

The relativistic length contraction rebutted

Radwan M. Kassir

radwan.elkassir@dar.com

Abstract

In this paper, it is established that Einstein's interpretation of the Lorentz transformation in predicting the length contraction is based on invalid assumption with respect to the reference frame in which the simultaneity of events needs to be considered. It is shown that the correct assumption would lead, within the special relativity framework, to contradictory length expansion through the same Lorentz transformation.

Introduction

In special relativity, the length of a moving body is measured based on the assumption that for an observer in the "stationary" frame to measure the length of a body fixed in the "traveling" frame, along the motion direction, he or she must receive simultaneously two signals, each emitted from a different end of the body; the measured distance in the stationary frame between the two signal spatial coordinates would be equal to the body length. It will be shown, however, that such an assumption results in an erroneous length, as it is demonstrated that the actual requirement for measuring the length of the moving body would be to determine in the "stationary" frame the distance between two signals emitted simultaneously from the ends of the body in the traveling frame (i.e., its rest frame). The result would be a length expansion when the Lorentz transformation equation is applied accordingly.

Misinterpretation of length contraction in Special Relativity

In his 1907 paper¹ on special relativity, Einstein derived the Lorentz transformation equations, and carried out some interpretations deducing the length contraction and time dilation predictions. Considering two inertial frames in relative motion, he concluded his length contraction prediction as per the following excerpt from the above referenced paper:—

Consider a body at rest relative to S' . Let x'_1, y'_1, z'_1 and x'_2, y'_2, z'_2 be the coordinates of two of its material points referred to S' . Between the coordinates x_1, y_1, z_1 and x_2, y_2, z_2 of these points relative to S , there obtain at each time t of S , according to the above-derived transformation equations, the relations

$$x_2 - x_1 = [1 - (v/c)^2]^{1/2} (x'_2 - x'_1), \quad (1)$$

$$y_2 - y_1 = y'_2 - y'_1, \quad z_2 - z_1 = z'_2 - z'_1.$$

Where, S and S' represent the stationary and moving frame, respectively, v the relative speed, and c the speed of light in empty space.

There's trickery in the above Einstein's deduction. The assertion that the above $x-x'$ coordinates relation is obtained "at each time t of S " is misleading. Indeed, care should be taken that the Lorentz transformation gives the relation between events space and time coordinates measured from the perspective of each of the two reference frames. To the events coordinates x'_1, y'_1, z'_1, t'_1 and x'_2, y'_2, z'_2, t'_2 , connected to the above body material points, referred to S' , there corresponds their coordinates x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1 and x_2, y_2, z_2, t_2 with respect to S , in such a way that the following relations hold according to the Lorentz transformation:–

With reference to the S coordinates of the vents, their S' coordinates are given by

$$x'_2 - x'_1 = [1 - (v/c)^2]^{-1/2} [(x_2 - x_1) - v(t_2 - t_1)], \quad (2)$$

$$y'_2 - y'_1 = y_2 - y_1, \quad z'_2 - z'_1 = z_2 - z_1; \quad (3)$$

$$t'_2 - t'_1 = [1 - (v/c)^2]^{-1/2} [(t_2 - t_1) - v(x_2 - x_1)/c^2], \quad (4)$$

and, with reference to their S' coordinates, the events S coordinates are given by

$$x_2 - x_1 = [1 - (v/c)^2]^{-1/2} [(x'_2 - x'_1) + v(t'_2 - t'_1)], \quad (5)$$

$$y_2 - y_1 = y'_2 - y'_1, \quad z_2 - z_1 = z'_2 - z'_1. \quad (6)$$

$$t_2 - t_1 = [1 - (v/c)^2]^{-1/2} [(t'_2 - t'_1) + v(x'_2 - x'_1)/c^2]. \quad (7)$$

Evidently, as illustrated in Figs. 1 and 2, the distance $\Delta x' = x'_2 - x'_1$ (wagon length in S') is independent of the time t' in the body [wagon] rest frame S' . i.e., when two different light signals are emitted each from one of the two considered body's material points, the distance (spatial coordinates difference) between the two signals emission points will be the same in S' regardless of at what times t'_1 and t'_2 the two signals are emitted. However, when the distance between the signals emission points is measured from the stationary frame S , it would depend on the time interval $t'_2 - t'_1$, which must be equal to zero (simultaneous events in S') in order for the measured distance $\Delta x = x_2 - x_1$ to represent the length of the wagon (Figs. 1c and 2c). Therefore, Lorentz transformation Eq. (5) must be used for the case under consideration, yielding the length expansion,

$$x_2 - x_1 = [1 - (v/c)^2]^{-1/2} (x'_2 - x'_1), \quad (8)$$

To obtain Einstein's length contraction equation (1) above, we must set $t_2 = t_1$ in Eq. (2), which means that the above time coordinates t'_1 and t'_2 of the above events in S' must be so carefully chosen that their corresponding time coordinates t_1 and t_2 in S must be equal; i.e. the above events must be perceived simultaneously in S . However, for this to be feasible, i.e., for the two signals to arrive simultaneously to an observer in S , the signal σ'_2 must be emitted at the time instant when the first signal σ'_1 emitted from the far end of the wagon reaches, relative to S' , its other end (Figs. 1b and 2b). This is equivalent to imposing the condition that $t'_2 - t'_1 = \Delta x' / c$. Hence, stating that the length contraction Eq. (1) is valid at each time t (i.e., at $\Delta t = 0$) of S is misleading, as it is rather valid under the condition $t'_2 - t'_1 = \Delta x' / c$, for which the distance in S between the two events under consideration would be shorter than the length of the wagon when it is receding (Fig. 1b), and longer when it is approaching the observer (Fig. 2b).




Fig. 1 Light signals emitted from the ends of receding wagon at different time orders




Fig. 2 Light signals emitted from the ends of approaching wagon at different time orders

Conclusion

Einstein's interpretation of the Lorentz transformation to obtain the length contraction prediction is misleading, arriving at erroneous finding. Using the right criteria, within the special relativity framework, to measure the distance reflecting the moving object's length in the stationary frame, along with the appropriate Lorentz transformation equation, a contradictory finding of length expansion is established, thus refuting the tenability of the Lorentz transformation to confirm the special relativity's basic length contraction prediction.

1. Einstein A. Einstein's comprehensive 1907 essay on relativity, part I. *English translations in Am Jour Phys* 45 (1977), *Jahrbuch der Radioaktivitat und Elektronik* 1907; 4.