

# The Simplest Proof of Fermat Last Theorem(1)

Chun-Xuan Jiang

P. O. Box 3924, Beijing 100854, P. R. China

[123jiangchunxuan@gmail.com](mailto:123jiangchunxuan@gmail.com)

Abstract

In 1637 Fermat wrote: “It is impossible to separate a cube into two cubes, or a biquadrate into two biquadrates, or in general any power higher than the second into powers of like degree: I have discovered a truly marvelous proof, which this margin is too small to contain.”

This means:  $x^n + y^n = z^n (n > 2)$  has no integer solutions, all different from 0 (i.e., it has only the trivial solution, where one of the integers is equal to 0). It has been called Fermat’s last theorem (FLT). It suffices to prove FLT for exponent 4 and every prime exponent  $P$ . Fermat proved FLT for exponent 4. Euler proved FLT for exponent 3.

In this paper using automorphic functions we prove FLT for exponents  $4P$  and  $P$ , where  $P$  is an odd prime. We rediscover the Fermat proof. The proof of FLT must be direct. But indirect proof of FLT is disbelieving.

**Theorem:**The simplest proof of Fermat last theorem. We have Fermat equation  $x^{4P} - y^{4P} = z^{4P}$ , where  $P$  is odd prime. We prove that if  $y$  and  $z$  are integer numbers then  $x$ ,  $x^4$  and  $x^P$  are irrational numbers.

In 1974 Jiang found out Euler formula of the cyclotomic real numbers in the cyclotomic fields

$$\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4m-1} t_i J^i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{4m} S_i J^{i-1}, \tag{1}$$

where  $J$  denotes a  $4m$ th root of unity,  $J^{4m} = 1$ ,  $m=1,2,3,\dots$ ,  $t_i$  are the real numbers.

$S_i$  is called the automorphic functions (complex hyperbolic functions) of order  $4m$  with  $4m-1$  variables [2,5,7].

$$S_i = \frac{1}{4m} \left[ e^A + 2e^H \cos\left(\beta + \frac{(i-1)\pi}{2}\right) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e^{B_j} \cos\left(\theta_j + \frac{(i-1)j\pi}{2m}\right) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{(-1)^{(i-1)}}{4m} \left[ e^{A_2} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e^{D_j} \cos \left( \phi_j - \frac{(i-1)j\pi}{2m} \right) \right] \quad (2)$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, 4m$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4m-1} t_\alpha, & A_2 &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4m-1} t_\alpha (-1)^\alpha, & H &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2m-1} t_{2\alpha} (-1)^\alpha, & \beta &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2m} t_{2\alpha-1} (-1)^\alpha, \\ B_j &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4m-1} t_\alpha \cos \frac{\alpha j \pi}{2m}, & \theta_j &= - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4m-1} t_\alpha \sin \frac{\alpha j \pi}{2m}, \\ D_j &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4m-1} t_\alpha (-1)^\alpha \cos \frac{\alpha j \pi}{2m}, & \phi_j &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4m-1} t_\alpha (-1)^\alpha \sin \frac{\alpha j \pi}{2m}, \\ A_1 + A_2 + 2H + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (B_j + D_j) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

From (2) we have its inverse transformation[5,7]

$$\begin{aligned} e^{A_1} &= \sum_{i=1}^{4m} S_i, & e^{A_2} &= \sum_{i=1}^{4m} S_i (-1)^{1+i} \\ e^H \cos \beta &= \sum_{i=1}^{2m} S_{2i-1} (-1)^{1+i}, & e^H \sin \beta &= \sum_{i=1}^{2m} S_{2i} (-1)^i, \\ e^{B_j} \cos \theta_j &= S_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{4m-1} S_{1+i} \cos \frac{ij\pi}{2m}, & e^{B_j} \sin \theta_j &= - \sum_{i=1}^{4m-1} S_{1+i} \sin \frac{ij\pi}{2m}, \\ e^{D_j} \cos \phi_j &= S_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{4m-1} S_{1+i} (-1)^i \cos \frac{ij\pi}{2m}, & e^{D_j} \sin \phi_j &= \sum_{i=1}^{4m-1} S_{1+i} (-1)^i \sin \frac{ij\pi}{2m}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

(3) and (4) have the same form.

