

Gravitational Wave Miracles?

D. Chakalov
35A Sutherland St
London SW1V 4JU
chakalov.net

Abstract

The idea of ‘gravitational wave’ (GW), suggested by Albert Einstein in 1916, still poses a number of unsolved questions, which have to be resolved by the theoretical physics community as soon as possible.

The idea of ‘gravitational wave’ (GW), suggested by Albert Einstein in 1916 [1], poses a number of non-trivial questions, which still have not been resolved by the theoretical physics community. We do not know how to define GWs in the full non-linear regime where the spacetime itself is dynamic [8]: ‘waves with respect to what?’ is the crucial question about the *boundary* of spacetime, which could be discussed only after we resolve the three issues below. Until then, I’m afraid the ideas of GW and its detection [9] will continue to look like a bunch of “miracles”, which is of course totally unacceptable in science [2].

Let me begin with the critical **45° angle** between two linearly independent polarization states h_+ and h_x , which are instructed to be in “superposition” along time (t) read with a clock. As explained by M. Vallisneri *et al.* in [3, p. 6], “the effect of each GW polarization is to contract fractionally the proper distance along one axis, while expanding it along the other (these axes being $(x; y)$ for h_+ , and axes rotated by **45°** with respect to $(x; y)$ for h_x).” Look also in [4, p. 33]: “A generic gravitational wave can thus be understood as a superposition of two oscillating tidal fields that propagate at the vacuum speed of light.”

Q1: What phenomenon could possibly produce an **exact 45° angle** between h_+ and h_x and keep it **exactly fixed within** the “superposition” of two oscillating metric fields, in such way that the latter will *never* conflate and intermingle? What could sustain the *phases*?

The two linearly independent polarization states h_+ and h_x , each of which “has its own gravitational-wave field” [10], is “akin to “stereo sound” information” [4, p. 8], but the physical nature of such “superposition” of *metric* fields is totally unclear. It is certainly not like a superposition of two quantum states of the famous Schrödinger’s cat, live cat & dead cat. According to Freeman Dyson [2, p. 8], a generic GW “may be considered to be a *coherent* superposition of a large number of gravitons.” Here comes the second question.

Q2: How could these “gravitons” [10] be arranged to keep the **45° angle** between h_+ & h_x ?

Moreover, while “it would be hopeless to look for exact solutions for the gravitational waves emitted by realistic astrophysical sources” [5], we must nevertheless assume that strong GWs at the vicinity of a hypothetical “binary black-hole merger” [6] do exist, which brings us to the last question.

Q3: How could strong GWs sustain their properties of *very weak* GWs [3, 4, 9, 10] while interacting with matter and fields and other GWs, for over one billion years [7]?

Again, we cannot sweep these crucial questions under the rug: “miracles” do not exist.

References

1. Albert Einstein, Näherungsweise Integration der Feldgleichungen der Gravitation, *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften* (Berlin), Seite 688-696, 1916.
2. D. Chakalov, GW150914: Are Cows Spherical? [viXra:1606.0228v5](#), 19 July 2016.
3. Michele Vallisneri *et al.*, The Emergence of Gravitational Wave Science, [arXiv:1607.05251v1 \[gr-qc\]](#), 18 July 2016.
4. Alexandre Le Tiec, Jérôme Novak, Theory of Gravitational Waves, [arXiv:1607.04202v1 \[gr-qc\]](#), 13 July 2016.
5. Michele Maggiore, *Gravitational Waves: Theory and Experiments*, Oxford University Press, 2007. Excerpt from p. 32 at [this http URL](#).
6. Remo Ruffini *et al.*, What can we really infer from GW 150914? [arXiv:1605.04767v2 \[gr-qc\]](#), 24 May 2016.
7. The LIGO Scientific Collaboration, the Virgo Collaboration, Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger, [arXiv:1602.03837v1 \[gr-qc\]](#), 11 February 2016.
8. Angelo Loinger, On Gravitational Motions, [arXiv:0804.3991v1](#), 24 April 2008. *Idem*, On the displacements of Einsteinian fields et cetera, [arXiv:physics/0506024v2](#), 4 June 2005.
9. B. Schutz, Mathematical and Physical Perspectives on Gravitational Radiation. In *50 years of the Cauchy problem in General Relativity*. Electronic proceedings of the Cargèse Summer School on Mathematical General Relativity. July 29 - August 10, 2002, Cargèse, Corsica, France. Excerpt at [this http URL](#).
10. Kip Thorne, *Gravitational Waves*, Part A: Gravitational-Wave Theory and Sources, [Overview of GW Science](#), Slides for [Lectures 1 and 2](#), Caltech's [Physics 237-2002](#), Caltech, 28 July 2002. Two slides available at [this http URL](#).

July 22, 2016