserved forever. There cannot be an action which is not in accordance with this.

Inference

If it was not such that, it would be meaningless to talk about conservation of information; because by an absolute existence, an absolute destruction would emerge. Absolute threshold values would change the course of events, and there would be left only information of strong. At the end, would be left the information of the strongest.

Existence of flexible collisions is only assumed as the amount is too small. Information gets smaller forever; but it never disappears. As to be absolute afterwards is not possible as a result of the requirement of a timeless worker before which was told about it the above, also it is not possible to be lost because of the requirement of to be informed by the infinite since anything is already element of infinity.

Information and matter must have the same building blocks as the requirement of to be information is having energy. All of information must be at the same place because of entanglement. Because of the requirement of slowing down from the infinite, matter must exist as spatial waves on the infinite as it is temporary and so as it cannot have an absolute part. Recovery of information is possible for any information type; but during the process, the information which is searched for and any information become the same at a point relatively for us; but not for the infinite.

As heat is single, also velocity is single for matter because of uncertainty and entanglement. Matter has been doing the same motion for the visible outer space motion which is different than the creation and the creation motion; so these two motions are accepted and emerge together; so it can be said that outer space and creation motions are accepted and emerge together, and matter uses the same space during any act. Also during motions, because of creation at the fixed light speed, it

can be said that light speed is the highest speed, and the lower is not possible as well. The condition does not allow kinetic energy to be like Eq. (85).

$$w = \int_0^v v \, dm_v \quad (85)$$

It is such that; because the change amount of a mass at low speeds can be assumed as zero, and already the speed always must be fixed and the light speed; thus these two conditions do not allow for integration separately even if actually they are natural results for each other. On the momentum equation stated the above, kinetic energy must be $Fx = mv^2$ for $x = vt$. It is not different than a simple multiplication. The requirement for $\frac{mv^2}{2}$ is the same force magnitude even in the smaller periods of time. Namely, the first and the assumed infinite small work must be $\frac{Ft}{s} = \frac{m_0 v_1}{s}$. The second work which is the closest to the first one is $\frac{Ft}{s} = \frac{(m_0 + 2m_0)v_2}{s}$ as magnitude of masses increase as much as used reference time; but the smallest slice of time being the same. If the final velocity v_f is taken from the equation for the other works, then it will be Eq. (86).

$$v_f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2Ft}{m_0 n(n+1)} \quad (86)$$

As the equation is $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+1)} = 1$, it turns into Eq. (87).

$$Ft = \frac{mv}{2} \quad (87)$$

Thereupon it will be Eq. (88),

$$Fx = \frac{mv^2}{2} \quad (88)$$

where $v = \frac{2Ft}{m}$, $F = \frac{mv}{2t}$, and since work done is $Fx = (mv/2t)(2Ft^2/m) = Ftv$, for $Ft = \frac{mv}{2}$. It is kinetic energy as work done is equal to kinetic energy. Also equation $F = ma$ must be $F = \frac{ma}{2}$ for the same rule. This condition is only possible for a single condition, if work done always has the same magnitude even for the smaller periods of time. There is no formulaic qualify.

4 Mathematics

I published some of them before; but I found some mistakes; so as I did some corrections and changings for some of them. Also I added some new informations and findings.

4.1 Prime numbers and P=NP problem

4.1.1 Multiplicity of prime numbers, and Floor function

All prime numbers except 2 are odd whole number which have no positive divisors other than 1 and itself.

Argument 1

All of odd numbers which are not prime numbers are numbers which have at least two multiplier whether prime or not, and multiplication in a way dependent on $f(x, y) = (2x + 1)(2y + 1)$ function whose variants are within the range of $[1, \infty)$. If it was required to organize and demonstrate it in a table, the table would be Table 1.

Table 1: Non-prime odd numbers

f(n)	6n+3	10n+5	14n+7	18n+9
6n+3	3x3	5x3	7x3	9x3
10n+5	3x5	5x5	7x5	9x5
14n+7	3x7	5x7	7x7	9x7
18n+9	3x9	5x9	7x9	9x9

There are the same rules vertically and horizontally. Each number in the table least has 1 odd whole number divisor; so the odd whole numbers which are not included in here are only prime numbers.

If prime numbers were finite, over Eq. (89),

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2x + 1}{(2x + 1)(2y + 1)} - 1 \right) \tag{89}$$

the result of the total operation of Eq. (89a)

$$\sum_{y=1}^{\infty} - \frac{2y}{2y + 1} \tag{89a}$$

would equal to 0 for each y value where assuming $2x + 1 = (2x + 1)(2y + 1)$ and $(2x + 1)(2y + 1)$ is non-prime odd numbers; however this operation never converges, and each result is different; so it can be maintained as

Prime numbers are infinitely many numbers together with the odd numbers which can be divided by another odd number.

Proposition 1

Now that prime numbers are infinitely many numbers; so number of prime numbers for any range determined, which odd whole number is the prime number has n order number; prime factors of any number determined and its multipliers or number of prime factors, and which odd whole numbers these multipliers are can be found out.

Argument 2

All positive whole numbers are between $(2n+1)^2$ and $(2n+3)^2$ according to Table 1; because if a number is given and finding out which column is the last column it exists is waited for, as columns change by this rule, also it can be said that Table 1 starts from perfect square belt. This belt is a reference for elimination; so for $b = [1, \infty)$, perfect square of each $a = 2b - 1$ positive odd whole number is $a^2 = 1 + 4b(b - 1)$. To

find out given number is which number's perfect square for floor value of square root of the given number, it is required to create a function which rounds down any fractional part to whole value. For any x/y operation based on the simple division rule of $yn + m = x$ where $r = x - yn - m$, Eq. (90) can be used.

$$\lfloor x/y \rfloor = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - (-1)^{2^2}}{2} \right) \tag{90}$$

where v is the given number, and $r = v - 1 - 4n(n - 1) - m$, and also the upper limit can be $(x - y)^2$ instead of infinite. The result is a s number, and it provides the maximum number of columns which will be studied for the number determined. Here, result of $2s + 1$ operation is the basic odd number which forms the last column.

When each $2n + 1$ number is used to divide given number v for $n = [1, s]$ interval where s is the result of the perfect square elimination over Eq. (90), it is enough to check v is prime or not; so to check a positive odd number is prime or not, s piece process shall be enough.

Argument 2.1

If both of first multipliers of given number were in the last column where s is total column number or order number, then given number only would able to be $(2s+1)^2$. This condition is only possible if number determined is a perfect square. If the first two multipliers were in any column or columns, after the last column, then the smallest number which can be emerge would be $(2s + 2)^2$. This is a bigger number than number determined itself; so at least one of the multipliers are only in column number s or in the columns before column number s .

4.1.2 Primality test for input number

As it is not suitable to check whether an even number is prime or not, a mathematical interpretation can be done to check v positive odd whole number is prime or not. When it becomes $d = 0$ for $d = v - ((2a + 1) \lfloor \frac{v}{2a+1} \rfloor)$ whole value element, $2a + 1$ positive odd whole number is aliquot divisor of v positive odd whole number; so v is not prime. In other conditions, it is not prime and when $2a + 1$ divisor is tested within $a = [1, s]$ range, v is prime if the status of $d \geq 1$ is conserved; so Eq. (91)

$$f(v) = \sum_{a=1}^s \frac{v(1 + (-1)^{2^d})}{2} \tag{91}$$

operation provides v number if v number is prime. Otherwise the result is 0.

4.1.3 Number of prime numbers in a range

Number of prime numbers between $[2, v]$ interval can be found out over Eq. (92),

$$2 + \sum_{x=2}^{(v-1)/2} \frac{1 + (-1)^{2^d}}{2} \tag{92}$$

where $v = 2x+1$ and $d = \sum_{a=1}^{x-1} \left(2x+1 - ((2a+1) \lfloor \frac{v}{2a+1} \rfloor) \right)$. If it becomes $d = 0$ for an a value, $2x + 1$ is not prime. Otherwise, it is assumed as just prime. Eq. (92) can be transformed for number of prime numbers within any range as well.

4.1.4 Prime number has n order number

For prime number which has n order number, within any range,

$$p = \sum_{y=2}^{\infty} \frac{y(1 - (-1)^{2^{m^2}})}{2} \tag{93}$$

Eq. (93) operation provides p which is the prime number has n order number over Eq. (93a), where Eq. (93a) is based over Eq. (93).

$$m = n - 2 - \sum_{x=2}^y \frac{1 + (-1)^{2^d}}{2} \tag{93a}$$

4.1.5 Number of prime factors

The odd numbers which are tried till the main number of the last column will not be enough for the operation of finding prime factor; because this operation necessary for finding out one of the prime factors, and the other multiplier can be exist after the last column; therefore, to find out number of prime factor, all exponents of the main numbers which forms columns must be divided by the number determined in a way it does not exceed the number determined, and checked again.

d operation for full value of $v/(2a + 1)^n$ must be $d = v - ((2a + 1)^n \lfloor \frac{v}{(2a+1)^n} \rfloor)$ over the greatest integer function of Eq. (90); so Eq. (94) calculates how many exponents of $2a + 1$ numbers for each a value are aliquot divisors of v for each number determined.

$$t = v - \frac{v}{(2a + 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - (-1)^{2^d}}{2}} \tag{94}$$

After that, when v is divided, left is prime factor itself, and when it is subtracted from v again, if the result is equal to 0, then $2a + 1$ number is not a multiplier. If the result is bigger than 0, then it is a prime factor;

$$f(v) = \sum_{a=1}^s \frac{1 + (-1)^{2^f}}{2} \tag{95}$$

so, Eq. (95) provides number of prime factor for v which is the positive odd whole number determined. If the result is still 0, v is a prime number.

