

# On Smarandache Rings II

A K S CHANDRA SEKHAR RAO

*E-mail id:* chandhrasekhar \_ 6 @ yahoo.co.in

Department of Mathematics

D.N.R.College (Autonomous)

Bhimavaram – 534 202, A.P, INDIA

---

## Abstract

In this paper we show that a commutative semisimple ring is always a Smarandache ring. We will also give a necessary and sufficient condition for group algebra to be a Smarandache ring. Examples are provided for justification.

**Key Words:** Group, ring, field, semisimple ring, group algebra, nilpotent element, Smarandache ring

## 1. Introduction

Smarandache notions which can be undoubtedly characterized as revolutionary mathematics, have the capacity of being utilized to analyze, study and introduce, naturally, the concepts of seven structures by means of extension or identification as a substructures. A particular case of Smarandache notions, an excellent means to study local properties in rings, is Smarandache ring [11].

The notion of semisimple ring is introduced in [7] and [8]. Semisimple rings have played prominent role in the development of structure theory of rings. There are several open questions concerning semisimplicity and group algebra of any group over a field.

Group algebras were introduced by G.Frobenius and I.Schur[4] in connection with the study of group representations, since studying the representations of a group  $G$

over a field  $K$ ; is equivalent to studying modules over the group algebra  $KG$ . Thus, Maschke's theorem about semisimplicity (stated in this paper) is formulated in the language of group algebras. In the early 1950s group algebras of infinite groups were studied in the context of integer group algebras in the algebraic topology and for investigation of structure groups. This was also promoted by a number of problems on group algebras, the best known of which is Kaplansky's problem.

The purpose of this paper is to show that a commutative semisimple ring is always a Smarandache ring. Then the Theorem 3.9 in [10] which states that the ring  $R$  in which for every element  $x \in R$  there exists a (and hence the smallest) natural number  $n(x) > 1$  such that  $x^{n(x)} = x$  is always a Smarandache ring, is a corollary of our result. We will also give a necessary and sufficient condition for group algebra to be a Smarandache ring.

In section 2 we give basic concepts, definitions and theorems of structure theory of rings. In section 3 we give our results. In section 4 we give examples to justify our results. For more details about fundamental concepts please refer [7],[8]and [9]. In this paper ring means ring with unit.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we give some definitions and theorems of structure theory of rings.

**Definition 2.1.([5]):** The intersection of all prime ideals of a ring  $R$  is called a prime radical of  $R$ .

**Definition 2.2([5]).** An element  $r$  in the ring  $R$  is called nilpotent if  $r^n = 0$ , for some natural number  $n$ .

**Proposition 2.3([5]).** A prime radical of a commutative ring  $R$  consists of all nilpotent elements of  $R$ .

**Definition 2.4([5]).** A ring  $R$  is said to be Semisimple if its prime radical is 0, that is, if it has no nonzero nilpotent element.

In other words, for every  $x \in R$ ,  $x^2 = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ . A commutative ring  $R$  which is semisimple is called Commutative semisimple ring.

The simple example of semisimple ring is the ring  $J$  of integers, Since, if  $p$  is a prime the principal ideal  $(p)$  is primitive in  $J$  and  $\bigcap_p(p) = \{0\}$ . More examples of commutative semisimple rings can be found in [5],[7]and [8].

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $G = \{g_i / i \in I\}$  be any multiplicative group and let  $K$  be any field. Let  $KG$  be the set of all formal sums  $\sum a_i g_i$ , where  $i \in I$  for  $a_i \in K$  and  $g_i \in G$ , where all but a finite number of the  $a_i$  are 0. Define the sum of two elements of  $KG$  by

$(\sum a_i g_i) + (\sum b_i g_i) = \sum (a_i + b_i) g_i$ , where  $i \in I$ ;  $a_i + b_i = 0$  except for a finite number of indices  $i$ . Multiplication of two elements of  $KG$  is defined by the use of the multiplication in  $G$  and  $K$  as

$(\sum a_i g_i)(\sum b_j g_j) = (\sum (\sum a_j b_k) g_i)$ , where  $i \in I$ ;  $g_j g_k = g_i$ , and at most a finite number of the sums  $(\sum a_j b_k)$ , where  $g_j g_k = g_i$ , are nonzero. If  $KG$  is a ring then  $KG$  is called a group algebra of  $G$  over  $K$ .

