

# Astronomical Evidence for an Alternative to Dark Matter?

by Alphonsus J. Fagan

St. John's NL, Canada

## Abstract

Unexplained gravitational potentials in deep space have been generally attributed to dark matter. This paper explores an alternative that unites the concepts of dark matter and dark energy, and is rooted in the idea that space is a tangible 'substance' that is being created at different rates at different locations. The concept of congenital gravitational structure ('structural gravity') is introduced and the possibility that antimatter experiences anti-gravity is discussed. Among other things, the conjectures predict the phenomenon of 'inverse gravitational lensing' and possible examples are identified — in particular as associated with the peculiar galaxy known as Hoag's Object. The conjectures also offer possible explanations for a number of poorly understood phenomena, including: the antimatter cloud; dark matter halos; the vacuum catastrophe; counter-rotating galaxies; the Hubble radius; and, large scale cosmic features. Although the ideas are mainly discussed at a conceptual level, the proposed model also makes a number of testable predictions.

**Keywords:** cosmology; modified gravity; time; dark energy; dark matter; antimatter; diffuse x-ray background; ring galaxies; Hoag's Object; vacuum catastrophe; cosmic horizon

**Author's Note:** *The ideas presented herein are a condensed form of a larger model presented in a (2020) book "Mind Openers 2.0: A Conceptual Reinterpretation of Modern Physics": currently available at [Mind Openers 2.0 on Amazon](#)*

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Unexplained Gravity


As first pointed out by Fritz Zwicky in the 1930s, and later confirmed by Vera Rubin and Kent Ford in the 1960s and 70s, the amount of ordinary matter in galaxies and intergalactic gas and dust, does not provide sufficient gravitational potential to account for galactic rotation curves, or the velocities of galaxies within clusters. Further direct evidence for this unexplained gravity comes from the degree of gravitational lensing by galaxies and clusters of galaxies, as well as from the gravitational potential needed to contain hot intergalactic gas within clusters. Although certain modifications to Newtonian and Einsteinian gravity have been considered, the most accepted explanation is that there must be a mysterious type of matter present that does not emit electromagnetic radiation, and which has come to be known as 'dark matter'. However, despite its broad acceptance, the dark-matter conjecture remains implacably challenged by the failure of efforts, thus far, to verifiably produce or detect a single dark-matter particle.

### 1.2 Structural Gravity

The most recent shift in the cosmological paradigm occurred in 1998, when studies of the distance vs. recession rates of type 1a supernova indicated that the rate of spatial expansion has been accelerating (Reiss et al. 1998:

Perlmutter et al. 1999) for about 6 billion years, and that said acceleration is being powered by an unexplained source that has come to be known as 'dark energy'. At present, the leading explanatory contender is that dark energy might be a manifestation of Einstein's cosmological constant, or a variation of that idea, known as 'quintessence', which allows the expansional force to vary with time. In this paper, I accept the cosmological 'constant' approach as being essentially correct, with the modification that the expansional energy density is allowed to vary smoothly across space. In this regard, the curvature of space is to be interpreted as representing variations in the rate of spatial expansion as brought about by the presence of matter, antimatter, and any inherent differences in dark energy density that may have been imprinted from quantum or inflationary effects at or near the Big Bang.

One of the major premises of the model, is that such natural variances (curvatures) are built into the fabric of space, as a kind of 'structural gravity' that can be locally overprinted by Newtonian gravity, as shown in Figure 1. It is this structural gravity that I propose will, at least partially, account for the gravitational effects currently attributed to dark matter. One of the aspects of the structural gravity (SG) conjecture that differentiates it from the dark matter conjecture, is that the former allows for SG highs, that will repel matter, whereas dark matter is understood to result only in gravitational attraction. This is very significant, because if such SG highs exist, they will give rise to a phenomenon that I have informally labelled 'inverse gravitational lensing', whereby light passing through such regions can be focused as through a concave lens to create smaller secondary images of the background. Among other arguments to be presented, I will make the case, that such images have been observed but are not yet recognized for what they are, and that a detailed comparison of the spectral signatures of primary and secondary stellar and galactic images can effectively test the conjecture.




**Figure 1:** Illustration of “structural gravity” (SG). **Figure A** shows how the gravitational field, arising from SG, will be oriented towards local minimums in the rate at which space is being created. **Figure B** shows how Newtonian (or, if you prefer, “Einsteinian”) gravity is overlaid on this congenital background, where mass is present. Mass will tend to settle in the SG valleys, which become the seeds of galaxies.

As to the source of SG features, we may consider quantum or inflationary effects at or near the Big Bang. However, an obvious challenge to the model arises from standard inflationary theory that would have,

presumably, flattened space to the point that no significant structure, beyond the recognized cosmic microwave background variations, would have survived. On the other hand, recent work on the inflationary epoch (Garriga et. al. 2015) suggests that secondary inflationary bubbles may arise within the inflating bubble that became our universe, the remnants of which can form large gravitational features — including possible seeds for what are to later become super massive black holes (SMBHs). Obviously such 'structural black holes' built into space from the very beginning would also come in handy in addressing the burning question of how SMBHs could have come into being so early<sup>1</sup> in the life of the universe — when it was only a few hundred million years old — which is difficult to explain by current stellar-collapse/matter-accretion models. The presence of large SG features centered by structural SMBHs may also be useful in explaining observed correlations between the masses of central black holes and galactic bulges, and the dispersion of stellar velocities within those bulges. Obviously, such a pre-formed spatial 'landscape' would have a significant effect on how matter would tend to collect and move within that space.

### 1.3 The Interaction Between Space, Matter, and Antimatter

Another fundamental aspect of the proposed model, is that matter imparts positive curvature to spacetime by locally slowing of the rate of spatial expansion. And although the question of how antimatter interacts with gravity remains unanswered, I propose that symmetry considerations dictate that it must feel and create antigravity. Indeed, this is not a new idea but has been wondered about since antimatter was discovered in 1932. And, according to Villata (2011), it also has a sound theoretical grounding in that it is mathematically consistent with the general theory of relativity when subjected charge-parity-time (CPT) symmetry. Not only would this conjecture imply that antimatter is gravitationally repelled by matter, but also that its presence will introduce negative spacetime curvature, which the model equates to a local increase in the rate of spatial expansion. Whereas mass will create gravitational 'valleys' that attract matter, anti-mass will create gravitational 'hills' that attract antimatter and repel matter, as illustrated in Figure 2.