4.1.6 A brief proof for P=NP status

They are not an evidence to the impossible status of $P = NP$; however as all prime numbers are certainly odd number, and are between two consecutive odd number which are the

multiples of 3, it can be assumed that there are only odd multiples of 3 by $6x + 3$ rule are in set of all odd numbers, and the odd numbers between two odd multiples of 3 can be assumed as prime. This increases number of prime numbers, and also reduces possibility of multipliers to be odd whole number which can be divided, and so reduces number of non-prime factors. After that if it was assumed that, prime numbers are in the place of odd multiples of 3, and the numbers inbetween positive odd whole numbers which can be divided, then separation rule of primes would be $\frac{x-3}{6}$, and the numbers inbetween would not be important; because there is now a function providing separation of primes, and it is now known where are prime factors sought. The result of this equation would be checked to understand whether a number is prime or not when this has happened. If the result is a whole number, then the number is prime and if is not, it is not prime. If the number is not a prime, at least $\lfloor \frac{x-3}{6} \rfloor$ operations would have to be done to find out prime factors; thus a status of $P = NP$ seems not possible over prime numbers; so it can be maintained that

State of P=NP is only dream.

Proposition 2

Only 1 evidence is enough. I am of the opinion that this is the certain evidence.

4.1.7 Appendix

Number of prime numbers up to input number

An operator like Eq. (96) where $s = \frac{v-9}{6} + 1$, 9 is the first number and 6 is the amount of increase, shall give s which is the total column number for the input number of v , when the result is rounded down for the numbers after the comma if the result is decimal. It is rounded down; because otherwise the next column will be included.

$$s = \left\lfloor \frac{v - 3}{6} \right\rfloor \tag{96}$$

When column number is determined, after that number of non-prime numbers is determined up to the last column s or the total column number of s . After that there will be a final operation which is to determine and subtract mutual ones' number from number of non-prime numbers.

As the table's rule is $f(x, y) = (2x+1)(2y+1)$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x, y > 0$, for an input number v , number of non-prime number up to the last column the last column is included as well is Eq. (97) or Eq. (97a),

$$\sum_{x=1}^s \left\lfloor \frac{v}{4x+2} \right\rfloor - \frac{1}{2} \tag{97}$$

$$\left\lfloor -\frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor + \sum_{x=1}^s \left\lfloor \frac{v}{4x+2} \right\rfloor \tag{97a}$$

where $\sum_{x=1}^s \left\lfloor \frac{v-2x-1}{4x+2} \right\rfloor$ over Eq. (96).

Now, the mutual ones are subtracted from Eq. (97) or Eq. (97a). As each $2a + 1$ number for $a : [1, s]$ interval will give main number of each column which has a order number, we will subtract from 3 each multiples of the numbers from 5 to s ; from 5, each multiples of the numbers from 7 to s etc. For example for 3 which is the main number of the first column, we must know how many multiples of 3 exist in the first column. To find out this we must use Eq. (97) up to $s = 1$, and let naming this multiple number as x_1 . After that the first column's separation rule as $6x_1 + 3$ is equalized to separation rule of 5 as $10x_2 + 5$, to 7 as $14x_2 + 7$ and to 9, as $18x_2 + 9$ etc. Hence, the rule turns into Eq. (98),

$$\sum_{n=1}^s \left(\sum_{x=1}^{s-n+1} x_2 \right) \tag{98}$$

where

$$x_2 = \left\lfloor \frac{(2n + 1)x_1 - x}{2x + 2n + 1} \right\rfloor \tag{98a}$$

$$x_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{v - (2n + 1)}{4n + 2} \right\rfloor \tag{98b}$$

Hence, number of prime number becomes Eq. (99) for an input number of v if 2,3,5 and 7 are included as well.

$$p = 4 + \left\lfloor -\frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor + \sum_{x=1}^s \left\lfloor \frac{v}{4x + 2} \right\rfloor - \sum_{n=1}^s \left(\sum_{x=1}^{s-n+1} x_2 \right) \tag{99}$$

Here, if it is certainly known that the input number is a prime number, then as the input prime shall directly become the last prime for number of total prime number, also order number of the input prime in prime numbers shall be found out directly by this way.

If the prime number has m order number is required, p operation is repeated up to $m - p$ becomes 0; so repeat number shall directly be equal to order number of m . To realize this, an operator like Eq. (100) can be used as also it was used before for the above stated prime functions.

$$p_m = \sum_{w=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(1 - (-1)^{2w^2})}{2} \tag{100}$$

Here, when u becomes 0, the operator shall give 1; otherwise for any positive or negative integer it always shall give 0; so $w = v$ as the input number and $u = m - p$ are used to realize this; but as 2,3,5 and 7 were included, the input number must be bigger than 7.

Integer floor function 2-3

For a/b operation where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, when the result of $a - bn$ is positive integer for some n positive integers, an equation must give +1 result in a sum operator. If the result is negative, the same equation must give 0 result in the same sum operator to find integer floor value of the division; so in Eq. (101) when

$a - bm$ operation becomes negative, $f(z)$ must become an odd number, and otherwise must be an even number.

$$\lfloor a/b \rfloor = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + (-1)^{f(z)}}{2} \tag{101}$$

Handle an operation like $x(2x + 1)$. If an order is made from small number to larger for all positive and negative x values where $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, the number which has n order number becomes Eq. (102).

$$N = \sum_{n=1}^n n - 1 \tag{102}$$

For this condition, N numbers which have even order number in the emerging order are formed by negative x values; so N numbers which have odd order number in the emerging order are formed by positive x values.

To find out order number of the last $x(2x + 1) = N_n$ number for the input number of x where n is the order number, we need a reference. For example, we must always use either the previous number as N_{n-1} or the next one as N_{n+1} ; because if an addition is made by emerging N numbers by $N_m + N_{n-m+1}$ being n is the last order number and $m > 0$, the difference also emerges by some consecutive odd numbers but some special conditions; so we need to know $(N_n + N_1) - (N_{n-1} + N_2)$; but each N number which has different order number is formed by different number; so even $x_1 = x(2x + 1)$ will give the last N , $x_2 = -x(-2x + 1)$ does not always give only the previous one or only the next one for the same x . This condition changes due to the mark of x on x_1 and x_2 ; so the order slides due to mark, and again a problem occurs; so to determine which one is the last one, again we must determine a rule between positive and negative numbers that the main aim was already this to do these calculations.

Floor function over the variations directly

Right this point, if order number of positive and negative odd integers are analysed over only either by $\frac{r+1}{2}$ which is order number formula of positive odd integers or $\frac{1-r}{2}$ which is order number of negative integers that handle $\frac{r+1}{2}$ is used, it seems that order number becomes odd number as the same r odd number's the other marked twin shall have even number order number; so

$$e = \left\lfloor \frac{c + 1}{2} \right\rfloor$$

$$f = \left\lfloor \frac{d + 1}{2} \right\rfloor$$

will be the same number but the mark where $-y = 2g - 1$ $y = 2h - 1$, $-y - g = c$ and $y - h = d$; so over $y - \frac{y+1}{2}$ which is the single function of c and d over $y = 2h - 1$ and $-y = 2g - 1$, over Eq. (103a),

$$f(y) = \frac{y + 1 - 2(-1)^{\frac{y-1}{2}}}{4} \tag{103}$$

$$f(y) = \frac{\frac{y-1}{2}}{2} + \frac{1 - (-1)^{\frac{y-1}{2}}}{2} \tag{103a}$$

Eq. (103) shall provide this condition alone; but emerging results by an order is 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3... To be of it as 1, 2, 3, 4, 5..., if an order is created by doing an addition for each consecutive $f(y)$ and $f(y + 2)$, required addition for the ordered $f(y)$ result is 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4... by order; so by using a function like $f(t) = \frac{3+(-1)^t}{2}$ which gives 2 for each even k number, and gives 1 for each k odd number where $k = f(y) + f(y + 2)$,

$$f(k) = \frac{k + \frac{3+(-1)^k}{2}}{2} \tag{103b}$$

Eq. (103b) shall give the required number which will be added by order, and the result of $f(y)$ turns into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5... as it is required as well; so for each $\pm y$ even number, the order number turns into a positive odd number's order number by $f(r) = \frac{r+1}{2}$. The emerging sliding for the same oder function by using both variation of the same number as positive and negative is removed by this way.