From (3) we have

$$\exp \left[ A_1 + A_2 + 2H + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (B_j + D_j) \right] = 1 \quad (5)$$

From (4) we have

$$\exp \left[ A_1 + A_2 + 2H + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (B_j + D_j) \right] = \begin{vmatrix} S_1 & S_{4m} & \cdots & S_2 \\ S_2 & S_1 & \cdots & S_3 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ S_{4m} & S_{4m-1} & \cdots & S_1 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} S_1 & (S_1)_1 & \cdots & (S_1)_{4m-1} \\ S_2 & (S_2)_1 & \cdots & (S_2)_{4m-1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ S_{4m} & (S_{4m})_1 & \cdots & (S_{4m})_{4m-1} \end{vmatrix} \quad (6)$$

where

$$(S_i)_j = \frac{\partial S_i}{\partial t_j} [7]$$

From (5) and (6) we have circulant determinant

$$\exp \left[ A_1 + A_2 + 2H + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (B_j + D_j) \right] = \begin{vmatrix} S_1 & S_{4m} & \cdots & S_2 \\ S_2 & S_1 & \cdots & S_3 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ S_{4m} & S_{4m-1} & \cdots & S_1 \end{vmatrix} = 1 \quad (7)$$

Assume  $S_1 \neq 0, S_2 \neq 0, S_i = 0$ , where  $i = 3, \dots, 4m$ .  $S_i = 0$  are  $(4m-2)$  indeterminate equations with  $(4m-1)$  variables. From (4) we have

$$e^{A_1} = S_1 + S_2, \quad e^{A_2} = S_1 - S_2, \quad e^{2H} = S_1^2 + S_2^2$$

$$e^{2B_j} = S_1^2 + S_2^2 + 2S_1S_2 \cos \frac{j\pi}{2m}, \quad e^{2D_j} = S_1^2 + S_2^2 - 2S_1S_2 \cos \frac{j\pi}{2m} \quad (8)$$

**Example [2].** Let  $4m = 12$ . From (3) we have

$$A_1 = (t_1 + t_{11}) + (t_2 + t_{10}) + (t_3 + t_9) + (t_4 + t_8) + (t_5 + t_7) + t_6,$$

$$A_2 = -(t_1 + t_{11}) + (t_2 + t_{10}) - (t_3 + t_9) + (t_4 + t_8) - (t_5 + t_7) + t_6,$$

$$H = -(t_2 + t_{10}) + (t_4 + t_8) - t_6,$$

$$B_1 = (t_1 + t_{11}) \cos \frac{\pi}{6} + (t_2 + t_{10}) \cos \frac{2\pi}{6} + (t_3 + t_9) \cos \frac{3\pi}{6} + (t_4 + t_8) \cos \frac{4\pi}{6} + (t_5 + t_7) \cos \frac{5\pi}{6} - t_6,$$

$$B_2 = (t_1 + t_{11}) \cos \frac{2\pi}{6} + (t_2 + t_{10}) \cos \frac{4\pi}{6} + (t_3 + t_9) \cos \frac{6\pi}{6} + (t_4 + t_8) \cos \frac{8\pi}{6} + (t_5 + t_7) \cos \frac{10\pi}{6} + t_6,$$

$$D_1 = -(t_1 + t_{11}) \cos \frac{\pi}{6} + (t_2 + t_{10}) \cos \frac{2\pi}{6} - (t_3 + t_9) \cos \frac{3\pi}{6} + (t_4 + t_8) \cos \frac{4\pi}{6} - (t_5 + t_7) \cos \frac{5\pi}{6} - t_6,$$

$$D_2 = -(t_1 + t_{11}) \cos \frac{2\pi}{6} + (t_2 + t_{10}) \cos \frac{4\pi}{6} - (t_3 + t_9) \cos \frac{6\pi}{6} + (t_4 + t_8) \cos \frac{8\pi}{6} - (t_5 + t_7) \cos \frac{10\pi}{6} + t_6,$$

$$A_1 + A_2 + 2(H + B_1 + B_2 + D_1 + D_2) = 0, \quad A_2 + 2B_2 = 3(-t_3 + t_6 - t_9). \quad (9)$$

From (8) and (9) we have

$$\exp[A_1 + A_2 + 2(H + B_1 + B_2 + D_1 + D_2)] = S_1^{12} - S_2^{12} = (S_1^3)^4 - (S_2^3)^4 = 1. \quad (10)$$

From (9) we have

$$\exp(A_2 + 2B_2) = [\exp(-t_3 + t_6 - t_9)]^3. \quad (11)$$

From (8) we have

$$\exp(A_2 + 2B_2) = (S_1 - S_2)(S_1^2 + S_2^2 + S_1S_2) = S_1^3 - S_2^3. \quad (12)$$

From (11) and (12) we have Fermat's equation

$$\exp(A_2 + 2B_2) = S_1^3 - S_2^3 = [\exp(-t_3 + t_6 - t_9)]^3. \quad (13)$$

Fermat proved that (10) has no rational solutions for exponent 4 [8].