**Figure 2:** Two-dimensional illustration of **A:** An SG high surrounded by side-lobes; and, **B:** An SG low surrounded by side-lobes. The wave nature of the SG anomalies allows that side lobes and harmonics to the fundamental wave will be observed.

<sup>1</sup> 'Oldest Monster Black Hole Ever Found is 800 Million Times More Massive Than the Sun', Science and Astronomy, Dec. 6, 2017: <https://www.space.com/39000-oldest-farthest-monster-black-hole-yet.html>

Also note that the development of SMBH's is discussed in a follow-up to this paper titled "Is Newton's Gravitational 'Constant' Increasing?": <https://vixra.org/abs/1701.0591>

And, although symmetry dictates that antimatter will attract antimatter, its tendency to accelerate spatial expansion will favour its dispersion across broad 'gravitational plains' (aka voids) between the valleys that contain the matter galaxies. Nevertheless, it will still be possible to preserve local structural highs in circumstances where they are surrounded by matter and/or an SG low, that slows expansion in the surrounding space, as shown in Figure 2A.

The preservation of an SG high would also be re-enforced by the presence of concentrated antimatter, which may form anti-stars<sup>2</sup> and galaxies that would repel matter stars and galaxies. Accordingly, such unusual spatial configurations could give rise to rare, ring-shaped galaxies, in which matter is concentrated in the outer ring, while antimatter is concentrated within the center. In this regard, I will make the case, that such objects have been observed, but not recognized as such. The model also allows for a second type of structural ring galaxy, that is centered by matter, as illustrated in Figure 2B.

## **2. Theory**

### **2.1 The Principle of Equivalence**

The story is well known. Einstein is sitting in his chair at the patent office in 1907, and imagines that if he were to jump out the window and free-fall, he would not feel the effects of gravity until his inevitable meetup with the pavement below. From this thought experiment came the 'principle of equivalence' (POE), which recognized for the first time why being accelerated in a car or elevator feels so much like being pulled into your chair by the force of gravity. In effect, Einstein was combining Newton's second law of motion ( $F = ma$ ) with his law of gravitation ( $F = GMm/r^2$ ) in a more profound way. Combining these two equations we get:  $ma = GMm/r^2$ . Cancelling the small m's we get:  $a = GM/r^2$ . What Einstein asserted is that the equal sign can here be replaced by an equivalence sign, such that:  $a \equiv GM/r^2$ .

To further explore this foundational insight, we call to mind the meaning of the word acceleration — i.e. a gradient in velocity. This being the case, it is incumbent to ask: *If gravity is a form of acceleration, then what is it that is moving, so that gravity can be manifested as a gradient in its velocity?* More on this shortly.

Newton's third law of motion states that: *'For every action, there is always an equal and opposite reaction; Or, the forces between two bodies are always equal and in opposite directions.'* In this, he was specifically speaking of forces. If I push on a wall, I feel the wall pushing back on me. In this regard, we may consider that at a fundamental level, there is no such thing as an active or passive agent, whereby one acts and the other reacts: but, there is only 'interaction'. This is an essential symmetry of nature, epitomized in the findings of quantum physics, where it has proven impossible to eliminate the effects of measurement from that which is being measured.

To continue, let us re-arrange the second law as:  $a = F/m$ , which means acceleration is the ratio of force to mass. From this, if we consider mass as being held constant within an interaction, then we can restate Newton's

---

<sup>2</sup> There is a consideration that may prevent the formation of 'ordinary' antimatter stars, that will not be discussed here. However, the gathering together of large agglomerations of glowing antimatter is still possible within this framework. The idea is discussed in detail in 'Mind Openers 2.0: A Conceptual Reinterpretation of Modern Physics'.

'interaction' law as: *For every acceleration, there is an equal and opposite acceleration.* And, from this it is incumbent to ask: *If gravity is an acceleration, then what is its equal and opposite element?*

Acceleration is a vector quantity, which can be represented by an arrow signifying its magnitude and direction. When we jump out the window we accelerate downwards, and in the process feel no force acting upon us. This means that our downward acceleration vector has been cancelled by another vector — which must be an upward directed vector of its exact opposite magnitude and direction at every point along the path. Here, we take Einstein's POE and the symmetry incorporated in Newton's third law very literally, and say that there is indeed something that is accelerating upward — and, that the vector representing this upward acceleration does exactly cancel the acceleration arising from our downward plunge. To come to the point of this lead-in, I propose that: *Space is a real and tangible phenomenon, and that it is space itself that is accelerating 'upward'.*


## **2.2 Inertial and Gravitational Mass**

It has been accepted knowledge since the days of Newton that: *Inertial mass is a measure of the resistance of a material object to being accelerated through space.* Building upon this principle, in the spirit of Newton's 'equal and opposite' interaction law, and Einstein's POE, I submit that: *Gravitational mass is a measure of the resistance to the expansion of space that arises from the presence of a material object. And, it is this resistance to spatial expansion introduced by matter, which gives rise to a deceleration in the spatial expansion rate that gives rise to gravity.*

But how is it that matter can slow the expansion of space? One way to approach this, is to consider that space is expanding by the continual creation of new space quanta at every point. The presence of matter then, somehow, reduces the rate at which these quanta are created, and therefore locally reduces the rate at which space expands away from the location of the mass. In other words, the presence of mass steals some of the expansional energy, so that the space quanta can carry additional information, in the form of some vibrational pattern relating to the distinctive characteristics of the matter: such as its mass, spin, and charge. And, as new space is being created everywhere, this local reduction in its expansion rate will dissipate with distance from the object, according to the inverse square law. Following this reasoning, it is encouraging that the equivalence of mass, energy, and information becomes intuitive. For, all can be interpreted as arising from variations in the rate at which spatial quanta are created, and in how those quanta vibrate (and possibly spin). Also, as space is to breed more space, the overall rate of expansion must increase exponentially as the universe gets bigger. However, it is only within the last 6 billion years that this effect has become expressed as an acceleration in the rate at which distant galaxies move apart — as the average mass/energy density of the observable universe has been reduced below a critical threshold, while the total energy of expansion has inexorably increased with the volume of space.