Hence over $y \cdot f(k)$, a function which is equal to $y \cdot f(k)$ for positive y odd numbers as $f^2(k) + y - 1$ is also not equal to $y \cdot f(k)$ for the same number's negative variation; an operation like M is always even if y is negative, and is always odd if y is positive.

$$M = \frac{y \cdot f(k) + f^2(k) + y - 1}{2} \tag{103c}$$

Hence, one variation of the floor function turns into Eq. (104),

$$\lfloor a/b \rfloor = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - (-1)^M}{2} \tag{104}$$

where $y = 2(a - bm) + 1$. The upper limit for the sum can be for example $(a - b)^2$ instead of infinity as a reference.

The other variation of the floor function

To derive the previous N number by an input x , for $+x$, a function must give $-x$ result, and for $-x$ the same function must give $-x - 1$. Temporarily, let assuming these results are provided by $f(u)$.

For $N_m + N_{n-m+1}$ addition being n is the last order number and $m > 0$, as we only use the last N and the previous one, $N_1 = 0$ and $N_2 = 1$ are always fixed. As the difference between two consecutive N number is always integers by order, for the fixed N numbers, the addition of the last one as $N_n + N_1$ will be even number when the previous addition as $N_{n-1} + N_2$ becomes odd; otherwise the condition is the exact opposite. When $d = x(2x + 1) + 0 - (f(u)(2f(u) + 1) + 1)$ becomes even number, there is no middle point number as single in the new emerging set if you assume that $N_m + N_{n-m+1}$ is done for each

element; but otherwise, there is a single middle point number that the addition is done by itself; so if the difference d is an even number, the last N number's order number becomes $n = d + 2$. If the difference d is an odd number, the last N number's order number becomes $n = d + 3$. To write this in a single function, it becomes

$$n = d + 2 + \frac{1 - (-1)^d}{2} \tag{105}$$

As $f(u)$ function, the function must provide that for negative x values -1 is added to $-x$, otherwise 0 is added to $-x$. For this condition, it turns into Eq. (105a) where M is Eq. (103c).

$$f(u) = -x + \frac{-(-1)^M - 1}{2} \tag{105a}$$

where $x = y$ in Eq. (103c).

Hence as order of $x(2x + 1)$ where $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, is due to from small to larger number by order, and as it starts by 0 which is formed by positive integer, if n which is the order number in emerging N set by this rule, becomes an even number, it means x is negative; otherwise x is positive; so the floor function turns into Eq. (106) over Eq. (101) for the required function on Eq. (101).

$$\lfloor a/b \rfloor = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + (-1)^n}{2} \tag{106}$$

where $x = a - bm$

Integer floor function 4

$$\lfloor a/b \rfloor = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - (-1)^2 \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \right)^2}{2} \tag{107}$$

where $x_0 = a - bm$ and $x_n = \frac{2x_{n-1} - 1 + (-1)^{x_{n-1}}}{4}$. The upper limit for both of the product and the sum can be for example $(a - b)^2$ instead of infinity as a reference.

Integer floor function 5

Any odd number increases only by Eq. (108) for its even multiples,

$$f_1(x, y) = (4y + 2)x \tag{108}$$

and only increases by Eq. (109) for its odd multiples.

$$f_2(x, y) = (4y + 2)x - (2y + 1) \tag{109}$$

It is such; because for an equation like Eq. (110)

$$2y = 2x^2 + 2x - 1 \tag{110}$$

over $(2x + 1) + 2y = (x + 1)(2x + 1)$, as $2x^2 + 2x$ is always an even number, it can be said that there is no consecutive multiples of the same odd number for the functions in itself as even after odd or odd after even, as numbers increase by 2. There is no intersection point between Eq. (108) and Eq. (109).

To find out number of an odd number up to n number, without decimal by a floor function, the equations become Eq. (111) and Eq. (112),

$$x_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2(2y+1)} \right\rfloor \tag{111}$$

$$x_2 = \left\lfloor \frac{n+2y+1}{2(2y+1)} \right\rfloor \tag{112}$$

where n is the input number. Here, the main problem is validation of Eq. (113) or Eq. (114) if the first operation is $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ or $\lfloor \frac{n+2y+1}{2} \rfloor$.

$$x_1 = \left\lfloor \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \cdot \frac{1}{2y+1} \right\rfloor \tag{113}$$

$$x_2 = \left\lfloor \left\lfloor \frac{n+2y+1}{2} \right\rfloor \cdot \frac{1}{2y+1} \right\rfloor \tag{114}$$

For real value of $\frac{n}{2}$, over Eq. (115a), it becomes Eq. (115).

$$n = 8y + 4 \tag{115}$$

$$1 = \frac{\frac{n}{2} - (2y+1)}{2y+1} \tag{115a}$$

Here Eq. (115a) is decimal approach. As it can be seen over Eq. (115) which is the result of Eq. (115a), there is no integer interval for the y numbers which are integer. As n and y take certain values, there shall not emerge a skipping; so there is no difference between $\lfloor \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \cdot \frac{1}{2y+1} \rfloor$ and $\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \cdot \lfloor \frac{n}{2y+1} \rfloor \rfloor$ for operation priority.

In the same manner, the equation becomes Eq. (116) for $\lfloor \frac{n+2y+1}{2} \rfloor$ over Eq. (116a); so the same is acceptable.

$$n = 6y + 3 \tag{116}$$

$$1 = \frac{\frac{n+2y+1}{2} - (2y+1)}{2y+1} \tag{116a}$$

Hence, the equation turns into Eq. (117),

$$x_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{n_{1x_1}}{2y+1} \right\rfloor \tag{117}$$

where $n_{1x_1} = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. This turned into a single odd number's separation by its all multiples even multiples are included as well; because actual question is how many $(2y+1)$ odd number there are between 0 and n , before deriving Eq. (111) and Eq. (112); so it is depended on $\lfloor \frac{n}{2y+1} \rfloor$ since the actual equation is Eq. (118).

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2y+1} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2(2y+1)} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n+2y+1}{2(2y+1)} \right\rfloor \tag{118}$$

Hence again it can be used as Eq. (119) and Eq. (120) for the same y number.

$$x_{1_1} = \left\lfloor \frac{n_{1x_1}}{2(2y+1)} \right\rfloor \tag{119}$$

$$x_{1_2} = \left\lfloor \frac{n_{1x_1} + 2y + 1}{2(2y+1)} \right\rfloor \tag{120}$$

The same is acceptable for Eq. (112),

$$x_{2_1} = \left\lfloor \frac{n_{2x_2} + 2y + 1}{2(2y+1)} \right\rfloor \tag{121}$$

$$x_{2_2} = \left\lfloor \frac{n_{2x_2} + 4y + 2}{2(2y+1)} \right\rfloor \tag{122}$$

where $n_{2x_2} = \lfloor \frac{n+2y+1}{2} \rfloor$; so for both Eq. (111) and Eq. (112), a continuous chain rule emerges up to infinite.

The above stated informations are valid even for even numbers. I wanted to show it over odd numbers; because it is important to see divisibility condition of 2 in a floor function. Hence for any kind positive integer numerator and denominator, the main equation turns into Eq. (123) by the presentation of Eq. (123a).

$$\left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{a}{2b} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{a+b}{2b} \right\rfloor \tag{123}$$

$$\lfloor d \rfloor = \lfloor e \rfloor + \lfloor f \rfloor \tag{123a}$$

Over Eq. (123), the first process for the equation becomes Eq. (123b) by the presentation of Eq. (123c),

$$\left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor = \left(\left\lfloor \frac{a_1}{2b} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{a_1+b}{2b} \right\rfloor \right) + \left(\left\lfloor \frac{a_2}{2b} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{a_2+b}{2b} \right\rfloor \right) \tag{123b}$$

$$\lfloor d \rfloor = (\lfloor e_1 \rfloor + \lfloor e_2 \rfloor) + (\lfloor f_1 \rfloor + \lfloor f_2 \rfloor) \tag{123c}$$

where $a_1 = \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor$. Here, if the right side of the equation is multiplied by 2, it turns into Eq. (123d).

$$2 \lfloor d \rfloor = 2(\lfloor e_1 \rfloor + \lfloor e_2 \rfloor) + 2(\lfloor f_1 \rfloor + \lfloor f_2 \rfloor) \tag{123d}$$

