

Rajesh Singh

Department of Mathematics, SRM University
Delhi NCR, Sonapat- 131029, India

Sachin Malik

Department of Statistics, Banaras Hindu University
Varanasi-221005, India

Florentin Smarandache

Department of Mathematics, University of New Mexico
Gallup, NM 87301, USA

An Improved Suggestion in Stratified Random Sampling Using Two Auxiliary Variables

Published in:

Sachin Malik, Neeraj Kumar, Florentin Smarandache (Editors)

**USES OF SAMPLING TECHNIQUES & INVENTORY CONTROL
WITH CAPACITY CONSTRAINTS**

Pons Editions, Brussels, Belgium, 2016

ISBN 978-1-59973-484-2

pp. 9 - 18

Abstract

In this paper, we suggest an estimator using two auxiliary variables in stratified random sampling following Malik and Singh [12]. The propose estimator has an improvement over mean per unit estimator as well as some other considered estimators. Expressions for bias and MSE of the estimator are derived up to first degree of approximation. Moreover, these theoretical findings are supported by a numerical example with original data.

Key words: Study variable, auxiliary variable, stratified random sampling, bias and mean squared error.

1. Introduction

The problem of estimating the population mean in the presence of an auxiliary variable has been widely discussed in finite population sampling literature. Out of many ratio, product and regression methods of estimation are good examples in this context. Diana [2] suggested a class of estimators of the population mean using one auxiliary variable in the stratified random sampling and examined the MSE of the estimators up to the k^{th} order of approximation. Kadilar and Cingi [3], Singh et al. [7], Singh and Vishwakarma [8], Koyuncu and Kadilar [4] proposed estimators in stratified random sampling. Singh [9] and Perri [6] suggested some ratio cum product estimators in simple random sampling. Bahl and Tuteja [1] and Singh et al. [11] suggested some exponential ratio type estimators. In this chapter, we suggest some exponential-type estimators using the auxiliary information in the stratified random sampling.

Consider a finite population of size N and is divided into L strata such that $\sum_{h=1}^L N_h = N$ where N_h is the size of h^{th} stratum ($h=1,2,\dots,L$). We select a sample of size n_h from each stratum by simple random sample without replacement sampling such that $\sum_{h=1}^L n_h = n$, where n_h is the stratum sample size. A simple random sample of size n_h is drawn without replacement from the h^{th} stratum such that $\sum_{h=1}^L n_h = n$. Let (y_{hi}, x_{hi}, z_{hi}) denote the observed values of y , x , and z on the i^{th} unit of the h^{th} stratum, where $i=1, 2, 3, \dots, N_h$.

To obtain the bias and MSE, we write

$$\bar{y}_{st} = \sum_{h=1}^L w_h \bar{y}_h = \bar{Y}(1 + e_0), \quad \bar{x}_{st} = \sum_{h=1}^L w_h \bar{x}_h = \bar{X}(1 + e_1), \quad \bar{z}_{st} = \sum_{h=1}^L w_h \bar{z}_h = \bar{Z}(1 + e_2)$$

Such that,

$$E(e_0) = E(e_1) = E(e_2) = 0$$

$$V_{rst} = \sum_{h=1}^L w_h^{r+s+t} \frac{E\left[(\bar{y}_h - \bar{Y})^r (\bar{x}_h - \bar{X})^s (\bar{z}_h - \bar{Z})^t\right]}{\bar{Y}^r \bar{X}^s \bar{Z}^t}$$

where,

$$\bar{y}_{st} = \sum_{h=1}^L w_h \bar{y}_h, \quad \bar{y}_h = \frac{1}{n_h} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} \bar{y}_{hi}, \quad \bar{Y}_h = \frac{1}{N_h} \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} \bar{Y}_{hi}$$

$$Y = \bar{Y}_{st} = \sum_{h=1}^L w_h \bar{Y}_h, \quad w_h = \frac{N_h}{N}$$

and

$$V(\bar{y}_{st}) = \bar{Y}^2 V_{200} \tag{1.1}$$

Similar expressions for X and Z can also be defined.

