

BENCZE MIHÁLY
FLORIN POPOVICI
FLORENTIN SMARANDACHE
**Inequalities for The Integer
Part Function**

In Florentin Smarandache: “Collected Papers”, vol. III.
Oradea (Romania): Abaddaba, 2000.

Inequalities For The Integer Part Function¹

In this paper we prove some inequalities for the integer part function and we give some applications in the number theory.

Theorem 1. For any $x, y > 0$ we have the inequality

(1) $[5x] + [5y] \geq [3x+y] + [3y+x]$, where $[\cdot]$ means the integer part function.

Proof. We use the notations $x_1 = [x], y_1 = [y], u = \{x\}, v = \{y\}, x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u, v \in [0, 1)$. We can write the inequality (1) as

$x_1 + y_1 + [5u] + [5v] \geq [3u+v] + [3v+u]$. We distinguish the following cases:

α) Let $u \geq v$. If $u \leq 2v$, then $5v \geq 3v+u$ and $[5v] \geq [3v+u]$, analogously $5u \geq 3u+v$ and $[5u] \geq [3u+v]$, from where by addition we obtain (1). If $u > 2v$ and $5u = a+b, 5v = c+d, a, c \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq b < 1, 0 \leq d < 1$, then we have to prove the following inequality

$$a + c + x_1 + y_1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{3a+c+3b+d}{5} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{3c+a+3d+b}{5} \right\rfloor \quad (2).$$

But, considering that $1 > u > 2v$, we get $5 > 5u > 10v$, from where $5 > a+b > 2c+2d$, thus $a+b < 5$ and $a \leq 4$. If $a < 2c$, then $a \leq 2c - 1$ and $a + 1 - 2c \leq 0$, thus $a+b-2c < 0$; contradiction with $a+b-2c > 2d$, thus $4 \geq a, a \geq 2c$ and $3b+d < 4, 3d+b < 4$. From $4 \geq a \geq 2c$ we have the cases from the table and in each of the nine cases is verified the inequality (2).

a	4	4	4	3	3	2	2	1	0
c	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0

Application 1. For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(5m)!(5n)!$ is divisible by $m!n!(3m+n)!(3a+m)!$.

Proof. If p is a prime number, the power exponent of p in decomposition of $m!$ is $\left\lfloor \frac{m}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{m}{p^2} \right\rfloor + \dots$. It is sufficient to prove that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{5m}{r} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{5n}{r} \right\rfloor \geq \left\lfloor \frac{m}{r} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{r} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{3m+n}{r} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{3n+m}{r} \right\rfloor$$

for any $r \in \mathbb{N}, r \geq 2$. If $m = rm_1 + x, n = rm_1 + y$, where $0 \leq x < r, 0 \leq y < r, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, is sufficient to prove that

$\left\lfloor \frac{5x}{r} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{5y}{r} \right\rfloor \geq \left\lfloor \frac{3x+y}{r} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{3y+x}{r} \right\rfloor$, but this inequality verifies the theorem 1.

Remark. If $x, y > 0$, then we have the inequality

$$[5x] + [5y] \geq [x] + [y] + [3x+y] + [3y+x].$$

¹ Together with Mihály Bencze and Florin Popovici.

Theorem 2. (Szilárd András). If $x, y, z \geq 0$, then we have the inequality $[3x]+[3y]+[3z] \geq [x]+[y]+[z]+[x+y]+[y+z]+[z+x]$.

Application 2. For any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$, $(3a)!(3b)!(3c)!$ is divisible by $a!b!c!(a+b)!(b+c)!(c+a)!$.

Proof. Let k_1, k_2, k_3 be the biggest power for which $p^{k_1} | (3a)!$, $p^{k_2} | (3b)!$, $p^{k_3} | (3c)!$ respectively, and r_i ($i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$) the biggest power for which $p^{r_1} | a!$, $p^{r_2} | b!$, $p^{r_3} | c!$, $p^{r_4} | (a+b)!$, $p^{r_5} | (b+c)!$, $p^{r_6} | (c+a)!$ respectively, then