Here, e_1 and f_1 were divided by 2 in floor function; so how we can write the right relation between $2 \lfloor \frac{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor}{2b} \rfloor$ and $\lfloor \frac{a}{2b} \rfloor$, this is the problem; because when this is done, we can also write the equation in the kind of $\lfloor e_2 \rfloor$ and $\lfloor f_2 \rfloor$; so for an operation like $\lfloor \frac{u}{t} \rfloor$, the equation is Eq. (124),

$$2 \left\lfloor \frac{\lfloor u/2 \rfloor}{t} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{u}{t} \right\rfloor \tag{124}$$

if it is $\lfloor \frac{u}{2} \rfloor = t$ or $\lfloor \frac{u}{2} \rfloor > t$ by whole multiples of t . For the last option as $\lfloor \frac{u}{2} \rfloor < t$ also it is still valid; but due to be odd or even integer of u , as $2 \lfloor \frac{\lfloor u/2 \rfloor}{t} \rfloor$ is always even number, when

$\lfloor \frac{u}{t} \rfloor$ becomes odd number the equation does not work. Hence, the right interpretation is as Eq. (125),

$$2 \left\lfloor \frac{\lfloor u/2 \rfloor}{t} \right\rfloor + \frac{1 - (-1)^{\lfloor u/2 \rfloor}}{2} = \left\lfloor \frac{u}{t} \right\rfloor \tag{125}$$

where $\lfloor \frac{u}{2} \rfloor = \frac{u}{2} - \frac{1 - (-1)^u}{4}$. Right this point, it turns into Eq. (126),

$$\lfloor e_1 \rfloor = \frac{\lfloor e \rfloor - k}{2} \tag{126}$$

where $k = \frac{1 - (-1)^{\lfloor u/2 \rfloor}}{2}$. Hence, also Eq. (123d) includes $\lfloor e \rfloor + \lfloor f \rfloor$ instead of $\lfloor e_1 \rfloor + \lfloor f_1 \rfloor$, and it turns into Eq. (127).

$$2 \lfloor d \rfloor = (\lfloor e \rfloor - k + 2 \lfloor e_2 \rfloor) + (\lfloor f \rfloor - m + 2 \lfloor f_2 \rfloor) \tag{127}$$

where $m = \frac{1 - (-1)^{\lfloor (a+b)/2 \rfloor}}{2}$. It means that the equation is Eq. (128).

$$\lfloor d \rfloor = \lfloor e \rfloor + \lfloor f \rfloor = 2(\lfloor e_2 \rfloor + \lfloor f_2 \rfloor) - k - m \tag{128}$$

All right; but this is not enough; because we cannot know upper floor values in emerging infinite chain. We must know which chain lines include only whole multiples. Being these are the numbers like $e_1 = x + \frac{1}{2}$, $f_1 = y + \frac{1}{2}$ and thus $f_2 = f_1 + \frac{1}{2}$ for the worst possibility, the equation becomes Eq. (129),

$$\lfloor d \rfloor = \lfloor e_1 + f_2 \rfloor + \lfloor e_2 + f_1 \rfloor \tag{129}$$

where $\lfloor e_1 \rfloor + \lfloor f_2 \rfloor = \lfloor e_1 + f_2 \rfloor$, and as the same is acceptable for $\lfloor e_2 \rfloor + \lfloor f_1 \rfloor = \lfloor e_2 + f_1 \rfloor$ also this is a certain rule which is always valid. It means that, for the chain operation which will emerge by the same method, it is possible to use only one double element from both side of e and f for any multitude elements.

For the first process of a floor function as $\lfloor e \rfloor + \lfloor f \rfloor$, if a table is made by emerging chain operations, being E is even multiples side and O is odd multiples side, the table turns into Table 2 by using middle elements of the emerging chain triangle by the same rule of Eq. (123b) when it is sustained.

	E	O	
	e	f	
.	f ₂	e ₂	.
.	f _a	e _a	.
.	f _b	e _b	.
.	f _c	e _c	.
.	f _d	e _d	.

Table 2: Double middle groups of e and f

The used numbers are only subordinate neighbors of $\lfloor f_2 + e_2 \rfloor$. Here as it can be seen, the condition turns into the exact opposite; because even column started to include odd

multiple formula, and odd column also includes even multiple formula. O column certainly shall become 0 on a line, and after that it will be always 0. In the same manner, the other column shall be $2b$ on a line, and after that, it will always include $2b$. As we cannot know floor value of the higher elements of the table, we must know when sum of $E + O$ starts to repeat on each line by the same values to infinity. At this repeat point, it means there is 1 whole value; so if we can find out when the middle sum becomes whole multiple of the denominator certainly by the input values as denominator and numerator, we can use the process number up to this line. To determine this, we must always dive f by 2 down to 0 for floor value; so

$$n = 1 + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 + (-1)^{2^{s+1}}}{2} \tag{130}$$

where $f_{s+1} = \frac{2f_s - 1 + (-1)^{f_s}}{4}$ and $f_0 = f$. This is the process number which is required to make f number 0 by diving only by 2 for each operation's floor value.

At the line which the repeat starts, there is absolutely 1 whole value for each column of both column as numerator and denominator are equal to each other. Process number is used to determine whole value right this point. The first equation is $\frac{\lfloor d \rfloor + k + m}{2} = \lfloor e_2 \rfloor + \lfloor f_2 \rfloor$, and it converges to the last e - f double by

$$\frac{\frac{d + k_1 + m_1}{2} + k_2 + m_2}{2} + k_3 + m_3 + \dots$$

Hence the floor function becomes Eq. (131) being n is the process number.

$$\left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor = 2^n (e_n + f_n) - \sum_{n=1}^n 2^{n-1} (k_n + m_n) \tag{131}$$

By the way, here actually each one of the last e and f values are 1 if the numerator is not smaller than the denominator. Also actually always second e and f values are taken; but as the other doubles are removed, we can assume that they are the first ones. Also to find out each k_n and m_n ,

$$k_n = \sum_{n=1}^n \frac{1 - (-1)^{w_{n-1}}}{2} \tag{131a}$$

where $w_n = \lfloor \frac{w_{n-1} + 3}{2} \rfloor = \frac{2(w_{n-1} + 3) - 1 + (-1)^{w_{n-1} + 3}}{4}$, and $w_0 = \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor = \frac{2a - 1 + (-1)^a}{4}$.

$$m_n = \sum_{n=1}^n \frac{1 - (-1)^{z_{n-1}}}{2} \tag{131b}$$

where $z_n = \lfloor \frac{z_{n-1} + 3}{2} \rfloor = \frac{2(z_{n-1} + 3) - 1 + (-1)^{z_{n-1} + 3}}{4}$ and $z_0 = \lfloor \frac{a+b}{2} \rfloor = \frac{2(a+b) - 1 + (-1)^{a+b}}{4}$.

4.2 On the Mersenne and Fermat primes

4.2.1 Mersenne primes

Mersenne primes, which are depended on Eq. (132) does not give always prime result.

$$2^{p_1} - 1 = p_2 \tag{132}$$

If Eq. (132) is turned into Eq. (132a),

$$2 = \sqrt[p_1]{p_2 + 1} \tag{132a}$$

then $(p_2 + 1)$ always must be a number like 2^n . If this is the condition, then for $p_2 = 2^n - 1$ where $n \geq 1$ positive integers, the result always must be prime number.

$2^n - 1$ is always a positive odd number and is always prime number, where $\{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid 0 < n\}$.

Argument

Let using a function like $f(x) = 10x + 3$. If there were infinite number of intersection points of $2^n - 1$ with $f(x)$, then $2^n - 1$ would not always become a prime number. For $2^n - 1 = 10x + 3$ equation, it turns into Eq. (133).

$$x = \frac{2^{n-1} - 2}{5} \tag{133}$$

For some $n > 2$ positive integers forever, Eq. (133) gives x integers forever as 5 or multiples of 5 when 2^{n-1} had 2 in the first digit as 2^{n-1} has a constant repeat as 2^{n-1} can only be formed by 2. If this is the condition, then it explains that Mersenne prime function doesn't give always prime results for given variable primes.

4.2.2 Fermat primes

$2^n + 1$ is always a positive odd number and is always prime number, where $\{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid 0 < n\}$.

Argument

In the same manner, let using a function like $f(x) = 10x + 5$. For $2^n + 1 = 10x + 5$ equation, it turns into Eq. (134)

$$x = \frac{2^n - 2}{5} \tag{134}$$

Eq. (134) has the same result with Eq. (133); so it can be said that Fermat prime function which is depended on Eq. (134a) does not always give primes where $2^{2^m} = 2^k$.

$$p = 2^{2^m} + 1 \tag{134a}$$

As the result, as Mersenne and Fermat prime functions don't give always prime result consecutively; so they may give prime results by variable intervals forever.