$$\text{And } E(e_0^2) = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 f_h S_{yh}^2}{\bar{Y}^2} = V_{200},$$

$$E(e_1^2) = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 f_h S_{xh}^2}{\bar{X}^2} = V_{020},$$

$$E(e_2^2) = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 f_h S_{zh}^2}{\bar{Z}^2} = V_{002},$$

$$E(e_0 e_1) = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 f_h S_{yxh}^2}{\bar{Y}\bar{X}} = V_{110},$$

$$E(e_0 e_2) = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 f_h S_{yzh}^2}{\bar{Y}\bar{Z}} = V_{101},$$

$$\text{and } E(e_1 e_2) = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 f_h S_{xzh}^2}{\bar{X}\bar{Z}} = V_{011},$$

where ,

$$S_{yh}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} \frac{(y_h - \bar{Y}_h)^2}{N_h - 1},$$

$$S_{xh}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} \frac{(x_h - \bar{X}_h)^2}{N_h - 1}$$

$$S_{zh}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} \frac{(z_h - \bar{Z}_h)^2}{N_h - 1},$$

$$S_{yxh} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} \frac{(\bar{x}_h - \bar{X}_h)(y_h - \bar{Y}_h)}{N_h - 1}$$

$$S_{yzh} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} \frac{(z_h - \bar{Z}_h)(y_h - \bar{Y}_h)}{N_h - 1},$$

$$S_{xzh} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} \frac{(\bar{x}_h - \bar{X}_h)(z_h - \bar{Z}_h)}{N_h - 1}$$

And,

$$f_h = \frac{1}{n_h} - \frac{1}{N_h}$$

2. Estimators in literature

In order to have an estimate of the study variable y , assuming the knowledge of the population proportion P , Naik and Gupta [5] and Singh et al. [11] respectively proposed following estimators

$$t_1 = \bar{y}_{st} \left(\frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{x}_{st}} \right) \tag{2.1}$$

$$t_2 = \bar{y}_{st} \exp \left(\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{x}_{st}}{\bar{X} + \bar{x}_{st}} \right) \tag{2.2}$$

The MSE expressions of these estimators are given as

$$\text{MSE}(t_1) = \bar{Y}^2 [V_{200} + V_{020} - 2V_{110}] \quad (2.3)$$

$$\text{MSE}(t_2) = \bar{Y}^2 \left[V_{200} + \frac{V_{020}}{4} - V_{110} \right] \quad (2.4)$$

When the information on the two auxiliary variables is known, Singh [10] proposed some ratio cum product estimators in simple random sampling to estimate the population mean of the study variable y .

Motivated by Singh [10] and Singh et al. [7], Singh and kumar propose some estimators in stratified sampling as

$$t_3 = \bar{y}_{st} \exp \left[\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{x}_{st}}{\bar{X} + \bar{x}_{st}} \right] \exp \left[\frac{\bar{Z} - \bar{z}_{st}}{\bar{Z} + \bar{z}_{st}} \right] \quad (2.5)$$

$$t_4 = \bar{y}_{st} \exp \left[\frac{\bar{x}_{st} - \bar{X}}{\bar{x}_{st} + \bar{X}} \right] \exp \left[\frac{\bar{z}_{st} - \bar{Z}}{\bar{z}_{st} + \bar{Z}} \right] \quad (2.6)$$

$$t_5 = \bar{y}_{st} \exp \left[\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{x}_{st}}{\bar{X} + \bar{x}_{st}} \right] \exp \left[\frac{\bar{z}_{st} - \bar{Z}}{\bar{z}_{st} + \bar{Z}} \right] \quad (2.7)$$

$$t_6 = \bar{y}_{st} \exp \left[\frac{\bar{x}_{st} - \bar{X}}{\bar{x}_{st} + \bar{X}} \right] \exp \left[\frac{\bar{Z} - \bar{z}_{st}}{\bar{Z} + \bar{z}_{st}} \right] \quad (2.8)$$

The MSE equations of these estimators can be written as

$$\text{MSE}(t_3) = \bar{Y}^2 \left[V_{200} + \frac{V_{020}}{4} + \frac{V_{002}}{4} - V_{110} - V_{101} + \frac{V_{011}}{2} \right] \quad (2.9)$$

$$\text{MSE}(t_4) = \bar{Y}^2 \left[V_{200} + \frac{V_{020}}{4} + \frac{V_{002}}{4} + V_{110} + V_{101} + \frac{V_{011}}{2} \right] \quad (2.10)$$

$$\text{MSE}(t_5) = \bar{Y}^2 \left[V_{200} + \frac{V_{020}}{4} + \frac{V_{002}}{4} - V_{110} + V_{101} - \frac{V_{011}}{2} \right] \quad (2.11)$$

$$\text{MSE}(t_6) = \bar{Y}^2 \left[V_{200} + \frac{V_{020}}{4} + \frac{V_{002}}{4} + V_{110} - V_{101} - \frac{V_{011}}{2} \right] \quad (2.12)$$