Another encouraging aspect of the model is that, since gravitational potential is to be communicated by a gradient in the rate of a tangible spatial expansion, there is no need for gravitons: which, like dark matter particles, have never been detected (although for gravitons the lack of detection is thought to be because of their inherent weakness of interaction at the individual particle level). Figure 3 illustrates how gravity is to arise from an asymmetry in spatial expansion vectors; and also, why a state of free fall is equivalent to floating through deep space (in the absence of an external gravitational field).

While standing on the ground (Figure 3A) we experience a reduced rate of spatial flow in the upward direction, represented by the shortened arrow, because of the mass of the Earth below us. When we jump out a window (Figure 3B) we accelerate downwards, which introduces an additional relative spatial acceleration in the upward direction, represented by the dashed arrow. The addition of the dashed to the solid arrow is the precise acceleration needed to return the situation to expansional symmetry.



**Figure 3:** Ignoring visual cues, tidal forces, and air resistance: a person in freefall will feel exactly the same sensation (no acceleration) as a person floating in deep space. **A:** Spatial flow when standing on the ground. **B:** Spatial flow while in free fall. **C:** Spatial flow while in deep space.

For simplicity, I have assumed a perfectly flat homogeneous Earth in which the left and right spatial flow vectors exactly cancel and can be ignored in 3A and 3B. Figure 3C illustrates how this is equivalent to floating in flat space, where expansion rates are the same in all directions, and the vectors add to a net of zero. Therefore, to recap what Einstein taught us: Free falling in a gravitational field where acceleration vectors cancel to zero, is exactly the same (ignoring tidal forces) as floating through deep space in the absence of an external gravitational field. What's new here, is the conjecture that: *The acceleration/deceleration of spatial flow along a particular direction is equivalent to gravity.* In Einstein's terms such decelerations in the rate of spatial expansion arising from the presence of mass equate to the curvature of spacetime. In this regard, flat spacetime occurs where the rate of expansion is constant across location, and curved spacetime is where the rate of expansion changes with location.

From this, it is clear that even if the rate of universal expansion changes over time, flat spacetime will remain flat as long as the rate of expansion increases isotropically. This implies that flat spacetime is not defined by having the kinetic energy of expansion equal to the gravitational potential that restrains it, as has been commonly assumed. In fact, our current understanding is that the total energy is not being conserved, as the total 'dark energy' increases as space expands, while the total matter is held constant, and the free-streaming EM radiation energy is reduced by expansional red-shifting. This interpretation of the nature of flatness is supported by the fact that the cosmic microwave background indicates that space, on the largest scale, averages to flat, within a .4% margin of error (NASA 2014), even though expansion is accelerating.

### **2.3 Frame Dragging**

An important additional concept in regard to the structural gravity conjecture, is that these congenital variations in dark energy density, may also have a built-in rotational aspect. General relativity asserts that a spinning mass causes frame dragging, which can be interpreted in the proposed model, as a rotational movement of the space quanta — like an eddy in a flowing river. As it is generally understood to arise from the rotational energy of matter, the reality of frame dragging is not controversial, and has been confirmed by the Gravity Probe B experiment (Everitt & Parkinson 2009). Such natural rotational movements of regions of spacetime, may have arisen, among the other possibilities allowed by quantum effects, near the Big Bang, and would subsequently have played a significant role in determining the types of galaxies that would evolve in regions with differing congenital rotations.

An additional factor that could introduce rotational aspects to spacetime arises from George Gamow's (1952) proposal that the young, plasma-filled universe, was characterized by turbulent flow, including eddies and vortices. The rotation of such highly concentrated matter in the early universe would also have caused frame-dragging, the footprints of which would survive to this day. More rapidly rotating SG valleys would be more likely to give rise to disk-shaped galaxies, whereas as slow or zero-rotation would favour ellipsoidal or spherical galaxies. Rotational attributes would also be modified by galactic collisions and mergers, resulting in a possible reduction or increase in angular momentum of the emergent galaxy.

### **2.4 On the Nature of Time**

General relativity dictates that time passes more slowly in a stronger gravitational field, which aligns well with the model. The expansion of space, which allows for an increase in overall entropy, has long been recognized as one of the processes that correlates to an arrow of time. The passage of time for an object is here interpreted to be a manifestation of how it flows through the space of possible states. In this regard, the flow through the spatial states is powered by spatial expansion; and so, the rate at which time passes at a location is determined by the rate at which space expands at that location. In this approach the connection between expansion-rate, gravity, and time also becomes intuitive: as a gradient in the rate of expansion, is equivalent to a gradient in the rate at which time flows, which combines with the principle of least action to create a gravitational force. For, as Feynman taught us: since the wave functions of quantum particles are more likely to add in-phase in the direction in which time is flowing slowest, then (according to the principle of least action) the classical objects to which they give rise will also move in the direction in which the clocks are running slower.

### **2.5 Backward Time**

In quantum field theory, Richard Feynman and Ernst Stueckelberg have independently interpreted antimatter, as being equivalent to matter that is moving backward in time. Accepting this premise: then, whereas matter wave functions will flow toward zones of slower time-passage, antimatter wave functions must flow in the direction in which time is passing more quickly. The principle of least action applies equally to electrical phenomena as to gravitational (and all) phenomena. Therefore, given that we already observe that antimatter has the opposite electrical charge to its matter counterpart, it is not unreasonable to consider that the same rule should apply to its 'mass charge'. Stated in Newtonian terms, if antimatter can be considered as negative

mass, and  $a = F/m$ , then a negative  $m$  will result in a negative acceleration in response to the same force  $F$ . This 'antigravity' effect is not easy to directly measure, given the relative weakness of gravity, and because we have yet to create a macro-object from antimatter.