4.2.3 A common solution

As any prime number is between two odd positive consecutive multiples of 3, let using $f(x) = 6x + 5$ function which is always between two positive odd multiples of 3, where $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid 0 < x\}$, and is always the next one relatively to the smaller multiple of two consecutive multiples of 3. For $2^a - 1 = 6x + 5$ equation, it turns into Eq. (135).

$$x = \frac{2^{a-1}}{3} + 1 \tag{135}$$

Here, as 2^{a-1} is only be formed by 2, it is not dividable by 3; so x on Eq. (135) is always decimal, and 2^{a-1} can never exist as the next odd number after 3. Also as non-prime numbers or prime numbers cannot only exist alone as the previous odd number just before of 3 or after 3 as the next one consecutively as we can see on Eq. (137) and Eq. (137a), Mersenne function always may give some prime results forever as well. $f(x) = 6x + 7$ function is always between two positive odd multiples of 3 for positive x numbers and is always the previous one. For $2^b + 1 = 6x + 7$, it turns into Eq. (135a),

$$x = \frac{2^{b-1}}{3} - 1 \tag{135a}$$

and as 2^{b-1} is only formed by 2, it is not dividable by 3; so x on Eq. (135a) is always decimal and $2^b + 1$ can never exist as the previous odd number before 3. Also as non-prime numbers or prime numbers cannot only exist alone as the previous odd number just before 3 or after 3 as the next one consecutively as we can see on Eq. (137) and Eq. (137a), Fermat function always may give some prime results forever as well.

Even if Fermat and Mersenne prime functions certainly do not give prime results always, we cannot know if they give non-prime result. This was proved in Section 4.5.

Notice

4.3 Palindromic primes

Are there infinitely many palindromic primes?

Argument

4.3.1 Analysis of first degree function possibility of prime numbers.

As any odd number is between two consecutive odd multiples of 3 that these multiples are dependen on $f(x) = 6x + 3$ function, then also prime numbers are certainly between them. For seperation rule of 7 by $f(a) = 14a + 7$ and 5 by $f(b) = 10b + 5$ in odd numbers, if intersection points of $f(a)$ and $f(b)$ constantly appeared as only the previous one or only as the next one of odd multiples of 3, then an uncertainty would emerge about first degree function possibility of prime

numbers by certain intervals. For $14a + 7 = 10b + 5$ equation, it becomes Eq. (136)

$$b = \frac{7a + 1}{5} \tag{136}$$

Hence, when $7a+1$ becomes 0 or 5 for its first digit, b becomes an integer and also an intersection point; so for this condition, a directly becomes depended on $f(c) = 5c - 3$ function. Each c positive integer gives a result an integer to make b an integer, and to create an intersection point between multiples of 5 and 7. For each c integer value, if the emerging intersection point is always the previous or the next one relatively to odd multiples of 3, then an uncertainty shall emerge about first degree function possibility of prime numbers by certain intervals; so to analysis this, for the function $f(d) = 6d + 5$ which gives the next odd numbers after multiples of 3, over $f(b)$ which is $f(b) = 10(\frac{7f(c)+1}{5}) + 5$, it becomes Eq. (136a) for $f(b) = f(d)$ equation.

$$d = \frac{35c - 20}{3} \tag{136a}$$

Hence, it can be said that d is not defined for each c consecutive positive integer; so the intersection point of 5 and 7 in odd numbers sometimes appear the place after multiples of 3, and sometimes appear before; so as there are only two odd integer place between two consecutive odd multiples of 3, if it is derived a first degree function which always only able to appear before or after multiples of 3 cannot be prime number.

4.3.2 The solution

All the positive odd numbers before odd multiples of 3 were non-prime numbers, then as all positive odd numbers are between two consecutive odd multiples of 3 and there are two odd numbers between them, all the positive odd numbers after odd multiples of 3 would have to be prime number; but it is not possible as there shall always multiples of 7 as far as we can see over Eq. (137a), and also because of first degree possibility of prime numbers as the below.

Hence, for example by using positive integers for between two consecutive positive odd multiples of 3, $f(x) = 6x + 5$ function is always between these two positive odd multiples of 3, where $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid 0 < x\}$, and is always the next one. If we use the separation rule of 5, then for $6x + 5 = 10y - 5$ equation, it turns into Eq. (137)

$$y = \frac{3x}{5} + 1 \tag{137}$$

For positive integer u numbers and $x = 5u$ equation, x on Eq. (137) shall always form y as an integer; so there are always some positive odd multiples of 5 at the next positions of positive odd multiples of 3. For the second and last situation, $f(x) = 6x + 7$ gives the previous odd numbers of odd multiples of 3. If this is the condition, then by using

$6x + 7 = 14x - 7$ equation as odd multiples of 7 which is depended on $f(y) = 14y - 7$ function, it turns into Eq. (137a).

$$y = \frac{3x}{7} + 1 \tag{137a}$$

For positive integer u numbers and $x = 7u$ equation, x on Eq. (137a) shall always form y as an integer; so there are always some positive odd multiples of 7 at the previous positions of positive odd multiples of 3. If this is the condition, then there are infinite numbers of palindromic primes by the rule of odd multiple of 3 which is 500...007 has variable number of zeroes between 5 and 7, and as prime 5 and 5.

4.4 Collatz problem

If there were an odd number u which causes an infinite loop by the Collatz's rule, and cannot be decreased until 1 forever, then this number u would not become 2^n for $f(x) = 3x + 1$ function. Otherwise u is directly reduced to 1, as multiplying an odd number by 3 means making this number the number which is dividable by 3; so if you add 1 to $3x$, it shall become an even number, and it shall appear always after odd multiples of 3, and is the next one by $f(y) = 6y - 2$ rule.

Argument

As there are always 3 even number between two consecutive odd multiples of 3, for $2^n = 3x + 1$ equation where $f(x) = 3x + 1$ gives the next positive even number relatively to the small one of two consecutive odd multiple of 3 for some $n > 1$ numbers, it turns into Eq. (138),

$$x = \frac{2^n - 1}{3} \tag{138}$$

as $\frac{2^n}{3n+1}$ ratio always will increase, there must be other 2^n numbers. Eq. (138) searches for intersection points with $f(x) = 3x + 1$ places.

For $f(x) = 3x + 3$ numbers which are always at the middle between two positive consecutive odd multiples of 3, result of $2^t = 3x + 3$ equation shall always become Eq. (138a).

$$x = \frac{2^t}{3} + 1 \tag{138a}$$

Here, as $\frac{2^t}{3}$ is always decimal as 2^t is only formed by 2, x shall always become a decimal; therefore it can be said that a number like 2^t cannot exist at the middle between two consecutive odd multiples of 3 for any $t > 1$ integer.

For the numbers which occur before the big one of two odd multiple of 3, and are at the final place inbetween, it will become Eq. (138b) over $2^r = 3x + 5$ equation.

$$x = \frac{2^r - 5}{3} \tag{138b}$$

Hence, all 2^n numbers are either the next relatively to the small one or the previous one relatively to the big one for consecutive odd multiples of 3; but maybe Eq. (138) or Eq. (138b)

can occupy alone both of these places, and maybe one of them does not have any intersection with these points that means always appear a certain place which only before or after the small or the big one. To analysis it, for $\frac{2^r-5}{3} = \frac{2^n-1}{3}$ equation, it will be Eq. (138c).

$$2^{r-2} + 2^{n-2} = 1 \tag{138c}$$

Hence, it can be said that this equation is valid for only 1 value when both $n = 2$ and $r = 3$ values are used at the same time on Eq. (138c). For the other values, as the functions are formed by 2, the difference has to be 0 or least 2. If this is the condition, it can be said that Eq. (138) or Eq. (138b)) never intersect, and they have always some n and r positive integers forever; so 2^n is always just after and 2^r is always just before odd multiplies of 3 for some n and r values. As summary, Eq. (138) or Eq. (138b)) have infinite x odd results; so either x goes to 1 directly by $2^n = 3x + 1$ even number or visits a $x_{n+1} = \frac{3x_n+1}{2}$ or $x_m = \frac{3x_n+1}{2}$ conditions and goes to 1 again as there is no alternative.

As there are always some intersection points, running away from to be 2^n numbers is not possible. There is no way to do this; so by using positive integers, the numbers which can be obtained always as 1 by only dividing by 2 can be expressed like $y = 2^n$ function. As 2^n is not dividable by odd numbers, Collatz's rule will be as Eq. (139),

$$1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_{n+1} \left(1 - (-1)^{2^{(x_{n+1}-1)^2}}\right)}{2} \tag{139}$$

where $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$, $x_0 = x$, Eq. (139a), Eq. (139b) and Eq. (139c) as follows.

$$y_n = \frac{\left(3 + \frac{1}{x_n + \frac{1-(-1)^{2^{x_n}}}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1-(-1)^{x_n}}{2}}}{2^{\frac{1+(-1)^{x_n}}{2}}} \tag{139a}$$

$$p_n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - (-1)^{2^{(y_n - 2^m k)^2}}}{2} \right) \tag{139b}$$

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{y_n}{2^{p_n}} \left(\frac{1 + (-1)^{2^{(x_n-1)^2}}}{2} \right) \tag{139c}$$

It is the most stupid way of wring 1.

Any partial function can be written such those methods similar manner as an algebra function.

Recommend

4.5 Perfect square of $p - 1$

Are there infinitely many primes p such that $p - 1$ is a perfect square?

