

## Dark energy is needed for the consistency of quantum electrodynamics Heisenberg's biggest blunder? Jack Sarfatti

### Abstract

The argument that virtual photons can be globally gauged away I think is spurious. Indeed, the inconsistency with the boson commutation rules in Heisenberg and Pauli's historic 1929 attempt to quantize the electromagnetic field<sup>1</sup> disappears once one uses the recently discovered dark energy density.

### The Problem

*They then form the momenta conjugate to the  $\phi$ 's and the trouble starts*

$$\begin{aligned}L &\equiv \frac{1}{2}(\vec{E}^2 - \vec{B}^2) \\ \Pi_I &= F_{0I} = \partial_0 A_I - \partial_I A_0 \\ \Pi_{0(\text{real})} &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_0} = F_{00} = \partial_0 A_0 - \partial_0 A_0 = 0 \\ (\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \Pi_3) &= -\vec{E}\end{aligned}$$

*... The vanishing of  $\Pi_0$  is a very serious difficulty since it contradicts the quantum condition*

$$q_0(x)\Pi_0(x') - \Pi_0(x')q_0(x) = i\hbar\delta(x - x')$$

Paraphrase of Dirac's comment on Heisenberg and Pauli's "On the Quantum Dynamics of Wave Fields" 1929 cited by Schweber pp. 39 – 44.

*In the fall of 1928 Heisenberg discovered a way to bypass the difficulties engendered by the fact that  $\Pi_0 = 0$  in the  $L = F_{IJ}F^{IJ} / 4$  formulation of the action principle for classical electrodynamics. He suggested adding a term  $-\varepsilon(\partial_I A^I)^2 / 2$  to the Lagrangian, in which case*

$$\Pi_0 = -\varepsilon(\partial_I A^I)$$

*and the usual method of the canonical quantization scheme became applicable. The limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  was to be taken at the end of all calculations.*

Schweber p. 41

My original idea in this paper is that  $\varepsilon$  is finite determined by the dark energy density of virtual photons.

---

<sup>1</sup> "QED and The Men Who Made It", Sylvan S. Schweber p. 41 (Princeton, 1994)

$$\varepsilon(\partial_I A^I)^2 / 2 \sim \frac{c^4}{8\pi G_{Newton}} \Lambda_{Dark\_Energy} \quad (1.1)$$

The classical equation of motion for the compensating local internal symmetry  $U_1(\vec{r}, t)$  group gauge connection potentials of the Maxwell electromagnetic field with sources is

$$(\partial_J \partial^J) A_I = -J_I + \partial_I (\partial_J A^J) \quad (1.2)$$

Expanding into components<sup>2</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} A_I &\equiv (\vec{A}, \phi) \\ J_I &\equiv (\vec{J}_e, \rho_e) \\ \square &\equiv \vec{\nabla}^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 \rightarrow \frac{\delta^4(x-x')}{D_{Feynman}(x-x')} \\ \square \vec{A} &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0 c^2} \vec{J}_e + \vec{\nabla} \left( \nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t \phi \right) \\ \square \phi &= -\frac{\rho_e}{\varepsilon_0} - \partial_t \left( \nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t \phi \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_I A^I &= \nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t \phi \\ \partial_I &\equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^I} = (\partial_t, \vec{\nabla}) \\ A^I &\equiv (\phi, \vec{A}) \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

The Lorentz term is  $\nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t \phi$  with a + sign. It does not vanish for virtual photons. It does vanish only for real photons.

In classical vacuum where  $J_I = 0$ , insert the plane wave solution into the classical equation of motion for the electromagnetic field potentials

$$A_I = \xi_I e^{i \frac{p_J x^J}{\hbar}} \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\xi_I$  is the polarization (spin 1) 4-vector to get the constraints

---

<sup>2</sup> Note the bare spin 0 Feynman propagator  $D_{Feynman}$

$$(p_J p^J) \xi_I = (p^J \xi_J) p_I \quad (1.6)$$

There are two kinds of solutions. First<sup>3</sup> we have the micro-quantum effect of virtual photons that are off the classical light cone. They can be inside with positive effective rest mass or outside with imaginary effective rest mass. *Randomly fluctuating spin 1 virtual photons*<sup>4</sup> *anti-gravitate as dark energy* repelling all masses because they have negative pressure that is 3x their positive zero point energy density in 3D + 1 spacetime. This is required by Einstein's equivalence principle plus Lorentz invariance as shown on pp 25-26 of John Peacock's "Cosmological Physics" (Cambridge). Therefore, for the virtual photon zero point vacuum fluctuations<sup>5</sup>, the classical field equations of motion demands the virtual photon solution for consistency with the boson commutation rules when quantizing. This *anti-gravitating dark energy virtual photon solution* is

$$p_J p^J \neq 0$$

$$\xi_{I(\text{virtual})} = \frac{(p^J \xi_{J(\text{virtual})})}{(p_J p^J)_{(\text{virtual})}} p_{I(\text{virtual})} \quad (1.7)$$

$$A_{I(\text{virtual})} \equiv \zeta p_{I(\text{virtual})} e^{i \frac{p_I x^I}{\hbar}}$$

*The argument that these virtual photons can be globally gauged away I think is spurious.*

Indeed, the inconsistency with the boson commutation rules in Heisenberg and Pauli's historic 1929 attempt to quantize the electromagnetic field<sup>6</sup> disappears once one uses the recently discovered dark energy density

---

<sup>3</sup> For real photons of course the "mass shell" pole of the bare photon propagator in the complex energy plane (Fourier transform of the classical light cone in this case)  $p_I p^I \sim -c^2 \omega^2 + \vec{k}^2 = 0$  and only the two transverse polarizations propagate energy into the far field.

<sup>4</sup> Boson commutation rules in 2<sup>nd</sup> quantized creation and destruction non-Hermitian operators. In contrast, virtual electron-positron pairs with fermion anti-commutation rules (only none or one quantum per normal mode Pauli exclusion principle) have opposite zero point vacuum energy density and pressure. Therefore, randomly fluctuating virtual electron-positron pairs gravitate as dark matter! The virtual photons spread out. The virtual electron-positron pairs clump just like  $w = 0$  Cold Dark Matter (CDM) even though all virtual quanta have  $w = -1$  where  $w$  is the ratio of pressure to energy density.

<sup>5</sup> As well as the non-random coherent states of virtual photons that form the non-propagating near electromagnetic field with all three polarizations seen in electrostatics, magnetostatics, inside the wave zone in transformers, dynamos, motors etc. e.g, the electrostatic Coulomb field of a stationary point charge is a macro-quantum coherent state of spacelike virtual photons outside the light cone with zero energy and all possible finite linear momenta with a continuum of effective imaginary masses.

<sup>6</sup> "QED and The Men Who Made It", Sylvan S. Schweber p. 41 (Princeton, 1994)

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_0(\vec{r}, t) &\sim \partial_J A_{(virtual)}^J(\vec{r}, t) \sim \int d^4 p \zeta P_{(virtual)}^J P_{J(virtual)} e^{i \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r} - Et}{\hbar}} \sim \int d^4 p \zeta (m_{virtual}^2) m_{virtual}^2 e^{i \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r} - Et}{\hbar}} \\ &\sim \sqrt{\Lambda_{dark\_energy}} \sim \frac{1}{R_{future\_event\_horizon\_retrocausal\_hologram}} \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$