### **3. Evidence**

#### **3.1 The Antimatter Cloud**

Since the 1970s, astronomers have wondered about the source of a 'giant cloud of antimatter surrounding the galactic center' (Naeye & Guthro 2008). If antimatter is indeed repelled by gravity, then any antimatter produced by high-energy particle interactions around the super massive black hole at the center of the Milky Way, and other strong sources of gravity, would tend to be accelerated outward. Antimatter repelled into the higher density regions within the plane of the disk would be more likely to be annihilated than if it went north or south of the disk. Also, since stars tend to spin within the plane of the galactic disk, strong EM fields arising from rapid rotation of plasma would also tend to send charged particles, including antimatter, into the nether regions above and below the galaxy via polar jets. The tendency of such antimatter clouds to be repelled from matter would also aid in their preservation. On this point, there is also a blackhole near the center of the Milky Way known as the 'Great Annihilator<sup>3</sup>', with an intense positron-electron annihilation spectral peak: which is suggestive of antimatter (created in high energy interactions near the event horizon) being separated from matter by the intense gravity, repelled away from the blackhole, and annihilating with electrons in the surrounding matter.

#### **3.2 Ring Galaxies**


As noted in the introduction, evidence of structural gravity may be found in the images of certain unusual galaxies. An SG high surrounded by SG side-lobes, as seen in Figure 2A, would result in a collection of matter surrounding a central concentration of antimatter, from which it is repelled. Repulsion from the surrounding matter, would tend to compress the antimatter core into an elongated shape, resulting in a cigar-shaped galaxy inside an enclosure of matter, with empty space between the two. Obviously, there would need to be enough attractive gravitational potential amongst the matter and SG lows to maintain the structure. For, if the dark energy density was high enough it would overcome the gravitational attraction that holds the system together: but, if not, it could remain quite stable.

This pattern is observed in the Helix Galaxy, as seen in Figure 4A. Interestingly, if we add significant galactic rotation about the long axis, centrifugal force dictates that matter must concentrate into a ring, as seen in Figure 4B. An additional effect that would support the growth of rings, is that matter particles approaching the antimatter / SG anomaly from either direction, particularly along its long axis, would tend to be repelled outwards to ultimately collide and collect within and around the ring structure. Such matter accumulation would consist mostly of hydrogen and helium from the intergalactic medium, and would work to deepen the gravitational well that holds the ring together, and to stimulate stellar birth. Also, according to the SG model the side-lobe lows should surround the galaxy in three dimensions — as seen in the Helix galaxy. Therefore, if

---

<sup>3</sup> Source: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Great\\_Annihilator](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Great_Annihilator)

the antimatter axis within such a ring galaxy extends far enough, it should begin to feel repulsion from the SG low above and below the plane of the ring and be diverted sideways, as seen in Figure 4C.



**Figure 4: A: Helix galaxy.** Image used with permission: Ken Crawford [www.imagingdeepsky.com](http://www.imagingdeepsky.com)

**B: Polar Ring Galaxy, NGC 4650A:** Image credit: J. Gallagher (UW-M) et al. & the Hubble Heritage Team (AURA/ STScI/ NASA).

**C: Polar Ring Galaxy, NCG 660:** Image credit: Gemini Observatory, AURA, Travis Rector (Univ. Alaska Anchorage).

**D: Hoag's Object.** Image credit: R. Lucas (STScI/AURA), Hubble Heritage Team, NASA.


At present these polar ring galaxies are interpreted as arising from galactic collisions, and there is strong evidence that many of them can be so produced. However, with regard to the particular examples presented here, one wonders how it came to be that they collided with such precision to create almost perfect circular symmetry. We are also faced with the coincidence that we happen to be seeing them at exactly the moment that one galaxy is halfway through the other one, or is symmetrically enclosed, as in the case of the Helix galaxy. All things considered; it would seem that this accumulation of coincidences begs a more convincing explanation.

### 3.3 Inverse Gravitational Lensing

The most direct evidence in favour of the SG model, may be observed where the plane of the ring galaxy is approximately at a right angle to us, such as Hoag's Object (Figure 4D). Here we see the ring symmetry laid out to ultimate perfection, with a glowing central orb surrounded by a wispy ring of stars, and nothing between the two. As to why a structural gravity anomaly should be found to have such symmetry, we may consider an initial built-in symmetry in structural gravity and/or that gas and dust approaching a central repulsive force will tend

to be symmetrically repulsed before being pulled together by Newtonian gravity into a symmetrical halo. Again, we are to only consider the conceptual aspects at this point: and assert that much remains to be quantified.

The picture of Hoag's object also shows a clear image of a second ring galaxy, and more careful inspection suggests the wispy images of two additional ring galaxies, as identified by the arrows in Figure 5A. It is certainly possible that the most clearly imaged of the smaller rings (on the far left), could be a more distant galaxy that is visible through the empty part of the larger ring. However, the other two appear to overlap the outer ring, and are thus likely to lie between us and Hoag's object, or they would have been blocked from view. And yet, these two weaker images are almost exactly the same size as the one on the left. Furthermore, according to a study of the frequency of occurrence of ring galaxies (Chatterjee 1986), they are observed at roughly .01% as often as spirals. So, unless there is something particularly favourable along this sightline for the development of ring galaxies, the odds of finding two of them overlapping with the same orientation would be, at best, miniscule. And, the odds of finding four of them, of which three are the same size, orientation and colour would be astronomically lower.




**Figure 5:** Illustration of inverse gravitational lensing. **A:** Blow-up of Hoag's object showing three possible secondary images, of almost exactly the same size — indicated by arrows. **B:** Illustrates SG anomaly in plan and map view, and primary image of ring galaxy, where light takes a more direct path. **C:** Illustrates SG anomaly in plan and map view, and secondary image of ring galaxy, where light takes a more indirect path through the SG high. **D:** Schematic of how a double image would appear for a ring galaxy whose disk is close, but not exactly,  $90^\circ$  to us. *Hoag's Object. Image credit: R. Lucas (STScI/AURA), Hubble Heritage Team, NASA.*

From this, I propose that the smaller rings are, in fact, secondary images of Hoag's object produced by inverse gravitational lensing. The light paths to produce these secondary images are outlined in Figures 5B and 5C; and Figure 5D illustrates the resultant model with one secondary image. Essentially, the idea is that the negative spatial curvature introduced by an area of accelerated expansion acts as a concave lens, lying between us and the object. Obviously to get this much lensing from a single galaxy requires intense spacetime curvature, which may be explained by the exaggerated gradients introduced by the juxtaposition of matter and antimatter upon an existing SG anomaly.