Argument

Here, $p - 1$ is an even number where $\{p \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$. If it is turned into $e^2 + 1 = p$ where e is an even number. As prime numbers are odd number, they can only exist between two consecutive odd multiple of 3. As there are only two place inbetween for odd numbers, for the previous position relatively to multiples of 3, the equation becomes $e^2 + 1 = 6x + 1$, and finally turns into Eq. (140).

$$x = \frac{e^2}{6} \tag{140}$$

Right this point, let e to be a number like $6a$. For this condition, x directly shall become $6a^2$; so for Eq. (140a),

$$e^2 + 1 = 36a^2 + 1 \tag{140a}$$

it can be said that a second analysis is required that $p = 36a^2 + 1$ equation can always be provided or not, where p is prime. Right this point, if it is assumed that numbers come from $-\infty$ direction to $+\infty$ on number line, then for the previous odd numbers relatively to multiples of 3, the destination equation of previous position of multiples of 3 which is $f(x) = 6x + 1$ becomes $f(r, t) = 6r - (6t - 1)$ to start before 0 to $+\infty$ for each r and t positive integers; then for $36a^2 + 1 = 6r - (6t - 1)$ equation, the equation becomes Eq. (140b).

$$r = 6a^2 + t \tag{140b}$$

Hence, for each a positive integer, $e^2 + 1 = p$ can be reduced to place each previous position before multiples of 3. As all previous position cannot be non-prime number, forever it becomes prime number by some intervals.

As the result, it can be said that, as first degree place function is not possible for primes as it was proved the above, also no exponential function can be written as $f(m) = m^n$; because m^n never passes a place when intersection points for inbetween numbers are determined for any $f(r, t) = 6r - (6t - 1)$ which starts to give inbetween numbers from negative direction of number line to $+\infty$. It always intersects.

4.6 The Arc Side: Trigonometry ex Pi




Fig. 10: Two points on the circumference

As the first step, let choosing two points like B and C on Fig. 10, and know that; $\angle BAC$, the radius and so $\widehat{BC'}$ are

For $(|DB|-|SR|)/|BE| = |HR|/|EH|$ equation over the similarity between $\triangle RPH$ and $\triangle HBE$, $|HG| = |GR|+|HR|$ equation turns into Eq. (141h) for $|SR|$.

$$|SR| = \frac{|DE|(|HG| \cdot |BE| - |EH| \cdot |DB|)}{|BE| \sqrt{|GD|^2 + |DE|^2} - |DE| \cdot |EH|} \quad (141h)$$

If Eq. (141h) is used on Eq. (141f), it will be as Eq. (141i).

$$|GS| = \frac{|GD|(|HG| \cdot |BE| - |EH| \cdot |DB|)}{|BE| \sqrt{|GD|^2 + |DE|^2} - |DE| \cdot |EH|} \quad (141i)$$

Being the equations are as $|GR| = \sqrt{|SR|^2 + |GS|^2}$, $|ER| = |EG|-|GR|$, $|AR| = \sqrt{|AG|^2 + |GR|^2}$, $|BC'| = |EG|$ over the law of cosines, it will be as Eq. (142).

$$\cos \alpha = \frac{|AE|^2 + |AG|^2 - |BC'|^2 + 2|BC'| \cdot |GR|}{2|AE| \sqrt{|AG|^2 + |GR|^2}} \quad (142)$$

4.6.1 Area and length relations

If an internal tangent circle is drawn in a square as the same as on Fig. 14, and if length of the square is changed forever gradually, then all the lengths of the circle in the square have to change in the same ratio; because AD is radius of the circle and also $|FC|$ is related with the same radius AF ; so also all will be emerged lengths of the circle change at the same amount that; $|EF|$, $|AE|$, $|DE|$ and $|EB|$ are included as well naturally.




Fig. 14: Internal tangent circle

During these changings, also ratios of the area which are between \widehat{DB} and DB chord are always conserved, any area in $ABCD$ square is included as well; because if some triangles are drawn as depended on the rule in Fig. 15 gradually forever, then there shall always emerge some triangles with right angle instead of the circle slice; therefore the area between \widehat{DB} and DB chord, and \widehat{DB} and $|DC|+|CB|$ always change in the same rate.

Already it can be analysed by looking at the radius, $\triangle ABD$ and the other chords, the arcs are included as well. It can be said that ratio of two length which are intersected or independent of each other is the same and fixed for changing radiuses




Fig. 15: The quarter slice of Fig. 14

or square sides; so also ratio of the areas which are dependent of the lengths is the same and fixed as well, where lengths and areas are amongst themselves. If this is the condition, then for the circle area A , r radius and square area $4r^2$ which emerges for the same radius, it will be a constant like $W = 4r^2/A$. Thereupon, let the circle's area is a number like π where $r = 1$ unit. For this radius, the equation becomes $4r^2/A = 4/\pi$ over the W constant. Finally for changing r values, area of a circle becomes Eq. (143).

$$A = \pi r^2 \quad (143)$$




Fig. 16: The area scanned

On Fig. 16, there are two circles which were drawn nested manner, and have $|AC| = r_1$ and $|AB| = r_2$ radiuses. At the end of the scanning for 1 round, the area scanned by $|DE|$ becomes $A_2 - A_1$ for $|AC| = r_1$ and $|AB| = r_2$ radiuses. During the process, the distance taken by E point becomes C_2 , and by D point becomes C_1 . For DE . The distance taken by infinite points between D and E points on $|DE|$ becomes $A_2 - A_1$ as also it was derived.

This process can be shown as Fig. 17 for the same radiuses even for half of the circle. It becomes $|DG'| = |\widehat{DG}| = C_2/2$ and $|CF'| = |\widehat{CF}| = C_1/2$. The area of the trapezoid becomes $A(DG'F'C) = (A_2 - A_1)/2$. While r_1 radius is approaching to 0, the area of trapezoid approaches to $\triangle DG'A$, and also the area is approaching to a single circle's area with r_2 radius. For




Fig. 17: The area of the circle in the kind of triangle

the whole circle, it becomes Eq. (143a).

$$A = \lim_{r_1 \rightarrow 0} \pi(r_2 - r_1)^2 \tag{143a}$$

Hence it becomes πr^2 for $r_2 = r$ as there is only 1 radius after this. For this condition, after this area of the triangle becomes $Cr/2$ where $C_2 = C$ for the whole circle. Finally over $Cr/2 = \pi r^2$ equation, circumference of a circle becomes Eq. (143b).

$$C = 2\pi r \tag{143b}$$

4.6.2 Computation of pi

Relation between area and circumference of a circle is Eq. (144)

$$C = 2A/r \tag{144}$$

where A is area and C is circumference. If the area is an integer and the angle is an angle like 60° which has a certain value for $\cos(60)$ which can be found out by using an equilateral triangle, then by using Eq. (142), r can easily be found out as the circumference is $A/3r$ and the radius is r , by solving equation. By this way, also π can be found out by infinite number of different integer area values for Eq. (145)

$$\pi = A/r^2 \tag{145}$$

As the radius after all, if the angle, and the arc which is depended on $2\pi r\alpha/360$ are known on Eq. (142), then π directly can be found out for $\cos(60)$ and $r = 1$ as well by solving equation.

5 Discussing

5.1 Wave-particle duality over space

As it can be seen, as the universe or any universe can only decelerates from absolute space as a part of it, there cannot be a emptiness without energy. Any mass density can only emerge over the emergence space which emerge over absolute space as a part of it as wave which is the result of the time differences as it is temporary. It is wave; because there shall not emerge a mass part which cannot be defined as a density.

Any mass is only possible as distributed load that a reference is required to define it.

Right this point, also particles are waves, and any particle must have the same formation speed as there is only single work to create all the universe. Particle's different mass magnitudes are because of acceleration of the same basic mass wave for the same speed. $m_p = m_i f$ can give a particle's mass where m_i is the basic mass and f is the basic mass's frequency; but actually it is still need more detailed explanation. As it was stated the above, when absolute space be deformed, then energy and repeat frequency emerges until to be fixed. It is always want to be fixed if the force which cause the deformation is not removed. During this process different acceleration wave types of the same wave emerge. Even if the formation speed is fixed, waves which form particles can go faster than this formation speed and so take more distance for the same time because of bigger acceleration by space tension; so as frequency is $f = \frac{v}{x}$, for a fixed speed, as the distance taken will be bigger for particles, particle former of the same wave will have smaller frequency. This is not the same thing with $m_p = m_i f$ even if it can be calculated by this equation.

Over the work done to create a particle as $F_p x_p = m_p v^2$, it becomes Eq. (146),

$$F_p = m_i v \tag{146}$$

where $x_p = a_p t^2$, $t = 1$ second and $a_p = \frac{m_p v}{m_i}$ over Eq. (71).

For the work done to create a single free wave as $F_t x_t = m_i v^2$, it becomes Eq. (147),

$$F_t = m_i v \tag{147}$$

where $x_t = a_t t^2$, t_1 second and $a_t = v$; therefore the force which is applied to create a single free wave and the force which is applied to create a particle which has bigger mass and energy are the same force. It is impossible if you do not understand it that any force is distributed force by $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}$. Any thing is relative. You cannot say this is absolute reference.