From the definition, it follows that the additive identity element in  $KG$  is  $\sum 0g_i$ , where  $i \in I$ , and multiplicative identity in  $KG$  is  $1e_G$  (see [9]) where  $1$  is the identity of  $K$  and  $e$  is the identity of  $G$ . Clearly, the identity element of  $G$  is the unit of  $KG$  and  $KG$  is commutative if and only if  $G$  is an Abelian group. In the group algebra  $KG$  the elements of  $G$  form a basis for this algebra as  $G \subset KG$ . For examples of group algebras please see [6],[8] and [9].

**Definition 2.6([1]).** A Smarandache ring (in short S-ring) is defined to be a ring  $A$  such that a proper subset of  $A$  is a field with respect to the operations induced. By a proper

subset we understand a set included in A different from empty set, from the unit element, if any, and from A. For examples of Smarandache rings please see[11].

**Theorem 2.7(Maschke[ 8 ])** . Let G be a finite group of order n and let K be a field whose Characteristic does not divide n, then the group algebra KG is semisimple.

**Theorem 2.8.** If R is a finite ring and has no nonzero nilpotent element then R is commutative.

### 3. Proofs of the Theorems.

In this section we show that a commutative semisimple ring R is always a Smarandache ring. We will also give a necessary and sufficient condition for a group algebra to be a Smarandache ring. For completeness, we write some definitions and lemmas from [2].

**Lemma 3.1.** Let R be a commutative semisimple ring. The ring R is partially ordered by  $\leq$  where for every element x and y of R ,  
 $x \leq y$  if and only if  $xy = x^2$  .....(i)

Proof : Since  $xx = x^2$  , it follows from (i) that  $x \leq x$ . Thus ,  $\leq$  is reflexive

Moreover, if  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$  then  $xy=x^2$  and  $yx = y^2$  so that  $x^2 - xy - yx + y^2 = (x - y)^2 = 0$ . But, then  $x - y = 0$  as R has no nonzero nilpotent element. Thus,  $x = y$  and therefore ,  $\leq$  is antisymmetric .

Further more, if  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq z$  then

$xy = x^2$  and  $yz = y^2$  so that  $x^2z = xyz = xy^2 = x^2y = x^3$ . Thus ,  $x^2z^2=x^3z$  and  $x^3z = x^4$  so that  $x^2z^2 - x^3z - x^3z + x^4 = 0$  or  $(xz - x^2)^2 = 0$ . But, then  $xz - x^2 = 0$  or  $xz = x^2$  as R has no nonzero nilpotent element. Hence,  $x \leq z$  by (i) and therefore,  $\leq$  is transitive. Thus,  $\leq$  is a partial order and further  $(R, \leq)$  is a partially ordered (p.o) set.

Let us observe that from (i) it follows immediately that for every element  $x, y$  of a commutative semisimple ring  $R$   $x \leq y$  implies  $xz \leq yz$  ....(ii)

and  $x^2 = x$  implies  $xy \leq y$  .....(iii)

**Definition 3.2:** A nonzero element  $a$  of a commutative semisimple ring  $R$  is called a hyperatom of  $R$  if and only if

for every element  $x$  of  $R$   $x \leq a$  implies  $x = 0$  or  $x = a$  ....(iv) and

$ax \neq 0$  implies  $axs = a$  for some element  $s$  of  $R$  .....(v)

Next, we prove

**Lemma 3.3:** Let ' $a$ ' be a hyperatom of a commutative semisimple ring  $R$ . For every element  $r$  of  $R$  if

$ar \neq 0$  then  $ar$  is a hyperatom of  $R$ .