The lensing would also be enhanced by the elongated shape of the SG 'hill', which can be formed along filaments, as evidenced by current imaging of 'dark matter' features. That the secondary images are off center can be explained by the fact that the disk of Hoag's object is not precisely 90° us. The fact that they are redder, may be because the light passed through more dust along the secondary paths and/or experienced a greater loss of energy to the gravitational potential. The fact that there can be multiple secondary images, suggests that some inherent asymmetry within the system allows for multiple paths by which the light can reach us. The question of whether they are dual images of the same object may be answered by obtaining sharper imaging of both (across the EM spectrum) for comparison. An energetic event (such as a supernova) in Hoag's Object that later appears in one or more of the conjectured secondary images would seal the deal.

Spectral analysis indicates that the core of Hoag's Object contains stars<sup>4</sup> of about 10 billion years old, while the ring contains young stars of about 2 billion years old, formed from gas that has been accreted from the intergalactic medium (Finkelman et al., 2011). This would certainly be consistent with a model in which intergalactic gas colliding with a structural gravity 'hill', would be shunted to the side-lobe outskirts, where it would be gradually concentrated by Newtonian and structural gravity to the degree needed for star formation. An object (nicknamed 'Burcin's galaxy') that looks a lot like Hoag's Object, but with a double outer ring, was identified by Pakdil et al (2016) at the University of Minnesota. Although the released images are fairly choppy as compared to the Hubble image of Hoag's Object, they show some hints of possible secondary images — but nothing definitive. It is to be anticipated that better imaging will reveal a good deal more about this very interesting galaxy.

Following the same structural model, secondary images may also be produced by SG anomalies with multiple lobes positive and negative curvature, as shown in Figure 6, and such anomalies could give rise to multiple



concentric rings, such as are observed in NGC 7217, to be discussed in the next section.

**Figure 6:** Illustration of how an SG anomaly centered by gravitational valley (positive curvature) and surrounded by large side-lobes can also produce multiple images. Arrows indicate how light paths will be altered by the curvature of space, and how light from the same object can reach us by multiple paths.

<sup>4</sup> Once again. If 'ordinary' antimatter stars cannot form, the center of this feature may be a large agglomeration of glowing antimatter.

### 3.4 Wrong Way Stars

An additional effect we would expect, if antimatter reacts to a gravitational field and frame dragging in the opposite way to matter, is that antimatter trapped within a rotating system would tend to move in the opposite direction to the matter. NGC 7217 (Figure 7) is another beautiful example of a ring galaxy, that shows a number of smaller concentric rings within a larger ring. As it turns out, one of the unusual observations about this galaxy is that some of the stars in the central orb are moving in the opposite direction about the galactic center to those within the outer ring (Merrifield & Kuijken 1994).

Indeed, the model under discussion does not rule out the formation of full-on antimatter spiral or elliptical galaxies, in which the antimatter galaxy rotates in the opposite direction to the SG frame dragging. However, if the rate of frame dragging rotation exceeds the rate at which the antimatter galaxy is rotating within the space, we may observe spiral arms that appear to be moving in the wrong direction. As it turns out, this phenomenon has already been observed in NGC 4622 (Buta et al. 2003). A further example of wrong-way rotation is observed in NGC 4826 (Brawn et. al. 1992), where the dust clouds are in counter-rotation to the stars.



**Figure 7:** Ring galaxy NGC 7217: Shows a number of concentric rings inside a large outer ring. These rings may arise from varying degrees of inverse lensing along different paths. Image used with permission of: Makis Palaiologou, Stefan Binnewies, Josef Pöpsel – [www.capella-observatory.com](http://www.capella-observatory.com)

### 3.5 Dark Matter Halo and Cosmic X-Ray Background

Another important role for antimatter in explaining the gravitational potential, that we currently attribute to dark matter, is in the way it would tend to surround galaxies in intergalactic space. If it does indeed repel matter,

then antimatter particles within the intergalactic medium would contribute (with SG) to the 'dark matter halo' that surrounds galaxies. In this regard, the matter within the galaxies would not only be attracted toward the center by Newtonian and SG gravity, but would also be pushed inward by its repulsion from the surrounding antimatter.

Obviously this model requires the presence of vast quantities of antimatter, that would have had to be present within the plasma that filled the universe prior to the great 're-combination' believed to have occurred 380,000 years after the Big Bang. If so it should have contributed to the creation of cold spots in the cosmic microwave background (CMB), and helped to push matter together in a way that is currently attributed to dark matter. The presence of antimatter at this high-density stage of the universe should also have caused intense annihilations along the matter/antimatter borders, and the resultant emission of gamma rays. Today, any gamma rays emitted at the surface of last scattering would be stretched about eleven-hundred-fold and show up as a diffuse cosmic x-ray background in a kind of honeycomb pattern outlining the interfaces between matter and antimatter dominated zones. Although cosmic x-ray maps are typically dominated by strong emissions from hot gas clouds and point-sources like quasars, such foreground effects may potentially be removed to expose a primordial x-ray background. One of the more common background emissions to be expected from the model would be from electron/positron annihilation, resulting in an x-ray energy peak of about .46 keV today — which would be good place to start looking.

Additionally, it may be found that antimatter can collect within the relative 'highs' between the arms of spiral galaxies, which would contribute to their stability. Should such broad expanses of antimatter exist within intra and intergalactic space, they would also present a significant impediment to interstellar travel by beings and objects made of matter, and may provide at least a partial answer to Fermi's question of 'Where are they?'