5.1.1 Higgs Field and Higgs Particle

Right this point, Higgs field or actually free space itself as entire universe itself is pretty required as there is no alternative to create a particle; but the problem is it that, actually there cannot be a mass particle to bring mass. There is infinite frequency, and also higgs need mass particle. The assumed basic mass particle should be photon.

5.1.2 Michelson-Morley Experiment

In the same manner, an aether or in the other name free space is required even for Michelson-Morley Experiment [5]. This experiment says that if there was a matter in free space, it would affect the light; but it is escaped that, actually there is one work to create all the universe; so any element of the universe is only depended on the single work's application speed. Nothing can change this speed as it is formation speed. Only

energy can change by decreasing mass because of friction with space as emergence area which the particles emerge over it always wants to be fixed. For this reason, as particles have no external energy, they lose mass but emergence space's itself. Universe wants to evaporate any particle over it, and after that wants to be fixed. Formation speed or in the other name light speed never changes according to an observer.

5.1.3 Yang-Mills's mass gap and Ultraviolet catastrophe

As the first inference for mass gap [6], as it can be seen over the resultant force section, matter can only get a fixed angle to emerge. It means that matter never visit infinite or zero value. Also emergence space provide $\Delta > 0$ condition as there cannot be an emptiness without energy because of deceleration from infinite. It means that matter does not visit zero energy point even for imaginary time. Deterministic image appears. Even so, still we must separate the virtual part, and say that matter can visit zero and infinite value that emergence space is included to matter. For the both condition it gets lost, and absolute space which emergence area emerges over it remains before emerging again as it has a repeat frequency.

As the second inference, as it can be seen, as space is absolute, also emergence space which the particles emerge over it and it emerges over the absolute space is invariant. Only the things which emerges over emergence space change and actually lose. Also it was there before the beginning of time as imaginary which means is not absolute but also like not created even if it was created. It was created like anything; because nothing can be absolute afterwards as matter is absolute inert. An absolute must work instead of any worker; but as everything is element of infinity, also nothing can be created afterwards. This creates an imaginary time.

Because of the same reasons, as any work is done one by one in a time interval, also radiation radiates one by one without visit infinite or zero values. For example if you assume that a wave forms a particle by spinning around a circle, for a calculation method $\tan(\alpha)$ never visits zero or infinite value. It emerges by infinite small motion and these points are always deferred. Hence, the problem which make Planck busy [7] is as his solution by a frequency and actually by a mass and so energy gap.

5.2 Probability and strange cat of Schrödinger

Because of emerging uncertainty, as it was said, matter only can be defined as a density; so it cannot be said that matter is there.

If a second system emerges different than creation, like atom which electrons spin around it, if speed of the electrons increases, then density of the electron around the atom increases; but it will be separated around atom. It can only be said that $x\%$ of the mass there and $y\%$ of the mass is there. As the creation is in a time interval, if emergence motion moves around an atom, as formation motion and outer space motion are accepted and emerge together, formation be separated around atom as well. After that, it is like emerging around

atom by different density. Even so, probability is not an obstacle against determinism. It does not mean anything is random.

As the strange cat, at is dead and also is alive point, information is prepared. Information always exists in both state; but as nobody can know an information untimely manner aside infinity itself, the work becomes resistance with a heat for the infinite creator because of time, and the information is prepared and created one by one.

5.3 Singularity

As matter has an incompatibility feature as stated the above, a singularity which renders possible infinite magnitudes cannot emerge. Already, as denser area will be more vibration stopper than non-intensive area, a singularity must be lost before it has not emerged yet.

5.4 Time travel

According to the imaginary time, time is relative and never past, and never will pass. Created ones are always at the same place and at the same time; but they are in a state of flow and time gives a reference right at this point. The energy that is spent by creatures is mv^2 (Js) for a creation speed of v , and they spend the same amount of energy forever or in the infinite sum, since everything is the element of infinity; thus it can be said that time travel is not possible. Anytime is now.

Additionally, gravity is like general motions; because it causes acceleration. Even if the masses which are under gravitational force effect do not move, acceleration increases their mass. For example, If gravity is high for a fixed object in this field, it is like constant acceleration motion in free space; so let us assume for the moving objects, time passes faster or slower somehow. As gravity is like constant speed movement and brings momentum and thus weight to masses, somehow also let us assume that gravitational areas affect the way of time flow in some directions as next or back. In the mix, in any condition, as time is the displacement duration which occurs together with energy, without doubt it must effect the energy of matter. If time decreases, energy decreases. If this is the condition then for back time travel, matter gets zero energy as time slowed down and finally becomes zero; thus matter loses its total energy for next move. Also zero energy is not possible because of imaginary time since everything is element of infinity. Crossing or jumping from zero point is not possible in next time travel move.

Also again for these conditions which are moving objects or big gravitational fields, if it is chosen one of the options of time passes faster or slower for back or next time travels, we need a gravity which must decrease and a gravitational field which we must stay away and get infinite distance from it. As faster free space moving objects and the fixed objects which are in strong gravitational fields are assumed as the same because of nature of gravity, in any mix, we need increasing gravity; but also at the same time we need decreasing gravitational force. This is a paradox. All of these things sign to

a now; thus neither back nor next time travels are not possible. If you want time travel, then space must be lost and then there will be no next even if it is past or future. As creating past or future time is a paradox for infinity, it is not possible even for infinity as well to take an object to its old position if it moves. Space and time are not depended on each other; so time travel is not possible.

If you handle a relative time travel, it can be said that it is possible. If you handle two different thrust engine which have different thrust force, time passes faster for the faster one relatively.

5.5 Pioneer anomaly

As matter is uncertain which emerges by a frequency, certainly because of the repeat, there shall always emerge a centrifugal force even if it is not a perfect circle. As the universe has been emerging by a circular motion like this and is also not absolute, the wave which forms a particle or moves in free space free manner absolutely must move due to rotation way of the universe. Even if the rotation was not constant in a single direction, changing direction of the force applied of the space as particles emerges over this space by the force applied of the space, would cause a displacement which emerges in a single direction due to the initial movement's direction; because to be one of them before or after of the same two Ft work as $+Ft$ and $-Ft$ change displacement; so space stuff always deviate, and also light cannot come here after a distance. There can easily emerge some bright points which a darkness connect them to each other in universe when we looked at the universe from the outside. Light comes by different angles after a distance and it can be easily detected.

5.6 Different feature particles

Matter evaporates. There is only one work to create the universe that is only for free space. Only free space is invariant. As particles have no external energy, they evaporate, and is more in denser area. Also as any work is in a time interval, when a mass moved in one direction its mass effect does not become 0, and always decreases to infinity; so during a circular motion it reach itself at an amount, and it causes evaporation again. This feature of matter can be healer or damager for health; because different gravitational forces cause different mass particles even for the same type of elements. This will change total energy and so attraction properties. Even small changes may cause for example delay in radioactivity by compounding, or may have different affect. The same element does not have the same features if they were in a different gravitational force because of frictions. It can be realized as artificial in particle accelerators; because if mass increases evaporation increases, and when it stopped outer space motion it can be detected. There are too much possibility that can not be counted to create different energy the same partice.

6 Adaptations

6.1 Energy generating

As it was said the above, it does not differ for matter that it is created or it does work. To be more valuable of a vehicle or device does not mean for matter it is valuable. Matter is doing the same work for any material and work even if it is static or is moving. At that time, it is only emerging.

Matter is a part of infinite. You can neither detract anything from, nor add anything to infinite, since everything an element of the infinite; so matter is created by forcing each second as an absolute must do the work instead of the created one, and is created by a repeated motion. This means that matter is absolute inert. This means mv^2 (Js) energy potential for a formation velocity of v . As formation will be in changing values during 1 second, energy must be shown as (Js) which means "Joule per second", and also mass must be shown as (Kgs) which means "Kilograms per second". These things mean that we can use matter's own energy each second by using matter itself without spending it and external energy resources. As it always does a formation motion, still it will be doing a formation motion.

$$\varepsilon = -\frac{\partial \Phi_B}{\partial t} \quad (148)$$

Eq. (148) is Faraday's law of induction, and it states that changing magnetic field produces an electromotive force (emf) in Voltage unite by creating a potential difference and an electric field.

Hande a simple machine system which provides force efficiency and produce electricity by a lever by $Ld_1 = Fd_2$ where L is load, d_1 the distance of the load from the center of gravity, d_2 is the distance of the force applied from the center of gravity. For the load which requires E energy to be lifted, the equation becomes Eq. (149),

$$Fx = E \quad (149)$$

where x is the distance taken while applying the fixed magnitude force. If the used magnetix flux is increased by making magnets bigger gradually forever for the fixed force efficiency distance of d_2 which is the other hand of the system, even if the magnetic flux change number is the same, it becomes Eq. (150) as the distance taken of x must decrease to produce the same E energy for the same magnitude force.