**Proof :** Let  $ar \neq 0$ . We show that  $ar$  is a hyperatom according to the Definition 3.2 .

Since  $ar \neq 0$ , by (v) we have  $ars = a$  for some element  $s$  of  $R$ . Now, let  $x \leq ar$  then from (ii) it follows that  $xs \leq ars$ . Hence,  $xs \leq a$  and in view of (iv) we have  $xs = 0$  or  $xs = a$

However,  $x \leq ar$  so that  $arx = x^2$  and therefore  $rsx^2 = rs(arx) = (rsa)rx = arx = x^2$ . Consequently,  $(rsx - x)^2 = (rs)^2x^2 - 2rsx^2 + x^2 = 0$ . Thus,  $rsx = x$  as  $R$  has no nilpotent element and in view of the above implies that  $x = 0$  or  $x = ar$ . Hence,  $ar$  satisfies (iv).

On the other hand, if  $arx \neq 0$  then there exists an element  $t$  of  $R$  such that  $arxt = a$ . Thus,  $(arx)tr = ar$ , so that  $ar$  satisfies (v). In view of the above two cases, we see that  $ar$  is a hyperatom of  $R$  as desired.

**Lemma 3.4 .** Let ' $a$ ' be a hyperatom of commutative semisimple ring  $R$ , then there exists an element  $s$  of  $R$  such that  $as$  is an idempotent hyperatom of  $R$ .

**Proof :** Since  $a \neq 0$ , it follows that  $a^2 \neq 0$  as  $R$  has no nilpotent element. Thus, by (v) there exists an element  $s$  of  $R$  such that  $a^2s = a$ . Clearly,  $as \neq 0$  and therefore  $as$  is an hyperatom by Lemma 3.3. But, also,  $(as)^2 = (a^2s)s = as$ . Thus,  $as$  is an idempotent hyperatom of  $R$ .

**Definition 3.5 :** A subset  $S$  of a commutative semisimple ring  $R$  is called orthogonal if and only if  $xy = 0$  for every two distinct elements  $x$  and  $y$  of  $S$ .

**Lemma 3.6 :** The set  $(e_i)$ ,  $i \in I$  of all idempotent hyperatoms of a commutative semisimple ring  $R$  is an orthogonal set.

**Proof :** Let  $e_i$  and  $e_j$  be idempotent hyperatoms of a commutative semisimple ring  $R$ . From (iii) it follows that  $e_i e_j \leq e_i$  and  $e_i e_j \leq e_j$  so that  $e_i e_j = e_i = e_j$  or  $e_i e_j = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.7** Let  $(e_i)$ ,  $i \in I$ , be the set of all idempotent hyperatoms of a commutative semisimple ring  $R$ . Then for every  $i$  of  $I$  the ideal  $F_i = \{re_i / r \in R\}$  is a subfield of  $R$

**Proof :** Since  $e_i^2 = e_i$ , it follows that  $e_i$  is an element of  $F_i$  and for every element  $r$  of  $R$ , we have  $(re_i)e_i = re_i$  so that  $e_i$  is the unit of  $F_i$ . Further, if  $re_i \neq 0$ , then since  $e_i$  is a hyperatom there exists an element  $s$  of  $R$  such that  $(se_i)(re_i) = sre_i = e_i$ . Thus, each non zero element of  $F_i$  has an inverse in  $F_i$  so that  $F_i$  is a field.

Now, we are ready to prove our result.

**Theorem 3.8.** A commutative semisimple ring  $R$  is always a Smarandache ring.

**Proof :** Let  $(e_i)$ ,  $i \in I$  be the set of all idempotent hyperatoms of  $R$ . Then, in view of the preceding Lemma 3.7, for every  $i$  of  $I$ , the ideal  $F_i = \{re_i / r \in R\}$  is a field of  $R$ . Hence, the ring  $R$  is a Smarandache ring.

**Corollary 3.9 (Theorem 3.9 in [ 10 ] ) .** The ring  $R$  is which for every element  $x \in R$  there exists a ( and hence the smallest ) natural number  $n(x) > 1$  such that  $x^{n(x)} = x$  is always a Smarandache ring.

**Proof :** In [ 7 ] , it is known that the ring  $R$  in which for every element  $x \in R$  there exists a ( and hence the smallest ) natural number  $n(x) > 1$  such that  $x^{n(x)} = x$  is commutative and has no nonzero nilpotent element. Hence, this ring  $R$  is a commutative semisimple ring. Thus, in view of Theorem 3.8 we get that this ring  $R$  is a Smarandache ring as desired.