When there are two auxiliary variables, the regression estimator of \bar{Y} will be

$$t_7 = \bar{y}_{st} + b_{1h}(\bar{X} - \bar{x}_{st}) + b_{2h}(\bar{Z} - \bar{z}_{st}) \quad (2.13)$$

Where $b_{1h} = \frac{s_{yx}}{s_x^2}$ and $b_{2h} = \frac{s_{yz}}{s_z^2}$. Here s_x^2 and s_z^2 are the sample variances of x and z respectively,

s_{yx} and s_{yz} are the sample covariance's between y and x and between z respectively. The MSE expression of this estimator is:

$$\text{MSE}(t_7) = \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 f_h S_{yh}^2 (1 - \rho_{yjh}^2 - \rho_{yzh}^2 + 2\rho_{yjh}\rho_{yzh}\rho_{xzh}) \quad (2.14)$$

3. The proposed estimator

Following Malik and Singh [12], we propose an estimator using information on two auxiliary attributes as

$$t_p = \bar{y}_{st} \exp \left[\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{x}_{st}}{\bar{X} + \bar{x}_{st}} \right]^{m_1} \exp \left[\frac{\bar{Z} - \bar{z}_{st}}{\bar{Z} + \bar{z}_{st}} \right]^{m_2} + b_{1h}(\bar{X} - \bar{x}_{st}) + b_{2h}(\bar{Z} - \bar{z}_{st}) \quad (3.1)$$

Expressing equation (3.1) in terms of e's, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_p &= \bar{Y} (1 + e_0) \left\{ \exp \left(\frac{-e_1}{2 + e_1} \right)^{m_1} \exp \left(\frac{-e_2}{2 + e_2} \right)^{m_2} \right\} - b_{1h} \bar{X} e_1 - b_{2h} \bar{Z} e_2 \\ &= \bar{Y} \left[1 + e_0 - \frac{m_1 e_1}{2} + \frac{m_1^2 e_1^2}{4} - \frac{m_2 e_2}{2} - \frac{m_1 m_2 e_1 e_2}{4} + \frac{m_2^2 e_2^2}{4} - \frac{m_2 e_0 e_2}{2} - \frac{m_1 e_0 e_1}{2} \right] \\ &\quad - b_{1h} e_1 \bar{X} - b_{2h} e_2 \bar{Z} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Squaring both sides of (3.2) and neglecting the term having power greater than two, we have

$$(t_p - \bar{Y})^2 = \left\{ \bar{Y} \left[e_0 - \frac{m_1 e_1}{2} - \frac{m_2 e_2}{2} \right] - b_{1h} e_1 \bar{X} - b_{2h} e_2 \bar{Z} \right\}^2 \quad (3.3)$$

Taking expectations of both the sides of (3.3), we have the mean squared error of t_p up to the first degree of approximation as

$$\text{MSE}(t_p) = \bar{Y}^2 [V_{200} + P_1] + P_2 - \bar{Y} P_3 \quad (3.4)$$

Where,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P_1 &= \frac{m_1^2 V_{020}}{4} + \frac{m_2^2 V_{002}}{4} + \frac{m_1 m_2 V_{011}}{2} - m_1 V_{110} - m_2 V_{101} \\ P_2 &= B_{1h}^2 V_{020} + B_{2h}^2 V_{002} + 2B_{1h} B_{2h} V_{011} \\ P_3 &= -2B_{1h} V_{110} - 2B_{2h} V_{101} + m_1 B_{1h} V_{020} + m_1 B_{2h} V_{011} + m_2 B_{1h} V_{011} + m_2 B_{2h} V_{002} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\text{Where, } B_{1h} = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 f_h \rho_{yxh} S_{yh} S_{xh}}{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 f_h S_{xh}^2} \text{ and } B_{2h} = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 f_h \rho_{yzh} S_{yh} S_{zh}}{\sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 f_h S_{zh}^2}$$

The optimum values of m_1 and m_2 will be

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_1 &= \frac{4[B_{1h} V_{011} V_{002} + B_{2h} V_{011}^2 - B_{1h} V_{020} V_{002} - B_{2h} V_{011} V_{002}]}{\bar{Y}[V_{020} V_{002} - V_{011}^2]} \\ m_2 &= \frac{4[B_{1h} V_{011} V_{020} + B_{2h} V_{011}^2 - B_{1h} V_{011} V_{020} - B_{2h} V_{002} V_{020}]}{\bar{Y}[V_{020} V_{002} - V_{011}^2]} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.6)$$

Putting optimum values of m_1 and m_2 from (3.6), we obtained min MSE of proposed estimator t_p .