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty} x = 0 \quad (150)$$

It also requires to be 0 of the work done. The distance taken for each change must decrease at the amount of the enlarged magnets for the same force to produce the same amount of electricity; thus the work done decreases even if the generated energy is the same or increases. Finally even electricity can be generated without external energy, that means zero external work done if it is used big enough magnets. In a high magnetic field, self-inflicted electron flow which means electricity can be detected beyond the same order of spins because

of electrons' movement which occurs at different distances around their atom. Even if the high violence magnetic field is fixed without change over time, the movement of the electron which does not jump another atom in this magnetic field around its atom can be counted as magnetic flux change. This work is done matter's own energy as matter is always together with a motion. This is like the condition of a gearwheel spinning at a fixed speed. If you give a handle to the system, the gear will hit the handle forever and will work, and the gear's energy is in God's hands. We can force matter by some incitements to work in the name of us by using some amounts of its own mv^2 (J_s) energy for a fromation speed of v .

Also d_2 can be lengthened to catch the same effect if magnetix flux increases; because when d_2 is lengthened the force magnitude will increase and the distance taken will decrease automatically.

6.2 Thrust resource

6.2.1 Centrifugal force

As producing thrust, as emergy is not independt of force, it can turn into force. To realize this, Eq. (151) centrifugal force is perfect closed system thrust engine potential as independent of mass of air or water without opposite torque, and it can store small amount of energy for a big energy amount when it is required, by some transmissions.

$$F = \frac{mv^2 \sin(\alpha)}{r} \quad (151)$$

More thrust than jet fighters can be produced in the same volume without fuel and other external energy resources by the electricity generating methods.

6.2.2 Imbalance

To be one of them before or after of two the same opposite Ft work as $+Ft$ and $-Ft$ changes displacement. It becomes Eq. (152),

$$-x = \frac{Ft^2}{-m} + \frac{-Ft^2}{m} \quad (152)$$

where x_a is the distance taken during acceleration, x_d is the distance taken during deceleration, and $x = x_a + x_d$.

In the same manner, if a particle accelerated and then decelerated between two plate in an electric field, emerging resutant force as thrust becomes Eq. (153),

$$F_R = mv \frac{t_d - t_a}{t_d t_a} \quad (153)$$

where t_d is the deceleration time and t_a is the acceleration time. It does not have to be a particle acceleration. It is valid for any type of imbalance.

6.3 Interstellar communication methods

6.3.1 Entropy and universal energy preservation

The focal points of the universe which means particles have been evaporating ; but this evaporation cannot change

the total mass and energy of the universe. Particles emerge over the space without having an external energy. As a zero resistance is required to be able to go at infinite speed, also particles cannot go at this speed; so particles lose energy and mass by evaporation as they have no external supporter energy. As particles emerge over a space which wants to be fixed as matter is created by a forcing each second, and as no work can be done untimely manner, a disorder period occurs and excludes particles in the other name space waves from the space which particles emerge over it. This is the same event with it, that if you stretch a curtain by hand which was already stretched, you feel a resistance. Like in this event, as particles have no other external energy, they lose their energy by some periods, even if external frictional and gravitational losses which are a second effect on each other are not included as well. Evaporation means stopping of vibration. Particles which are on a denser space tend to stop faster manner. Total energy and mass of the universe are fixed during this evaporation, and only its radius and density can change.

This feature of the universe can be used for communication. We can easily calculate the evaporation amount each second if we can achieve the identical simulation of the universe . This cannot be known theoretically as any formation will cause different density and so will cause different evaporation amounts. When we create a human made anomaly, we can compare the results, and can use these difference for instant communication.

6.3.2 Space collecting by small scale imitation of the starting condition of matter

As it was said the above, matter uses the same space for any work; so the distance taken at the beginning of time was scanning of all the universe. This condition can be imitable. For example, if an electron creator wave scans more space for the same speed and cause more mass density in an unit of volume, it can go faster than light even the light speed is fixed at that time but it is not formed by other subatomic particles; because also there can be a deformation amount like bicycle rim frequency that you suppose it turned back; so you should use the particles which are not formed by other subatomic particles, and you need a good vibration resource that it was explained the below.

Creating artificial subatomic communication particles

Formation of particle was during expansion of the universe by an ignored time differences between different particles . During expansion of the universe, as there were different density spaces, also for the fixed light speed and the basic mass particle, there were different size particles even the same basic formation particle always wanted to create the same particle, and they have different internal vacuum force because of the emerging centrifugal force. This does not means that we need the same event with the same physical magnitudes to create a new particle. Many different frequencies to create different particles were emerging and decaying at the singularity

of the beginning of time. These frequencies are like bicycle rim frequency that you suppose it turned back. It is possible to create new type of particles and atoms by using free space. We must pass many photon particles by an ignored time difference from the same point of the space, actually by using ionizer photons to gain the smallest time difference. We must create a big density. As each action is in a time interval, this event will start render a particle slowly or suddenly according to used particle number and energy. This is like digging water by stones. As nothing is perfect because of time differences, uncertainty and the emerging potential differences because of the nature of the movements according to initial movement, there will be a collapse and then suddenly will be an expansion. Photon will start to move around a circle by vacuuming the space. New type of elements do not have to be similar with current ones. As they can have smaller number of subatomic particles, also even they can be formed as one particle nucleus and orbital particle, which are not formed by other subatomic particles. They can be heavier than the current ones millions of time, if the wave spins around required radius; but its life time will be short as the frequency and so friction frequency will be bigger. As we can build new planets have the same gravity with the planet earth, also we can create some particles which collect more space and can go faster than light naturally. These ones can be used for communication as artificial subatomic communication particles.

Vibration resource

When a particle passes through an electric field, during its passing duration, the particle decreases the electric field's energy for other works; so if you assume that there is a fixed material in the magnetic field, the magnetic field would induce electricity during this passing on this material which is in the electric field as magnetic flux shall change because of the energy sucking of the particle. As this method is pretty good vibration instrument to vibrate magnetic field by some particles in the magnetic field, also is a pretty good computer processor potential. We can align electrodes which are made of semiconductor or dielectric materials around a circle, and each one of them vibrates at visible light frequency. We can use noble gas nucleus or ion, or can use air particles directly from a magnetic plasma by rotating them in this circular area. It is possible to produce a coin size processor runs at 10^{20-25} Hertz. As this frequency is not because of a single particle, it shall not be ionizer. To block constant acceleration of particles, we should only accelerate them by some periods. To use more particles or slow particles some time only effect the process number, and some usual fluctuation are not so important. Even its energy will not be as much as a toy laser.

To be smaller of data which is sent, will directly make energy and work done to send data smaller; so these processors must be used to process data before sending data. For example, we can record a data group like in the Table 3 by the most appropriate function or changing functions which are for remaining bits.

Table 3: The current data bits to be cut

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
A	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0

This record would be according to $f(x) = 3x - 2$ function for Table 3 data, and for example it is recorded as A124. This means that there are four piece of 0 which the distance between them is two. As this code shall get bigger by increasing number of bits, the same will be done for the code itself again and again. It should be made as the smallest as possible by using new hardware or software characters, or by using uppercase and lowercase letters together with a required combination.

6.3.3 Lowering mass for short distance communication

As required by uncertainty, each work can only be done in a time interval. Also as it was said the above, being t_p is the Planck time, the universe is spinning at $1/t_p$ frequency and it forms free space, after that it forms particles over the free space. It means that if particles are forced to be formed when the universe is not there, mass magnitude shall decrease at the same amount. If emerging frequency of particles is taken to empty space by an empty space frequency, it means any mass can be transferred at near light speed, or at high speeds.

Acknowledgements

This is a preprint, and if anybody finds it helpful and wants to publish it free manner as a public service in the journal, I can remove it.

References

1. Kavak M. On the Uncertainty Principle. *American Journal of Physics and Applications*, 2016, v. 4 (4), 90–123.
2. Heisenberg W. Über den anschaulichen Inhalt der quantentheoretischen Kinematik und Mechanik. *Zeitschrift für Physik*, 1927, v. 43 (3), 172–198.
3. Podolsky B, Einstein A, Rosen N., Can Quantum-Mechanical Description of Physical Reality Be Considered Complete?. *Physical Review*, 1935, v. 47 (10), 777–780.
4. Lorentz H. De relatieve beweging van de aarde en den aether. *Zittingsverlag Akad*, 1892, p. 74
5. Michelson A, Morley E. On the Relative Motion of the Earth and the Luminiferous Ether. *American Journal of Science*, 1887, v. 34 (203), 333–345.
6. Planck M. On the Law of Distribution of Energy in the Normal Spectrum. *Annalen der Physik*, 1901, v. 4 (10), p. 553.
7. Yang C, Mills L. Conservation of isotopic spin and isotopic gauge invariance. *Physical Review*, 1954, v. 96, 191–195.