**Corollary 3.10.** If  $R$  is a finite ring with no nonzero nilpotent element then  $R$  is a Smarandache ring.

**Proof :** In structure theory of rings we have an elegant Theorem 2.8 which states that if  $R$  is a finite ring with no nonzero nilpotent element then  $R$  is commutative. Hence,  $R$  is a commutative semisimple ring. Thus, in view of the Theorem 3.8 , we get that ring  $R$  is Smarandache ring.

Next , we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a group algebra to be a Smarandache ring.

**Theorem 3.11 .** Let  $KG$  be a group algebra, where  $K$  is a field with multiplicative identity 1 and  $G$  is a multiplicative group with identity  $e$ . Then,  $KG$  is a Smarandache ring if and only if  $|K| \geq 3$  , where  $|K|$  denotes the cardinality of the field  $K$ .

**Proof .** If  $KG$  is a Smarandache ring then there exists a proper subset  $F = \{ 0 , 1e \}$  of  $KG$  such that  $F$  is a field under the operations defined on the group algebra  $KG$ . In view of Definition 2.5 ,  $F$  must contain an element  $a_1e$  , where  $a_1 \in K$  , other than 0 and  $1e$ . so that  $F$  is a field of  $KG$  . Thus ,  $|K| \geq 3$  .

On the other hand, since  $|K| \geq 3$ , let  $K = \{0, 1, a_1, \dots\}$  be the field.

Then, take  $F = \{0e, 1e, a_1e, \dots\} = \{0, 1e, a_1, \dots\}$ , clearly,  $F$  is a proper subset of  $KG$  and  $F$  is field under the operations of the group algebra  $KG$ . Hence, the group algebra  $KG$  is a Smarandache ring.

**Observation 3.12.** In view the Theorem 3.11 it is evident that the statement and proof of Theorem 3.1.7, the proof of Theorem 3.1.9 in [ 11 ] should be revised. We will also provide an example for justification in the following section.

## 4. Examples

In this section we give examples to justify our results and observation 3.12

**Example 4.1 .** In view of the corollary 3.9, the examples quoted in [ 10 ] to justify the Theorem 3.9 in [10] also justify our Theorem 3.8

Next, we show by example that the condition Semisimplicity on ring  $R$  in Theorem 3.8 is sufficient condition but not a necessary condition. In view of the following examples, it is, further, observed that, if  $R$  is a Commutative ring with nonzero nilpotent element then the ring  $R$  may or may not be a Smarandache ring.

**Example 4.2 .** Consider the ring  $Z_{12} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11\}$ . This ring  $Z_{12}$  is a Smarandache ring as the proper subset  $A = \{0, 4, 8\}$  is a field with 4 acting as a unit element. But, the ring  $Z_{12}$  has a nonzero nilpotent element 6 as  $6^2 = 0$ .

**Example 4.3 .** Let  $G = \{e, a\}$  be a cyclic group of order 2 and let  $Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$  be a field of characteristic 2.  $Z_2G = \{0, a, e, e+a\}$  is a group algebra with respect to the operations defined by table 1 and table 2

+	0	a	e	e + a
0	0	a	e	e + a
a	a	0	e + a	e
e	e	e + a	0	a
e + a	e + a	e	a	0

Table 1

.	0	a	e	e + a
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	e	e	e + a
e	0	a	e	e + a
e + a	0	e + a	e + a	0

Table 2

This example justifies our observation 3.12 as  $Z_2$  is not contained in  $Z_2G$ , and also justifies our Theorem 3.11 as  $|Z_2| = 2$ . Observe that the element  $e+a$  is nonzero nilpotent element in  $Z_2G$ . But, this group algebra  $Z_2G$  is not a Smarandache ring as there is no proper subset of  $Z_2G$  which is a field under the operations defined by Table1 and Table 2 except the trivial field  $\{0,e\}$  formed with identity elements

**Example 4.3.** Let  $Z_3 = \{0,1,2\}$  be a prime field of characteristic 3 and let  $G = \{g: g^2 = 1\}$  be a group.  $Z_3G = \{0,1,2,g,2g,1+g,1+2g,2+2g\}$  is a group algebra with respect to the operations defined by table 3 and table 4.