4. Efficiency comparison

In this section, the conditions for which the proposed estimator t_p is better than \bar{y}_{st} , t_1 , t_2 , t_3 ,

t_4 , t_5 , t_6 , and t_7 .

The variance is given by

$$V(\bar{y}_{st}) = \bar{Y}^2 V_{200} \tag{4.1}$$

To compare the efficiency of the proposed estimator with the existing estimator, from (4.1) and (2.3), (2.4), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), (2.12) and (2.14), we have

$$V(\bar{y}_{st}) - \text{MSE}(t_p) = \bar{Y}^2 P_1 + P_2 - \bar{Y}P_3 \geq 0 \tag{4.2}$$

$$\text{MSE}(t_1) - \text{MSE}(t_p) = \bar{Y}^2 [V_{020} - 2V_{110}] - \bar{Y}^2 P_1 - P_2 + \bar{Y}P_3 \geq 0 \tag{4.3}$$

$$\text{MSE}(t_2) - \text{MSE}(t_p) = \bar{Y}^2 \left[\frac{V_{020}}{4} - V_{110} \right] - \bar{Y}^2 P_1 - P_2 + \bar{Y}P_3 \geq 0 \tag{4.4}$$

$$\text{MSE}(t_3) - \text{MSE}(t_p) = \bar{Y}^2 \left[\frac{V_{020}}{4} + \frac{V_{002}}{4} - V_{110} - V_{101} + \frac{V_{011}}{2} \right] - \bar{Y}^2 P_1 - P_2 + \bar{Y}P_3 \geq 0 \tag{4.5}$$

$$\text{MSE}(t_4) - \text{MSE}(t_p) = \bar{Y}^2 \left[\frac{V_{020}}{4} + \frac{V_{002}}{4} + V_{110} + V_{101} + \frac{V_{011}}{2} \right] - \bar{Y}^2 P_1 - P_2 + \bar{Y}P_3 \geq 0 \tag{4.6}$$

$$\text{MSE}(t_5) - \text{MSE}(t_p) = \bar{Y}^2 \left[\frac{V_{020}}{4} + \frac{V_{002}}{4} - V_{110} + V_{101} + \frac{V_{011}}{2} \right] - \bar{Y}^2 P_1 - P_2 + \bar{Y}P_3 \geq 0 \tag{4.7}$$

$$\text{MSE}(t_6) - \text{MSE}(t_p) = \bar{Y}^2 \left[\frac{V_{020}}{4} + \frac{V_{002}}{4} + V_{110} - V_{101} + \frac{V_{011}}{2} \right] - \bar{Y}^2 P_1 - P_2 + \bar{Y}P_3 \geq 0 \tag{4.8}$$

Using (4.2) - (4.8), we conclude that the proposed estimator outperforms than the estimators considered in literature.

5. Empirical study

In this section, we use the data set in Koyuncu and Kadilar [4]. The population statistics are given in Table 3.2.1. In this data set, the study variable (Y) is the number of teachers, the first auxiliary variable (X) is the number of students, and the second auxiliary variable (Z) is the number of classes in both primary and secondary schools.