Therefore we prove we prove that (13) has no rational solutions for exponent 3. [2]

**Theorem 1** . Let  $4m = 4P$  , where  $P$  is an odd prime,  $(P-1)/2$  is an even number.

From (3) and (8) we have

$$\exp[A_1 + A_2 + 2H + 2\sum_{j=1}^{P-1} (B_j + D_j)] = S_1^{4P} - S_2^{4P} = (S_1^P)^4 - (S_2^P)^4 = 1. \quad (14)$$

From (3) we have

$$\exp[A_2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{P-1}{4}} (B_{4j-2} + D_{4j})] = [\exp(-t_p + t_{2p} - t_{3p})]^P. \quad (15)$$

From (8) we have

$$\exp[A_2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{P-1}{4}} (B_{4j-2} + D_{4j})] = S_1^P - S_2^P. \quad (16)$$

From (15) and (16) we have Fermat's equation

$$\exp[A_2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{P-1}{4}} (B_{4j-2} + D_{4j})] = S_1^P - S_2^P = [\exp(-t_p + t_{2p} - t_{3p})]^P. \quad (17)$$

Fermat proved that (14) has no rational solutions for exponent 4 [8]. Therefore we prove that (17) has no rational solutions for prime exponent  $P$  .

**Theorem 2.**The simplest proof of Fermat last theorem.

We have the Fermat equation

$$x^{4P} - y^{4P} = z^{4P} \quad (18)$$

where  $P$  is the odd prime.

We rewrite (18)

$$(x^P)^4 - (y^P)^4 = (z^P)^4. \quad (19)$$

Fermat proved that (19) has no integer solutions for exponent 4 . We assume that  $y$  and  $z$  are integer numbers,  $y^P$  and  $z^P$  also are integer numbers. We have  $x$  and  $x^P$  are irrational

numbers. Therefore we prove (18) has no integer solutions

We rewrite (18)

$$(x^4)^P - (y^4)^P = (z^4)^P \quad (20)$$

We assume that  $y$  and  $z$  are integer numbers,  $y^4$  and  $z^4$  also are integer numbers. From

theorem 1 we prove  $x^4$  is irrational number,  $x$  also is irrational number. Therefore we prove

(20) has no integer solutions for prime exponent  $P$ .

**Conclusion.**In(18) we prove that if  $y$  and  $z$  are integer numbers then  $x, x^4$  and  $x^P$  are irrational numbers. .

### References

- [1] Jiang, C-X, Fermat last theorem had been proved, Potential Science (in Chinese), 2.17-20 (1992), Preprints (in English) December (1991). <http://www.wbabin.net/math/xuan47.pdf>.
- [2] Jiang, C-X, Fermat last theorem had been proved by Fermat more than 300 years ago, Potential Science (in Chinese), 6.18-20(1992).
- [3] Jiang, C-X, On the factorization theorem of circulant determinant, Algebras, Groups and Geometries, 11. 371-377(1994), MR. 96a: 11023, <http://www.wbabin.net/math/xuan45.pdf>
- [4] Jiang, C-X, Fermat last theorem was proved in 1991, Preprints (1993). In: Fundamental open problems in science at the end of the millennium, T.Gill, K. Liu and E. Trelle (eds). Hadronic Press, 1999, P555-558. <http://www.wbabin.net/math/xuan46.pdf>.
- [5] Jiang, C-X, On the Fermat-Santilli theorem, Algebras, Groups and Geometries, 15. 319-349(1998)
- [6] Jiang, C-X, Complex hyperbolic functions and Fermat's last theorem, Hadronic Journal Supplement, 15. 341-348(2000).
- [7] Jiang, C-X, Foundations of Santilli Isonumber Theory with applications to new cryptograms, Fermat's theorem and Goldbach's Conjecture. Inter. Acad. Press. 2002. MR2004c:11001, <http://www.wbabin.net/math/xuan13.pdf>. <http://www.i-b-r.org/docs/jiang.pdf>
- [8] Ribenboim, P. Fermat last theorem for amateur, Springer-Verlag(1999).