


# Stellar Metamorphosis: Kepler 16, another Falsification of the Nebular Hypothesis


Jeffrey J. Wolynski  
Jeffrey.wolynski@yahoo.com  
February 3, 2014  
Cocoa, FL 32922

*Abstract: A binary star system has been discovered that completely falsifies the nebular hypothesis. The replacement theory is offered as stellar metamorphosis. The stars in question are simply in different stages to their evolution, they did not form from a theoretical nebular disk. Establishment physics will ignore the falsification of their accepted models and its effective replacement and they will ridicule and label the discoverers and sharers of this understanding as cranks/crackpots and pseudoscientists. Stellar Metamorphosis completely replaces their ad hoc mathematical models of star evolution. A diagram of where the stars are in their evolution is provided.*

The Kepler-16 system should only have one central star according to the nebular hypothesis, but there is a huge problem. It has two central stars. This means the nebular hypothesis/protoplanetary disk model of solar system formation has been falsified. The majority of the mass of the theoretical/mathematical nebular disk should have centralized the material and formed one star, this did not happen.



The replacement for the theoretical/mathematical/ad hoc models of establishment physics has already been offered. The stars are simply different stages to their evolution. The theory is called stellar metamorphosis, which explains that establishment has made root assumptions that are wrong. They took stars and planets and made them mutually exclusive. Yet they are the exact same objects only in different stages of evolution. They are all different ages and took up residence around each other as they moved about the galaxy. Their current orbits are not permanent because they will lose mass as they age. Both mass loss and migration are not allowed by the establishment, thus we must ignore establishment physicists to continue on with the progress of humanity. They will not correct their models, they will only ridicule and call the reader a crank/crackpot/pseudoscientist if the reader should present this understanding.



As stars cool and die they become what the establishment calls "planets/exoplanets". This is basic star science. A planet is an old star and a star is a new planet, they are the exact same objects.

- [1] Wołynski, Jeffrey (2012). *Stellar Metamorphosis: An Alternative for the Star Sciences*. <http://vixra.org/pdf/1303.0157vC.pdf>.
- [2] Abruzzo, Anthony (2008). *Are Planets the End Products Rather than the By-Products of Stellar Evolution?*. The General Science Journal <http://gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Research%20Papers-Astrophysics/Download/1160>.
- [3] Oparin, Alexander (1924). *The Origin of Life*. <http://www.valencia.edu/~orilife/textos/The%20Origin%20of%20Life.pdf>.