+	0	1	2	g	2g	1+g	2+g	1+2g	2+2g
0	0	1	2	g	2g	1+g	2+g	1+2g	2+2g
1	1	2	0	1+g	1+2g	2+g	G	2+2g	2g
2	2	0	1	2+g	2+2g	g	1+g	2g	1+2g
g	g	1+g	2+g	2g	0	1+2g	2+2g	1	2
2g	2g	1+2g	2+2g	0	g	1	2	1+g	2+g
1+g	1+g	2+g	g	1+2g	1	2+2g	2g	2	0
2+g	2+g	g	1+g	2+2g	2	2g	1+2g	0	1
1+2g	1+2g	2+2g	2g	1	1+g	2	0	2+g	g
2+2g	2+2g	2g	1+2g	2	2+g	0	1	g	1+g

Table 3

	0	1	2	g	2g	1+g	2+g	1+2g	2+2g
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	g	2g	1+g	2+g	1+2g	2+2g
2	0	2	1	2g	g	2+2g	1+2g	2+g	1+g
g	0	g	2g	1	2	1+g	1+2g	2+g	2+2g
2g	0	2g	g	2	1	2+2g	2+g	1+2g	1+g
1+g	0	1+g	2+2g	1+g	2+2g	2+2g	0	0	1+g
2+g	0	2+g	1+2g	1+2g	2+g	0	2+g	1+2g	0
1+2g	0	1+2g	2+g	2+g	1+2g	0	1+2g	2+g	0
2+2g	0	2+2g	1+g	2+2g	1+g	1+g	0	0	2+2g

Table 4

**Observe the following :**

(4.4.1) The group algebra  $Z_3G$  is a commutative ring and has no nonzero nilpotent element.

(4.4.2) In view of Theorem 2.7 due to Maschke , the group algebra  $Z_3G$  is semisimple

(4.4.3) The proper subset  $A = \{ 0,1e,2\}$  is a field of  $Z_3G$  under the operations of the group algebra by table 3 and table 4.

Therefore, the group algebra  $Z_3G$  is a Smarandache ring. This example justifies Theorem 3.9 , Theorem 3.11 and observation 3.12.

## References

1. Abian Alexander , Direct Sum Decomposition of Atomic and orthogonally Complete Rings, The Journal of the Austratian Math Soc, Vol. XI (1970 )
2. Abian Alexander , Commutative Semisimple Rings, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society , Vol.24 , No 3 (Mar; 1970)
3. Garrett Birkhoff, Latlice theory , Amer.math.Soc , Colloq , Publ, Vol.25 , Amer.math.Soc., Providence, R.I., 1934., 2<sup>nd</sup> rev.ed., 1967. MR37 # 2638
4. I.Schur, Sitzungsber,Preuss, Akd.Press.(1905), PP 406 – 432
5. Joachim Lambek, Lectures on Rings and Modules , 3ed., AMS chelsco publishing, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island.
6. John B.Fraleigh, A First Course in Abstract Algebra, 3ed., Addison – Wesley Publishing Company, Inc, USA

7. Nathan Jacobson, Structure of rings, Amer. Math.Soc.Colloq , publ.,Vol.37, Amer,math,Soc, providence, R.I., 1956 .MR 18,373.
8. Serge Lang, Algebra, Reading , Massachusetts , Addison – Wesley Publishing Company Inc, USA.
9. Thomas W. Hunger Ford, Algebra, Springer , New York : Holt , Rinehart and Winston , 1974
10. T.Srinivas , AKS Chandra Sekhar Rao , On Smarandache rings, Scientia Magna, Vol. 5, No.4,2009.
11. W.B.Vasanth Kandasamy , Smarandache Rings , American Research press, Rehoboth, NM,2002
12. W.B.Vasanth Kandasamy , Smarandache Semirings and Smarandache Semifields, Smarandache Notions Journal , American Research Press Vol.13,(2002), 88-91.