$$k_1+k_2+k_3 = \left(\left[\frac{3a}{p} \right] + \left[\frac{3a}{p^2} \right] + \dots \right) + \left(\left[\frac{3b}{p} \right] + \left[\frac{3b}{p^2} \right] + \dots \right) + \left(\left[\frac{3c}{p} \right] + \left[\frac{3c}{p^2} \right] + \dots \right)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^6 r_i \left(\left[\frac{a}{p} \right] + \left[\frac{a}{p^2} \right] + \dots \right) + \left(\left[\frac{b}{p} \right] + \left[\frac{b}{p^2} \right] + \dots \right) + \left(\left[\frac{c}{p} \right] + \left[\frac{c}{p^2} \right] + \dots \right) + \left(\left[\frac{a+b}{p} \right] + \left[\frac{a+b}{p^2} \right] + \dots \right) + \left(\left[\frac{b+c}{p} \right] + \left[\frac{b+c}{p^2} \right] + \dots \right) + \left(\left[\frac{c+a}{p} \right] + \left[\frac{c+a}{p^2} \right] + \dots \right).$$

We have to prove that $k_1+k_2+k_3 \geq \sum_{i=1}^6 r_i$, but this inequality reduces to theorem 2.

Theorem 3. If $x, y, z \geq 0$, then we have the inequality

$$[2x]+[2y]+[2z] \leq [x]+[y]+[z]+[x+y+z].$$

Application 3. If $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$, then $a!b!c!(a+b+c)!$ is divisible by $(2a)!(2b)!(2c)!$.

Theorem 4. If $x, y \geq 0$ and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $n \geq k \geq 0$, then we have the inequality $[nx] + [ny] \geq k[x] + k[y] + (n - k)[x + y]$.

Application 4. If $a, b, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq k$, then $(na)!(nb)!$ is divisible by $(a!)^k(b!)^k((a+b)!)^{n-k}$.

Theorem 5. If $x_k \geq 0$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$), then we have the inequality

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^n [2x_k] \geq 2 \sum_{k=1}^n [x_k] + [x_1+x_2] + [x_2+x_3] + \dots + [x_n+x_1].$$

Application 5. If $a_k \in \mathbb{N}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$), then $\prod_{k=1}^n ((2a_k)!)^2$ is divisible by $\prod_{k=1}^n (a_k!)^2 (a_1+a_2)!(a_2+a_3)! \dots (a_n+a_1)!$.

Theorem 6. If $x_k \geq 0$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$), then we have the inequality

$$m \sum_{k=1}^n [2x_k] + n \sum_{p=1}^m [2x_p] \geq m \sum_{k=1}^n [x_k] + n \sum_{p=1}^m [x_p] + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^m [x_k+x_p].$$

Application 6. If $a_k \in \mathbb{N}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$), then

$$\prod_{k=1}^n (2a_k!)^m \prod_{p=1}^m (2a_p!)^n \text{ is divisible by } \prod_{k=1}^n (a_k!)^m \prod_{p=1}^m (a_p!)^n \prod_{k=1}^n \prod_{p=1}^m ((a_k+a_p)!).$$

Theorem 7. If $x, y \geq 1$, then we have the inequality

$$\lceil \sqrt{x} \rceil + \lceil \sqrt{y} \rceil + \lceil \sqrt{x+y} \rceil \geq \lceil \sqrt{2x} \rceil + \lceil \sqrt{2y} \rceil .$$

Proof. By the concavity of the square root function

$$\sqrt{x+y} = \sqrt{\frac{2x+2y}{2}} \geq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2x} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2y} \geq \left\lceil \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2x} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2y} \right\rceil ,$$

it follows that $\lceil \sqrt{x+y} \rceil \geq \left\lceil \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2x} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2y} \right\rceil$.

Therefore it is sufficient to show that $\lceil \sqrt{x} \rceil + \left\lceil \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2x} \right\rceil \geq \lceil \sqrt{2x} \rceil$ for $x \geq 1$. The identity $\lceil x \rceil + \left\lceil x + \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil$ has a straightforward proof. We use it to replace $\left\lceil \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2x} \right\rceil$ with $\lceil \sqrt{2x} \rceil - \left\lceil \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2x} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil$.

This yields $\lceil \sqrt{x} \rceil \geq \left\lceil \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2x} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil$ for $x \geq 1$. This last inequality followed by notice that $x \geq 4$ implies $(2 - \sqrt{2})\sqrt{x} > 1$ or $\lceil \sqrt{x} \rceil > \left\lceil \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2x} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil$ and $1 \leq x < 4$ implies $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2x} + \frac{1}{2} < 2$.

Application 7. If $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, then $a!b! \left\lceil \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \right\rceil !$ is divisible by $\lceil a\sqrt{2} \rceil ! \lceil b\sqrt{2} \rceil !$