### **3.6 The 'Cuspy Halo' Problem**

One of the flies in the ointment of the dark-matter conjecture, is that the density profiles arising from dwarf galaxy simulations predict a central high-density 'cusp', that drops off quickly from the core (More et. al. 1994; Oh et. al. 2015). However, these predictions are at odds with observed galaxy rotation curves which suggest a rather flat center. The SG model could account for this condition, as the 'valleys' are not required to take on any particular steepness and could presumably run the gamut of gradients. Also, smaller anomalies that contain less mass and host smaller structural black holes at their centers, would place less of a constraint on the effects of universal expansion, which would tend to flatten out the SG anomalies over time. Steeper SG gradients in the early universe would also contribute to more violent energy interactions resulting in more powerful quasars and gamma ray bursts, which is also consistent with observations.


### **3.7 Testing for Antigravity and SG**

The question of whether antimatter has a negative mass charge is one of the most important in physics, and has been notoriously difficult to test. Perhaps it might be possible to compare the energies of annihilation photons arising from antimatter particles emitted upwards and downwards in a long, evacuated tube to investigate the conjecture. An alternative would be to confine the antimatter particles within a magnetic field and compare the frequencies of synchrotron radiation from the upward and downward moving particles. The effects could be

amplified using a centrifuge, which would also be an important test of the equivalence principle. Whatever test is eventually effective, an affirmation of antigravity would have profound consequences for our understanding of stellar and galactic dynamics.

We may also find further clues in the heavens, if we were to observe groups of 'wrong-way' stars, that we may suspect as being made of antimatter. Where wrong-way stars are in close proximity to matter stars, we may look for evidence of collision between stellar and anti-stellar winds. Similarly, if any of the images mentioned earlier turn out to be the result of inverse gravitational lensing, we may look for such particle/anti-particle interactions within these systems.

More evidence of structural gravity might be found by looking for other types of inverse gravitational lensing. As shown in Figure 8, if SG highs are present in empty space, then we may observe that unrelated background stars are focused into clusters. Stellar spectra of such clustered images may be compared to background stars to look for exact matches.




**Figure 8: A:** Map view of SG high, with illustration of inverse gravitational imaging of background stars. **B:** Illustration of what primary and secondary images would look like. The clustered secondary images may be fuzzy because of imperfect focusing.

Again, if a background star goes supernova, we should be able to observe the same event within the secondary image, with an appropriate delay for its longer light path. This clustering of background galactic images should also be evident in the centers of ring galaxies, such as Hoag's object, but may be more difficult to discern if there is an agglomeration of antimatter present to block the view, and/or a haze from stellar/anti-stellar wind interactions. Indeed, the number of galaxies per unit area observed through the ring of Hoag's Object appears to be significantly higher than what can be seen in the surrounding space.

In a similar vein, if a relatively empty SG high lies between us and a distant object, followed by an intervening low closer to us: the combination may create the equivalent of a 'gravitational telescope' (as illustrated in Figure

9), creating a diffuse magnified image of a background galaxy. A possible example of this phenomenon, is the giant low-surface-brightness spiral known as Malin 1. Malin 1 is located close to the celestial north pole, at a distance of 1.19 billion light-years. It shows a bright center surrounded by exaggerated spiral arms that appear to reach a diameter of six and one-half times that of the Milky Way, and as such it is currently considered to be the largest known spiral galaxy.



**Figure 9: A:** Illustration of a gravitational telescope, in plan and cross-sectional view.

**B:** Low-surface-brightness spiral galaxy Malin1. The image has been processed to enhance the visibility of the spiral arms. *Image credit: SDSS/Giuseppe Donatiello. Taken from the original image by Galaz et al. (2015).*

For other interesting galactic examples, the reader is invited to take a look at AM 0644-741 on the Hubblesite, where it appears that a ring galaxy has created at least one, and possibly two, secondary images of a background galaxy, and the so-called 'heart-shaped galaxy', where we may be seeing an inverted secondary image of a ring galaxy.

### 3.8 The Vacuum Catastrophe

Another question that may be addressed by a negative gravitational charge for antimatter is the so-called 'vacuum catastrophe.' The most dramatic mismatch in all of physics arises when we calculate the energy density of the vacuum, based on the frequency of 'vacuum fluctuations'. Using the Planck-Einstein formula of  $E = hf$ , and setting the wave period at the Planck time ( $\sim 10^{-44}$  seconds), we get an energy density of  $\sim 10^{115}$  joules/m<sup>3</sup> if we just take into account the smallest possible EM wavelength. Converting this to mass via  $m = E/c^2$ , gives a mass density of  $\sim 10^{96}$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>. If this mass/energy resulted in attractive gravity the observable universe would collapse into a black hole. If it represented an expansional force, everything in the universe, including atoms, would be blown apart. The real situation is that the vacuum has a slight expansional energy, that when expressed as mass<sup>5</sup> comes to about  $10^{-26}$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>. To account for this great disparity, something must be going on to cancel all that extra energy, and leave only the tiny expansional vacuum energy.

The idea that antimatter has a negative gravitational charge, which can cancel out the positive gravitational charge of its matter counterpart offers a solution. If the gravitational effects of all of the virtual particle/anti-

<sup>5</sup> Based on Hubble Factor (H) of 67.4 km/s/mpc and the formula  $\rho_c = 3H^2/8\pi G$ , where  $\rho_c$  is the critical density for a flat spacetime.

particle pairings that are popping into and out of existence, cancel out perfectly then we would expect a vacuum energy that averages to exactly zero. However, as there is a slight built-in bias towards expansion in our observable universe, we get the accelerating expansion we observe. We can speculate on what would be the source of this imbalance, which would be rooted in some kind of broken symmetry — such as having some antiparticle with a slightly longer decay time than its matter equivalent. As it turns out, there are certain particles, including neutral kaons, that can decay in dual ways: one that involves the release an electron, and the other a positron. It turns out that the decay is asymmetric when it comes to matter vs. antimatter, with the release of the positron having a slightly higher probability (Christenson, Cronin, Fitch and Turlay, 1964). For low energy virtual particles (that stick around long enough to decay), this kind of asymmetry would create a slight bias in favour of expansion (if antimatter has a negative mass charge).

### 3.9 The Hubble Radius


Another key assumption in the proposed model, is that spatial expansion is manifested as the passage of time. If this is correct then the metrical distance to any co-moving point in Planck lengths must equal its temporal distance in Planck times. Although spatial expansion can most certainly push matter apart, objects that are close enough that other forces like EM and gravity remain dominant are not receding on this account. And, at great distances, where gravity is the only force in play, the expansional force can only act to move matter apart where the attraction between masses falls below the dark-energy repulsion of the vacuum. Beginning at this point, the velocity of separation of galaxies gradually increases, according to Hubble's law, and ultimately reaches the speed of light at the Hubble radius.

