

# The Definitions for Object and Space

Jeffrey J. Wolynski  
August 26, 2013  
Jeffrey.wolynski@yahoo.com  
Cocoa, FL 32922

Abstract: It is given definition for object and space so that consistency can be kept in the sciences.

In science, we must give definition to basic ideas that way we can keep consistency. In mathematical physics this is not required, as definitions can mean multiple things and used inconsistently to suit their fantasies and agendas, such as black holes, big bang, dark matter, gravitational waves, neutrinos, quarks and other nonsense. The definitions for object and space have many options, but any option must be kept and must encompass all reality, especially if it refers to the natural world.

The Definitions as proposed by Mr. Bill Gaede:

**Object:** *That which has shape*

**Space:** *That which lacks shape*

The Definitions as proposed by the author of this paper:

**Object:** *That which reflects and/or emits electromagnetism*

**Space:** *That which does not reflect and/or emit electromagnetism*

Since space can only be defined in the negative it is a very dangerous concept. It has lead to ludicrous theories such as General Relativity in which it is given physical construct. Since space cannot be an object by definition, we must throw General Relativity in the trash, or risk many more billions of dollars trying to prove a negative as in the case of the Gravity Probe B experiment, and the search for gravitational waves.