Table 5.1: Data Statistics of Population

N ₁ =127	N ₂ =117	N ₃ =103
N ₄ =170	N ₅ =205	N ₆ =201

$n_1=31$	$n_2=21$	$n_3=29$
$n_4=38$	$n_5=22$	$n_6=39$
$S_{y_1} = 883.835$	$S_{y_2} = 644$	$S_{y_3} = 1033.467$
$S_{y_4} = 810.585$	$S_{y_5} = 403.654$	$S_{y_6} = 711.723$
$\bar{Y}_1 = 703.74$	$\bar{Y}_2 = 413$	$\bar{Y}_3 = 573.17$
$\bar{Y}_4 = 424.66$	$\bar{Y}_5 = 267.03$	$\bar{Y}_6 = 393.84$
$S_{x_1} = 30486.751$	$S_{x_2} = 15180.760$	$S_{x_3} = 27549.697$
$S_{x_4} = 18218.931$	$S_{x_5} = 8997.776$	$S_{x_6} = 23094.141$
$\bar{X}_1 = 20804.59$	$\bar{X}_2 = 9211.79$	$\bar{X}_3 = 14309.30$
$\bar{X}_4 = 9478.85$	$\bar{X}_5 = 5569.95$	$\bar{X}_6 = 12997.59$
$S_{xy_1} = 25237153.52$	$S_{xy_2} = 9747942.85$	$S_{xy_3} = 28294397.04$
$S_{xy_4} = 14523885.53$	$S_{xy_5} = 3393591.75$	$S_{xy_6} = 15864573.97$
$\rho_{xy_1} = 0.936$	$\rho_{xy_2} = 0.996$	$\rho_{xy_3} = 0.994$
$\rho_{xy_4} = 0.983$	$\rho_{xy_5} = 0.989$	$\rho_{xy_6} = 0.965$
$S_{z_1} = 555.5816$	$S_{z_2} = 365.4576$	$S_{z_3} = 612.9509281$
$S_{z_4} = 458.0282$	$S_{z_5} = 260.8511$	$S_{z_6} = 397.0481$
$\bar{Z}_1 = 498.28$	$\bar{Z}_2 = 318.33$	$\bar{Z}_3 = 431.36$
$\bar{Z}_4 = 498.28$	$\bar{Z}_5 = 227.20$	$\bar{Z}_6 = 313.71$
$S_{yz_1} = 480688.2$	$S_{yz_2} = 230092.8$	$S_{yz_3} = 623019.3$
$S_{yz_4} = 364943.4$	$S_{yz_5} = 101539$	$S_{yz_6} = 277696.1$
$S_{xz_1} = 15914648$	$S_{xz_2} = 5379190$	$S_{xz_3} = 164900674.56$
$S_{xz_4} = 8041254$	$S_{xz_5} = 2144057$	$S_{xz_6} = 8857729$
$\rho_{yz_1} = 0.978914$	$\rho_{yz_2} = 0.9762$	$\rho_{yz_3} = 0.983511$
$\rho_{yz_4} = 0.982958$	$\rho_{yz_5} = 0.964342$	$\rho_{yz_6} = 0.982689$

We have computed the pre relative efficiency (PRE) of different estimators of \bar{Y}_{st} with respect to \bar{y}_{st} and compiled in table 5.2:

Table 5.2: Percent Relative Efficiencies (PRE) of estimator

S.No.	Estimators	PRE'S
1	\bar{y}_{st}	100
2	t_1	1029.46
3	t_2	370.17
4	t_3	2045.43
5	t_4	27.94
6	t_5	126.41
7	t_6	77.21
8	t_7	2360.54
9	t_p	4656.35

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a new estimator for estimating unknown population mean of study variable using information on two auxiliary variables. Expressions for bias and MSE of the estimator are derived up to first degree of approximation. The proposed estimator is compared with usual mean estimator and other considered estimators. A numerical study is carried out to

support the theoretical results. In the table 5.2, the proposed estimator performs better than the usual sample mean and other considered estimators.

References

- [1] Bahl, S. and Tuteja, R.K. (1991): Ratio and product type exponential estimator. *Infrm.andOptim. Sci.*, XII, I, 159-163.
- [2] Diana, G. (1993). A class of estimators of the population mean in stratified random sampling. *Statistica*53(1):59–66.
- [3] Kadilar,C. and Cingi,H. (2003): Ratio Estimators in Straitified Random Sampling. *Biometrical Journal* 45 (2003) 2, 218-225.
- [4] Koyuncu, N. and Kadilar, C. (2009) : Family of estimators of population mean using two auxiliary variables in stratified random sampling. *Comm. In Stat. – Theory and Meth.*, 38:14, 2398-2417.
- [5] Naik,V.D and Gupta, P.C., 1996: A note on estimation of mean with known population proportion of an auxiliary character. *Jour. Ind. Soc. Agri. Stat.*, 48(2), 151-158.
- [6] Perri, P. F. (2007). Improved ratio-cum-product type estimators.*Statist. Trans.* 8:51–69.
- [7] Singh, R., Kumar, M., Singh,R.D.,and Chaudhary, M.K.(2008): Exponential Ratio Type Estimators in Stratified Random Sampling. Presented in International Symposium On Optimisation and Statistics (I.S.O.S).-2008 held at A.M.U ., Aligarh, India, during 29-31 Dec 2008.
- [8] Singh, H., P. and Vishwakarma, G. K. (2008): A family of estimators of population mean using auxiliary information in stratified sampling. *Communication in Statistics Theory and Methods*, 37(7), 1038-1050.
- [9] Singh, M. P. (1965): On the estimation of ratio and product of population parameter. *Sankhya* 27 B, 321-328.
- [10] Singh, M.P. (1967): Ratio-cum-product method of estimation. *Metrika* 12, 34- 72.
- [11] Singh, R.,Chauhan, P., Sawan, N. and Smarandache,F. (2007): Auxiliary information and a priory values in construction of improved estimators. *Renaissance High press*.
- [12] Malik and Singh (2013): An improved estimator using two auxiliary attributes. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 219(2013), 10983-10986