Figure 9 is constructed on the basis of two assumptions: (1) Everything started out at a point at the Big Bang; and (2) As the rate of expansion increases, so does the rate at which time passes — i.e. faster expansion of the universe = faster aging of the universe (even during the hypothesized inflationary stage). Point number two, which is based on the equivalence of expansion of space and the passage of time is not accepted physics, but is fundamental to the model being presented.

With these assumptions, as shown in Figure 10, the co-moving distance to any spatial point at any instant in time must be equal to the velocity at which that point is expanding away from us, multiplied by the time since the Big Bang. Obviously, this should not be confused with the distance to the object when its light reaches us, which will be much greater because of continued expansion after the photon was emitted. The crucial point here, is that the distance at which the rate of recession due to expansion equals the speed of light ( $R_H$  = Hubble radius), must always be equal to the age of the universe multiplied by the speed of light<sup>6</sup> ( $c$ ). A simple proof of why  $R_H$  must equal  $cT$  in this model is provided in Appendix A.

---

<sup>6</sup> Implications arising from this conjecture as to the stability of Newton's gravitational constant are explored in a separate paper to be found here: <http://vixra.org/abs/1701.0591>



**Figure 10:** Illustration of why the Hubble Radius should always be equal to the speed of light multiplied by the age of the Universe, if our distance in space-quanta from any object/event is equal to our distance in time-quanta from that object/event. This being the case, the distance to the Big Bang *in time* must also equal its distance *in space*, with the speed of light as the proportionality constant for converting time to distance or vice versa. Galaxies receding at less than  $c$  will have formed from matter that emerged between us and matter at the Hubble radius. These will always remain inside our Hubble radius, while galaxies receding at  $c$ , or greater than  $c$ , will remain invisible to us. Hence, when we plot the rate of expansion as increasing or decreasing with time, so that it shows curvature, this is because we are plotting against the rate at which time is flowing as fixed: while (in this model) it must vary with the rate of expansion.

As currently estimated, based on the Hubble factor of 67.40 km/s per mega parsec (Ade et al. 2013), the Hubble radius comes in at about 14.3 billion light years: which is not far off the age of the universe ( $T = 13.8$  billion years) times  $c$ . Perhaps more precise measurements will show that the two are precisely equal. As it goes, more recent data from the Hubble telescope measurements (of distances to co-moving objects) gives a number of 74.03 km/s per megaparsec<sup>7</sup>: giving a Hubble radius of 13.2 billion light years. Interestingly, these numbers average to 13.75 billion years, which is getting very close to the age of the universe multiplied by the speed of light. If the Hubble radius does equal  $cT$ , then it must clearly increase with time, which implies that we should always see the same galaxies within our cosmic horizon at all times. This means that as galaxies become more and more distant, they will not disappear across the horizon, but will simply become more and more red-shifted by the expansion. However, if the Hubble radius were greater than  $cT$ , then the universe must be aging faster than space is expanding, and we should see new galaxies come into view as more time passes. Alternatively, if the Hubble radius were less than  $cT$ , then space is expanding faster than the universe is aging, and we should see galaxies disappear across the horizon.

### 3.10 Cosmic Scale Structures

As the size of the conjectured structural gravity features would not depend on the gradual spreading of gravitational effects at the speed of light from zones of over-dense dark matter, this model places no limit on the size of cosmic features. As noted, congenital SG anomalies would be built into the fabric of spacetime from near the Big Bang. They could range from the very large, extending across the entire observable universe and

<sup>7</sup> Universe is Expanding 9% Faster than Expected, New Hubble Measurements Show', Sci News, April 26, 2019: <http://www.sci-news.com/astronomy/universe-expanding-faster-07131.html>

beyond — to much smaller features that would remain sub-galactic in size even after 14 billion years of expansion.

Indeed, astronomers and astrophysicists are already bearing witness to such large-scale structures. An example is the Huge Quasar Group (Clowes et. al. 2013) (6), which measures about 4 billion light years across, and was in place at a redshift of 1.3 — when the universe was only 4 billion years old. Additionally, Horváth et. al. (2014) (10) have reported an even larger feature, called the Hercules-Corona Borealis Great Wall, which is estimated to range between 6 to 10 billion light years across, at a redshift of 1.6 to 2.1 — when the universe was only 3 to 4 billion years old. And, even a cursory examination of the cosmic microwave background maps from the WMAP and Planck satellites shows the hotter and colder regions organized along large continent-like patterns that span the entire sky.

#### **4. Summary**

The discovery of dark energy, combined with our existing knowledge of spatial expansion has opened the door to a new understanding of gravity. I have introduced a conjecture that unites dark energy, dark matter, and spacetime curvature as manifestations of the same thing. The idea also leads logically to an understanding of time as a measure of the rate of spatial expansion. A second, related conjecture, rooted in the ideas of Richard Feynman and Ernst Stueckelberg, is that antimatter moves backward in time; which can be interpreted as simply moving through the space of states in the opposite way to matter; whether we are talking about its response to an electromagnetic, gravitational, or any other type of field.

The question of what happened to all the antimatter that would have been created with original matter has been around for awhile. A lot of it was most certainly annihilated (creating the primordial photons that later became the CMB), but there may be room among the theories for a lot of antimatter to have survived. The idea that it may be, mostly, spread thinly through intergalactic voids, with some accumulations forming unusual galaxies has also been explored herein. Evidence of its survival to the surface of last scattering may be found in a cosmic x-ray background.

Although these interlinked conjectures are introduced at a predominantly conceptual level, they do present interesting angles on a number of unanswered questions, and also make some unambiguous predictions. Time, technology and tenacity will tell the tale.

#### **Acknowledgements**

The author thanks NASA for allowing public use of its vast, unprecedented and beautiful collection of space images. Also, sincere thanks to Ken Crawford of the Rancho del Sol Observatory for permission use his image of the Helix Galaxy, and to Stefan Binnewies, Makis Palaiologou, Josef Pöpsel of the Capella Observatory for permission to use their image of NGC 7217.

## References

1. Ade, P.A.R., Aghanim, N., Alves, M.I.R., Armitage-Caplan, C., Arnaud, M. et. al., 2013, Planck 2013 Results — Overview of Products and Scientific Results, arXiv:1303.5062, astro-ph.CO
2. Brawn, R., René, A.M., Walterbos, R.A.M., Kennicutt R.C. Jr., 1992, Counter-rotating gaseous disks in the "Evil Eye" galaxy NGC4826. *Nature* 360: 442. Bibcode:1992Natur.360..442B. doi:10.1038/360442a0.
3. Buta, R.J., Byrd, G.G., Freeman, T., 2003, The Ringed Spiral Galaxy NGC 4622. I. Photometry, Kinematics, and the Case for Two Strong Leading Outer Spiral Arms, *Astronomical Journal* 125 (2): 634–666. arXiv:astro-ph/0211002, Bibcode:2003AJ....125..634B. doi:10.1086/345821.
4. Chatterjee, T.K., 1986, A Dynamical Study of the Frequency of Ring Galaxies, *Astrophysics and Space Science* (ISSN 0004-640X), vol. 132, no. 1, April 1987, p. 177-189.
5. J. H. Christenson, J. W. Cronin, V. L. Fitch and R. Turlay, "Evidence for the  $2\pi$  Decay of the  $K_2^0$  Meson," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 13, 138 (1964).
6. Clowes, R., Harris, K.A., Raghunathan, S., Campusano, L.E., Söchtig, I.K et. al., 2013, A structure in the early Universe at  $z \sim 1.3$  that exceeds the homogeneity scale of the R-W concordance cosmology, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, <http://mnras.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2013/01/07/mnras.sts497.full>
7. Everitt, C.W.F., Parkinson, B.W., 2009, Gravity Probe B Science Results—NASA [http://einstein.stanford.edu/content/final\\_report/GPB\\_Final\\_NASA\\_Report-020509-web.pdf](http://einstein.stanford.edu/content/final_report/GPB_Final_NASA_Report-020509-web.pdf)
8. Finkelman, I., Moiseev, A., Brosch, N., Katkov, I., 2011, Hoag's Object: Evidence for Cold Accretion onto and Elliptical Galaxy, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1108.3079>
9. Garriga, J., Vilenkin, A., Zhang, J., 2015, Black Holes and the Multiverse, arXiv:1512.01819
10. Horváth, I., Bagoly, Z., Hakkila, J., Toth, L.V., 2014, Possible structure in the GRB sky distribution at redshift two., arXiv:1401.0533. Bibcode:2014A&A...561L..12H. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201323020.
11. More, B., 1994, Evidence against dissipation-less dark matter from observations of galaxy halos, *Nature* 370 (6491): 629–631. doi:10.1038/370629a0.
12. Naeye, R., Guthro, R., 2008, Goddard Space Flight Center, NASA [http://www.nasa.gov/centers/goddard/news/topstory/2007/antimatter\\_binary.html](http://www.nasa.gov/centers/goddard/news/topstory/2007/antimatter_binary.html)
13. Merrifield, M.R., Kuijken, K., 1994, Counter-rotating stars in the disk of the SAB galaxy NGC 7217, *Astrophysical Journal* 432 (2): 575–589
14. NASA 2014, WMAP project: [http://map.gsfc.nasa.gov/universe/uni\\_shape.html](http://map.gsfc.nasa.gov/universe/uni_shape.html)
15. Oh, S., Hunter, D.A., Brinks, E., Elmegreen, B.G., Schrubba, A., et. al. 2015, High-resolution Mass Models of Dwarf Galaxies from Little Things, *AJ* 149 (6): 96

17. Pakdil, B.M., Mangedarage, Mithila, Seigar, M.S., Treuthardt, P., 2016, A Photometri Study of the Peculiar and Potentially Double Ringed, Non-barred Galasy; PGC 1000714, MNRAS (2016) 466 (1): 355-368, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.03530>

17. Perlmutter, S., Aldering, G., Goldharber, G., Knop, R.A., Nugent, P.G., et al. 1999, Measurements of  $\Omega$  and  $\Lambda$  From 42 High-Redshift Supernova, Supernova Cosmology Project, As-trophys. J.517, 565

18. Riess, A., Filippenko, A., Challis, P., Clocchiattia, A., Diercks, A., et al. 1998, Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant, High-Z Supernova Search, Astron. J. 116, 1009

19. Villata, M., 2011, CPT Symmetry and Antimatter Gravity in General Relativity, A Letters Journal Exploring the Frontiers of Physics, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1103.4937>

## **Appendix A : Proof that $R_H = cT$ in quantized space model**

Let  $T =$  age of universe  $= N_T t_p$  where  $N_T$  equals the total number of spacetime quanta created along any sightline to the Big Bang surface, and  $t_p$  equals the Planck time.

Consider that the distance to any object ( $D$ ), when it emits a photon in our direction, must equal the number of spacetime quanta ( $N$ ) along a sightline to the object, multiplied by the size of the space quanta (the Planck length,  $l_p$ ). Written as an equation:

$$D = N l_p$$

Then, assuming everything started out at a point, the speed ( $V$ ), at which the object was receding from us due to the expansion of space when it emitted a particular photon, equals the distance to that object when it emitted the photon, divided the time ( $T$ ) it had taken for the object to reach that distance away from us by expansion (i.e the time since the Big Bang). Therefore:

$$V = D/T = N l_p / N_T t_p = (N/N_T) (l_p/t_p)$$

And since  $(l_p/t_p) = c$ , we get

$$V = (N/N_T)c$$

Therefore, the only time that  $V = c$  is if  $N = N_T$ , which is also by definition when  $D = R_H$

From this we can say

$$R_H = N_T l_p$$

And recalling that  $l_p = c t_p$  by definition, and substituting into the above we get

$$R_H = c N_T t_p \text{ and since } N_T t_p = \text{the age of the universe } T, \text{ then}$$

$$R_H = cT$$